

## Aberrant CR structures

By Howard JACOBOWITZ\*) and Francois TREVES\*\*)

(Received September 27, 1982)

### Contents

0. Introduction. Statement of results
  1. Perturbations of locally integrable structures
  2. Reduction to the case  $n=1$
  3. The case  $n=1$
  4. End of the proof of Theorem I. Proof of Theorem II
- References

### 0. Introduction. Statement of results

Throughout this work  $\Omega$  denotes a  $C^\infty$  manifold, countable at infinity, of dimension  $2n+1$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). What we call here an *abstract CR structure* (to be precise one should add "of codimension one") is the datum of a  $C^\infty$  vector subbundle  $\mathcal{C}$  of the complex tangent bundle  $CT\Omega$  (henceforth called *the CR bundle*) submitted to the following three conditions:

- (0.1)  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}] \subset \mathcal{C}$ , i. e., the commutation bracket of any two smooth sections of  $\mathcal{C}$  over an open subset of  $\Omega$  is a section of  $\mathcal{C}$  over that same subset;
- (0.2)  $\mathcal{C} \cap \bar{\mathcal{C}} = \{0\}$  ( $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  is "the complex conjugate" of  $\mathcal{C}$ );
- (0.3) the fibre dimension over  $\mathbb{C}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is equal to  $n$ .

Call  $\mathcal{C}'$  the orthogonal of  $\mathcal{C}$  in the complex cotangent bundle  $CT^*\Omega$  for the duality between tangent and cotangent vectors. Note that (0.2) is equivalent to

$$(0.4) \quad CT^*\Omega = \mathcal{C}' + \bar{\mathcal{C}}' .$$

Let  $\Omega'$  be any open subset of  $\Omega$ . A  $C^1$  function (resp., a distribution)  $f$  in  $\Omega'$  is called a CR function (resp., a CR distribution) if  $Lf=0$  whatever the smooth section  $L$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\Omega'$ . The differentials of the  $C^1$  CR functions are continuous sections of  $\mathcal{C}'$ . The CR structure  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be *locally*

---

\*) Supported by NSF Grant MCS-8003048

\*\*\*) Supported by NSF Grant MCS-8102435

integrable if at any point  $p$  of  $\Omega$  there are  $n+1$  germs of  $C^\infty$  CR functions whose differentials at  $p$  are linearly independent (and thus make up a linear basis of  $\mathcal{C}'_p$ ).

Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $\Omega$  in which there are  $n$  linearly independent  $C^\infty$  sections of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $L_1, \dots, L_n$ ; they generate  $\mathcal{C}$  at every point of  $U$ . Take any smooth real vector field  $L_0$  in  $U$  such that

$$L_0, L_1, \dots, L_n, \bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_n,$$

make up a basis of  $CT_p\Omega$  for every  $p \in U$ . For every pair of indices  $j, k=1, \dots, n$ , there is a complex number  $c_{jk}(p)$  such that, at the point  $p$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} [L_j, \bar{L}_k] - c_{jk}(p) L_0 \in \mathcal{C} + \overline{\mathcal{C}}.$$

It is customary to call

$$(0.5) \quad \mathcal{L}(p) = (c_{jk}(p))_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$$

the *Levi matrix* of the system  $L=(L_1, \dots, L_n)$  at the point  $p \in U$ . Note that (0.5) is a self-adjoint  $n \times n$  matrix with complex entries. The associated quadratic form  $\mathcal{L}(p)v \cdot \bar{v}/2$  ( $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ) is called the *Levi form* of the system  $L$ . Actually it not only depends on the choice of  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  but also on that of  $L_0$ . However, when true, the following is an intrinsic property of the CR structure  $\mathcal{C}$  :

$$(0.6) \quad \textit{At every point of } \Omega \textit{ the Levi form (of some — of any — system } L_0, L_1, \dots, L_n \textit{ defined in the neighborhood of that point) is non-degenerate and has exactly } n-1 \textit{ eigenvalues of one sign and one of the opposite sign.}$$

In the present paper we shall solely deal with CR structures that satisfy Condition (0.6).

Let us underline the fact that, when  $n=1$ , in which case  $\dim \Omega=3$ , Condition (0.6) simply means that *the Levi constant* ( $=1 \times 1$  matrix) *is nowhere zero*, or equivalently, that

$$(0.7) \quad L, \bar{L}, [L, \bar{L}] \textit{ are linearly independent.}$$

In [4] L. Nirenberg gave the first example of a CR structure on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying (0.7) such that any germ of CR function at the origin, of class  $C^1$ , is constant. Nirenberg's example is a perturbation of the Lewy structure, which agrees with the latter to infinite order at the origin. The Lewy structure on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is the one defined by the vector field

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - iz \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$

(coordinates in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  are  $x, y, u$ , and  $z = x + iy$ ).

In [2] the present authors showed that, if (0.6) holds (now for any  $n \geq 1$ ), an otherwise arbitrary CR structure can be perturbed in such a way as to obtain a new CR structure, agreeing with the original one to infinite order at a given point  $p_0$ , and which is not locally integrable at  $p_0$  (i. e., there is no neighborhood of  $p_0$  in which the new structure is locally integrable).

