

On balanced projectives and injectives over linearly compact rings

By Takeshi ONODERA

Dedicated Professor Kiiti Morita on his 60th birthday

(Received September 19, 1975)

Introduction

Let ${}_R M$ be a left R -module over a ring R^1 and C be the biendomorphism ring of ${}_R M$. Then there exists a canonical ring homomorphism δ of R into C which is defined by $\delta(r)(m) = rm$, $r \in R$, $m \in M$. ${}_R M$ is called balanced if δ is an epimorphism. It is shown that Morita-Suzuki's criterion²⁾ for δ to be an isomorphism is easily generalized for modules from the view point of reflexivity. Thus we have the following

THEOREM (THEOREM 1). *Let R, S be two rings. Let ${}_R X$ be a left R -module and ${}_R Z_S$ be a two-sided R - S -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) ${}_R X$ is Z -reflexive.
- (2) (i) The Z -dual of ${}_R X$ is Z -reflexive
(ii) There exists an exact sequence of left R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \prod Z \rightarrow \prod Z,$$

where $\prod Z$'s denote the direct products of copies of Z , though the index sets are generally different³⁾.

Let ${}_R P$ be a finitely generated projective module and ${}_R Q$ be an injective module with essential socle such that each simple homomorphic image of ${}_R P$ is isomorphic to a submodule of ${}_R Q$ and each simple submodule of ${}_R Q$ is a homomorphic image of ${}_R P$. Let S and T be the endomorphism ring of ${}_R P$ and ${}_R Q$, respectively. Then the left S -module ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is an injective cogenerator with the endomorphism ring T , and the $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -dual of ${}_S P^* = \text{Hom}_R(P, R)$ is isomorphic to Q_T ⁴⁾. It is shown that

- 1) In what follows we assume that all rings have an identity element and all modules are unital.
- 2) Cf. [5].
- 3) That is, Z -dominant dimension of $X \geq 2$ in the terminology of [5].
- 4) See Lemma 3 and Theorem 1, [8]. There one can easily replace cofinitely generated injectiveness for ${}_R Q$ by injectiveness with essential socle, as T. Kato pointed out to the author.

${}_S P^*$ is $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -reflexive if and only if ${}_R Q$ satisfies the F_h -condition⁵⁾, that is, $\text{Hom}_R(C, Q)$ is canonically isomorphic to Q , C being the biendomorphism ring of ${}_R Q$ (Theorem 2). Thus, in this case, the endomorphism rings of ${}_S P^*$ and Q_T are isomorphic (Corollary to Theorem 2).

Let R be a left linearly compact ring. Then it is shown that every injective left R -module with essential socle satisfies the F_h -condition (Theorem 3).

As an application of our considerations, we obtain the following theorem which generalizes the results in [3].

THEOREM (THEOREM 4). *Let R be a left linearly compact ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every (faithful) finitely generated projective right R -module is balanced.*
- (2) *Every (faithful) projective right R -module with small radical is balanced.*
- (3) *Every (faithful) cofinitely generated injective left R -module is balanced.*
- (4) *Every (faithful) injective left R -module with essential socle is balanced.*

§ 1. Regular pairing of modules and endomorphism rings

Let R, S be rings and ${}_R X, {}_R Z_S, Y_S$ be left R -, two-sided R - S -, right S -modules, respectively. Suppose that there is a bilinear mapping $X \times Y \rightarrow (,) \in Z$ which satisfies the following condition:

$(x, y) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ implies $y = 0$, and $(x, y) = 0$ for all $y \in Y$ implies $x = 0$.

We call such a pairing a regular pairing. In this case there is a canonical monomorphism $\varphi(\psi)$ of $X(Y)$ into $\text{Hom}_S(Y, Z)(\text{Hom}_R(X, Z))$ which is defined by $\varphi(x)(y) = (x, y)$ ($\psi(y)(x) = (x, y)$) for $x \in X, y \in Y$.

