On certain integral formulas for hypersurfaces in a constant curvature space

Dedicated to Professor Yoshie Katsurada on her 60th birthday

By Tamao NAGAI

§0. Introduction.

Let V^m be a closed orientable hypersurface twice differentiably imbedded in an (m+1)-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{m+1}(m+1 \ge 3)$ and k_1, \dots, k_m be the *m* principal curvatures at a point *P* of V^m . The ν -th mean curvature H_{ν} of V^m at *P* is defined by

$$\binom{m}{\nu}H_{\nu}=\sum k_{1}\cdots k_{\nu} \qquad (\nu=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,m)\,,$$

where the right hand member denotes the ν -th elementary symmetric function of k_1, \dots, k_m . It is convenient to define $H_0 = 1$. C. C. Hsiung [1]¹⁾ proved

(0.1)
$$\int_{\nu^m} (H_{\nu+1}p + H_{\nu}) dA = 0 \qquad (\nu = 0, 1, \dots, m-1),$$

where p denotes the oriented distance from a fixed point O in E^{m+1} to the tangent space of V^m at P and dA is the area element of V^m . Let \overline{V}^m be a closed orientable hypersurface parallel to the given V^m . Then, the integral formulas (0, 1) have been derived by comparison between associated quantities of V^m and \overline{V}^m .

Let R^{m+1} be an (m+1)-dimensional Riemann space of class $C^r(r \ge 3)$, which admits an infinitesimal conformal transformation

(0.2)
$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + \xi^i(x)\delta\tau.$$

We assume that a closed orientable hypersurface V^m does not pass through any singular point of a tangent vector field of the paths with respect to the infinitesimal transformation (0.2). Since the transformation is conformal, there exists a scalar field Φ and the vector ξ^i satisfies the relation

$$(0.3) \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i;j} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j;i} = 2\boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{g}_{ij},$$

where $\xi_i = g_{ij}\xi^j$ and the symbol ";" means covariant differentiation with respect to Riemann connection determined by the metric tensor g_{ij} of R^{m+1}

¹⁾ Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

(K. Yano [2]). As the generalizations of (0.1) for a Riemann space, Y. Katsurada [3] derived

(0.4)
$$\int_{\mathcal{V}^m} (H_1 p + \Phi) dA = 0$$

for V^m in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} and when \mathbb{R}^{m+1} is a constant curvature space, proved

(0.5)
$$\int_{\nu^m} (H_{\nu+1} p + H_{\nu} \Phi) dA = 0 \qquad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, m-1),$$

where $p = n^i \xi_i$ and n^i is the unit normal vector of V^m . The integral formulas (0, 4) and (0, 5) have been derived by applying Stokes' theorem to the relations obtained by exterior differentiation of certain differential forms on V^m .

Certain generalizations of (0.4) and (0.5) for a closed orientable submanifold of codimension greater than 1 have been given by Y. Katsurada and H. Kôjyô [4].

These integral formulas have been applied by many authors to the study of closed orientable submanifolds with constant ν -th mean curvature in a Euclidean space and a Riemann space.

Recently, K. Amur [5] derived (0, 1) in a different way and also proved for V^m in E^{m+1}

(0.6)
$$\int_{\mathcal{V}^m} (\mathcal{V}H_{\nu} \cdot X) \, dA + m \int_{\mathcal{V}^m} (H_1 H_{\nu} - H_{\nu+1}) p \, dA = 0 \, . \qquad (\nu = 0, 1, \cdots, m-1)$$

where the integrand of the first term in the left hand member denotes inner product of grad H_{ν} and the position vector X of V^{m} .

Some generalizations of (0.6) for a closed orientable submanifold of codimension greater than 1 in E^{m+1} have been derived by K. Yano and B. Y. Chen [6].

The main purpose of the present paper is to give an integral formula which is similar to (0.6) and valid for a closed orientable hypersurface V^m in a constant curvature space R^{m+1} . In accordance with the idea given by Y. Katsurada [3], we also assume that R^{m+1} admits a conformal Killing vector field ξ^i and use it in place of the position vector X in (0.6). The method of calculations is learned much from the paper of K. Amur [5]. §1 is devoted to give some notations and fundamental relations which will be used in the following section. Some integral formulas will be given in §2.

