

Nonmaximal weak-*Dirichlet algebras

By Takahiko NAKAZI

(Received April 14, 1975)

0. Introduction

Let A be a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty(m)$ which was introduced by Srinivasan and Wang [7]. Let $H^\infty(m)$ denote the weak-*closure of A in $L^\infty(m)$. Suppose there exists at least one positive nonconstant function v in $L^1(m)$ such that the measure vdm is multiplicative on A . Then Merrill [4] characterizes the classical space $H^\infty(d\theta)$ by invariant subspaces of $H^\infty(m)$ or the maximality of $H^\infty(m)$ as a weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$. In section 1 we characterize $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$, which is certain weak-*Dirichlet algebra on the torus, by invariant subspaces of $H^\infty(m)$. We need not assume the existence of the above v . Then, in some special case, Muhly [6] shows that $H^\infty(m)$ is a maximal weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$. But in general, $H^\infty(m)$ is not maximal and so there exist weak-*closed subalgebras of $L^\infty(m)$ which contain $H^\infty(m)$ properly. In section 2 we construct some typical subalgebra in such subalgebras and we determine forms of all weak-*closed subalgebras which contain this subalgebra. This is applied to determine forms of all subalgebras which contain $H^\infty(d\theta d\theta)$.

Recall that by definition a weak-*Dirichlet algebra is an algebra A of essentially bounded measurable functions on a probability measure space (X, \mathfrak{M}, m) such that (i) the constant functions lie in A ; (ii) $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in $L^\infty(m)$ (the bar denotes conjugation, here and always); (iii) for all f and g in A , $\int fg dm = (\int f dm)(\int g dm)$. The abstract Hardy spaces $H^p(m)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, associated with A are defined as follows. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $H^p(m)$ is the $L^p(m)$ -closure of A , while $H^\infty(m)$ is defined to be the weak-*closure of A in $L^\infty(m)$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $H_0^p = \{f \in H^p(m) : \int f dm = 0\}$. For any subset $M \subseteq L^\infty(m)$, denote by $[M]_2$ the $L^2(m)$ -closure of M . A closed subspace M of $L^p(m)$ is called B -invariant if $f \in M$ and $g \in B$ imply that $fg \in M$, where B is a subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$. In particular, if $B = L^\infty(m)$, M is called doubly-invariant. For any measurable subset E of X , the function χ_E is the characteristic function of E . If $f \in L^p(m)$, write E_f for the support set of f and write χ_f for the characteristic function of E_f .

We use the following result.

(a) If M is a weak-*closed A -invariant subspace of $L^\infty(m)$, then $M =$

$[M]_2 \cap L^\infty(m)$.

For weak-*Dirichlet algebras this has never published, but the proof is easy if we use the logmodularity of $H^\infty(m)$.

1. Characterization of $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$

Let A be the algebra of continuous, complex-valued functions on the torus $T^2 = \{(z, w) : |z| = |w| = 1\}$ which are uniform limits of polynomials in $z^n w^m$ where

$$(n, m) \in \Gamma = \{(n, m) : m > 0\} \cup \{(n, 0) : n \geq 0\}.$$

Denoting the normalized Haar measure on T^2 by $d\theta d\phi$, then A is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$. Recall $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ is the weak-*closure of A in $L^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$.

In general, let A be a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty(m)$. Suppose there exists at least one positive nonconstant function v in $L^1(m)$ such that for all f and g in A , $\int fg v dm = (\int f v dm)(\int g v dm)$. Then by the logmodularity of $H^\infty(m)$, $H_0^\infty = ZH^\infty(m)$ for some inner function Z in $H^\infty(m)$, where a function $f \in H^\infty(m)$ is called inner if $|f| = 1$ a. e..

In [4] Merrill obtains the following result for the characterization of the classical space $H^\infty(d\theta)$.