DEFINITION 0.1. *We say that two CR structures in  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^{(j)}$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) agree to infinite order at a point  $p$  of  $\Omega$ , if there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $\Omega$ , and for each  $j=1, 2$ , a basis  $L_1^{(j)}, \dots, L_n^{(j)}$  of  $\mathcal{C}^{(j)}$  in  $U$  such that*

$$(0.8) \quad \text{for every } k=1, \dots, n, L_k^{(1)} - L_k^{(2)} \text{ vanish to infinite order at } p.$$

The reader will easily check that the condition in Def. 0.1 is equivalent to the following property :

$$(0.9) \quad \text{given any germ of } C^\infty \text{ section } L^{(1)} \text{ of } \mathcal{C}^{(1)} \text{ at } p \text{ there is a germ of } C^\infty \text{ section } L^{(2)} \text{ of } \mathcal{C}^{(2)} \text{ at } p \text{ such that } L^{(1)} - L^{(2)} \text{ vanishes to infinite order at } p.$$

The first result proved in the present work improves the corresponding result in [2] :

THEOREM I. *Let the CR structure  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $\Omega$  satisfy Condition (0.6).*

*Then, given any point  $p_0$  of  $\Omega$ , there is a CR structure  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(p_0)$  on  $\Omega$ , also satisfying (0.6), agreeing with  $\mathcal{C}$  to infinite order at  $p_0$ , and such that the following is true :*

$$(0.10) \quad \text{The differential at } p_0 \text{ of every germ at } p_0 \text{ of CR function (in the sense of } \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(p_0)), \text{ of class } C^1, \text{ vanishes.}$$

The proof of Th. I (Sections 1 to 4) is by construction. The modified structure  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(p_0)$  coincides with the original one,  $\mathcal{C}$ , in the complement of an arbitrarily small neighborhood of  $p_0$ .

Our second result applies rather to linear bases, over some open subset of  $\Omega$ , of the CR bundle  $\mathcal{C}$ . We show that they can be approximated, on compact subsets and for the  $C^\infty$  topology on the coefficients of the vector fields, by *aberrant* systems. We call aberrant any system  $L = (L_1, \dots, L_n)$  of  $n$  smooth vector fields in an open subset  $\Omega'$  of  $\Omega$  (defining a CR structure on  $\Omega'$ ) that has the following property :

(0.11) *Whatever  $p \in \Omega'$  and  $\delta > 0$ , every germ at  $p$  of a  $C^{1+\delta}$  solution of the homogeneous differential equations*

$$(0.12) \quad L_j h = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

*is the germ of a constant function.*

Note that if such a system  $L$  is sufficiently close to a basis of  $\mathcal{C}$  over some compact set, it will automatically possess Property (0.6) there. In practice we may limit our attention to systems  $L$  that have that property.

REMARK. When  $n \geq 2$  every system  $L$  that has Property (0.6) is hypoelliptic and even 1/2-subelliptic (see [1]). In particular, any distribution solution of (0.12) in an arbitrary open subset of  $\Omega'$  is a  $C^\infty$  function in that subset. Thus Condition (0.11) is equivalent to the following one:

(0.13) *Whatever  $p \in \Omega'$  every germ at  $p$  of a distribution solution of (0.12) is the germ of a constant function.*

THEOREM II. *Suppose that the CR structure  $\mathcal{C}$  has Property (0.6). Any linear basis of  $\mathcal{C}$  over a neighborhood of a compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$  is the limit, for the  $C^\infty$  topology on a possibly smaller open neighborhood of  $K$ , of a sequence of systems of vector fields which have Property (0.11).*

Needless to say the only bases of  $\mathcal{C}$  we consider here are made up of  $C^\infty$  sections of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The proof of Th. II is based on Th. I and on a Baire's category argument inspired by the classical work of Hans Lewy [3]. Thus the proof is not constructive, in contrast with that of Th. I and with Nirenberg's construction in [4]. The reader will notice that the solutions (of class  $C^{1+\delta}$  for some  $\delta > 0$  when  $n=1$ ) of the aberrant homogeneous equations, *in any open subset of  $\Omega$* , are locally constant. In Nirenberg's example the open sets had to contain a central point. And the aberrant systems, far from being rare, are in fact dense. It is highly likely that, if one is willing to define to appropriate  $C^\infty$  topology on the set of CR structures satisfying (0.6), the latter assertion could be precisely restated as *the density of the aberrant CR structures*. We have not attempted to do so here.

The present article is essentially self-contained. Some portions of the reasoning of [2] have therefore been repeated.

## 1. Perturbations of locally integrable structures

We begin by considering a locally integrable CR structure on  $\Omega$ . An arbitrary point  $p_0$  of  $\Omega$  has an open neighborhood  $U$  in which there are

(real) local coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, u$  and  $n+1$   $C^\infty$  CR functions  $z_1, \dots, z_n, w$ , such that

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} z_j &= x_j + iy_j \quad (i = \sqrt{-1}, j = 1, \dots, n); \\ w &= u + i\phi(z, \bar{z}, u). \end{aligned}$$

We shall always assume that the coordinates and the CR functions (1.1) all vanish at the point  $p_0$ . Henceforth we refer to it as "the origin" (and identify  $U$  to an open neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n+1}$ ). It is standard to effect some simplifications of the Taylor expansion of  $\phi$  about the origin, by means of holomorphic substitutions of  $(z_1, \dots, z_n, w)$ . One may suppose that

$$(1.2) \quad \phi(z, \bar{z}, u) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{jk} z_j \bar{z}_k + O(|z| |u| + |u|^2 + |z|^3).$$

It is well known that the hypothesis that the Levi form of the structure (at  $p_0$ ) is nondegenerate is equivalent with the property

$$(1.3) \quad \det(c_{jk}) \neq 0.$$

The hypothesis (0.6) about the signature of the Levi form means that, possibly after a nonsingular  $C$ -linear transformation of  $z$  we may assume

$$(1.4) \quad \phi(z, \bar{z}, u) = |z_1|^2 - |z'|^2 + O(|z| |u| + |u|^2 + |z|^3),$$

where we have used the notation  $z' = (z_2, \dots, z_n)$ .