The present author wishes to express his sincere appreciation to Professor Y. Katsurada for her kind guidance.

§1. Preliminaries.

Let R^{m+1} $(m+1 \ge 3)$ be an (m+1)-dimensional Riemann space and x^i

T. Nagai

and g_{ij} be the local coordinate and the positive definite metric tensor of R^{m+1} . We consider that a closed orientable hypersurface V^m in R^{m+1} is expressed locally by parametric equations

$$x^{i} = x^{i}(u^{\alpha})$$
. $(i=1,2,\dots,m+1: \alpha=1,2,\dots,m)^{2}$

If we put

$$B^i_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \qquad (\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, m),$$

the *m* vectors $B_{\alpha} = (B_{\alpha}^{1}, \dots, B_{\alpha}^{m+1})$ are linearly independent and span the tangent space of V^{m} . The induced metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$ of V^{m} is given by

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{ij} B^i_{\alpha} B^j_{\beta}$$

and
$$g^{\alpha\beta}$$
 is defined by $g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\gamma} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}$, where δ^{α}_{γ} denotes the Kronecker delta.
Denoting by $N = (n^1, \dots, n^{m+1})$ a contravariant vector such that

(1.1)
$$g_{ij}B^i_a n^j = 0, \quad g_{ij}n^i n^j = 0,$$

(1.2)
$$\det (B_1, \dots, B_m, N) > 0,$$

then we can see that N is determined uniquely at each point of V^m and is the unit normal vector of V^m .

If we denote by the symbol ";" the covariant differentiation due to van der Waerden-Bortolotti, we have the following Gauss and Weingarten formula:

$$(1.3) B^i_{\alpha;\beta} = b_{\alpha\beta} n^i ,$$

(1.4)
$$n^{i}{}_{;a} = -b^{r}_{a}B^{i}_{r},$$

where $b_{\alpha\beta}$ is the second fundamental tensor of V^m and $b^{\gamma}_{\alpha} = b_{\alpha\beta}g^{\gamma\beta}$.

Let k_1, \dots, k_m be the roots of the characteristic equation

$$\det.\left(b_{\alpha\beta}-kg_{\alpha\beta}\right)=0,$$

then the ν -th mean curvature H_{ν} of V^m is defined to be the ν -th elementary symmetric function of k_1, \dots, k_m divided by the number of terms, i.e.

$$\binom{m}{\nu}H_{\nu}=\sum k_{1}\cdots k_{\nu} \qquad (\nu=1,2,\cdots,m).$$

As usual we put $H_0 = 1$.

We denote by $\varepsilon_{i_1\cdots i_{m+1}}$ and $\varepsilon^{i_1\cdots i_{m+1}}$ the ε -tensor in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} , that is

256

²⁾ Throughout the present paper the Latin indices run from 1 to m+1 and the Greek indices run from 1 to m.

On certain integral formulas for hypersurfaces in a constant curvature space 257

$$\varepsilon_{i_1\cdots i_{m+1}} = \sqrt{G} \ e_{i_1\cdots i_{m+1}},$$
$$\varepsilon^{i_1\cdots i_{m+1}} = (\sqrt{G})^{-1} e^{i_1\cdots i_{m+1}},$$

where $G = \det(g_{ij})$ and the quantites $e_{i_1 \cdots i_{m+1}} = e^{i_1 \cdots i_{m+1}}$ are defined to be zero, when two or more of the indices are the same, and to be 1 or -1 when the indices are obtainable from the natural sequence $1, 2, \cdots, m+1$ by an even or odd permutation.

