On Jacobi fields in quaternion Kaehler manifolds with constant Q-sectional curvature

By Mariko Konishi

Kosmanek [6] gave a characterization of Kaehler manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature in relation with Jacobi fields. That is, the following property (& J) is satisfied if and only if the Kaehler manifold is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature:

(CJ) "For a given geodesic $\Upsilon(t)$ in a Kaehler manifold (J,g), every Jacobi field Y along Υ such that Y(0)=0 and $\nabla_{\dot{\tau}}Y(0)=J\dot{\tau}(0)$, is proportional to $J\dot{\tau}$, where $\dot{\tau}(t)$ denotes the tangent vector at $\Upsilon(t)$ ".

The main purpose of this paper is to study the corresponding problem in quaternion Kaehler manifolds and characterize the manifolds of constant Q-sectional curvature, that is to prove Theorem 1.

On the other hand, Kashiwada [4] recently obtained analogous result for Sasakian manifolds (ϕ, ξ, g) with constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature in terms of Jacobi field along geodesics orthogonal to ξ . From a point of view of submersion [8], the results for Kaehler manifolds and Sasakian manifolds are closely related and so are the relations between quaternion Kaehler manifolds and manifolds with Sasakian 3-structure ($\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}, \tilde{g}$). We apply Theorem 1 to study Jacobi fields in the manifolds with Sasakian 3-structure when each ϕ -, ϕ - and θ -holomorphic sectional curvatures are constant on the distribution $\tilde{D} = \{\tilde{X} | \tilde{g}(\xi, \tilde{X}) = \tilde{g}(\eta, \tilde{X}) = \tilde{g}(\zeta, \tilde{X}) = 0\}$.

§ 1. Quaternion Kaehlerian manifolds

Let M be a differentiable manifold of dimension n and assume that there is a 3-dimensional vector bundle V consisting of tensors of type (1.1) over M satisfying the condition:

"In any coordinate neighborhood U of M, there is a local base $\{F, G, H\}$ of V such that

(1.1)
$$F^2 = G^2 = H^2 = -I,$$
 $GH = -HG = F, HF = -FH = G, FG = -GF = H,$

I denoting the identity tensor field of type (1.1) in M".

In an almost quaternion manifold (M, V), we take two intersecting

170 M. Konishi

coordinate neighborhoods U, U' and local basis $\{F, G, H\}$, $\{F', G', H'\}$ satisfying (1.1) in U and U', respectively, then they have relations in $U \cap U'$ as

(1.2)
$$F' = s_{11}F + s_{12}G + s_{13}H,$$
$$G' = s_{21}F + s_{22}G + s_{23}H,$$
$$H' = s_{31}F + s_{32}G + s_{33}H,$$

where $s_{\alpha\beta}(\alpha, \beta=1, 2, 3)$ form an element $s_{\nu\nu}=(s_{\alpha\beta})$ of the special orthogonal group SO(3) of dimension 3. In any almost quaternion manifold (M, V), there is a Riemannian metric g such that

$$g(FX,\;Y)+g(X,\;FY)=0\;,\qquad g(GX,\;Y)+g(X,\;GY)=0\;,$$

$$g(HX,\;Y)+g(X,\;HY)=0$$

hold for any local base $\{F, G, H\}$ and any vector fields X, Y. Assume that the Riemannian connection V of (M, g) satisfies for any local base $\{F, G, H\}$

where p, q and r are certain 1-forms defined in U. Then (M, g, V) is called a quaternion Kaehler manifold (See [2]).

Given a vector X at a point P of M, we denote by Q(X) the 4-dimensional subspace spanned by X, FX, GX and HX, and call it a Q-section determined by X. It is easily shown that this definition is independent of the choice of local base. The orthogonal complemented subspace of Q(X) in $T_P(M)$ will be denoted by $Q^1(X)$. If for any $Y, Z \in Q(X)$, the sectional curvature $\sigma(Y, Z)$ is a constant k(X, P), then k(X, P) is called the Q-sectional curvature at P. Moreover, suppose that the sectional curvature k(X, P) is a constant k(P) independent of X at each point P, then we say that the quaternion Kaehler manifold (M, V) is of constant Q-sectional curvature. In such a case it is known that the function k(P) is constant in M, and if dim $M \ge 8$ (Theorem 5 in [2]), the curvature tensor R satisfies

$$(1.4) \qquad R(X, Y) Z = \frac{k}{4} \Big\{ g(Y, Z) X - g(X, Z) Y + g(FY, Z) FX - g(FX, Z) FY \\ -2g(FX, Y) FZ + g(GY, Z) GX - g(GX, Z) GY \\ -2g(GX, Y) GZ + g(HY, Z) HX - g(HX, Z) HY \\ -2g(HX, Y) HZ \Big\}.$$