LEMMA 1. *If both φ and ψ are isomorphisms, then the endomorphism rings of ${}_R X$ and Y_S are isomorphic.*

PROOF. 1. Let t be an endomorphism of ${}_R X$. Then, by assumption, t defines an (unique) endomorphism \hat{t} of Y_S by

$$(x, \hat{t}y) = (xt, y), \quad x \in X, y \in Y.$$

Similarly each endomorphism \hat{t} of Y_S defines an (unique) endomorphism t of ${}_R X$ by the above relation. This proves our lemma.

5) Cf. [5].

§ 2. A reflexivity condition for modules

Let ${}_R X$ be a left R -module and ${}_R Z_S$ be a two-sided R - S -module. We denote the Z -dual of ${}_R X$ by $X^* := \text{Hom}_R(X, Z)$, which is considered as a right S -module. Further by X^{**} , X^{***} we denote the Z -dual of X^*_S , The Z -dual of ${}_R X^{**}$, respectively: $X^{**} = \text{Hom}_S(X^*, Z)$, $X^{***} = \text{Hom}_R(X^{**}, Z)$. Then there exists a natural homomorphism δ_X of X into X^{**} which is defined by

$$\delta_X(x)(f) = f(x) \text{ for } x \in X, f \in X^* .$$

When δ_X is an isomorphism (a monomorphism), X is called Z -reflexive (Z -torsionless).

THEOREM 1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) ${}_R X$ is Z -reflexive
- (2) (i) X^*_S is Z -reflexive
- (ii) *There exists an exact sequence of left R -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \prod Z \rightarrow \prod Z ,$$

where $\prod Z$'s denote the direct products of copies of Z , though the index sets are generally different.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2). As is easily verified we have $\delta_X^* \delta_{X^*} = 1_{X^*}$, where $\delta_X^* = \text{Hom}(\delta_X, 1_Z) : X^{***} \rightarrow X^*$. Since δ_X is an isomorphism, δ_X^* , whence δ_{X^*} is an isomorphism. Thus X^* is Z -reflexive. Let $F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of right S -modules, where F_1, F_2 are free right S -modules. Then we have the exact sequence of left R -modules $0 \rightarrow X^{**} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(F_2, Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(F_1, Z)$. Since X is Z -reflexive and $\text{Hom}_S(F_i, Z), i=1, 2$, are isomorphic to direct products of copies of Z , this proves the assertion (ii).

(2) \Rightarrow (1). From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \prod Z \rightarrow \prod Z$, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X^{**}, X) & \rightarrow & \prod \text{Hom}_R(X^{**}, Z) & \rightarrow & \prod \text{Hom}_R(X^{**}, Z) \\ & & \text{Hom}(\delta_X, 1_X) \downarrow & & \prod \delta_X^* \downarrow & & \prod \delta_X^* \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, X) & \rightarrow & \prod \text{Hom}_R(X, Z) & \rightarrow & \prod \text{Hom}_R(X, Z) . \end{array}$$

Since, by assumption, δ_{X^*} , whence δ_X^* is an isomorphism, $\prod \delta_X^*$'s are isomorphisms. It follows that $\text{Hom}(\delta_X, 1_X)$ is an isomorphism. Let φ be an element of $\text{Hom}_R(X^{**}, X)$ such that $\varphi \cdot \delta_X = 1_X$. Then φ is an epimorphism, and $\delta_X^* \varphi^* = 1_{X^*}$, where $\varphi^* = \text{Hom}(\varphi, 1_Z)$. Since δ_X^* is an isomorphism, together with the relation $\delta_X^* \delta_{X^*} = 1_{X^*}$, we have $\varphi^* = \delta_{X^*}$. Let $g \in X^{**}$ such that $\varphi(g) = 0$. Then we have $f(\varphi(g)) = 0$ for all $f \in X^*$. But $\{f\varphi = \varphi^*(f) | f \in X^*\} = \{\delta_{X^*}(f) |$

$f \in X^*\} = X^{***}$, because δ_{X^*} is an isomorphism. Since X^{**} is, as the Z -dual of X^* , Z -torsionless, it follows that $g=0$. Thus φ is a monomorphism, whence an isomorphism. It follows that δ_X is an isomorphism, that is, ${}_R X$ is Z -reflexive.