Let

$$V_{(\lambda)} = (v_{(\lambda)}^1, \dots, v_{(\lambda)}^{m+1}), \qquad (\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, p)$$
$$W_{(\mu)} = (w_{(\mu)\alpha}^1 du^{\alpha}, \dots, w_{(\mu)\alpha}^{m+1} du^{\alpha}), \qquad (\mu = p+1, p+2, \dots, m)$$

be contravariant vectors and vector valued differential forms in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} , then we define a combined product []_i and its exterior differential δ []_i by

$$[V_{(1)}, \dots, V_{(p)}, W_{(p+1)}, \dots, W_{(m)}]_{i}$$

$$= \varepsilon_{i_{1} \dots i_{m}i} v_{(1)}^{i_{1}} \dots v_{(p)}^{i_{p}} w_{(p+1)\alpha_{p+1}}^{i_{p+1}} \dots w_{(m)\alpha_{m}}^{i_{m}} du^{\alpha_{p+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge du^{\alpha_{m}},$$

$$\delta[V_{(1)}, \dots, V_{(p)}, W_{(p+1)}, \dots, W_{(m)}]_{i}$$

$$= (\varepsilon_{i_{1} \dots i_{m}i} v_{(1)}^{i_{1}} \dots v_{(p)}^{i_{p}} w_{(p+1)\alpha_{p+1}}^{i_{p+1}} \dots w_{(m)\alpha_{m}}^{i_{m}})_{i_{\alpha}} du^{\alpha} \wedge du^{\alpha_{p+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge du^{\alpha_{m}}$$

where \wedge means exterior product.

By means of (1, 1) and (1, 2), we have

(1.5)
$$\varepsilon_{i_1\cdots i_m i} B_{1}^{i_1} \cdots B_{m}^{i_m} n^i = \sqrt{g} ,$$

where $g = \det(g_{\alpha\beta})$. Making use of (1.5), we can see that

$$(1. 6) \qquad \qquad [B_1, \cdots, B_m]_i = \sqrt{g} \ n_i \,,$$

(1.7)
$$[N, B_1, \dots, B_{\alpha-1}, B_{\alpha+1}, \dots, B_m]_i = (-1)^{\alpha} \sqrt{g} B_i^{\alpha},$$

where $B_i^{\alpha} = g_{ij}g^{\alpha\beta}B_{\beta}^j$.

If we put

$$U_{\alpha} = (-1)^{\alpha} \sqrt{g} du^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge du^{\alpha-1} \wedge du^{\alpha+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge du^{m},$$

we can verify that U_{α} are transformed under parameter transformation $\bar{u}^{\lambda} = \bar{u}^{\lambda}(u^{\alpha})$ such that

$$U_{\alpha}=\frac{\partial \bar{u}^{\lambda}}{\partial u^{\alpha}}\bar{U}_{\lambda},$$

where $\bar{U}_{\lambda} = (-1)^{\lambda} \sqrt{\bar{g}} d\bar{u}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{u}^{\lambda-1} \wedge d\bar{u}^{\lambda+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{u}^{m}$. Therefore U_{α} is a covariant vector.

Denoting by dx the vector valued differential form

$$dx = (dx^1, \cdots, dx^{m+1}),$$

T. Nagai

where $dx^i = B^i_a du^a$, then by means of (1.7) we get

(1.8)
$$[N, dx, \dots, dx]_i = (m-1)! B_i^{\alpha} U_{\alpha}.$$

From (1.8) it follows that

(1.9)
$$dx^{i}[N, dx, \cdots, dx]_{i} = -m \,!\, dA,$$

where we put $dA = \sqrt{g} du^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du^m$ and dA is the area element of V^m . From (1.4) we have $\delta n^i = -b^r_{\alpha} B^i_r du^{\alpha}$. Therefore, we obtain

(1.10)
$$\delta n^{i} [N, dx, \cdots, dx]_{i} = m ! H_{1} dA,$$

1)

(1. 11)
$$[\overbrace{\delta N, \cdots, \delta N}^{\nu}, \overbrace{dx, \cdots, dx}^{m-\nu}]_{i} = (-1)^{\nu} m ! H_{\nu} n_{i} dA.$$

If f is a scalar field on V^m , by means of (1.8) we have

(1. 12)
$$df \wedge [N, dx, \cdots, dx]_i = -(m-1)! \frac{\partial f}{\partial u^{\alpha}} B_i^{\alpha} dA .$$

§2. Integral formulas.