(b) *The following properties for $H^\infty(m)$ are equivalent.*

(1) *$H^\infty(m)$ is isomorphic to the classical space $H^\infty(d\theta)$.*

(2) *Every nonzero weak-*closed A -invariant subspace of $H^\infty(m)$ has the form*

$$M = FH^\infty(m)$$

where F is an inner function in M .

(3) *$H^\infty(m)$ is a maximal weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$.*

In this section we characterize $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ which is not a maximal weak-*Dirichlet algebra [4]. Let J^∞ be the weak-*closure of $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \bar{Z}^n H^\infty(m)$ and let I^∞ be $\bigcap_{n=0}^\infty Z^n H_0^\infty$.

THEOREM 1. (1) *J^∞ is the minimum weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ which contains $H^\infty(m)$ properly.* (2) *I^∞ is the maximal weak-*closed ideal of J^∞ in $H^\infty(m)$.*

PROOF. First, we shall show that if B is a weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ such that $B \not\supseteq H^\infty(m)$, then $B \supseteq J^\infty$. If m is multiplicative on B , then \bar{B} is orthogonal to H_0^∞ and hence $B \subseteq H^2(m)$ [7, p 226] and hence $B \subseteq H^2(m) \cap L^\infty(m) = H^\infty(m)$ by (a) in Introduction. This contradicts to

$B \not\supseteq H^\infty(m)$. If m is not multiplicative on B , the function Z has the inverse in B . For, if not, there exists a complex homomorphism ϕ on B such that $\phi(Z)=0$. Then $\ker \phi \supseteq H_0^\infty = ZH^\infty(m)$. If ϕ is restricted to $H^\infty(m)$, then $\ker \phi = H_0^\infty$, so by the logmodularity, the unique representing measure of ϕ is m . This contradicts that m is not multiplicative on B . Thus B is the weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ that contains \bar{Z} and $H^\infty(m)$, so $B \supseteq J^\infty$. This proves (1).

Now if K is the weak-*closed ideal of J^∞ such that $I^\infty \subseteq K \subseteq H^\infty(m)$, since both Z and \bar{Z} is in J^∞ , the subalgebra $K = ZK$. Thus $K \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Z^n H^\infty(m) = I^\infty$. It is known [5] that I^∞ is the ideal of J^∞ . This proves (2).

Denote by \mathcal{A}^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the closure in $L^p(m)$ (weak-*closure for $p = \infty$) of polynomials in Z . Denote by \mathcal{L}^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the closure in $L^p(m)$ (weak-*closure for $p = \infty$) of polynomials in Z and \bar{Z} . Let I^p be the closure of I^∞ in $L^p(m)$ and let \mathcal{I}^p be the closure of $I^p + \bar{I}^p$ in $L^p(m)$ and let J^p be the closure of J^∞ in $L^p(m)$. The following result is known [4, Lemma 5].

(c) If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(m) &= \mathcal{A}^p + I^p, & L^p(m) &= \mathcal{L}^p + \mathcal{I}^p \\ J^p &= \mathcal{L}^p + I^p \end{aligned}$$

where $+$ denotes algebraic direct sum and if $p=2$, each decomposition is orthogonal.

If $1 < p < \infty$, we can show easily that $L^p(m) = J^p + \bar{I}^p$.

The following result is known, too [3].

(d) For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists an isometric-*isomorphism (i. e., taking complex conjugates into complex conjugates) between $L^p(d\theta)$ of the disc and \mathcal{A}^p in $L^p(m)$, which maps the classical space $H^p(d\theta)$ onto \mathcal{A}^p in $H^p(m)$.

We can prove the following results (e) and (f). The proofs are almost parallel to those of (c) and (d). Suppose there exists a nontrivial inner function W in I^∞ . Denote by \mathbf{H}^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the closure in $L^p(m)$ (weak-*closure for $p = \infty$) of polynomials in $Z^n W^m$ where $(n, m) \in \Gamma$. Then \mathbf{H}^p is a subspace (subalgebra for $p = \infty$) of $H^p(m)$ by $ZI^p = I^p$ which (2) in theorem 1 shows. Denote by \mathbf{L}^p ($1 < p < \infty$) the closure in $L^p(dm)$ (weak-*closure for $p = \infty$) of polynomials in Z, \bar{Z}, W and \bar{W} . Let

$$S^p = \left\{ f \in H^p(m) : \int Z^n \bar{W}^m f dm = 0, (n, m) \in \Gamma \right\}.$$

Denote by \mathcal{S}^p the closure of $S^p + S^p$ in $L^p(m)$ (weak-*closure for $p = \infty$).