Observing that  $dz_j, d\bar{z}_k$  ( $j, k=1, \dots, n$ ), together with  $dw$ , make up a linear basis of  $CT_p^* \Omega$  at every point  $p$  of  $U$  we introduce the dual basis of  $CT_p \Omega$ . This defines  $2n+1$  smooth vector fields in  $U, M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n, L_1, \dots, L_n$ , by the conditions:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} L_j z_k &= L_j w = 0, & L_j \bar{z}_k &= \delta_{jk} \text{ (Kronecker index)} \\ M_j \bar{z}_k &= M_j w = 0, & M_j z_k &= \delta_{jk}, \quad \text{if } j, k = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.6) \quad M_0 z_k = M_0 \bar{z}_k = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad M_0 w = 1.$$

It follows immediately from (1.5)-(1.6) that, everywhere in  $U$ ,

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} [L_j, L_k] &= [L_j, M_l] = [M_l, M_m] = 0, \\ j, k &= 1, \dots, n, \quad l, m = 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

More explicit descriptions of those vector fields are easy to obtain. First of all,

$$(1.8) \quad M_0 = w_u^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

Then

$$(1.9) \quad L_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} - \omega_{z_j} M_0, \quad M_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \omega_{z_j} M_0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

In slightly different notation, for  $j=1, \dots, n$ ,

$$(1.10) \quad L_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} - i\lambda_j \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad M_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\mu_j \frac{\partial}{\partial u},$$

where

$$(1.11) \quad \lambda_j = \phi_{z_j} / (1 + i\phi_u), \quad \mu_j = \phi_{z_j} / (1 + i\phi_u).$$

The commutation relations (1.7) are then equivalent to the equations

$$(1.12) \quad L_j \lambda_k = L_k \lambda_j, \quad L_j \mu_k = M_k \lambda_j, \quad M_j \mu_k = M_k \mu_j, \\ \text{if } j, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$(1.13) \quad L_j \omega_u^{-1} = -iM_0 \lambda_j, \quad M_j \omega_u^{-1} = -iM_0 \mu_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

We shall also make use of the following differential operator

$$(1.14) \quad M = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k M_k.$$

Note that

$$(1.15) \quad M = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} - i\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial u},$$

where

$$(1.16) \quad \mu = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \mu_k.$$

Evidently  $M$  commutes with each  $L_j$ , which is equivalent to saying that

$$(1.17) \quad L_j \mu = M \lambda_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

For use below we note that  $L_j \bar{\omega} = L_j(\omega + \bar{\omega}) = 2L_j u$ , i. e.,

$$(1.18) \quad L_j \bar{\omega} = -2i\lambda_j.$$

Likewise,

$$(1.19) \quad M \bar{\omega} = -2i\mu.$$

Let us denote by  $u, v$  the coordinates in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Consider any function  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$  whose support is contained in the sector

$$(1.20) \quad \{(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2; |u| \leq v\}.$$

Possibly after contracting  $U$  about the origin we may state:

LEMMA 1.1. *Whatever the integer  $m$ , the function  $f(w)/z_1^m$  is smooth in  $U$  and vanishes to infinite order on the subspace  $z_1=0$ .*

PROOF. Let  $v=\phi(z, \bar{z}, u)$  (then  $w=u+iv$ ). From (1.4) & (1.20) we derive

$$|u| + |z'|^2 \leq |z_1|^2 + \text{const} (|z| |u| + |u|^2 + |z|^3)$$

on  $\text{supp}(fow)$ . As a consequence, and provided  $U$  is small enough, we have

$$|u| + |z|^2 \leq \text{const} \cdot |z_1|^2, \quad \forall (z, u) \in \text{supp}(fow).$$

When  $z_1 \rightarrow 0$  in  $C^1$ , the point  $(z, u)$  converges to the origin in  $U$  and  $w$  converges to 0 in the sector (1.20). It suffices then to note that  $f$  vanishes to infinite order at the origin.

Let  $g$  be another  $C^\infty$  function in  $R^2$  with support contained in the sector (1.20). Let us set

$$(1.21) \quad F = \frac{f(w)/z_1}{1 + f(w)/w_u z_1}, \quad G = \frac{g(w)/z_1^2}{1 - \mu g(w)/z_1^2}.$$

We are assuming, henceforth, that  $U$  is small enough that both  $|f(w)/w_u z_1|$  and  $|g(w)\mu/z_1^2|$  are very small compared to *one*. This is possible thanks to Lemma 1.1.

LEMMA 1.2. *The (smooth) vector fields in  $U$ ,*

$$(1.22) \quad \tilde{L}_j = L_j + i\lambda_j FM_0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

*commute pairwise. So do the vector fields*

$$(1.23) \quad L_j^* = L_j + \lambda_j GM, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Note that there is no  $\sqrt{-1}$  in front of  $\lambda_j$  in Eq. (1.23).

