# Certain free cyclic group actions on homotopy spheres, bounding parallelizable manifolds

By Yoshinobu KAMISHIMA (Received March 24, 1979)

### Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study free cyclic group actions on homotopy spheres constructed by S. Weintraub [3]. He applied an equivariant plumbing technique to construct semifree cyclic group actions on highlyconnected 4k-dimensional manifolds. The boundaries of these manifolds are elements of  $bP_{4k}$  and they admit free  $Z_p$ -actions for any integer p. We call these "Weintraub's actions".

On the other hand, it is well known that López De Medrano [1] constructed free involutions on homotopy spheres with non-trivial Browder-Liversay invariants. It is apparent that López's construction cannot be applied to get any other free cyclic group actions except involutions. However, we shall prove that certain examples of López's involutions on homotopy spheres of  $bP_{4k}$  extend to free  $Z_{2q}$ -actions for any q which are realized by "Weintraub's actions" raised above. This is the main motivation of this research.

The results are summarized as follows. One of the properties about Weintraub's actions has been found in [3, Theorem 1.7] and in §2, we state this in an alternative form for our argument.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that p is any integer. Choose a unimodular, even, symmetric matrix A and denote  $\sigma(A)$  its index. For any  $k \ge 2$  and collection  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  with  $(a_i, p)=1$ , there is a free  $Z_p$ -action  $T_A$  on a homotopy sphere  $\Sigma_A \in bP_{4k}$  the Atiyah-Singer invariant of which has the form

$$\sigma(T_A, \Sigma_A^{4k-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1+t^{a_i}}{1-t^{a_i}}\right)^2 - \sigma(A) .$$

Here  $\Sigma_A = \sigma(A)/8\Sigma_1$ , where  $\Sigma_1$  is the generator of  $bP_{4k}$  and  $t = \exp(2\pi i/p)$ .

Hereafter, by  $(T_A, \Sigma_A)$  we denote the free  $Z_p$ -action on the homotopy sphere constructed for any p and A under the assumptions of Theorem 1. As to the normal cobordism classes of such actions, we shall prove the following result. THEOREM 2. Let  $T_A$  be a free  $Z_p$ -action on  $\Sigma_A$ . Then, there exists a homotopy equivalence

$$f: \Sigma_A/T_A \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k, a_1, \cdots, a_k)$$

such that the normal invariant  $\eta(f)$  is zero in

$$\left[L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k, a_1, \cdots, a_k), G/O\right],$$

where  $L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is the (4k-1)-dimensional standard lens space.

In § 3, we look at the effect on the action of choosing a different matrix with the same index.

THEOREM 4. Suppose that p is any odd integer or 2, 4 and 6. Let  $T_{A_i}$  be a free  $Z_p$ -action on a homotopy sphere  $\Sigma_{A_i}$  for i=1, 2. Then,  $\Sigma_{A_1}/T_{A_1}$  is h-cobordant to  $\Sigma_{A_2}/T_{A_2}$  if and only if  $\sigma(A_1) = \sigma(A_2)$ .

As to the López's involutions, a difficulty lies in determing the differentiable structures of constructed homotopy spheres, and in general they are unknown. But P. Orlik and C. P. Rourke [2] showed, using López's construction, that for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exists a homotopy sphere  $\Sigma_i^{4k-1}(=i\Sigma_i)$ , bounding a parallelizable manifold  $M_i$ , and an involution  $T_i$  such that the Browder-Livesay invariant  $I(T_i, \Sigma_i) = \sigma(M_i) = 8i$ . When we concentrate our attension on these López's involutions, in § 4 we have the following.

THEOREM 6. Suppose that p=2q  $(q\geq 1)$ . There exists a free  $Z_p$ -action  $T_A$  on  $\Sigma_A \in bP_{4k}$  which satisfies that if we restrict this action to the  $Z_2$ -action on  $\Sigma_A$ , then  $(T_i, \Sigma_i)$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $(T_A^q, \Sigma_A)$ .

