

# On the geodesic projective transformation in Riemannian spaces

Dedicated to Professor Yoshie Katsurada on her sixtieth birthday

Shun-ichi TACHIBANA

**Introduction.** In a recent paper [2], the author introduced the notion of the geodesic conformal transformation and discussed Riemannian spaces which admit such transformations at each point. The geodesic conformal transformation at a point  $O$  is, roughly speaking, a local conformal transformation which leaves invariant any geodesic through  $O$ . The purpose of this paper is to give the projective analogy. Though the results are not satisfactory comparing to the conformal case, the geodesic projective transformation seems to be interesting. Because, when we seek after the projective analogy of locally symmetric spaces, it must play a basic role.

**1. Normal coordinates.** Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$  dimensional analytic Riemannian space with positive definite metric  $g_{ij}$ <sup>1)</sup>. Consider a normal coordinate  $\{x^i\}$  of origin  $O$  in a normal neighbourhood  $U$ , then

$$(1.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\} x^i x^j = 0$$

hold good in  $U$ . Any geodesic  $\gamma$  through  $O$  is given by  $x^i = \xi^i s$ , where  $\xi^i$  is the unit tangent vector of  $\gamma$  at  $O$  and  $s$  means the arc length along  $\gamma$ . Throughout the paper we shall only use such a coordinate, and consider  $s$  to be positive, unless otherwise stated.  $f_i, f_{ij}, \dots$  mean the successive derivatives of  $f$  with respect to  $x^i, x^j, \dots$ , and  $f', f'', \dots$  the ones with respect to  $s$ .

The following identities in  $U$  are well known.

$$(1.2) \quad (g_{ij})_0 x^i x^j = g_{ij} x^i x^j = s^2,$$

$$(1.3) \quad s_i x^i = s,$$

$$(1.4) \quad s_{ij} x^j = 0.$$

If we put  $s^i = g^{ij} s_j$  and  $x_i = (g_{ij})_0 x^j$ , then

$$(1.5) \quad g_{ij} x^j = x_i,$$

$$(1.6) \quad g_{ij}(\rho x) x^i x^j = x_i x^i = s^2 \quad \text{for any small } \rho,$$

---

1) We follow Yano-Bochner's notations [1].

$$(1.7) \quad s_i s^i = 1$$

hold good, too.

**2. The geodesic transformation at  $O$ .** In a normal neighbourhood  $U$  of origin  $O$  in  $M^n$ , we consider a local transformation  $f$  defined by

$$f: x^i = \xi^i s \in U \longrightarrow y^i = \xi^i t \in U$$

which leaves invariant each geodesic through  $O$ . We assume that  $t$  is of the form

$$(2.1) \quad t = \rho(s)s,$$

and  $\rho$  is an analytic function of  $s$  in a domain  $0 < s < \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon$ . Such an  $f$  will be called a geodesic transformation at  $O$ .

As we have

$$(2.2) \quad \partial_i y^h = \rho' s_i x^h + \rho \delta_i^h, \quad \partial_i = \partial / \partial x^i,$$

it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad x^i \partial_i y^h = (\rho' s + \rho) x^h = t' x^h,$$

$$(2.4) \quad x^i x^j \partial_{ij} y^h = s(\rho'' s + 2\rho') x^h = st'' x^h.$$

Putting

$$\Delta = \det(\partial_i y^h),$$

we can obtain the following equation:

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta = \rho^{n-1} t'.$$

**3. The geodesic projective transformation at  $O$ .** Let  $f$  be a geodesic transformation at  $O$  in  $M^n$ . If we denote

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = g_{ij}(y), \quad g_{ij}^* = f^* \bar{g}_{ij},$$

then the condition for  $f$  to be projective is

$$(3.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\}^* = \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\} + \phi_i \delta_j^h + \phi_j \delta_i^h,$$

where  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\}^*$  are the Christoffel symbols formed by  $g_{ij}^*$  and  $\phi_i = \partial_i \phi$  is a gradient vector.

When  $\phi$  is an analytic function of  $s$  defined on a domain  $0 < s < \epsilon_1$  for some  $\epsilon_1$ , we shall call  $f$  a geodesic projective transformation at  $O$ .

In the following,  $f$  always means such a transformation.