§ 2. Lemmas

Let (M, g) be a quaternion Kaehler manifold of dimension n=4m and $\{F, G, H\}$ be a local base of V in a coordinate neighborhood U in M. We can choose an orthonormal basis \mathfrak{F} of the tangent space $T_P(M)$ at P as $\mathfrak{F}=\{e_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\,\cdots,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle m},\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{i}},\,\cdots,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{m}},\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{i}},\,\cdots,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{m}},\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\,\cdots,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{m}}\},\ \ \text{where}\ \ e_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{i}}=Fe_{\scriptscriptstyle i},\ \ e_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{i}}=Ge_{\scriptscriptstyle i},\ \ e_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{i}}=He_{\scriptscriptstyle i},$ $(i=1, \dots, m)$. Then we see that F, G and H have components as

(2.1)
$$F: \begin{pmatrix} -I_m & 0 \\ I_m & -I_m \end{pmatrix}, G: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_m \\ I_m & 0 \\ -I_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, H: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_m \\ -I_m & 0 \\ I_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to \mathfrak{F} , where I_m being the identity (m, m)-matrix. Putting

$$R_{\scriptscriptstyle {\scriptscriptstyle {\cal K}} \nu \mu \lambda} = g \left(R(e_{\scriptscriptstyle {\scriptscriptstyle {\cal K}}},\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle {\scriptscriptstyle {\cal V}}})\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle {\scriptscriptstyle {\cal K}}},\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle {\scriptscriptstyle {\cal A}}}
ight), \qquad {
ho}_{\scriptscriptstyle {\scriptstyle {\cal A}} \mu} = -\,R_{\scriptscriptstyle {\scriptstyle {\scriptstyle {\cal A}} \mu \lambda \mu}}\,,^{*)}$$

we prove the following two lemmas for later use.

The curvature tensor in a quaternion Kaehler manifold satisfies the following;

$$(2.2) R_{\lambda\mu ij} = R_{\lambda\mu i\bar{j}} = R_{\lambda\mu\bar{i}\bar{j}} = R_{\lambda\mu\bar{i}\bar{j}},$$

$$(2.3) R_{\lambda\mu\ell\bar{\jmath}} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}j} = 0, R_{\lambda\mu\ell\bar{\jmath}} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}j} = 0, R_{\lambda\mu\ell\bar{\jmath}} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}j} = 0,$$

$$(2.4) R_{\lambda\mu\bar{z}\bar{j}} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{z}\bar{j}} = 0, R_{\lambda\mu\bar{z}\bar{j}} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{z}\bar{j}} = 0, R_{\lambda\mu\bar{z}\bar{j}} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{z}\bar{j}} = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \qquad \boldsymbol{\rho_{ij}} = \boldsymbol{\rho_{ij}} = \boldsymbol{\rho_{ij}} = \boldsymbol{\rho_{ij}}$$

$$(2.6) \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{ij}, \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{\bar{i}j}, \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{\bar{i}j}.$$

$$(2.7) \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{i\bar{j}}, \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{i\bar{j}}, \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{i\bar{j}},$$

$$(2.8) \qquad \rho_{ij} + \rho_{i\bar{j}} = -R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}, \qquad \rho_{ij} + \rho_{i\bar{j}} = -R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}, \qquad \rho_{ij} + \rho_{i\bar{j}} = -R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}},$$

$$\rho_{ij} + \rho_{i\bar{j}} = -R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}, \qquad \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = -R_{\bar{i}\bar{j}\bar{i}\bar{j}}, \qquad \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = -R_{\bar{i}\bar{j}\bar{i}\bar{j}}, \qquad \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = -R_{\bar{i}\bar{j}\bar{i}\bar{j}}.$$

Proof. From the identity obtained by (5.9) in [2], we have

$$\begin{split} R_{\lambda\mu\delta\hbar} &= R_{\lambda\mu\delta\hbar} - 4a(G_{\lambda\mu}G_{\delta\hbar} + H_{\lambda\mu}H_{\delta\hbar}) \\ &= R_{\lambda\mu\delta\hbar} - 4a(H_{\lambda\mu}H_{\delta\hbar} + F_{\lambda\mu}F_{\delta\hbar}) \\ &= R_{\lambda\mu\delta\hbar} - 4a(F_{\lambda\mu}F_{\delta\hbar} + G_{\lambda\mu}G_{\delta\hbar}) \,, \end{split}$$

4m(m+2)a being a constant equal to the scalar curvature in M.