S. Weintraub kindly informed me that instead of lens space

 $L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ 

one can use lens spaces  $L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \dots, a_{2k})$  with  $(a_i, p) = 1$  for  $i=1, \dots, 2k$  (see Remark 4.1).

#### 1. Constructions of $Z_p$ -actions

This section is devoted to the preliminaries of proofs of Theorem 1, 2. We shall present some notations which will be used frequently.

Let  $D^{2k}(S^{2k-1})(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be the unit disk (sphere) in  $C^k$  with the  $Z_p$ -action  $t(z_1, \dots, z_k) = (t^{a_1} z_1, \dots, t^{a_k} z_k), t = \exp(2\pi i/p).$ 

Let  $S^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be the suspension of  $S^{2k-1}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ , *i.e.*, the unit sphere in  $C^k \times R$  with the  $Z_p$ -action  $t(z_1, \dots, z_k, x) = (t^{a_1}z_1, \dots, t^{a_k}z_k, x)$ . By  $L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  we denote the (4k-1)-dimensional lens space

Y. Kamishima

with the type  $(a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . Sometimes, we write simply,

$$\begin{split} L(p) &= L^{4k-1}(p) = L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, a_1) = L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k, a_1, \cdots, a_k), \\ L^{4k-1}(p, -a_1, a_1) &= L^{4k-1}(p, -a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k), \\ D^{2k}(p, a_1) &= D^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k), \\ S^{2k}(p, a_1) &= S^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k), \\ \end{split}$$

The following two lemmas are crucial for our argument. Lemma 1.1 is the special case of [3, Lemma 1.6], but it is sufficient to our need.

LEMMA 1.1. For any integer  $k \ge 2$  and collection  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  with  $(a_i, p) = 1$ , there are  $D^{2k}$ -bundles  $E_+$ ,  $E_0$  and  $E_-$  over  $S^{2k}$  with semi-free  $Z_p$ -actions T satisfying

(1) T is a bundle map preserving the 0-section.

(2) The action T on the 0-section is  $S^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and T has no fixed points outside the 0-section.

(3) The normal representations of each fixed point are

$$\begin{array}{ll} (i) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D^{2k}(p, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}) \times D^{2k}(p, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}) \\ D^{2k}(p, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}) \times D^{2k}(p, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}) \end{array} \right\} & for \ E_{+} \ , \\ (ii) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D^{2k}(p, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}) \times D^{2k}(p, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}) \\ D^{2k}(p, -a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k}) \times D^{2k}(p, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}) \end{array} \right\} & for \ E_{0} \ , \\ (iii) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D^{2k}(p, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}) \times D^{2k}(p, -a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k}) \\ D^{2k}(p, -a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k}) \times D^{2k}(p, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}) \end{array} \right\} & for \ E_{-} \ . \end{array}$$

Here  $D^{2k}(p, -) \times D^{2k}(p, -)$  is a local trivialization around a fixed point.

(4) The Euler classes of bundles  $E_+$ ,  $E_0$  and  $E_-$  are taken to be 2, 0 and -2 mod any multiple of 2p times respectively. Furthermore, these bundles are stably trivial.

We write simply E for one of the above bundles. E has two isolated fixed points. Let denote  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  the equivariant tubular neighborhoods of the fixed points in E. It follows from (3) that  $N_i/Z_p$  is diffeomorphic to  $L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, a_1)$  or to  $L^{4k-1}(p, -a_1, a_1)$ . Put  $W = E - int \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^2 N_i \right\} / Z_p$ .

LEMMA 1.2. W defines a "normal cobordism" between  $\partial E/Z_p$  and  $\left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \partial N_i/Z_p \right\}$ , i.e., there is a normal map  $H: W \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p)$  covered by a bundle map  $b: v_W \longrightarrow v_{L(p)}$ , where  $v_W, v_{L(p)}$  are stable normal bundles of W,  $L^{4k-1}(p)$  respectively (note that H is not a degree 1 map). Moreover, the map  $H_-$  of the boundary components  $\partial_-W = \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \partial N_i/Z_p \right\}$  onto  $L^{4k-1}(p)$ 

Y. Kamishima

is either the identity map or the orientation reversing diffeomorphism. Here, the latter diffeomorphism is settled in the proof of the lemma.

