

# ON A DIFFERENTIAL-DIFFERENCE EQUATION

By

Saburô UCHIYAMA

In connexion with the study of certain incomplete sums of multiplicative functions, N. G. de Bruijn and J. H. van Lint [3] have introduced the function  $f_s(x)$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) satisfying the set of conditions:

- (i)  $f_s(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$ ,
- (ii)  $f_s(x)$  is continuous for  $x > 0$ ,
- (iii)  $f_s(x) = x^{s-1}$  for  $0 < x \leq 1$ ,
- (iv)  $xf'_s(x) = (s-1)f_s(x) - sf_s(x-1)$  for  $x > 1$ .

(The function  $f_s(x)$  is originally defined in [3; II, §2] only for  $x > 0$ ; it will be convenient, however, to define  $f_s(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$  for our purpose.)

On the other hand, N. G. de Bruijn [1 and 2] has investigated in detail the property and behaviour of  $f_s(x)$  for  $s = 1$ . In particular, there he obtained an explicit formula for  $f_1(x)$ :

$$f_1(x) = \frac{e^C}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \exp\left(-xt + \int_0^t \frac{e^z - 1}{z} dz\right) dt \quad (x > 0),$$

where  $C$  is Euler's constant,

$$C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m} - \log n \right).$$

In the present note we shall prove an analogous formula for  $f_s(x)$  with general  $s > 0$ .

*Remark.* For  $s = 0$  it is easy to see that  $f_s(x) = f_0(x) = x^{-1}$  ( $x > 0$ ). We may suppose, therefore, that  $s > 0$  throughout in the following.

**1. Lemmata.** We require two lemmas independent of one another.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\phi(s)$  is a (complex valued) continuous function defined for  $s > 0$  and satisfying the functional equation*

$$\phi(s+r) = \phi(s)\phi(r) \quad (s > 0, r > 0),$$

*then there is an integer  $A$  independent of  $s$  such that*

$$\phi(s) = e^{2\pi i A s} (\phi(1))^s \quad (s > 0).$$

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $\phi(s)$  does not vanish for  $s > 0$  and hence that  $\phi(1) \neq 0$ . Consider the continuous function

$$\phi(s) = \frac{\phi(s)}{(\phi(1))^s} \quad (s > 0),$$

where  $z^s = \exp(s \log z)$  and the branch of  $\log z$  is taken in such a way that  $\log z$  is real for real  $z > 0$ . We have for any  $s > 0$

$$\phi(s+1) = \frac{\phi(s+1)}{(\phi(1))^{s+1}} = \frac{\phi(s)}{(\phi(1))^s} = \phi(s).$$

Thus, if we put

$$\alpha(s) = \frac{1}{1 + |\log |\phi(s)||},$$

then

$$(1) \quad \int_0^1 \alpha(s) ds = \int_0^1 \alpha(2s) ds.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \alpha(s) ds &= \int_0^1 \alpha(s+1) ds = \int_1^2 \alpha(s) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{1/2}^1 \alpha(2s) ds = 2 \int_0^1 \alpha(2s) ds - \int_0^1 \alpha(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (1). Since  $\phi(2s) = (\phi(s))^2$ , we deduce from (1) that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{|\log |\phi(s)||}{(1 + |\log |\phi(s)||)(1 + 2|\log |\phi(s)||)} ds = 0,$$

and this implies that  $\log |\phi(s)| = 0$  almost everywhere on  $(0, 1)$ . It follows that  $|\phi(s)| = 1$  *everywhere* on  $(0, \infty)$ . This means that, if we set

$$(2) \quad \frac{\phi(s)}{(\phi(1))^s} = e^{2\pi i \theta(s)},$$

then  $\theta(s)$  is a real valued continuous function of  $s > 0$  satisfying the congruence

$$\theta(s+r) \equiv \theta(s) + \theta(r) \pmod{1} \quad (s > 0, r > 0).$$

Hence, there is a constant  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$  such that

$$\theta(s+r) = \theta(s) + \theta(r) + c \quad (s > 0, r > 0),$$

and it follows from this that the limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \theta(s) = -c$$

exists. Thus, if we put

$$\theta^*(s) = \theta(s) + c,$$

then  $\theta^*(s)$  satisfies the equation

$$\theta^*(s+r) = \theta^*(s) + \theta^*(r) \quad (s > 0, r > 0).$$

Since  $\theta^*(s)$  is continuous for  $s > 0$ , we find by a well-known theorem (which is in fact easy to prove) that  $\theta^*(s) = As$  ( $s > 0$ ) for some real constant  $A$ , so that  $\theta(s) = \theta^*(s) - c = As - c$ . But, in view of (2), we may take  $c = 0$ . Finally, the constant  $A$  must be integral, since  $e^{2\pi i A} = 1$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *We have*

$$f_{s+r}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(r)} \int_0^x f_s(y) f_r(x-y) dy \quad (s > 0, r > 0).$$