^{*)} Latin indices i, j, k run over the range $\{1, \dots, m\}$, and Greek indices λ , μ , ν , κ run over the range $\{1, \dots, 4m\}$.

as the preparation of the sent of

F, G, H have components as (2.1) with respect to \mathfrak{F} , hence we see $F_{ih} = G_{ih} = H_{ih} = 0$, which give (2.2). Similarly from (2.2) in [2], we have

$$\begin{split} R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}h} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}\bar{h}} &= -4a(G_{\lambda\mu}H_{ih} + H_{\lambda\mu}G_{ih}) = 0 \;, \\ R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}h} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}\bar{h}} &= -4a(H_{\lambda\mu}F_{ih} + F_{\lambda\mu}H_{ih}) = 0 \;, \\ R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}h} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}\bar{h}} &= -4a(F_{\lambda\mu}G_{ih} + G_{\lambda\mu}F_{ih}) = 0 \;, \end{split}$$

which imply (2.3). Besides

$$\begin{split} R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}} &= 4a(F_{\lambda\mu}\,G_{ij} - G_{\lambda\mu}\,F_{ij}) + 8ag_{ij}\,, \\ R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}} &= 4a(G_{\lambda\mu}\,H_{ij} - H_{\lambda\mu}\,G_{ij}) + 8ag_{ij}\,, \\ R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}} + R_{\lambda\mu\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}} &= 4a(H_{\lambda\mu}\,F_{ij} - F_{\lambda\mu}\,H_{ij}) + 8ag_{ij}\,. \end{split}$$

Since $F_{ij} = G_{ij} = H_{ij} = 0$ and $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, we have (2.4). Then (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) can be deduced from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4).

Next from Bianchi identity we have

$$R_{i\bar{\imath}j\bar{\jmath}}=R_{ij\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}}-R_{i\bar{\jmath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}}=R_{iji\bar{\jmath}}+R_{i\bar{\jmath}i\bar{\jmath}}=-\rho_{i\bar{\jmath}}-\rho_{i\bar{\jmath}}$$

by virtue of $(2.2)_1$, $(2.3)_1$. The others are followed by similar way (Q.E.D). We next prove

LEMMA 2. A quaternion Kaehler manifold (dim $M \ge 8$) is of constant Q-sectional curvature k, if and only if the curvature tensor R satisfies

(2.9)
$$g(R(X, Y) X, Z) = 0, Y \in Q(X), Z \in Q^{\perp}(X)$$

or equivalently

(2. 10)
$$R(X, Y) X = -kY, Y \in Q(X)$$

for every vector field X.

The necessity is obvious from (1.4). We shall show the sufficiency. If (2.9) is satisfied for every X, we have

$$g(R(X+tY, F(X+tY))(X+tY), Z) = 0$$

for any X, Y, Z and $t \in R$ such that $Z \in Q^{\perp}(X + tY)$. Then we have

(2. 11)
$$t^{3}g(R(Y, FY) Y, Z) + t^{2}g(R(X, FY) Y + R(Y, FX) Y + R(Y, FY) X, Z) + tg(R(Y, FX) X + R(X, FY) X + R(X, FX) Y, Z) + g(R(X, FX) X, Z) = 0.$$

If we put $X = e_i$, $Y = e_j$ and $Z = F(tX - Y) = te_i - e_j$ ($Z \in Q^{\perp}(X + tY)$), then

we have

$$\begin{split} t^4R_{j\bar{j}j\bar{\imath}} + t^3(-R_{j\bar{j}j\bar{\jmath}} + R_{i\bar{j}j\bar{\imath}} + R_{j\bar{j}i\bar{\imath}} + R_{j\bar{\imath}j\bar{\imath}}) + t^2(R_{i\bar{\imath}j\bar{\imath}} - R_{j\bar{j}i\bar{\jmath}}) \\ + t(-R_{j\bar{\imath}i\bar{\jmath}} - R_{i\bar{\jmath}i\bar{\jmath}} - R_{i\bar{\imath}j\bar{\jmath}} + R_{i\bar{\imath}i\bar{\jmath}}) + R_{i\bar{\imath}i\bar{\jmath}} = 0 \;, \end{split}$$