THEOREM 2.1. Let \mathbb{R}^{m+1} be an (m+1)-dimensional Riemann space which admits a conformal Killing vector field ξ^i and V^m a closed orientable hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} . Then

(2.1)
$$\int_{V^m} \frac{\partial H_{\nu}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \xi^{\alpha} dA + m \int_{V^m} (H_{\nu} \varphi + H_1 H_{\nu} p) dA = 0, \qquad (\nu = 0, 1, \cdots, m)$$

where $\xi^{\alpha} = B_i^{\alpha} \xi^i$.

PROOF. We have

$$\delta(H_{\nu}[N, dx, \cdots, dx]_{i}) = dH_{\nu} \wedge [N, dx, \cdots, dx]_{i} + H_{\nu}\delta[N, dx, \cdots, dx]_{i}.$$

Making use of (1, 11) and (1, 12) we get

$$\delta \left(H_{\nu}[N, dx, \cdots, dx]_{i} \right) = -(m-1)! \frac{\partial H_{\nu}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} B_{i}^{\alpha} dA - m! H_{1}H_{\nu}n_{i} dA.$$

Therefore we have

(2.2)
$$\xi^{i}\delta(H_{\nu}[N, dx, \cdots, dx]_{i}) = -(m-1)!\frac{\partial H_{\nu}}{\partial u_{\alpha}}\xi^{\alpha}dA - m!H_{1}H_{\nu}pdA.$$

If we put

$$S = H_{\xi^i}[N, dx, \cdots, dx]_i,$$

then we have

On certain integral formulas for hypersurfaces in a constant curvature space 259

(2.3)
$$\xi^{i}\delta(H,[N,dx,\cdots,dx]_{i}) = dS - H,\delta\xi^{i}\wedge[N,dx,\cdots,dx]_{i}.$$

In consequence of (0.3) and (1.7) it follows that

(2.4)
$$\delta \xi^i \wedge [N, dx, \cdots, dx]_i = -m \,! \, \Phi dA \, .$$

By means of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we get

$$\frac{\partial H_{\nu}}{\partial u^{\alpha}}\xi^{\alpha}dA + m\left(H_{\nu}\varPhi + H_{1}H_{\nu}\rho\right)dA + \frac{dS}{(m-1)!} = 0.$$

Since V^m is a closed orientable hypersurface, applying Stokes' theorem to the last relation, we obtain (2.1).

THEOREM 2.2. Let R^{m+1} be an (m+1)-dimensional constant curvature space which admits a conformal Killing vector field ξ^i and V^m a closed orientable hypersurface in R^{m+1} . Then

(2.5)
$$\int_{V^m} \frac{\partial H_{\nu}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \xi^{\alpha} dA + m \int_{V^m} (H_1 H_{\nu} - H_{\nu+1}) p \, dA = 0 \, . \qquad (\nu = 0, 1, \dots, m-1)$$

PROOF. We put

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\nu})_{i} = [N, \widetilde{\delta N, \cdots, \delta N}, \frac{m - \nu - 1}{dx, \cdots, dx}]_{i}$$

Since R^{m+1} is a constant curvature space, we have $\delta\delta N=0$. Therefore, by means of (1.11) we get

(2.6)
$$\xi^{i} \delta(\mathcal{A}_{\nu})_{i} = (-1)^{\nu+1} m ! H_{\nu+1} p \, dA .$$

On the other hand, as in [5] we obtain

(2.7)
$$(\mathcal{A}_{\nu})_{i} = (-1)^{\nu} \frac{m}{m-\nu} H_{\nu}[N, dx, \cdots, dx]_{i} + (-1)^{\nu} \nu! (m-\nu-1)! \sum_{p=1}^{\nu} (-1)^{p} {m \choose \nu-p} H_{\nu-p} K_{(p)i},$$

where we put

$$K_{(p)i} = B_i^1(k_1)^p U_1 + B_i^2(k_2)^p U_2 + \dots + B_i^m (k_m)^p U_m .$$

Making use of (1.11) and (1.12), we get from (2.7)