PROOF. Straightforward differentiation shows that

$$(1.24) \quad L_j F + F^2 L_j(w_u^{-1}) = [1 + f(w)/w_u z_1]^{-2} L_j [f(w)/z_1],$$

$$(1.25) \quad L_j G - G^2 L_j \mu = [1 - \mu g(w)/z_1^2]^{-2} L_j [g(w)/z_1^2].$$

By virtue of (1.18),

$$L_j [f(w)/z_1] = -2i\lambda_j f_{\bar{w}}(w)/z_1, \quad L_j [g(w)/z_1^2] = -2i\lambda_j g_{\bar{w}}(w)/z_1^2.$$

If we combine this with (1.24) & (1.25) respectively we see that there are  $C^\infty$  functions  $F_1, G_1$  in  $U$  such that

$$(1.26) \quad L_j F + F^2 L_j(\tau\omega_u^{-1}) = F_1 \lambda_j,$$

$$(1.27) \quad L_j G - G^2 L_j \mu = G_1 \lambda_j,$$

for every  $j=1, \dots, n$ . We have (cf. (1.7))

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{L}_j, \tilde{L}_k] &= [L_j(\lambda_k F) - L_k(\lambda_j F)] iM_0 \\ &\quad - F^2(\lambda_j M_0 \lambda_k - \lambda_k M_0 \lambda_j) M_0 = i\phi_0 M_0. \end{aligned}$$

If we take (1.12) and (1.13) into account, we get

$$\phi_0 = \lambda_k [L_j F + F^2 L_j(\tau\omega_u^{-1})] - \lambda_j [L_k F + F^2 L_k(\tau\omega_u^{-1})] \equiv 0 \text{ by (1.26).}$$

Likewise,

$$[L_j^*, L_k^*] = \phi M,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \lambda_k L_j G - \lambda_j L_k G + G^2(\lambda_j M \lambda_k - \lambda_k M \lambda_j) \\ &= \lambda_k (L_j G - G^2 L_j \mu) - \lambda_j (L_k G - G^2 L_k \mu) \text{ by (1.17).} \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to apply (1.27) to conclude that  $\phi$  vanishes identically.

**COROLLARY 1.1.** *Suppose that  $fg$  vanishes identically. Then the  $n$  smooth vector fields in  $U$ ,*

$$(1.28) \quad A_j = L_j + \lambda_j(iFM_0 + GM), \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

*commute pairwise.*

**PROOF.** Indeed, at each point of  $U$ ,  $A_j$  is equal to infinite order either to  $\tilde{L}_j$  for every  $j$ , or to  $L_j^*$  for every  $j$ .

**REMARK 1.1.** For every  $j=1, \dots, n$ ,  $L_j - A_j$  vanishes to infinite order at the origin.

## 2. Reduction to the case $n=1$

Let  $t=(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  be a point such that

$$(2.1) \quad t_1^2 - (t_2^2 + \dots + t_n^2) = 1.$$

We shall always assume that  $|t| \leq R < +\infty$  ( $R > 1$ ). In the sequel  $\zeta$  will denote a complex variable (in  $\mathbf{C}^1$ ). Fixing  $t$  as said, we call  $U^t$  the image of a suitably small open neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathbf{C}^1 \times \mathbf{R}^1$  under the mapping  $(\zeta, u) \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_n, u)$ , defined by the equations

$$(2.2) \quad z_j = \zeta t_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus  $U^t$  is a smooth 3-dimensional submanifold of  $U$ . We shall use the notation

$$(2.3) \quad \phi^t(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}, u) = \phi(\zeta t, \bar{\zeta} t, u), \quad \omega^t = u + i\phi^t(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}, u).$$

According to (1.4) we have

$$(2.4) \quad \phi^t = |\zeta|^2 + O(|\zeta| |u| + |u|^2 + |\zeta|^3).$$

The functions  $\zeta, \omega^t$  define a CR structure on  $U^t$ . The CR bundle of this structure is spanned by the vector field

$$(2.5) \quad L^t = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} - i\lambda^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \lambda^t = \phi_{\bar{\zeta}}^t / \omega_u^t.$$

It is readily checked that, along  $U^t$ ,

$$(2.6) \quad L^t = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j L_j, \quad \lambda^t = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j \lambda_j.$$

We may also introduce the vector fields

$$(2.7) \quad M^t = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j M_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} - i\mu^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \mu^t = \phi_{\zeta}^t / \omega_u^t,$$

$$(2.8) \quad M_0^t = (\omega_u^t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

Since the vector field  $M$  (see (1.15)) annihilates all the functions  $t_j z_k - t_k z_j$  ( $j, k=1, \dots, n$ ) at every point of  $U^t$  it is tangent to this submanifold, and we have, along  $U^t$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad M = \zeta M^t.$$

(There is an awkwardness in the notation:  $\mu^t$  is *not* the restriction of the function  $\mu$  of (1.16) to  $U^t$ , but  $\zeta \mu^t$  is.) If then we call  $F^t$  and  $G^t$  the restrictions to  $U^t$  of the functions  $F$  and  $G$  defined in (1.21) we may consider the analogue in  $U^t$  of the vector fields (1.28):

$$(2.10) \quad A^t = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j A_j \Big|_{U^t} = L^t + \lambda^t (iF^t M_0^t + G^t \zeta M^t).$$

We consider now an arbitrary  $C^1$  solution  $h$  of the system of equations, in an open neighborhood  $U_* \subset U$  of the origin,

$$(2.11) \quad A_j h = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

If  $h^t$  denotes the restriction of  $h$  to  $U^t \cap U_*$  we have, there,

$$(2.12) \quad A^t h^t = 0.$$

In the next section we are going to show that there exist functions  $f$  and  $g$  as in (1.21) such that, whatever the vector  $t$  verifying (2.1) (and  $|t| \leq R$ ), the equation (2.12) implies that the differential of  $h^t$  vanishes at the origin. Let us show here that the latter, in turn, implies

$$(2.13) \quad dh|_0 = 0.$$

Indeed we have

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\partial h^t}{\partial u}(0, 0) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial u} \Big|_0,$$