*Proof.* Put

$$f(x) = \int_0^x f_s(y) f_r(x-y) dy.$$

Apparently,  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$  and  $f(x)$  is continuous for  $x > 0$ . For  $0 < x \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^{s+r-1} \int_0^1 z^{s-1} (1-z)^{r-1} dz \\ &= f_{s+r}(x) \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(r)}{\Gamma(s+r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that  $x > 1$  and write

$$\begin{aligned} xf(x) &= \int_0^x y f_s(y) f_r(x-y) dy + \int_0^x f_s(y) (x-y) f_r(x-y) dy \\ &= \int_0^x (x-y) f_s(x-y) f_r(y) dy + \int_0^x f_s(y) (x-y) f_r(x-y) dy \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

say. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI_1}{dx} &= \int_0^x ((x-y) f'_s(x-y) + f_s(x-y)) f_r(y) dy \\ &= \int_0^x (x-y) f'_s(x-y) f_r(y) dy + \int_0^x f_s(x-y) f_r(y) dy \\ &= (s-1)f(x) - sf(x-1) + f(x) \\ &= sf(x) - sf(x-1), \end{aligned}$$

and, by symmetry,

$$\frac{dI_2}{dx} = rf(x) - rf(x-1).$$

Since  $(xf(x))' = xf'(x) + f(x)$ , we thus obtain

$$xf'(x) = (s+r-1)f(x) - (s+r)f(x-1).$$

Hence the function  $\frac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(r)}f(x)$  satisfies all the conditions (i)–(iv) with  $s+r$  in place of  $s$ , and, since these conditions uniquely determine the function  $f_{s+r}(x)$ , it follows that

$$f_{s+r}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(r)}f(x),$$

which is the required result.

*Remark.* The substance of Lemma 2 is a particular case of a slightly more general result. Thus, let  $h(x) = h(x; c)$  be a function defined by the following conditions,  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n)$  being an  $(n+1)$ -tuple of constants ( $n \geq 0$  fixed):

- (i)  $h(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$ ,
- (ii)  $h(x)$  is continuous for  $x > 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} xh(x) = 0$ ,
- (iv)  $xh'(x) = (c_0 - 1)h(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j h(x-j)$  for all  $x > 0$ ,  
 $x \neq m$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ).

(Obviously the above conditions for  $h(x) = h(x; c)$  imply that  $c_0 > 0$  and  $h(x) = Bx^{c_0-1}$  for  $0 < x \leq 1$  with  $B$  a constant and, for  $x > 1$ ,  $h(x)$  is uniquely determined once  $B$  is fixed. We shall be concerned with those functions  $h(x)$  only which are not identically zero.) Then we have

$$h(x; a+b) = K \int_0^x h(y; a)h(x-y; b)dy \quad (x \neq 0),$$

where  $K$  is a constant and where we set

$$a+b = (a_0+b_0, a_1+b_1, \dots, a_n+b_n)$$

if

$$a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad \text{and} \quad b = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n).$$

**2. The Explicit Formula.** We now consider the Laplace transform of  $f_s(x)$ ,

$$F_s(\xi) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi x} f_s(x) dx,$$

where  $\xi$  is a complex variable. The integral defining  $F_s(\xi)$  is absolutely convergent on the line  $\operatorname{Re} \xi = 0$  (cf. §3 below). Also,  $F_s(\xi)$  is, as a function of  $s$ , continuous for  $s > 0$ ,  $\xi$  ( $\operatorname{Re} \xi \geq 0$ ) being fixed.