(e) For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists an isometric*-isomorphism between $L^p(d\theta d\phi)$ of the torus and \mathbf{L}^p , which map $H^p(d\theta d\phi)$ onto \mathbf{H}^p .

(f) If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then

$$H^p(dm) = \mathbf{H}^p + S^p, \quad L^p(dm) = \mathbf{L}^p + \mathcal{L}^p$$

where $+$ denotes algebraic direct sum and if $p=2$, each decomposition is orthogonal.

LEMMA 1. Suppose $I^\infty = WJ^\infty$ for some inner function W in I^∞ . Then S^∞ is a weak-*closed J^∞ -invariant subspace of $H^\infty(m)$ such that $S^\infty = WS^\infty$.

PROOF. By the above remark (c) and $I^\infty = WJ^\infty$, $I^2 \ominus WI^2 = W\mathcal{L}^2$, where \ominus is orthogonal complement. Denote $S = I^2 \ominus \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} W^j \mathcal{L}^2$, then $S = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} W^j I^2$ and $I^2 = S + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} W^j \mathcal{L}^2$. The proof of $WS = S$ is the same as [1, p 109] and S is a J^∞ -invariant subspace of $H^2(m)$ by that $S = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} W^j I^2$ and I^2 is a J^∞ -invariant subspace by (2) of theorem 1. The proof of $S = S^2$ is trivial. By the definition, $S^\infty = S^2 \cap L^\infty(m)$ and hence $S^\infty = WS^\infty$ and S^∞ is a J^∞ -invariant subspace.

THEOREM 2. The following properties for $H^\infty(m)$ are equivalent.

(1) $H^\infty(m)$ is isomorphic to $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$.

(2) (a) J^∞ has no doubly invariant subspace, (b) every nonzero weak-*closed J^∞ -invariant subspace M of $H^\infty(m)$ has the form

$$M = \chi_E F J^\infty$$

where χ_E is a characteristic function in J^∞ and F is a unimodular function.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If M is a J^∞ -invariant subspace, then $\bar{Z}M \subset M$ and so M is a sesqui-invariant subspace [5]. So by [5, p 473], $M = \chi_E F J^\infty$ where χ_E is a characteristic function in \mathcal{L}^∞ and F is a unimodular function. But we can show easily that for any characteristic function χ_E , $\chi_E \in J^\infty$ if and only if $\chi_E \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$. This proves (2). (2) \Rightarrow (1). By the hypothesis of (b) in (2), we can write $I^\infty = \chi_E W J^\infty$, where $\chi_E \in J^\infty$ and W is a unimodular function. If $m(E) < 1$, by the remark (c), J^∞ must have some doubly invariant subspace. So we can write $I^\infty = W J^\infty$ with W in I^∞ . For this inner function W , S^∞ is a weak-*closed J^∞ -invariant subspace of $H^\infty(m)$ and $S^\infty = WS^\infty$ by Lemma 1. If $S^\infty \neq \{0\}$, by the hypothesis of (b) in (2), we can write $S^\infty = \chi_E F J^\infty$ where $\chi_E \in J^\infty$ and F is a unimodular function. By that $\chi_E F \in \chi_E F J^\infty$ and $\bar{W} S^\infty = S^\infty$, the function $\chi_E F \bar{W}$ is in $\chi_E F J^\infty$ and hence there exists some function g in J^∞ such that $\chi_E = \chi_E W g$. From $W \in I^\infty$, it follows that $\chi_E W g \in I^\infty$ and hence $\chi_E \in I^\infty$. This shows that $\chi_E = 0$ by $\chi_E \in J^\infty$ and hence $S^\infty = \{0\}$. By the remark (f), $H^\infty(m) = \mathbf{H}^\infty$ and by the remark (e), this proves (1).