(2.8)
$$\xi^{i}\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\nu})_{i} = (-1)^{\nu+1} \frac{m}{m-\nu} (m-1)! \left(\frac{\partial H_{\nu}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \xi^{\alpha} + mH_{1}H_{\nu}p\right) dA + (-1)^{\nu}\nu! (m-\nu-1)! \sum_{p=1}^{\nu} (-1)^{p} \binom{m}{\nu-p} \xi^{i}\delta(H_{\nu-p}K_{(p)i})$$

If we put

T. Nagai

 $T = \xi^i H_{\nu-p} K_{(p)i},$

it follows that

(2.9)
$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{i}\delta(H_{\nu-p}K_{(p)i}) = dT - H_{\nu-p}\delta\boldsymbol{\xi}^{i}\wedge K_{(p)i},$$

By virtue of (0.3), we can see that

(2.10)
$$\delta \xi^i \wedge K_{(p)i} = - \varPhi \sum_{\lambda=1}^m (k_\lambda)^p dA .$$

In consequence of (2.9) and (2.10), (2.8) can be rewritten as follows:

(2.11)
$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{i}\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\nu})_{i} &= (-1)^{\nu+1} \frac{m}{m-\nu} (m-1)! \left(\frac{\partial H_{\nu}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \xi^{\alpha} + mH_{1}H_{\nu}p \right) dA \\ &+ (-1)^{\nu}\nu! (m-\nu-1)! \sum_{p=1}^{\nu} (-1)^{p} \binom{m}{\nu-p} \left(dT + \mathcal{Q}H_{\nu-p} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{m} (k_{\lambda})^{p} dA \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to the identity of Newton for the elementary symmetric functions, we have

(2.12)
$$\sum_{p=1}^{\nu} (-1)^{p} \binom{m}{\nu - p} H_{\nu - p} \sum_{\lambda = 1}^{m} (k_{\lambda})^{p} = -\nu \binom{m}{\nu} H_{\nu}. \quad (\text{See [5]})$$

Making use of (2.6), (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial H_{\nu}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \xi^{\alpha} + m H_{1} H_{\nu} p - (m - \nu) H_{\nu + \nu} p + \nu \Phi H_{\nu} \right) dA - {\binom{m}{\nu}}^{-1} \sum_{p=1}^{\nu} (-1)^{p} {\binom{m}{\nu - p}} dT = 0.$$

Since V^m is a closed orientable hypersurface, applying Stokes' theorem to the last relation we obtain

(2.13)
$$\int_{V^{m}} \frac{\partial H_{\nu}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \xi^{\alpha} dA + m \int_{V^{m}} (H_{1}H_{\nu} - H_{\nu+1}) p dA + \nu \int_{V^{m}} (H_{\nu+1}p + H_{\nu}\Phi) dA = 0.$$

Eliminating the term $\int_{v^m} \frac{\partial H_v}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \xi^{\alpha} dA$ from (2.1) and (2.13), we obtain

(2.14)
$$\int_{V^m} (H_{\nu+1}p + H_{\nu}\Phi) dA = 0.$$

(2.14) is the integral formulas (0.5) obtained by Y. Katsurada [3]. In consequence of (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain (2.5).

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University.

260

References

- C. C. HSIUNG: Some integral formulas for closed hypersurfaces, Math. Scand. 2 (1954), 286-294.
- [2] K. YANO: The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1957.
- [3] Y. KATSURADA: Generalized Minkowski formulas for closed hypersurfaces in Riemann space, Ann. di Mat. p. Appl., 57 (1962), 283-293.
- [4] Y. KATSURADA and H. KÔJYÔ: Some integral formulas for closed submanifolds in a Riemann space, Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, Vol. 20, No. 3 (1968), 90-100.
- [5] K. AMUR: Vector forms and integral formulas for hypersurfaces in Euclidean space, Jour. Diff. Geom., 3 (1969), 111-123.
- [6] B. Y. CHEN and K. YANO: Integral formulas for submanifolds and their applications, (to appear).

(Received July 27, 1972)