In view of Lemma 2 we have

$$F_{s+r}(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(r)} F_s(\xi) F_r(\xi) \quad (s > 0, r > 0)$$

or

$$\frac{F_{s+r}(\xi)}{\Gamma(s+r)} = \frac{F_s(\xi)}{\Gamma(s)} \frac{F_r(\xi)}{\Gamma(r)} \quad (s > 0, r > 0).$$

Hence, by applying Lemma 1 to  $\phi(s) = F_s(\xi)/\Gamma(s)$ , we get for  $s > 0$

$$\frac{F_s(\xi)}{\Gamma(s)} = e^{\pi i A s} \left( \frac{F_1(\xi)}{\Gamma(1)} \right)^s$$

or

$$F_s(\xi) = \Gamma(s) (F_1(\xi))^s,$$

the constant  $A$  being necessarily zero since for any  $s > 0$   $F_s(\xi)$  has a positive real value for real  $\xi \geq 0$ .

We see from the explicit formula for  $f_1(x)$  ([2; §1]) that

$$F_1(\xi) = e^C \exp \left( \int_0^{-\xi} \frac{e^z - 1}{z} dz \right).$$

Therefore,

$$(3) \quad F_s(\xi) = \Gamma(s) e^{Cs} \exp \left( s \int_0^{-\xi} \frac{e^z - 1}{z} dz \right) \quad (s > 0).$$

By a standard inversion formula for the Laplace transform, we thus obtain the following result.

**Theorem.** We have for  $s > 0$

$$f_s(x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(s) e^{Cs}}{2\pi i} \int_{-iT}^{iT} \exp \left( -xt + s \int_0^t \frac{e^z - 1}{z} dz \right) dt \quad (x \neq 0).$$

**3. Notes.** 1) We note that for  $s=1$  the right-hand side of the equality in the theorem is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$  at  $x=0$  and for  $s>1$  it is equal to 0 at  $x=0$ . Also, if  $s=1$  then we have

$$f_1(x) = \frac{e^C}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \exp\left(-xt + \int_0^t \frac{e^z - 1}{z} dz\right) dt$$

for all  $x \neq 0$ , and if  $s > 1$  then

$$f_s(x) = \frac{\Gamma(s)e^{Cs}}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \exp\left(-xt + s \int_0^t \frac{e^z - 1}{z} dz\right) dt$$

for all  $x$ ,  $-\infty < x < \infty$ ,  $f_s(0)$  being defined to be equal to 0.

2) de Bruijn and van Lint [3; I] have also considered the function  $g_s(x)$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) defined by the conditions:

- (i)  $g_s(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$ ,
- (ii)  $g_s(x)$  is continuous for  $x \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $g_s(x) = x^s$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,
- (iv)  $xg'_s(x) = sg_s(x) - sg_s(x-1)$  for  $x > 1$ .

As is noted in [3; II, §2], we have for  $s > 0$

$$g_s(x) = s \int_0^x f_s(y) dy.$$

They showed in [3; I, §2] that if  $s = 0$  then  $g_s(x) = g_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x > 0$  and if  $s > 0$  then  $g_s(x)$  is a positive, monotone increasing function of  $x$  for  $x > 0$ .

It is also proved there that we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_s(x) = \Gamma(s+1)e^{Cs} \quad (s > 0)$$

and this implies at once that

$$\int_0^\infty f_s(x) dx = \Gamma(s)e^{Cs} \quad (s > 0),$$

which clearly agrees with (3). And in the course of its proof they found a formula which is essentially the same as (3). (In fact, using the relation between  $f_s(x)$  and  $g_s(s)$ , we can show that  $F_s(\xi)$  ( $s > 0$ ) satisfies as a function of  $\xi$  the differential equation

$$\xi F'_s(\xi) = s(e^{-\xi} - 1)F_s(\xi),$$

and, by integrating this equation, we get the formula (3).) Thus, our main interest of this note is in deriving the explicit formula for  $f_s(x)$  from a somewhat different point of view, that is, on the basis of Lemma 2 which shows an interesting interrelation existent among the functions  $f_s(x)$  ( $s > 0$ ).

### References

- [1] N. G. DE BRUIJN: On the number of positive integers  $\leq x$  and free of prime factors  $> y$ . Kon. Nederlandse Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A, vol. 54 (1951), pp. 50-60.
- [2] N. G. DE BRUIJN: The asymptotic behaviour of a function occurring in the theory of primes. Journ. Indian Math. Soc., vol. 15 (1951), pp. 25-32.
- [3] N. G. DE BRUIJN and J. H. VAN LINT: Incomplete sums of multiplicative functions. I, II. Kon. Nederlandse Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A, vol. 67 (1964), pp. 339-347, 348-359.

Department of Mathematics,  
Hokkaidô University

(Received August 10, 1965)