Let ${}_R M$ be a left R -module, S the endomorphism ring of ${}_R M$, and, C be the endomorphism ring of the right S -module M_S . Then by setting ${}_R X = {}_R R$, ${}_R Z_S = {}_R M_S$ in Theorem 1 we have the following

COROLLARY (Morita-Suzuki). *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) ${}_R M$ is faithful and balanced
- (2) (i) $\text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ is isomorphic to M under the mapping $\text{Hom}_R(C, M) \ni f \rightarrow f(1) \in M$.
- (ii) There exists an exact sequence of left R -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \Pi M \rightarrow \Pi M, \quad \text{that is,}$$

$$M\text{-dominant dimension of } {}_R R \geq 2.$$

The condition (i) in (2) is called the F_n -condition for ${}_R M$.

§ 3. Generalized RZ-pairs

Let ${}_R P$ be a finitely generated projective module and ${}_R Q$ be an injective module with an essential socle. We call the pair $\{P, Q\}$ forms a generalized RZ-pair if every simple homomorphic image of ${}_R P$ is isomorphic to a submodule of ${}_R Q$, and, every simple submodule of ${}_R Q$ is a homomorphic image of ${}_R P$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\{P, Q\}$ forms a generalized RZ-pair and S, T be the endomorphism rings of ${}_R P, {}_R Q$, respectively. Then the left S -module ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is an injective cogenerator and the endomorphism ring of ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is naturally isomorphic to T . Further, the $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -dual of ${}_S P^*$ is isomorphic to Q_T , where P^* is the R -dual of P : $P^* = \text{Hom}_R(P, R)$.*

PROOF. The first assertion follows from Theorem 1, [9], while the latter assertions follows from Lemma 2, [9].

THEOREM 2. *Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 2, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) ${}_S P^*$ is $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -reflexive
- (2) ${}_R Q$ satisfies the F_n -condition
- (3) Q_T is $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -reflexive.

PROOF. Let C be the endomorphism ring of Q_T . The $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -

dual of Q_T , $\text{Hom}_T(Q, \text{Hom}_R(P, Q))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)^6$, and, the $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -dual of ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, C)$ is $\text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(P, C), \text{Hom}_R(P, Q))$, which is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_R(C, Q)$ by Lemma 2, [9]. Thus we see that Q_T is $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -reflexive if and only if ${}_RQ$ satisfies the F_h -condition. This proves the equivalence (2) \Leftrightarrow (3).

On the other hand, since ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is an injective cogenerator and Q_T is the $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -dual of ${}_SP^*$, we see that ${}_SP^*$ is $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -reflexive if and only if Q_T is $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -reflexive by Theorem 1. This implies the equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (3).

COROLLARY. *If one of the equivalence conditions in Theorem 2 is satisfied, then P_R^* is balanced if and only if ${}_RQ$ is balanced.*

PROOF. Since ${}_RP$ is finitely generated projective, the endomorphism ring of P_R^* is isomorphic to S^7 . Consider the regular pairing of ${}_SP^*$ and Q_T in ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ which is defined by

$$(f, q)(p) = f(p)q, \quad f \in P^*, \quad q \in Q, \quad p \in P.$$

This is a regular pairing by Lemma 3, [9]. Further, by assumption, ${}_SP^*$, Q_T are the $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -dual of each others. The corollary follows then direct from Lemma 1.

§ 4. Injective modules with essential socles over linearly compact rings.

Let ${}_RM$ be a left R -module. ${}_RM$ is called linearly compact if every finitely solvable system of congruences

$$x \equiv m_\alpha \pmod{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in I,$$

is solvable, where m_α 's are elements of ${}_RM$, \mathcal{U}_α 's are submodules of ${}_RM$, and I is an index set. A ring R is called left (right) linearly compact if ${}_R R$ (R_R) is linearly compact. It is known that a one-sided linearly compact ring is a semi-perfect ring⁸⁾.