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{\partial h^t}{\partial \zeta}(0, 0) = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j \frac{\partial h}{\partial z_j} \Big|_0, \quad \frac{\partial h^t}{\partial \bar{\zeta}}(0, 0) = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j \frac{\partial h}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \Big|_0.$$

Thus, if  $dh^t=0$  at the origin all the right-hand sides in (2.14) & (2.15) vanish at the origin. But the set of vectors  $t$  such that (2.1) and  $|t| \leq R$  hold generate the whole space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and thus the vanishing of those right-hand sides allows us to conclude that (2.13) is valid.

### 3. The case $n=1$

We return to the CR-structure on the 3-dimensional manifold  $U^t$  defined by the functions  $\zeta, w^t$  (Sect. 2).

We notice that, when  $u=0$ , there is an open disk in  $\zeta$ -plane, centered at the origin,  $\Delta$ , such that

$$\phi^t > 0 \text{ in } \Delta \setminus \{0\}.$$

Recall that  $\phi^t=0$  when  $\zeta=u=0$ . Consequently, and possibly after contracting  $\Delta$ , there is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $(u, t)$  in an open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}, \mathcal{O}$ , which we describe below,  $\zeta_0^t(u)$ , valued in  $\Delta$ , such that

$$(3.1) \quad \zeta_0^t(0) = 0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad C^{-1} |\zeta - \zeta_0^t(u)|^2 \leq$$

$$|\phi^t(\zeta, \zeta, u) - \phi_0^t(u)| \leq C |\zeta - \zeta_0^t(u)|^2, \quad \zeta \in \Delta,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \phi_0^t(u) = \phi^t(\zeta_0^t(u), \overline{\zeta_0^t(u)}, u), \quad (u, t) \in \mathcal{O},$$

and  $C$  is a constant  $>0$ . The subset  $\mathcal{O}$  is a product  $U_0 \times \Theta$ , with  $U_0$  a suitably small interval in  $\mathbf{R}^1$  centered at zero, and  $\Theta$  a suitable open neighborhood of the subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  defined by (2.1) and by  $|t| \leq R$ . We may then find a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the sector in the  $(u, v)$ -plane

$$|u| < \varepsilon v$$

lies above the curve  $v = \phi_0^t(u)$  - whatever  $t \in \Theta$ .

By virtue of (3.2) there is  $\delta > 0$  such that, given any point  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad v > \phi_0^t(u), \quad u^2 + v^2 < \delta^2, \quad |u| < \varepsilon v,$$

the equation

$$(3.5) \quad \phi^t(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}, u) = v$$

defines a smooth closed curve  $\gamma^t(u, v)$  in  $\zeta$ -plane, contained in the disk  $\Delta$  and winding around  $\zeta_0^t(u)$ .

Following [2] we select two sequences  $\{A_j\}, \{B_j\}$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots$ ) of compact subsets of the plane converging to  $\{0\}$ , and further submitted to the requirement that every one of them be convex and contained in the region (3.4) and that they be pairwise disjoint, more precisely that

$$(3.6) \quad \text{the projections on the } u\text{-axis of the } A_j \text{ and of the } B_k \text{ be pairwise disjoint (for all } j, k=1, \dots).$$

We also require that the interior of each  $A_j$  and of each  $B_k$  be nonempty. We choose the functions  $f, g$  in (1.21) as follows:

$$(3.7) \quad f \equiv 0 \text{ in the complement of } \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} A_j, \quad g \equiv 0 \text{ in the complement of } \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} B_j;$$

$$(3.8) \quad \text{for every } j=1, 2, \dots, f > 0 \text{ (resp., } g > 0) \text{ in the interior of } A_j \text{ (resp. } B_j).$$

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $P, Q$  be two continuous functions in an open neighborhood of the origin,  $U_*^t$ , in  $U^t$  such that there is a  $C^1$  function  $\chi$  in  $U_*^t$  satisfying there

$$(3.9) \quad L^t \chi = (f \circ w^t) P + (g \circ w^t) Q.$$

Then necessarily  $P=Q=0$  at the origin.

PROOF. For the sake of simplicity we shall omit the superscripts  $t$  and reason as if  $U_*^t$  were equal to  $U^t$ . It will be evident that the reasoning applies when  $U_*^t$  is smaller. We call  $\mathcal{G}$  the complement in the set (3.4) of the union of all the sets  $A_j$  and  $B_k$ ; note that  $\mathcal{G}$  is open. When  $w \in \mathcal{G}$  we have

$$(3.10) \quad L\chi \equiv 0.$$

Consider then the function of  $w = u + iv$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,

$$I(w) = \oint_{\gamma(u,v)} \chi(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}, u) d\zeta.$$

We contend that, in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $I(w)$  vanishes identically. It suffices to show that

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\partial I}{\partial \bar{w}} \equiv 0.$$

For then  $I(w)$  is holomorphic in  $\mathcal{G}$ . But  $I(w)$  tends to zero as  $w$  converges to any point of the curve  $v = \phi_0(u)$  - simply because the cycle  $\gamma(u, v)$  contracts to a point. By taking advantage of (3.6) and of the fact that the compact sets  $A_j$  and  $B_j$  are convex, the propagation of zeros of a holomorphic function at once implies that  $I(w) \equiv 0$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ , as the latter set is connected.