$H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ is not maximal as a weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$. So it is impossible to characterize $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ by the maximality. One question that arises is: is it possible to characterize $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ by subalgebras of $L^\infty(m)$ which contain it? In the next section we shall answer this.

2. Subalgebras which contain $H^\infty(m)$

Let A be a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty(m)$. We need not always the assumption such that there exists a positive nonconstant function v in $L^1(m)$ such that the measure vdm is multiplicative on A .

Muhly [6] show that $H^\infty(m)$ is a maximal weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ if and only if no nonzero function in $H^\infty(m)$ can vanish on a set of positive measure. If V is a weak-*closed subalgebra which is generated by $H^\infty(m)$ and χ_f for all $f \in H^\infty(m)$, then the subalgebra V contains $H^\infty(m)$ and $\chi_f \in V$ for every $f \in H^\infty(m)$. We determine forms of all subalgebras which contain V .

THEOREM 3. *Let V be a weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ which contains $H^\infty(m)$. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) $\chi_f \in V$ for every $f \in V$.
- (2) $\chi_f \in V$ for every $f \in H^\infty(m)$.
- (3) Each weak-*closed subalgebra B of $L^\infty(m)$ that contains V has the form

$$B = \chi_E V + \chi_E^c L^\infty(m)$$

for some $\chi_E \in V$.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let K be an orthogonal complement of B in $L^2(m)$. We may assume $K \neq \{0\}$. Let E be the support set of K , then $\chi_E \in V$. For since B contains $H^\infty(m)$, the set $K \subseteq \bar{H}_0^2$ [7, p 226]. For each f in $H^2(m)$, there exists a function g in $H^\infty(m)$ such that $\chi_f = \chi_g$ [6]. So if f in K , then $\chi_f = \chi_g \in V$ by the hypothesis of (2). If f and g in K , let $F = E_f \setminus E_g$, then $\chi_F \in V$. Since $\chi_F B \subseteq B$, we can show $\chi_F K \subseteq K$ and hence $h = g + \chi_F f$ is in K . So if $f, g \in K$, there exists $h \in K$ with $E_h = E_f \cup E_g$. This shows that there exists a function f in K such that $E_f = E$ and hence $\chi_E \in V$. Since $\chi_E^c K = \{0\}$ and $\chi_E \in V$, we can get $B \supseteq \chi_E V + \chi_E^c L^\infty(m)$ and $\chi_E V + \chi_E^c L^\infty(m)$ is a weak-*closed subalgebra.

We shall show $B = \chi_E V + \chi_E^c L^\infty(m)$. Suppose $B \neq \chi_E V + \chi_E^c L^\infty(m)$. Just as Muhly [6], there exists a nonconstant unimodular function q and \bar{q} in B such that $\bar{q} \notin \chi_E V + \chi_E^c L^\infty(m)$. Then $\chi_E \bar{q} \notin \chi_E V$. Let N be the weak-*

closure of polynomials of q, \bar{q} and all characteristic functions in V . Then N is a commutative von Neumann algebra as an algebra of operators on $L^2(m)$. By $\chi_E \bar{q} \notin V$, V can not contain the whole $\chi_E N$. There exists χ_{E_0} in N such that $\chi_{E_0 \cap E} \neq 0$ and for any nonzero χ_F in V