from $(2.2)_2$. Thus we have

$$\begin{split} t^4R_{\jmath\bar{\jmath}\jmath\bar{\imath}} + t^3(-2\pmb{\rho}_{\imath\bar{\jmath}} + R_{\imath\bar{\imath}\jmath\bar{\jmath}} + \pmb{\rho}_{\jmath\bar{\jmath}}) + t^2(R_{\imath\bar{\imath}\jmath\bar{\imath}} - R_{\jmath\bar{\jmath}\imath\bar{\jmath}}) \\ + t(2\pmb{\rho}_{\jmath\bar{\imath}} - R_{\imath\bar{\imath}\jmath\bar{\jmath}} - \pmb{\rho}_{\imath\bar{\imath}}) + R_{\imath\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}} = 0 \ . \end{split}$$

Hence we have

$$(2. 12) \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\rho_{i\bar{i}}} = 2\boldsymbol{\rho_{i\bar{j}}} - R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} = \boldsymbol{\rho_{j\bar{j}}} \,.$$

Taking account of (2.8), we have

$$(2.13) \boldsymbol{\rho_{ii}} = 3\boldsymbol{\rho_{ij}} + \boldsymbol{\rho_{i\bar{j}}}.$$

If we substitute $Y=e_j$ $(Z=te_i+e_j)$ instead of e_j $(Z=te_i-e_j)$, we have

$$\rho_{ii} = 3\rho_{i\bar{j}} + \rho_{ij},$$

which, together with (3.5), induces

Similarly we obtain

$$(2.15) \rho_{ij} = \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{i\bar{j}} \text{and} \rho_{i\bar{i}} = \rho_{i\bar{i}} = 4\rho_{ij}.$$

Next we put $X = e_i$, $Y = e_j$, $Z = -H(tX - Y) = te_i - e_j$ $(Z \in Q^1(tX + Y))$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} t^4R_{J\bar{\jmath}J\bar{\imath}} + t^3(-2\rho_{\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}} + R_{\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}\bar{\jmath}} + \rho_{J\bar{\jmath}}) + t^2(R_{\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}\bar{\jmath}} - R_{\bar{\jmath}\bar{\jmath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}}) \\ + t(2\rho_{\bar{\jmath}\bar{\imath}} - R_{\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}\bar{\jmath}} - \rho_{\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}}) + R_{\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}\bar{\jmath}} = 0 \ . \end{split}$$

That is, we have

$$\rho_{i\bar{i}}=2\rho_{i\bar{j}}-R_{i\bar{i}\bar{j}\bar{j}}=\rho_{j\bar{j}}$$

and

$$\rho_{i\bar{i}} = 3\rho_{i\bar{j}} + \rho_{i\bar{j}}.$$

Replacing $e_{\bar{i}}$ $(Z=te_{\bar{i}}-e_{\bar{j}})$ with $e_{\bar{j}}$ $(Z=te_{\bar{i}}-e_{\bar{j}})$, we get

$$\rho_{i\bar{i}} = 3\rho_{i\bar{j}} + \rho_{i\bar{j}} \,.$$

Hence we get

$$(2.16) \rho_{ij} = \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{i\bar{j}}, \rho_{i\bar{i}} = 4\rho_{ij}$$

by virtue of Lemma 1. Similarly we have

(2. 17)
$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{i\bar{i}} &= \rho_{i\bar{i}} = 4\rho_{ij}, \\
\rho_{i\bar{j}} &= \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{ij}, \qquad \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up the equalties $(2.14)\sim(2.17)$ obtained above, we can conclude that a quaternion Kaehler manifold satisfying (2.12) is of constant Q-sectional curvature. As this result, we have (2.10) by virtue of (1.4).