Eq. (3.5) defines a smooth map

$$\mathcal{G} \times S^1 \ni (u + iv, \theta) \mapsto \zeta(u, v, \theta) \in \Delta.$$

Because of (3.10) we have, in  $\mathcal{G}$  (cf. (2.7), (2.8)),

$$d\chi = M\chi d\zeta + M_0\chi dw,$$

and thus  $\chi_{\bar{w}} = (M\chi) \zeta_{\bar{w}}$ ,  $\chi_{\theta} = (M\chi) \zeta_{\theta}$ .

For each fixed  $u + iv \in \mathcal{G}$ , as  $\theta$  winds around the unit circle  $S^1$ ,  $\zeta(u, v, \theta)$  winds around  $\zeta_0(u)$  on the curve  $\gamma(u, v)$ . Thus we have

$$I(w) = \int_0^{2\pi} \chi(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}, u) \zeta_{\theta} d\theta, \quad \zeta = \zeta(u, v, \theta).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\bar{w}} &= \int_0^{2\pi} [(M\chi) \zeta_{\bar{w}} \zeta_{\theta} + \chi \zeta_{\bar{w}\theta}] d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\chi \zeta_{\bar{w}}) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

whence (3.11).

Availing ourselves once again of (3.6) we select smooth closed curves in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $c_j, c'_j$  such that, for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, c_j$  (resp.,  $c'_j$ ) winds around (once)  $A_j$  (resp.,  $B_j$ ) and whose interior does not intersect any other set  $A_k$  nor any  $B_l$  (resp., any other set  $B_k$  nor any  $A_l$ ) for  $k, l = 1, 2, \dots, k \neq j$ . Since  $I(w) \equiv 0$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ , we have trivially

$$(3.12) \quad \oint_{c_j} \oint_{\gamma(u,v)} \chi(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}, u) d\zeta dw = 0$$

and likewise for  $c'_j$ . For each  $j$ , the mapping

$$(u + iv, \theta) \mapsto (\zeta(u, v, \theta), u)$$

is a diffeomorphism of  $c_j \times S^1$  onto a 2-dimensional torus  $T_j \subset \Delta \times U_0$ . Call  $\hat{T}_j$  its interior. When  $c'_j$  is substituted for  $c_j$  we use the notation  $T'_j$  and  $\hat{T}'_j$ . By (3.12) the integral of the two-form  $\lambda d\zeta \wedge d\tau$  on  $T_j$  (resp.,  $T'_j$ ) is equal to zero. By Stokes' theorem the integral on  $\hat{T}_j$  (resp.,  $\hat{T}'_j$ ) of

$$d(\lambda dz \wedge d\tau) = L\lambda d\bar{z} \wedge dz \wedge d\tau$$

must also be zero. According to (3.9) we have, for every  $j=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\hat{T}_j} f(w) P(z, \bar{z}, u) w_u dx dy du = 0,$$

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\hat{T}'_j} g(w) Q(z, \bar{z}, u) w_u dx dy du = 0.$$

Note that the intersection of  $\text{supp}(fow)$  with  $\hat{T}_j$  is defined by the fact that  $w \in A_j$ . The intersection of  $\text{supp}(gow)$  with  $\hat{T}'_j$  is likewise defined by the fact that  $w \in B_j$ . And  $f$  (resp.,  $g$ ), which is nonnegative everywhere, is strictly positive at some point of  $A_j$  (resp.  $B_j$ ). As  $j \rightarrow +\infty$  the solid tori  $\hat{T}_j$  and  $\hat{T}'_j$  converge to the set  $\{0\}$ , and  $w_u$  converges to one. If  $P$  were  $\neq 0$  at the origin, as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$  the argument of the integrand in (3.13) would not vary enough for that equation to hold, and the same is true for  $Q$  and (3.14).

We shall apply Lemma 3.1 to the function  $\lambda = h^t$ , the trace on  $U^t$  of a  $C^1$  solution of (2.11). By (1.21) we have

$$F^t = fow^t / \zeta (t_1 + fow^t / w_u^t \zeta),$$

$$G^t = gow^t / \zeta^2 (t_1^2 - \mu^t (gow^t) / \zeta)$$

(see remark following (2.9)). In view of (2.10) we therefore take

$$P = -i\zeta^{-1} \lambda^t (t_1 w_u^t + fow^t / \zeta)^{-1} \lambda_u,$$

$$Q = -\zeta^{-1} \lambda^t (t_1^2 - \mu^t (gow^t) / \zeta)^{-1} M^t \lambda.$$

Note that  $P$  and  $Q$  are indeed continuous (including at the origin), as Lemma 3.1 requires. By (2.4) we see that, on support of  $fow^t$  and  $gow^t$ ,  $\phi_{\bar{z}}^t - \zeta$  vanishes to second order at the origin. Since  $w_u^t|_0 = 1$  the same is true of  $\lambda^t - \zeta$ . Likewise, on those supports,  $\mu^t - \bar{\zeta}$  vanishes to second order at the origin, and therefore  $M^t|_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}$ . If  $P=Q=0$  at the origin it follows that we have

$$\chi_u = \chi_{\bar{z}} = 0$$

at the same point. But the equation (2.12) implies then that we must also have  $\chi_{\bar{z}}=0$  at the origin, whence  $dh^t|_0=0$ , which is what we sought.