$$\chi_{E_0 \cap E} \chi_F \neq \chi_F.$$

For suppose there exists a nonzero χ_F in V such that $\chi_{H \cap E} \chi_F = \chi_F$ for any χ_H in N such that $\chi_{H \cap E} \neq 0$. Then $H \cap E \supset F$ for the nonzero χ_F in V . If $H \cap E \neq F$, since $\chi_H \chi_{F^c} \in N$ and $\chi_H \chi_{F^c} \neq 0$, there exists a nonzero $\chi_{F'}$ in V such that $H \cap F^c \cap E \supset F'$ arguing as above. This leads to that $\chi_{H \cap E} \in V$ for any χ_H in N , i.e. $\chi_E N \subseteq V$ by that N is a commutative von Neuman algebra. This contradiction shows that there exists such a χ_{E_0} in N . By $\chi_{E_0 \cap E} \in B$, it follows that $\chi_{E_0 \cap E} K \subseteq K$. If $\chi_{E_0 \cap E} K \neq \{0\}$, we can show that there exists some nonzero χ_{F_0} in V such that $\chi_{E_0 \cap E} \chi_{F_0} = \chi_{F_0}$. $\chi_{E_0 \cap E} K = \{0\}$. Since $m(E_0 \cap E) > 0$, this contradicts that E is the support set of K . Thus $B = \chi_E V + \chi_E^c L^\infty(m)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose f in any function in V . We can assume that $0 < \chi_f < 1$. Let $D = D(f)$ be the weak-*closure of $\{fg : g \in V\}$, then $D \subseteq V$ and the support set of D coincides with the support set of f . Let $B = \{v \in L^\infty(m) : vD \subseteq D\}$. Then $V \subseteq B$. From the hypothesis of (3), we can write $B = \chi_E V + \chi_E^c L^\infty(m)$ for some $\chi_E \in V$. Then we can choose χ_E in V such that $\chi_E V$ has no doubly invariant subspace. If $m(E) = 0$, then $B = L^\infty(m)$ which means that D is doubly-invariant and hence $\chi_f L^\infty(m) \subseteq V$. So $\chi_f \in V$. Suppose $m(E) > 0$. Since $(1 - \chi_f) L^\infty(m) \subseteq B$ and $\chi_E^c L^\infty(m)$ is the maximum doubly-invariant subspace of B , we have $E_f \supseteq E$. If $E_f \neq E$, define $g = \chi_E^c f$, then the function g is in V and $g \neq 0$. Arguing as above, there exist a nonzero $\chi_{F'} in V such that $E_f \cap E^c \supseteq F'$. This shows that $\chi_f \in V$.$

COROLLARY 1. (Muhly [6]) *The following properties for $H^\infty(m)$ are equivalent.*

(1) *no nonzero function in $H^\infty(m)$ can vanish on a set of positive measure.*

(2) *$H^\infty(m)$ is a maximal weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$.*

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If f is any function in $H^\infty(m)$, then $\chi_f \equiv 0$ or $\chi_f \equiv 1$ and hence $\chi_f \in H^\infty(m)$. Apply theorem 3 with $V = H^\infty(m)$. (2) \Rightarrow (1). The condition (3) in theorem 3 is satisfied with $V = H^\infty(m)$ because of the maximality of $H^\infty(m)$. Therefore $\chi_f \in H^\infty(m)$ for every $f \in H^\infty(m)$. But the only real valued functions in $H^\infty(m)$ are constants, hence $\chi_f \equiv 0$ or $\chi_f \equiv 1$.

If there exists a positive nonconstant function v in $L^1(m)$ such that

the measure νdm is multiplicative on A , we can choose J^∞ as V . Here J^∞ is the minimum weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ which contains $H^\infty(m)$ properly.

THEOREM 4. *The following properties for $H^\infty(m)$ are equivalent.*

(1) $\chi_f \in J^\infty$ for every $f \in H^\infty(m)$.

(2) Each weak-*closed subalgebra B of $L^\infty(m)$ that contains $H^\infty(m)$ properly has the form

$$B = \chi_E J^\infty + \chi_{E^c} L^\infty(m)$$

for some $\chi_E \in J^\infty$.

PROOF. If $B \not\supseteq H^\infty(m)$, then $B \supseteq J^\infty$ since J^∞ is the minimum weak-*closed subalgebra. So by theorem 3, we can get this theorem.