PROOF OF THE LEMMA 1.1. Let

 $d: S^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k) \longrightarrow S^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k) \times S^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k)$ 

be the diagonal embedding which is invariant under the action. Let

$$H_{2k}(S^{2k} \times S^{2k}) = \langle \alpha \rangle + \langle \beta \rangle$$

with the first factor representing  $\alpha$  and the second representing  $\beta$ . For any  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we take |l|-embedded spheres  $S^{2k}$ 's in the free part of

$$S^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k) \times S^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k)$$

each of which represents  $\beta$ . Taking their equivariant connected sum with  $d(S^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k))$ , *i. e.*,

$$d(S^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)) = |l| S^{2k} \subset S^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k) \times S^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k),$$

we have a stably trivial normal bundle  $E_+$  over  $S^{2k}$  which is invariant under the action.  $E_+$  has the Euler class

$$\chi(E_{+}) = \left(\alpha + (pl+1)\beta\right) \cdot \left(\alpha + (pl+1)\beta\right) = 2 + 2pl.$$

Clearly,  $E_+$  satisfies (1), (2) and (3).

Let g be the equivariant diffeomorphism of  $S^{2k-1}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  onto  $S^{2k-1}(p, -a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$  defined by  $g(z_1, \dots, z_k) = (\bar{z}_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)$ . Here  $\bar{z}$  is the conjugate of z in C. Denote the 2k-dimensional sphere with a  $Z_p$ -action obtained by attaching  $D^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  to  $D^{2k}(p, -a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$  by means of g by

$$S_1^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k) = D^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k) \bigcup_g D^{2k}(p, -a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$$

which is again  $S^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . We also define equivariant embeddings

$$d': S_1^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k) \longrightarrow S_1^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k) \times S_1^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k)$$

and

$$\iota: S_1^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} S_1^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k) \times S^{2k}(p, a_1, \cdots, a_k)$$

by setting

$$egin{aligned} d'ig((oldsymbol{z_1},\,\cdots,\,oldsymbol{z_k},\,x)ig) &=ig((oldsymbol{z_1},\,\cdots,\,oldsymbol{z_k},\,x),\,\,(ar{oldsymbol{z_1}},\,oldsymbol{z_2},\,\cdots,\,oldsymbol{z_k},\,x)ig)\,,\ \iota(oldsymbol{z}) &=ig(oldsymbol{z_1},\,oldsymbol{x_l},\,x_l)\,, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(z_1, \dots, z_k, x)$ ,  $z \in S_1^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and  $x_1$  is a fixed point of  $S^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . Making use of d',  $\iota$ , we obtain the desired bundles  $E_-$ ,  $E_0$  accordingly.

PROOF OF LEMMA 1.2. The fixed points of  $S^{2k}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  are written as  $x_1 = (\overline{0}, 1)$ ,  $x_2 = (\overline{0}, -1)$ ,  $\overline{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in C^k$ . Denote the equivariant tubular neighborhood of  $x_i$  in E by  $N_i$  for i=1, 2, as before. Make  $N_i$  small to be contained in  $D^{2k} \times D^{2k}$  of (3) of Lemma 1.1. From the construction of E, there exists an equivariant embedding

$$i: E \subseteq S^{2k}(p, a_1) \times S^{2k}(p, a_1) \subseteq D^{2k+1}(p, a_1) \times D^{2k+1}(p, a_1)$$
.