**4. End of the proof of Theorem I. Proof of Theorem II**

We now consider an abstract CR structure  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $\Omega$  whose Levi form is nowhere degenerate and has a signature that conforms to the hypothesis (0.6) (see Introduction). Given any point  $p_0$  of  $\Omega$  we can find a local chart  $(U, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, u)$  centered at  $p_0$  such that the CR bundle is spanned over  $U$  by the vector fields

$$(4.1) \quad L_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} - i\lambda_j \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}, \quad j=1, \dots, n,$$

with

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda_j = \lambda_{jk} = 0 \text{ at } p_0 \text{ for all } j, k=1, \dots, n.$$

(That the above local chart is centered at  $p_0$  means that all the local coordinates  $x_j, y_k, u$  vanish at  $p_0$ .) Condition (4.2) allows us to solve the following "initial value problems"

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} L_j \zeta_{j'} &= 0, & \zeta_{j'} - z_{j'} &= 0(|z|^2 + u^2), & j' &= 1, \dots, n, \\ L_j \omega &= 0, & \omega - u &= 0(|z|^2 + u^2), & j &= 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

in the ring of formal power series (in  $x_j, y_k, u$ ). Having done this we select at random  $n+1$   $C^\infty$  functions  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, \omega$  whose Taylor expansions at the origin are equal to the formal power series  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n, \omega$  respectively. We have then

$$(4.4) \quad L_j Z_k, L_j \omega \text{ vanish to infinite order at } p_0 \text{ (} j, k=1, \dots, n \text{)}.$$

Possibly after contracting  $U$  about  $p_0$  we have the right to use  $\text{Re } Z_j, \text{Im } Z_k, \text{Re } \omega$  as local coordinates (this follows from (4.3)). These functions we presently call  $x_j, y_k, u$  respectively. In the new coordinates the vector fields  $L_j$  still have the expressions (4.1) but now with the additional properties that

$$(4.5) \quad i\lambda_j - \omega_{z_j} / \omega_u \text{ and } \lambda_{jk} \text{ vanish to infinite order at } p_0 \text{ for all } j, k=1, \dots, n.$$

Define then the vector fields in  $U$

$$(4.6) \quad L_j^0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} - \omega_{z_j} \omega_u^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad j=1, \dots, n.$$

For each  $j, L_j - L_j^0$  vanishes to infinite order at  $p_0$ . The  $L_j^0$  commute

pairwise and they define a CR structure  $\mathcal{C}^0$  on  $U$ , obviously integrable. Possibly after contracting  $U$  about  $p_0$  we may assume that the Levi form of  $\mathcal{C}^0$  satisfies Condition (0.6) of the Introduction.

The argument in Sections 1, 2, 3 shows how to construct vector fields  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , having the following properties:

$$(4.7) \quad A_j - L_j^0 \text{ vanishes to infinite order at } p_0 \quad (j=1, \dots, n);$$

$$(4.8) \quad \text{any } C^1 \text{ function } h \text{ in an open neighborhood } U_* \subset U \text{ of } p_0 \text{ which satisfies, in } U_*,$$

$$(4.9) \quad A_j h = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \text{is such that } dh|_{p_0} = 0.$$

Property (4.7) implies that, for each  $j$ ,  $L_j - A_j$  vanishes to infinite order at  $p_0$ .

Let  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2n+1})$  vanish identically outside the ball of radius one and be identically equal to one inside the ball of radius  $1/2$ . It is elementary that, given any sequence of numbers  $r_\nu \searrow 0$ , if we define

$$g_\nu(x, y, u) = g(x/r_\nu, y/r_\nu, u/r_\nu),$$

then the coefficients of  $g_\nu(L_j - A_j)$  converge to zero in  $C^\infty(U)$ . Define then

$$(4.10) \quad L_j^{(\nu)} = g_\nu A_j + (1 - g_\nu) L_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Note that, for each  $j$ ,  $L_j^{(\nu)} = A_j$  when  $g_\nu = 1$ , in particular in a full neighborhood of the origin, and  $L_j^{(\nu)} = L_j$  in the complement of  $\text{supp } g_\nu$ . Moreover, the coefficients of  $L_j^{(\nu)}$  converge to the corresponding ones of  $L_j$ , in  $C^\infty(U)$ .

Call  $\mathcal{C}^{(\nu)}$  the CR structure on  $\Omega$  which is equal to the original CR structure  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\Omega \setminus \text{supp } g_\nu$ , and to the one defined by the vector fields  $L_j^{(\nu)}$  in  $U$ . This makes sense in view of what has just been said. Whatever  $\nu$ , every germ of  $C^1$  CR function at  $p_0$  in the sense of  $\mathcal{C}^{(\nu)}$  has a differential that vanishes at  $p_0$ .

We now proceed with the proof of Th. II.

Let  $\Omega'$  be an open subset of  $\Omega$  with compact closure. Suppose that the boundary of  $\Omega'$  is a  $C^\infty$  hypersurface, and that  $\Omega'$  lies on one side only of it. Then every  $C^\infty$  function in the closure  $\bar{\Omega}'$  of  $\Omega'$  extends as a  $C^\infty$  function to the whole of  $\Omega$ . Let  $(CT\Omega)^n$  denote the Whitney sum over  $\Omega$  of  $n$  copies of the vector bundle  $CT\Omega$ , and  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}'; (CT\Omega)^n)$  the space of  $C^\infty$  sections of  $(CT\Omega)^n$  over  $\bar{\Omega}'$ , equipped with its natural  $C^\infty$  topology. It is a Fréchet space; its topology can be defined by a metric for which it is a complete metric space. Let  $\gamma^n(\bar{\Omega}')$  denote the *closed* subspace consisting of those systems of vector fields,  $L = (L_1, \dots, L_n)$ , satisfying the formal integrability condition

(4.11) *at every point  $p$  of  $\bar{\Omega}'$ , for every pair  $j, k=1, \dots, n$ , the bracket  $[L_j, L_k]$  is a linear combination of  $L_1, \dots, L_n$ .*

The additional condition that  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  be linearly independent at every point of  $\bar{\Omega}'$  defines an open subset of  $\gamma^n(\bar{\Omega}')$ , and the further condition that the system  $L$  obeys (0.6) defines an open subset of the latter open subset, which we assume nonempty and denote by

$$\gamma^{(n-1,1)}(\bar{\Omega}').$$

The Baire's category theorem applies to this set (equipped with the induced topology).