§ 3. The property $(\mathscr{Q} \mathscr{J})$

Let γ be a geodesic in a quaternion Kaehler manifold and γ be a Jacobi field along γ . Then γ satisfies

$$Y'' + R(Y, \dot{\tau}) \dot{\tau} = 0,$$

where Y' denotes the covariant differentiation along Υ . Along Υ , we can define an almost complex structure J which is parallel along Υ . In fact, for a local base $\{F, G, H\}$ in U, we may put in $U \cap \Upsilon$

(3.1)
$$J = aF + bG + cH$$
, $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$,

which a, b, c satisfy

(3.2)
$$\begin{cases} a' - br(\dot{\tau}) + cq(\dot{\tau}) = 0, \\ b' - cp(\dot{\tau}) + ar(\dot{\tau}) = 0, \quad a(0)^2 + b(0)^2 + c(0)^2 = 1, \\ c' - aq(\dot{\tau}) + bp(\dot{\tau}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

p, q, r being local 1-forms defined in (1.3).

Assume that M is of constant Q-sectional curvature k, then the curvature tensor is in the form (1.4). So we have

$$R(\dot{\tau}, J\dot{\tau})\,\dot{\tau} = -kJ\dot{\tau}$$

when t is an affine parameter. Hence we see that

$$Y(t) = (\sin \sqrt{k} t) J\dot{r}(t)$$
 (resp. $tJ\dot{r}$, $(\sinh \sqrt{-k} t) J\dot{r}$)

are Jacobi fields along \tilde{r} , when k>0 (resp. k=0, k<0). Moreover the following property is satisfied: "Every Jacobi field Y with initial conditions Y(0)=0 and $Y'(0)=J\dot{r}(0)$ is proportional to $J\dot{r}$." We call such a property (\mathscr{D}) following Kosmanek.

Conversely we assume that $(\mathscr{C}\mathscr{F})$ is satisfied. If we denote by J_{τ} the set of Jocobi fields Y along τ which are orthogonal to τ and satisfy Y(0)=0, $Y'(0)\in Q(\dot{\tau}(0))$, then dim $J_{\tau}=3$. In fact, we define a quaternion structure $\{J_1,J_2,J_3\}$ by

$$J_{\alpha} = s_{\alpha 1} F + s_{\alpha 2} G + s_{\alpha 3} H$$
 $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$

where $s_{\alpha\beta}$ form an element of SO(3) and for a fixed α , $s_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy (3.2). Then J_{α} are all parallel along γ and $\{J_1\dot{\tau}, J_2\dot{\tau}, J_3\dot{\tau}\}$ are linearly independent.

Taking account of this fact, for every geodesic 7 and any vector $w_0 \in Q(\dot{r}(0))$, there exists a Jacobi field Y which is contained in $Q(\dot{r})$ at every point $\Upsilon(t)$ and endowed the initial conditions Y(0)=0, $Y'(0)=w_0$. account of (1.3), Y' and Y" are also contained in $Q(\dot{r})$. Hence

$$(3.3) g(R(\dot{r}, Y) \dot{r}, Z) = 0$$

for any vector field $Z \in Q(\dot{r})$. At the point $\gamma(0)$, $\gamma(0)$ being taken arbitrarily, we conclude that such a quaternion Kaehler manifold is of constant Qsectional curvature by Lemma 2. Thus we have

Theorem 1. Let M be a quaternion Kaehler manifold. The property ($\mathscr{Q} \mathcal{I}$) is satisfied if and only if M is of constant Q-sectional curvature. And $\dim J_r = 3$, for every geodesic γ .

§ 4. Sasakian 3-structure

In this section we consider a corresponding property in the manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ with Sasakian 3-structure $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$. That is, ξ , η and ζ are mutually orthogonal Killing vector fields of unit length and the contact structures ϕ , ψ and θ defined by

$$ilde{ ilde{
u}} \xi = \phi \ , \qquad ilde{ ilde{
u}} \eta = \psi \ , \qquad ilde{ ilde{
u}} \zeta = heta \ .$$

are all Sasakian structures, where \tilde{r} denotes the Riemannian connection of (M, \tilde{g}) .

Let \widetilde{P} be a point of \widetilde{M} . We can find a sufficiently small coordinate neighborhood \widetilde{U} of \widetilde{P} , in which the distribution \widetilde{D} spanned by ξ , η and ζ is regular. Then \widetilde{U} is a Riemannian manifold with the induced regular Sasakian 3-structure and we have a local fibering

(*)
$$\pi: \widetilde{U} \longrightarrow \widetilde{U}/\widetilde{D} = U.$$

Since \widetilde{U} admits Sasakian 3-structure, U is a quaternion Kaehler manifold (cf. Ishihara [1], Tanno [7]).