In section 3 we shall show that $\chi_f \in J^\infty$ for every f in $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$, i.e. this algebra satisfies the condition (1) of theorem 4. Moreover we shall give an example (2) such that $H^\infty(m)$ satisfies the condition (1) of theorem 4 and it is not isomorphic to $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$. Now we can give the negative answer to the question raised at the end of section 1. For $H^\infty(m)$ in example (2) and $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ have same subalgebras in the form which contain them by theorem 4.

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose $J^\infty \neq L^\infty(m)$ and $\chi_f \in J^\infty$ for every f in $H^\infty(m)$. Then there is no algebra which contains $H^\infty(m)$ and is maximal among the proper weak-*closed subalgebras of $L^\infty(m)$.*

PROOF. Suppose B is a maximal weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ such that $H^\infty(m) \subsetneq B \subsetneq L^\infty(m)$. By theorem 4 we can write $B = \chi_E J^\infty + \chi_{E^c} L^\infty(m)$ for some E such that $m(E) > 0$ and $\chi_E \in J^\infty$. Then we can choose χ_E such that $\chi_E J^\infty$ has no doubly invariant subspace. But $\chi_E \in J^\infty$ if and only if $\chi_E \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$. By the remark (d) in section 1 \mathcal{L}^∞ is isomorphic to $L^\infty(d\theta)$ of the disc. If F in $L^\infty(d\theta)$ corresponds to $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$, then $f(x) = F(Z(x))$ a.e. [5, Lemma 4]. Hence there is a measurable set E' such that $E' \subset E$ and $m(E) \neq m(E') > 0$ and $\chi_{E'} \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$. If $B' = \chi_{E'} J^\infty + \chi_{E'^c} L^\infty(m)$, then $L^2(m) \not\supseteq [B']_2 \not\supseteq [B]_2$ by that $\chi_{E'} J^\infty$ has no doubly invariant subspace and hence $B \subsetneq B' \subsetneq L^\infty(m)$.

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose $\chi_f \in J^\infty$ for every f in $H^\infty(m)$. If B is a weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ which contains $H^\infty(m)$ and a function v such that $\chi_E v \in J^\infty$ for any nonzero $\chi_E \in J^\infty$, then $B = L^\infty(m)$.*

PROOF. By theorem 4, we can write $B = \chi_E J^\infty + \chi_{E^c} L^\infty(m)$ for some $\chi_E \in J^\infty$. Since B contains v , $\chi_E v \in \chi_E J^\infty \subseteq J^\infty$. If $m(E) > 0$, then $\chi_E v \notin J^\infty$ by assumption. This implies $m(E) = 0$, hence $B = L^\infty(m)$.

3. Example

(1) Let A be the weak-*Dirichlet algebra on the torus which was raised at the first of section 1. Then there exist positive nonconstant functions in $L^1(d\theta d\phi)$ which are multiplicative on A . $H_0^\infty(d\theta d\phi) = zH^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ and J^∞ is the weak-*closure of $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \bar{z}^n H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$. Then $\chi_f \in J^\infty$ for every $f = f(z, w) \in H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$. In fact, there exist polynomials $p_n(w)$ such that for almost all points z_0 in T , as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\int_T |f(z_0, w) - p_n(w)|^2 d\phi \rightarrow 0.$$