From the definition of  $g_{ij}^*$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\}^* \partial_i y^h = \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ kl \end{matrix} \right\} \partial_i y^k \partial_j y^l + \partial_{ij} y^h.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2.3), (1.1) and (2.4) that

$$x^i x^j \left( \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ kl \end{matrix} \right\} \partial_i y^k \partial_j y^l + \partial_{ij} y^h \right) = st'' x^h.$$

From (3.1), (1.1) and (2.3) we have

$$x^i x^j \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\}^* \partial_i y^h = 2\phi' st' x^h.$$

Thus, (3.2) gives us

$$t'' = 2\phi' t'.$$

As  $t'$  does not vanish identically,

$$(3.3) \quad 2\phi' = \frac{t''}{t'}$$

follows, and by integration we have

$$(3.4) \quad e^{2\phi} = C_1 t',$$

where  $C_1$  is a non-zero constant.

Consider the case when  $t'$  is constant. Then  $\phi$  being constant,  $\phi_i$  vanishes identically and hence  $f$  is a homothety.

**4. The projective curvature tensor.** In this section, we shall assume that a geodesic projective transformation  $f$  at  $O$  is defined without singularity at  $O$ , that is,  $\rho$  and  $\phi$  are defined in a domain of  $s$  containin 0. As  $\rho(0)$  and  $\rho'(0)$  are finite, it follows from (2.2) that

$$(\partial_i y^h)_0 = a \delta_i^h,$$

where we have put  $a = \rho(0) \neq 0$ .

$f$  being projective, we have

$$(4.1) \quad W^{*h}{}_{ijk} = W^h{}_{ijk},$$

where  $W^h{}_{ijk}$  denotes the so-called Weyl projective curvature tensor defined by

$$W^h{}_{ijk} = R^h{}_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n-1} (R_{ij} \delta_k^h - R_{ik} \delta_j^h).$$

On the other hand,

$$(4.2) \quad W^{*h}{}_{ijk} \partial_h y^l = \bar{W}^l{}_{abc} \partial_i y^a \partial_j y^b \partial_k y^c$$

are valid.

If we put  $s = 0$  in (4.1) and (4.2), then it follows  $(W^h_{ijk})_0 = a^2(W^h_{ijk})_0$ , and hence we get

$$(W^h_{ijk})_0 = 0, \quad \text{if } a \neq \pm 1.$$

Similarly we can get

$$(\nabla_l W^h_{ijk})_0 = 0, \quad \text{if } a \neq 1,$$

on taking account of  $\phi_i(0) = 0$ .

As well known, if  $W^h_{ijk}$  vanishes identically, the space is one of constant curvature, that is,

$$R^h_{ijk} = k(g_{ij}\delta_k^h - g_{ik}\delta_j^h)$$

holds good, where

$$k = \frac{R}{n(n-1)}$$

and  $R$  is the scalar curvature. The converse is true.

It is known [4] that  $\nabla_l W^h_{ijk} = 0$  is equivalent to  $\nabla_l R^h_{ijk} = 0$ .

**5. The relation of  $g_x$  and  $g_y$ .** Let  $f$  be a geodesic projective transformation at  $O$  in a Riemannian space  $M^n$ . Denoting

$$g_x = \det(g_{ij}), \quad g_y = \det(\bar{g}_{ij}),$$

we shall find the relation between them.

From (3.1) we have

$$(5.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\}^* = \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\} + (n+1)\phi_j.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.2) that

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\}^* = \frac{\partial x^h}{\partial y^l} \left( \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ kp \end{matrix} \right\} \partial_i y^k \partial_j y^p + \partial_{ij} y^l \right).$$

Putting  $h = i$ , we get

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\}^* = \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ kp \end{matrix} \right\} \partial_j y^p + \partial_j \log |A|.$$

Substituting this equation into (5.1), we have

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ ij \end{matrix} \right\} + (n+1)\phi_j = \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ ip \end{matrix} \right\} \partial_j y^p + \partial_j \log |A|,$$

from which

$$\partial_j (\log \sqrt{g_x} + (n+1)\phi) = \partial_j (\log \sqrt{g_y} + \log |A|).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\sqrt{g_y} \Delta = C_2 e^{(n+1)\phi} \sqrt{g_x},$$

where  $C_2$  is a non-zero constant. If we take account of (2.5) and (3.4), then

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{dt}{t^2 G_y} = C_3 \frac{ds}{s^2 G_x}$$

follows, where we have put

$$G_x = g_x^{(n-1)^{-1}}, \quad G_y = g_y^{(n-1)^{-1}}$$

and  $C_3$  is a non-zero constant.