We call a vector \widetilde{X} vertical when it is tangent to fibres and horizontal when it is orthogonal to fibres. An arbitrary geodesic $\tilde{\tau}$ in \widetilde{U} needs not project to a geodesic, but it is known that if $\tilde{\tau}$ is horizontal, then $\pi \circ \tilde{\tau}$ is to be a geodesic and their affine parameters can be taken in common. (See [8]).

Then the following lemma is already known.

Lemma 3. (O'Neill [8]) Let $\pi: \widetilde{U} \to U$ be a submersion and $\widetilde{\tau}$ be a horizontal

geodesic in \widetilde{U} . Given a Jacobi field Y on $\pi \circ \widetilde{\tau}$ and a vertical vector \widetilde{U} at $\widetilde{\tau}(0)$, there exists a unique Jacobi field \widetilde{Y} on $\widetilde{\tau}$ such that $\pi_*(\widetilde{Y}) = Y$, $D(\widetilde{Y}) = 0$ and $\widetilde{Y}(0) = \widetilde{U}$. Where $D(\widetilde{Y})$ is a (vertical) derived vector field from \widetilde{Y} .

REMARK. We can not define the derived vector field without preparations for theory of submersions. The definition of D and its local expression were given in O'Neill [8] and Ishihara-Konishi [3, p. 48]. However the components with respect to ξ , η and ζ are given by

$$\begin{split} &\tilde{\mathbf{g}}\Big(D(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}),\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big) = \frac{d}{dt}\;\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}},\boldsymbol{\xi}) - 2\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\phi\dot{\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}},\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}})\;\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\dot{\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}},\dot{\widehat{\mathbf{r}}})\,,\\ &\tilde{\mathbf{g}}\Big(D(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}),\boldsymbol{\eta}\Big) = \frac{d}{dt}\;\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}},\boldsymbol{\eta}) - 2\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\phi\dot{\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}},\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}})\;\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\dot{\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}},\dot{\widehat{\mathbf{r}}})\,,\\ &\tilde{\mathbf{g}}\Big(D(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}),\boldsymbol{\zeta}\Big) = \frac{d}{dt}\;\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}},\boldsymbol{\zeta}) - 2\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\theta\dot{\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}},\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}})\;\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\dot{\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}},\dot{\widehat{\mathbf{r}}})\,. \end{split}$$

Let $\tilde{\tau}$ be a horizontal geodesic in \widetilde{U} and τ be its projection. We define tensor fields J_1 , J_2 , J_3 along τ by

$$J_1X = \pi_*\phi X^L$$
, $J_2X = \pi_*\phi X^L$, $J_3X = \pi_*\theta X^L$,

X being a vector field along \tilde{r} and X^L the lift of X to \tilde{r} . Then we see that J_{α} ($\alpha=1,2,3$) are all almost complex structures which are parallel along \tilde{r} . (See Ishihara [1]). Then, as a result of Theorem 1, if U is of constant Q-sectional curvature k (k is necessarily positive in this case), then $(\sin\sqrt{k}\,t)$ $J_{\alpha}\dot{r}$ are Jacobi fields along \tilde{r} . Taking account of Lemma 3 and Remark, $\tilde{Y}_1=(\sin\sqrt{k}\,t)\,\phi\dot{\tilde{r}}-(\cos\sqrt{k}\,t)\,\xi$ is seen to be a Jacobi field along \tilde{r} , since its derived vector field $D(\tilde{Y}_1)$ vanishes. Similarly $\tilde{Y}_2=(\sin\sqrt{k}\,t)\,\phi\dot{\tilde{r}}-(\cos\sqrt{k}\,t)\,\eta$ and $\tilde{Y}_3=(\sin\sqrt{k}\,t)\,\theta\dot{\tilde{r}}-(\cos\sqrt{k}\,t)\,\zeta$ are Jacobi fields.

On the other hand the Ricci curvature tensors $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ of \widetilde{U} and S of U are related by

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{S}(X^{\!\scriptscriptstyle L}\!,\,Y^{\!\scriptscriptstyle L}\!) &= S(X,\,Y) - 6g(X,\,Y)\,, \qquad \widetilde{S}(X^{\!\scriptscriptstyle L}\!,\,\widetilde{V}) = 0\;, \\ \widetilde{S}(\widetilde{V},\,\widetilde{W}) &= (n-1)\,\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{V},\,\widetilde{W})\,, \end{split}$$

where X, Y are vector fields in U and \widetilde{V} , \widetilde{W} are vertical vector fields (cf. [3] and [7]). If U of constant Q-sectional curvature k, from (1.4)

$$S(X, Y) = k'(n+5) g(X, Y), \qquad k' = k/4$$

and hence

$$\tilde{S}(X^{L}, Y^{L}) = \{(n+5) k' - 6\} g(X, Y).$$

However \widetilde{U} being an Einstein manifold (See Kashiwada [5]),

$$(n+5) k'-6 = n-1$$
,

thus k' is necessarily equal to 1.