It is easily seen that the equivariant normal bundle  $\nu_i$  of E in

$$D^{2k+1}(p, a_1) \times D^{2k+1}(p, a_1)$$

is trivial, *i.e.*,  $\nu_i = E \times D^1 \times D^1$ , and the action on the part  $D^1 \times D^1$  of  $\nu_i$  is trivial. Then, we have an embedding

$$E - \operatorname{int}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} N_{i}\right\} \subset D^{2k+1}(p, a_{1}) \times D^{2k+1}(p, a_{1}) - (\overline{0} \times D^{1}) \times (\overline{0} \times D^{1})$$
  

$$\cong (D^{2k}(p, a_{1}) \times D^{2k}(p, a_{1}) - \overline{0} \times \overline{0}) \times D^{1} \times D^{1}.$$

It induces an embedding of the quotient spaces

. .

$$i: W \subseteq L^{4k-1}(p) \times I \times D^1 \times D^1$$

which has a trivial normal bundle. Hence this defines a "normal cobordism", *i. e.*, there is a normal map  $H: W \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p)$  which is covered by a bundle map  $b: \nu_W \longrightarrow \nu_L$ . Comparing with (3) of Lemma 1.1 and looking at the inclusion maps of the boundary components carefully, the map H of  $D^{2k} \times D^{2k} - \operatorname{int} N_i/Z_p$  onto  $L^{4k-1}(p)$  is as follows with respect to  $E_+$ ,  $E_0$  and  $E_-$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} (i) & D^{2k}(p, a_1) \times D^{2k}(p, a_1) - \operatorname{int} N_i/Z_p = L^{4k-1}(p) \times I \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p) \\ & H = Pr \cdot (1 \times 1) , \\ & H_- = id \ on \ \partial N_i/Z_p = L^{4k-1}(p) & for \ i = 1, 2 . \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} (ii) & D^{2k}(p, a_1) \times D^{2k}(p, a_1) - \operatorname{int} N_1/Z_p \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p) \\ & H = Pr \cdot (1 \times 1) , & H_- = id \ on \ \partial N_1/Z_p = L^{4k-1}(p) , \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} D^{2k}(p, -a_1) \times D^{2k}(p, a_1) - \operatorname{int} N_2/Z_p \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p) \\ & H = Pr \cdot (c \times 1) , & H_- = c \times 1 \ on \ \partial N_2/Z_p = L^{4k-1}(p, -a_1, a_1) , \end{array}$$

where c is the map induced from the map  $\tilde{c}$  of  $D^{2k}(p, -a_1)$  onto  $D^{2k}(p, a_1)$  defined by  $\tilde{c}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (\bar{z}_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)$ .

(iii) 
$$D^{2k}(p, a_1) \times D^{2k}(p, -a_1) - \operatorname{int} N_1/Z_p \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p)$$
  
 $H = Pr \cdot (1 \times c), \quad H_- = 1 \times c \text{ on } \partial N_1/Z_p = L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, -a_1),$   
 $D^{2k}(p, -a_1) \times D^{2k}(p, a_1) - \operatorname{int} N_2/Z_p \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p)$   
 $H = Pr \cdot (c \times 1), \quad H_- = c \times 1 \text{ on } \partial N_1/Z_p = L^{4k-1}(p, -a_1, a_1).$ 

Next, we consider to plumb bundles equivariantly at a fixed point or at a free point of the actions. In particular, our aim is to consider plumbings on the quotient spaces.

LEMMA 1.3. Suppose that  $E^{i}$ 's are plumbed one after another at a fixed point on each (i.e., the graph is a tree) and denote M' its resulting manifold. Let N(pts) be the tubular neighborhoods of the fixed points in M', so that they are a union of  $N_i$ 's of Lemma 1.2 for i=1, 2. Then the cobordism  $V' = M' - \operatorname{int} N(pts)/Z_p$  defines a normal cobordism  $G': V' \longrightarrow L(p)$  between  $\partial M'/Z_p$  and  $\{\bigcup_{F} \partial N_i/Z_p, i=1, 2\}$  covered by a bundle map

 $b': \nu_{V'} \longrightarrow \nu_{L(p)}$ .