It should be noticed that  $G_x$  and  $G_y$  generally depend on not only  $s$  but  $\xi^i$ , the direction of the geodesic.

Let us consider the Euclidean  $n$ -space  $E^n$ . Then, since  $G_x = G_y = 1$ , (5.2) reduces to

$$\frac{dt}{t^2} = C_3 \frac{ds}{s^2}$$

and we get

$$(5.3) \quad t = \frac{s}{C_4 s + C_3}$$

with a constant  $C_4$ . On the other hand, it is evident that there is no geodesic projective transformation at  $O$  in  $E^n$  except the similarity. Hence,  $C_4$  in (5.3) must be 0, and we get

$$(5.4) \quad t = C_5 s,$$

where  $C_5$  is a non-zero constant.

**6. Spaces of constant curvature.** Consider a space  $M^n$  of constant curvature  $k \neq 0$  and a geodesic projective transformation  $f$  at a point  $O$ . As well known [3, p. 169, p. 183], if we choose a normal coordinate of origin  $O$  such that  $(g_{ij})_0 = \delta_{ij}$ , then  $g_x$  is given by

$$g_x = \left( \frac{\sin(\sqrt{k} s)}{\sqrt{k} s} \right)^{2(n-1)}$$

where  $\sin(\sqrt{k} s) = i \sinh(\sqrt{|k|} s)$  for  $k < 0$ . Thus, we have from (5.2)

$$\frac{dt}{\sin^2(\sqrt{k} t)} = C_3 \frac{ds}{\sin^2(\sqrt{k} s)}$$

and by integration

$$(6.1) \quad \cot(\sqrt{k} t) = C_3 \cot(\sqrt{k} s) + C_6,$$

where  $C_3$  is non-zero and  $C_6$  any constant.

Next, we shall show that a geodesic projective transformation certainly exists in a space of positive constant curvature.

Since such a space  $M^n$  is locally isometric with a sphere  $S^n$  of radius  $1/\sqrt{k}$  in the Euclidean  $(n+1)$ -space  $E^{n+1}$ , we can regard  $M^n$  as  $S^n$  without loss of generality.

Denoting by  $O$ ,  $p_0$  and  $q_0$  the center, the north pole and the south pole of  $S^n$ , consider the projection  $\psi$  from  $O$  to the Euclidean  $n$ -space  $E^n$  which is the tangent  $n$ -plane to  $S^n$  at  $p_0$ .  $\psi$  is a projective map from  $S^n - \{q_0\}$  to  $E^n$ . Any geodesic projective transformation  $f$  at  $p_0$  on  $S^n$  is obtained as the form  $\psi^{-1} \circ f_0 \circ \psi$  with a geodesic projective transformation  $f_0$  at  $O$  on  $E^n$ , and  $f_0$  is a similarity which leaves  $O$  invariant. As it holds that

$$\xi^i s \xrightarrow{\psi} \xi^i \frac{\tan(\sqrt{k} s)}{\sqrt{k}} \xrightarrow{f_0} C_5 \xi^i \frac{\tan(\sqrt{k} s)}{\sqrt{k}} = \xi^i \frac{\tan(\sqrt{k} t)}{\sqrt{k}} \xrightarrow{\psi^{-1}} \xi^i t,$$

the following relation between  $s$  and  $t$  is valid,

$$(6.2) \quad \tan(\sqrt{k} t) = C_5 \tan(\sqrt{k} s), \quad C_5 \neq 0.$$

Thus, any space of positive constant curvature  $k \neq 0$  admits a geodesic projective transformation at any point  $O$ , and  $C_6$  in (6.1) must be 0. As the space is locally symmetric,  $C_5$  in (6.2) can be negative and hence takes any value except 0.

**7. The existence of a geodesic projective transformation in a space of constant curvature.** Let  $M^n$  be a space of constant curvature  $k \neq 0$ . For the case of  $k > 0$ , we have seen the existence of a geodesic projective transformation at any point. In this section, the existence will be proved for  $k < 0$  and  $> 0$  at the same time.

For this purpose we shall use the following well known facts.