Then it follows that $f(z_0, w) = 0$ a. e. $d\phi$ or $|f(z_0, w)| > 0$ a. e. $d\phi$. Let $E_1 = \{z_0 \in T : |f(z_0, w)| > 0 \text{ a. e. } d\phi\}$. Then the set $E_1 \times T$ is a support set of f . For every (n, m) with $m > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{T^2} \chi_{E_1 \times T} z^n w^m d\theta d\phi \\ = \int_{E_1} d\theta \int_T z^n w^m d\phi = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\chi_f = \chi_{E_1 \times T} \in J^\infty$ by that $L^2(d\theta d\phi) = \bar{J}^2 + I^2$ and the remark (a) in Introduction. Thus by theorem 4, each weak-*closed subalgebra B of $L^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ that contains $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ properly has the form $B = \chi_{E_1 \times T} J^\infty + \chi_{F_1 \times T} L^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ where E_1 is some measurable set of T and $F_1 = T \setminus E_1$. It is known [2] that there exists a maximal uniform closed subalgebra of $C(T^2)$ the set of all complex-valued continuous functions on T^2 , which contains A . But by corollary 2, there is no algebra which contains $H^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$ and is maximal among the proper weak-*closed subalgebras of $L^\infty(d\theta d\phi)$. Moreover as v in corollary 3, we can take $u\bar{w}^r$ (r is a positive real number and $u \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$ and $|u| > 0$), $\chi_E (E = T \times E_2, d\phi(E_2) < 1)$, etc.

(2) Let K be the Bohr compactification of the real line. Let A be the algebra of continuous, complex-valued functions on $T \times K$ which are uniform limits of polynomials in $z^n \chi_\tau$ where

$$(n, \tau) \in \Gamma = \{(n, \tau) : \tau > 0\} \cup \{(n, 0) : n \geq 0\}$$

and denote by χ_τ the characters on K , where τ in the real line. Denote by m the normalized Haar measure on $T \times K$, then A is the weak-*Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty(m)$ [5]. There exist positive nonconstant functions in $L^1(m)$ which are multiplicative on A . $H_0^\infty = zH^\infty(m)$ and J^∞ is the weak-*closure of $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \bar{z}^n H^\infty(m)$. We can show that $\chi_f \in J^\infty$ for every $f \in H^\infty(m)$ as in (1).

(3) Let A be the algebra of continuous, complex-valued functions on $K \times K$ which are uniform limits of polynomials is $\chi_{\tau_1} \chi_{\tau_2}$ where

$$(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \Gamma = \{(\tau_1, \tau_2) : \tau_2 > 0\} \cup \{(\tau_1, 0) : \tau_1 \geq 0\}$$

and denote by χ_{τ_i} the characters on K , where τ_i in the real line. Denote by m the normalized Haar measure on $K \times K$, then A is the weak-* Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty(m)$. Then there exist no positive nonconstant functions in $L^1(m)$ which are multiplicative on A . Let V be the weak-* closure of $\bigcup_{\tau_i \geq 0} \chi_{\tau_i} H^\infty(m)$, then $H^\infty(m) \subsetneq V \subsetneq L^\infty(m)$ and V is a weak-* closed subalgebra. We can show that $\chi_f \in V$ for every $f \in H^\infty(m)$ as in (1). By theorem 3, we can know the form of weak-* closed subalgebras of $L^\infty(m)$ which contains V properly.

References

- [1] K. HOFFMAN: Banach spaces of analytic functions, Prentice-Hall, 1962.
- [2] K. HOFFMAN: Maximal subalgebras of $C(\Gamma)$, Amer. J. Math., 79 (1957), 295-305.
- [3] G. LUMER: H^∞ and the imbedding of the classical H^p spaces in arbitrary ones, Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Function Algebras (Tulane Univ., 1965), Scott-Foresman, Chicago, III., 1966, 285-286.
- [4] S. MERRILL: Maximality of Certain Algebras $H^\infty(dm)$, Math. Zeit., 106 (1968), 261-266.
- [5] S. MERRILL and N. LAL: Characterization of certain invariant subspaces of H^p and L^p spaces derived from logmodular algebras, Pacific J. Math., 30 (1969), 463-474.
- [6] P. S. MUHLY: Maximal weak-*Dirichlet algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 36 (1972), 515-518.
- [7] T. P. SRINIVASAN and Ju-kwei WANG: Weak-*Dirichlet algebras, Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Function Algebras (Tulane Univ., 1965), Scott-Foresman, Chicago, III., 1966, 216-249.

T. Nakazi
 Research Institute of Applied Electricity
 Hokkaido University
 Sapporo, Japan