Here F is the set of fixed points.

Under the situation of Lemma 1.3, we shall prove

LEMMA 1.4. If we do further plumbings in the free part of the action in M', and if we denote its manifold by M, then the resulring cobordism  $V = M - \operatorname{int} N(pts)/Z_p$  defines a normal cobordism  $G: V \longrightarrow L(p)$  between  $\partial M/Z_p$  and  $\{\bigcup_{F} \partial N_i/Z_p, i=1, 2\}$ . The map G on the boundary components  $\{\bigcup_{F} \partial N_i/Z_p, i=1, 2\}$  is unchanged, i. e., =G'.

PROOF OF LEMMA 1.3. The normal representations (3) of Lemma 1.1 inform us how to plumb two bundles together equivariantly, *i. e.*, around a fixed point, the two spaces  $D^{2k} \times D^{2k}$  are equivariantly diffeomorphic by the map  $h: D^{2k} \times D^{2k} \longrightarrow D^{2k} \times D^{2k}$ , h(x, y) = (y, x). When we consider plumbings on the quotient spaces, plumbing  $E^1$  with  $E^2$  together equivariantly at a fixed point (for example, at  $x_2 \in N_2 \subset E^1$  and  $x_1 \in N_1 \subset E^2$ ) is equivalent to taking  $E^1 - \operatorname{int} \{N_1 \cup N_2\}/T \cup E^2 - \operatorname{int} \{N_1 \cup N_2\}/T$  and identifying  $D^{2k} \times D^{2k} - \operatorname{int} N_2/Z_p$  with  $D^{2k} \times D^{2k} - \operatorname{int} N_1/Z_p$  by the induced map h' from h. If we put the manifold M' when  $E^1$  and  $E^2$  are plumbed as above, the resulting cobordism V' is  $V' = M' - \operatorname{int} \{N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_2\}/Z_p$ , where the first  $N_1, N_2$  are in  $E^1$  and the last  $N_2$  in  $E^2$ , and  $N_1$  in  $E^2$  is identified with  $N_2$  in  $E^1$ . In view of (i), (ii) and (iii) in the proof of Lemma 1.2, the following diagram is commutative:

The commutative diagram (1) is compatible with the bundle maps b of the stable normal bundles which cover H. Therefore, V' defines a normal cobordism between  $\partial M'/Z_p$  and  $\{\partial N_1/Z_p, \partial N_2/Z_p, \partial N_2/Z_p\}$ .

Further, if  $E^2$  is plumbed with  $E^3$  equivariantly at the unused fixed point in  $E^2$ , the diagram (1) holds around the unused fixed point, so the resulting cobordism also defines a normal cobordism. Proceeding in this way, we finish the proof of the lemma.

PROOF OF LEMMA 1.4. We do further plumbings in the free part of the action on M'. This can be done by taking two disjoint trivializations  $D_i^{2k} \times D_i^{2k} \subset V'$  and then identifying  $D_1^{2k} \times D_1^{2k}$  with  $D_2^{2k} \times D_2^{2k}$  by the map  $h(x,y) = (y, x), h: D_1 \times D_1 \longrightarrow D_2 \times D_2$ . Lifting gives p-plumbings in the cover M'. Denote its manifold by M. If  $(G', b'): V' \longrightarrow L(p)$  is a normal map of Lemma 1.3, we can arrange, using the homotopy extension theorem, that  $G'|D_1 \times D_1 = (G'|D_2 \times D_2)h$  without changing on the boundary components  $\{\bigcup_{F} \partial N_i/Z_p, i=1, 2\}$ . Let V be the resulting cobordism when we identify  $D_1 \times D_1$  with  $D_2 \times D_2$  by h. Then,  $V = M - \operatorname{int} N(pts)/Z_p$ . The above compactibility defines a map  $G: V \longrightarrow L(p)$ . By choosing a bundle equivalence of  $\nu_{T'}|D_1 \times D_1$  with  $\nu_{T'}|D_2 \times D_2$  covering h, we may arrange, using the bundle covering homotopy theorem, that  $b'|(\nu_{T'}|D_1 \times D_1)$  and  $b'|(\nu_{T'}|D_2 \times D_2)$  are compatible to give a bundle map  $b: \nu_T \longrightarrow \nu_L$ . Hence  $G: V \longrightarrow L(p)$  is a normal map. Repeating further plumbings in the free part of the action as above, the above argument also holds. Therefore, this proves the lemma.