- a. A projective transformation is such a transformation that it maps any geodesic to a geodesic.
- b. The intersection of two totally geodesic subspaces is totally geodesic.
- c. In a space of constant curvature, there is an  $n-1$  dimensional totally geodesic subspaces  $X_{n-1}$  which is tangent to any given  $(n-1)$ -plane section, [3, p. 144].
- d. In a space of constant curvature  $k \neq 0$ , let  $U$  be a normal neighbourhood such that  $(g_{ij})_0 = \delta_{ij}$ . Then, the equation of any totally geodesic  $X_{n-1}$  in  $U$  is

$$(7.1) \quad a_i \xi^i \tan(\sqrt{k} s) = C,$$

where  $a_i$  and  $C$  are constant, and for  $k < 0$  we understand that  $\tan(\sqrt{k} s) = i \tanh(\sqrt{|k|} s)$  and  $C$  is pure imaginary, [3, p. 186].

Now, we shall show that a geodesic transformation  $f$  at  $O$  given by

$$\tan(\sqrt{k} t) = C_5 \tan(\sqrt{k} s)$$

is actually projective in  $M^n$ .

In fact, any point  $(\xi^i s)$  on (7.1) is mapped to  $(\xi^i t)$  on  $a_i \xi^i \tan(\sqrt{k} t) = B$  for a constant  $B$ , and hence  $f$  maps any  $X_{n-1}$  in  $U$  to another totally geodesic  $Y_{n-1}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a geodesic in  $U$  and  $P$  be a point on  $\alpha$ . Consider a frame  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  at  $P$  such that  $e_1$  is tangent to  $\alpha$ . For each  $(n-1)$ -plane section  $e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n$ , there is an  $X_{n-1}^{(i)}$  which contains  $\alpha$ . As  $f$  transforms  $X_{n-1}^{(i)}$  to  $Y_{n-1}^{(i)}$ ,  $\alpha$  is mapped to another geodesic which is the intersection of  $Y_{n-1}^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Thus our assertion is proved.

**8. Einstein spaces.** We shall consider in this section a geodesic projective transformation  $f$  at  $O$  in an Einstein space, and obtain a differential equation for  $t$ .

$f$  being projective, the Ricci tensors of  $g_{ij}$  and  $g_{ij}^*$  satisfy

$$(8.1) \quad R_{ij}^* = R_{ij} - (n-1)\tau_{ij},$$

where

$$\tau_{ij} = \nabla_i \phi_j - \phi_i \phi_j.$$

As the space is Einstein, (8.1) becomes

$$(8.2) \quad kg_{ij}^* = kg_{ij} - \tau_{ij}.$$

Multiplying (8.2) by  $x^i x^j$  and taking account of

$$g_{ij}^* x^i x^j = \bar{g}_{kp} \partial_i y^k \partial_j y^p x^i x^j = t'^2 s^2,$$

we have

$$(8.3) \quad \tau_{ij} x^i x^j = k(1 - t'^2) s^2.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i x^i &= \phi' s, & \phi_i &= \phi' s_i = \frac{\phi'}{s} x_i, \\ \tau_{ij} x^i x^j &= (\partial_i \phi_j - \phi_i \phi_j) x^i x^j = (\phi'' - \phi'^2) s^2, \end{aligned}$$

we get from (8.3)

$$(8.4) \quad \phi'' - \phi'^2 = k(1 - t'^2).$$

If we eliminate  $\phi$  from (8.4) by making use of (3.3), then

$$(8.5) \quad \{t, s\} = 2k(1 - t'^2)$$

is obtained as the differential equation for  $t$ , where

$$\{t, s\} = \frac{t'''}{t'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{t''}{t'} \right)^2$$

is the Schwarzian derivative of  $t$  with respect to  $s$ .

Department of Mathematics,  
Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan

### Bibliography

- [1] K. YANO and S. BOCHNER: Curvature and Betti numbers, Ann. of Math. Studies, 32, Princeton, 1953.
- [2] S. TACHIBANA: On Riemannian spaces admitting geodesic conformal transformations, to appear.
- [3] A. DUSCHEK und W. MAYER: Lehrbuch der Differentialgeometrie, II, Riemannsche Geometrie, Teubner, 1930.
- [4] M. MATSUMOTO: On Riemannian spaces with recurrent projective curvature, Tensor, N. S., 19 (1968) 11-18.

(Received November 9, 1971)