LEMMA 3. *Let R be a left linearly compact ring and ${}_RQ$ be a quasi-injective left R -module with an essential socle. Let S be the endomorphism ring of ${}_RQ$. For every natural number n , we define the bilinear mapping $[,]$ of $R^{(n)} \times Q^{(n)}$ into ${}_RQ_S$ by $[(r_1, \dots, r_n), (q_1, \dots, q_n)] = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i q_i \in Q$, where $R^{(n)}$,*

6) Cf. [1], p. 32, Exercise 4.

7) Cf. [6], Folgerung 2, Beweis.

8) Cf. [7], Corollary to Theorem 5.

$Q^{(n)}$ are the direct sums of n -copies of R, Q , respectively. Then for every S -submodule \mathcal{U} of $Q^{(n)}$, we have $\text{Ann}_{Q^{(n)}}(\text{Ann}_{R^{(n)}}(\mathcal{U})) = \mathcal{U}$, where, as usual, $\text{Ann}_Y(X)$ denotes the annihilator of X in Y with respect to the given bilinear mapping⁹⁾.

PROOF. Let $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ be an element of $\text{Ann}_{Q^{(n)}}(\text{Ann}_{R^{(n)}}(\mathcal{U}))$. Then we have $\text{Ann}_{R^{(n)}}(q) \supseteq \text{Ann}_{R^{(n)}}(\mathcal{U}) = \bigcap_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \text{Ann}_{R^{(n)}}(u)$. Since $R^{(n)}/\text{Ann}_{R^{(n)}}(q)$ is R -isomorphic to the submodule $[R^{(n)}, q]$ of Q , which is, as a homomorphic image of $R^{(n)}$, linearly compact¹⁰⁾, whence cofinitely generated¹¹⁾, there exists a finite number of elements u_1, \dots, u_t of \mathcal{U} such that $\text{Ann}_{R^{(n)}}(q) \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^t \text{Ann}_{R^{(n)}}(u_i)$. Let $X = \{([r, u_1], \dots, [r, u_t]) \mid r \in R^{(n)}\}$ and define the well defined R -homomorphism φ of X into $Q^{(n)}$:

$$X \ni ([r, u_1], \dots, [r, u_t]) \xrightarrow{\varphi} [r, q] \in Q.$$

Since ${}_R Q$ is quasi-injective, φ is extended to an R -homomorphism of $Q^{(n)}$ into Q . Thus there exist elements s_1, \dots, s_n of S such that $[r, q] = [r, \sum_{i=1}^t u_i s_i]$ for all $r \in R^{(n)}$, and, from which we have $q = \sum_{i=1}^t u_i s_i \in \mathcal{U}$.

THEOREM 3. Let ${}_R Q$ be an injective left R -module with an essential socle over a left linearly compact ring R . Let S be the endomorphism ring of ${}_R Q$ and C the endomorphism ring of Q_S . Then Q is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_R(C, Q)$ under the mapping $\text{Hom}_R(C, Q) \ni f \rightarrow f(1)$, that is, ${}_R Q$ satisfies the F_h -condition. Further, the left C -module ${}_C Q$ is injective.

PROOF. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(C, Q)$. Then for each $c \in C$, we have $f(c) \in {}_C Q$. Because if $f(c) \notin {}_C Q$ then by Lemma 3 there exists an element $r \in R$ such that $rcQ = 0, rf(c) \neq 0$. But this is a contradiction. Let $f(c) = cq_c, c \in C, q_c \in Q$. Then again by Lemma 3 we see that the system of congruences,

$$x \equiv q_c \pmod{\text{Ann}_Q(c)}, \quad c \in C$$

is finitely solvable. Since Q_S is linearly compact¹²⁾, there exists an element $q_0 \in Q$ such that $q_0 \equiv q_c \pmod{\text{Ann}_Q(c)}$ for all $c \in C$. This implies that $cq_0 = f(c), c \in C$, and, from which it is easy to see that ${}_R Q$ satisfies the F_h -condition. The last assertion is also proved in a similar way.