Lemma 4. In the fibering (*), if U is of constant Q-sectional curvature k, then \widetilde{U} is of constant curvature 1.

PROOF) Co-Gauss equation of the curvature tensor \widetilde{R} of \widetilde{U} being

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{R}(X^{\!\scriptscriptstyle L}\!,\,Y^{\!\scriptscriptstyle L}\!)\,Z^{\!\scriptscriptstyle L} &= \left\{ R(X,\,Y)\,Z - g(FY,\,Z)\,FX + g(FX,\,Z)\,FY \right. \\ &\quad + 2g(FX,\,Y)\,FZ - g(GY,\,Z)\,GX + g(GX,\,Z)\,GY \\ &\quad + 2g(GX,\,Y)\,GZ - g(HY,\,Z)\,HX + g(HX,\,Z)\,HY \\ &\quad + 2g(HX,\,Y)\,HZ \right\}^{\scriptscriptstyle L} \end{split}$$

for arbitrary local base $\{F,G,H\}$ (See [3]), then we have by (1.4) and Lemma 4

$$\tilde{R}(X^{L}, Y^{L}) Z^{L} = \tilde{g}(Y^{L}, Z^{L}) X^{L} - \tilde{g}(X^{L}, Z^{L}) Y^{L}.$$

Since \widetilde{U} have Sasakian 3-structure, the sectional curvature of the section containing at least one of ξ , η , ζ is equal to 1. Together with (4.1), we have a conclusion.

Thus we have

THEOREM 2. Let \widetilde{M} be a Riemannian manifold with Sasakian 3-structure $\{\xi,\eta,\zeta\}$. If in the local fibering $\widetilde{U} \to \widetilde{U}/\widetilde{D}$, $\widetilde{U}/\widetilde{D}$ is of constant Q-sectional curvature k (in such a case k is necessarily equal to 1), then for every horizontal geodesic $\dot{\tilde{\tau}}$, $(\sin 2t) \phi \dot{\tilde{\tau}} - (\cos 2t) \xi$, $(\sin 2t) \phi \dot{\tilde{\tau}} - (\cos 2t) \eta$ and $(\sin 2t) \theta \dot{\tilde{\tau}} - (\cos 2t) \zeta$ are Jacobi fields along $\tilde{\tau}$, when t is the arc-length. The converse is also true.

REMARK. Taking account of a result in [4], we see that under the assumptions in Theorem 2, for not necessarily horizontal geodesic $\tilde{\tau}$ but perpendicular to one of the structures, say ξ , $(\sin 2t) \phi \hat{\tau} - (\cos 2t) \xi$ is a Jacobi field along $\tilde{\tau}$.

Department of Mathematics Tokyo Institute of Technology

Bibliography

- [1] ISHIHARA, S.: Quaternion Kaehler manifolds and fibred Riemannian manifolds with Sasakian 3-structure, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 25 (1973), 321-329.
- [2] ISHIHARA, S.: Note on quaternion Kaehler manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. 9 (1974), 483-500.

- [3] ISHIHARA, S. and M. KONISHI: Differential Geometry of fibred spaces, Tokyo, 1973.
- [4] KASHIWADA, T.: On a characteristic property of Sasakian manifolds with constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature. Hokkaido Math. J. 1 (1972), 250–253.
- [5] KASHIWADA, T.: A note on a Riemannian space with Sasakian 3-structure. Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. 22 (1971), 1-2.
- [6] KOSMANEK, É.: Une propriété caractéristique des variétés Kählériennes à courbure holomorphe constante. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 259 (1964), 705-708.
- [7] TANNO, S.: Killing vectors on contact Riemannian manifolds and fibering related to the Hopf fibrations. Tôhoku Math. J. 23 (1971), 313-334.
- [8] O'NEILL, B.: Submersions and geodesics, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), 363-373.

(Received March 14, 1974) (Revised August 27, 1974)