Let us use a Riemannian metric in  $\Omega$  and the associated norm on the cotangent spaces. Let  $\{U_m\}$  ( $m=1, 2, \dots$ ) be a sequence of open balls making up a basis of the topology of  $\Omega'$ . For any  $m$  call  $\mathcal{A}_m$  the subset of  $\gamma^{(n-1,1)}(\bar{\Omega}')$  consisting of those systems  $L$  that have the following property:

(4.12) *There is a solution of class  $C^{1+1/m}$ ,  $h$ , of the equations*

$$(4.13) \quad L_j h = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

*in  $\bar{U}_m$  whose norm in  $C^{1+1/m}(\bar{U}_m)$  does not exceed  $m$  and is such, moreover, that, everywhere in  $U_m$ ,*

$$(4.14) \quad m^{-1} \leq |dh|.$$

Let  $L^{(\nu)}$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ) be a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}_m$  converging to a system  $L \in \gamma^{(n-1,1)}(\bar{\Omega}')$ . For each  $\nu$  we can select a solution  $h^{(\nu)} \in C^{1+1/m}(\bar{U}_m)$  of the equations  $L_j^{(\nu)} h = 0, j=1, \dots, m$ , such that  $|dh^{(\nu)}| \geq m^{-1}$ . By the compactness of the embedding  $C^{1+1/m}(\bar{U}_m) \rightarrow C^1(\bar{U}_m)$  and possibly after replacing the sequence  $\{h^{(\nu)}\}$  by one of its subsequences, we may assume that it converges in  $C^1(\bar{U}_m)$  to a solution  $h$  of (4.13) - which must also satisfy (4.14). In other words the closure  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_m$  of  $\mathcal{A}_m$  in  $\gamma^{(n-1,1)}(\bar{\Omega}')$  is contained in the subset  $\mathcal{B}_m$  of  $\gamma^{(n-1,1)}(\bar{\Omega}')$  consisting of the systems  $L$  that have the following property:

(4.15) *There is a  $C^1$  solution  $h$  of the homogeneous equations (4.13) in  $U_m$  such that (4.14) holds.*

But the interior of  $\mathcal{B}_m$  must be empty. For the reasoning in Sections 1, 2, 3 and in the first part of the present section has shown that, given any point of  $U_m, p$ , and any system  $L \in \mathcal{B}_m$ , there is another system  $\tilde{L} \in \gamma^{(n-1,1)}(\bar{\Omega}')$  which is as close as we wish to  $L$  in the  $C^\infty$  sense, and is such that every  $C^1$  solution in  $U_m$  of the equations  $L_j h = 0$  ( $j=1, \dots, n$ ) must satisfy  $dh|_p = 0$ . Just apply that reasoning in an open neighborhood  $\Omega''$  of  $\bar{\Omega}'$  to which  $L$  has been extended — in the place of  $\Omega$ .

The above implies that *the complement of the union of the sets  $\mathcal{A}_m$  is dense in  $\gamma^{(n-1,1)}(\bar{\Omega}')$* , and so is therefore the complement of the union of the sets  $\mathcal{A}_m$ . Let  $L$  be an element of the latter complement, and  $h$  a  $C^{1+\delta}$  solution of Eq. (4.13) in some open subset  $U$  of  $\Omega'$  (for some  $\delta > 0$ ). If  $dh$  were different from zero at some point  $p$  of  $U$  there would be an infinite sequence of integers  $m \geq 1$  and a constant  $c > 0$  such that the  $C^{1+\delta}$  norm of  $h$  in  $\bar{U}_m$  is  $\leq c$  and that, in the same set,

$$c^{-1} \leq |dh|.$$

By taking  $m$  large enough that  $m^{-1} \leq \text{Min}(\delta, c)$ , we would be able to conclude that  $h$  is of class  $C^{1+1/m}$  and satisfies (4.14) in  $U_m$  and therefore that  $L \in \mathcal{A}_m$ , contrary to our hypothesis. We reach thus the conclusion that every system  $L$  in the complement of the union of the sets  $\mathcal{A}_m$  has Property (0.11) (Introduction). This obviously completes the proof of Th. II.

### References

- [1] HÖRMANDER, L.: *Pseudo-differential operators and non-elliptic boundary problems*, Ann. Math. **83** (1966), 129-209.
- [2] JACOBOWITZ, H. and TREVES, F.: *Non-realizable CR structures*, Invent. Math., **66** (1982), 231-249.
- [3] LEWY, H.: *An example of a smooth linear partial differential equation without solution*, Ann. Math. **66** (1957), 155-158.
- [4] NIRENBERG, L.: *On a question of Hans Lewy*, Russian Math. Surveys **29** (1974), 251-262.

Rutgers University  
New Brunswick  
New Jersey 08903, U. S. A.