9) Cf. [8], Proposition 4.

10) Cf. [7], Proposition 8.

11) Cf. [7], Proposition 3.

12) Cf. [8], Proposition 4.

§ 5. An application

As an application of our considerations we have the following

THEOREM 4. *Let R be a left linearly compact ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every (faithful) finitely generated projective right R -module is balanced.*
- (2) *Every (faithful) projective right R -module with small radical is balanced.*
- (3) *Every (faithful) cofinitely generated injective left R -module is balanced.*
- (4) *Every (faithful) injective left R -module with essential socle is balanced.*

PROOF. It is obvious that (2) implies (1) and (4) implies (3). (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let P_R be a (faithful) projective right R -module with small radical. P_R is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} e_\alpha R$, where e_α 's are primitive idempotents of R . Let $e_{\alpha_1} R, \dots, e_{\alpha_t} R$ be a complete set of representatives of non-isomorphic modules of $\{e_\alpha R; \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. Then $P_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t e_{\alpha_i} R$ is a (faithful) finitely generated projective module and $P = P_0 \oplus P_1$, where P_0 generates and cogenerates P_1 . Our assertion follows then from Lemma, [3]. (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let P_R be a (faithful) finitely generated projective right R -module, and, S be the endomorphism ring of P_R . Let ${}_R Q$ be a cofinitely generated injective left R -module such that $\{{}_R P^*, {}_R Q\}$ forms an RZ -pair¹³⁾. In this case, if P_R is faithful, then ${}_R Q$ is also faithful¹⁴⁾. Our assertion follows then from Corollary to Theorem 2, because P_R is R -reflexive and ${}_R Q$ is balanced. (1) \Rightarrow (3). Let ${}_R Q$ be a (faithful) injective left R -module with essential socle. Let ${}_R P$ be a finitely generated projective left R -module such that $\{{}_R P, {}_R Q\}$ forms a generalized RZ -pair. In this case, if ${}_R Q$ is faithful, then P_R^* is also faithful. Further, the endomorphism ring of P_R^* is isomorphic to that of ${}_R P$ because ${}_R P$ is finitely generated projective. Since, by Theorem 3, ${}_R Q$ satisfies the F_h -condition, our assertion follows also from Corollary to Theorem 2.

COROLLARY¹⁵⁾. *Let R be a left artinian ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

13) Cf. [8], § 2.

14) Cf. [8], Lemma 3.

15) Cf. [3].

- (1) *Every (faithful) finitely generated projective right R -module is balanced.*
- (2) *Every (faithful) projective right R -module is balanced.*
- (3) *Every (faithful) cofinitely generated injective left R -module is balanced.*
- (4) *Every (faithful) injective left R -module is balanced.*

ADDENDUM :

Recently K. Morita has sent the author his unpublished manuscript titled "Localization in category of modules IV", where one can see that our Theorem 1 is also obtained independently.

References

- [1] H. CARTAN and S. EILENBERG: Homological algebra, Princeton (1956).
- [2] K. R. FULLER: On indecomposable injectives over artinian rings, Pacific J. Math. 29 (1969), 115-135.
- [3] K. R. FULLER: Double centralizers of injectives and projectives over artinian rings, Illinois J. Math. 14 (1970), 658-664.
- [4] T. KATO: U -distinguished modules, J. Algebra 25 (1973), 15-24.
- [5] K. MORITA: Quotient rings, Ring Theory, Academic Press (1972), 275-285.
- [6] T. ONODERA: Ein Satz über koendlich erzeugte RZ-Moduln, Tohoku Math. J. Vol. 23 (1971), 691-695.
- [7] T. ONODERA: Linearly compact modules and cogenerators, J. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I. No. 3, 4 (1972), 116-125.
- [8] T. ONODERA: Linearly compact modules and cogenerators II, Hokkaido Math. J. Vol. II, No 2 (1973), 243-251.
- [9] H. TACHIKAWA: Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 351 Springer (1973).

Department of Mathematics,
Hokkaido University