## 2. Proofs of Theorem 1 and 2

We shall recall a usefull algebraic result.

DEFINITION. Suppose that p is any integer. Let A and B be unimodular, even, symmetric matrices of the same rank. We say that A is 'congruent mod p' with B if there exists a matrix H, det  $H=\pm 1$ , such that  $A\equiv^{t}H\cdot B\cdot H \mod p$ .

Then, by [3, Lemma 1.5], it follows that

(\*) Any two unimodular, even, symmetric matrices of the same rank are congruent mod p.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that p is any integer. Choose a unimodular, even, symmetric matrix A of rank 2m  $(m \ge 2)$  in the congruence class mod 2p and denote  $\sigma(A)$  its index. Then, for any  $k \ge 2$  and collection  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ with  $(a_i, p)=1$ , there is a free  $Z_p$ -action  $T_A$  on a homotopy sphere  $\Sigma_A \in bP_{4k}$ the Atiyah-Singer invariant of which has the form

$$\sigma(T_{A}^{j}, \Sigma_{A}^{4k-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( \frac{1 + (t^{j})^{a_{i}}}{1 - (t^{j})^{a_{i}}} \right)^{2} - \sigma(A) .$$

Here,  $\Sigma_A = \sigma(A)/8\Sigma_1$ , the connected sum of  $\sigma(A)/8$ -copies of  $\Sigma_1$ 's, where  $\Sigma_1$ 

is the generator of  $bP_{4k}$ , and  $t = \exp(2\pi i/p)$ .

THEOREM 2. Let  $T_A$  be a free  $Z_p$ -action on  $\Sigma_A$ . Then, there exists a homotopy equivalence  $f: \Sigma_A/T_A \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p)$  such that the normal invariant  $\eta(f)$  is zero in  $[L^{4k-1}(p), G/O]$ , i.e.,  $\Sigma_A/T_A$  has the same normal cobordism class as  $L^{4k-1}(p)$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $P_{2m}$  be the symmetric, unimodular, even, matrix of rank 2m  $(m \ge 2)$  defined in [3],

$$P_{2m} = \begin{cases} 2 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & -2 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & 0 & -2 & 1 & \\ & & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows from (\*) that there exists a matrix H, det  $H = \pm 1$  such that

(2.1) 
$$\mathbf{P}_{2m} \equiv {}^{t}H \cdot A \cdot H \mod 2p.$$

Rearrange (2.1) as follows.

satisfying that  $x_{ii} \equiv 2 (2p)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $x_{m+1 \ m+1} \equiv 0 (2p)$  and  $x_{ii} \equiv -2 (2p)$  for  $m+2 \leq i \leq 2m$ , and each entry of \* in Y is a multiple of p-times.

We can take bundles  $E^{i}$ 's,  $i=1, \dots, 2m$  from Lemma 1.1 each of which satisfies  $\chi(E^i) = x_{ii}$ , plumbing  $E^i$  with  $E^{i+1}$  together equivariantly at a fixed point of the  $Z_p$ -action on each. We can do this equivariantly from the normal representations (3) of Lemma 1.1 since  $E^i$  is the type  $E_+$  untill i=m,  $E^{m+1}$  is the type  $E_0$ , and  $E^i$  is the type  $E_-$  for  $i=m+2, \dots, 2m$ . Thus we obtain a  $Z_p$ -manifold with boundary M' which has the plumbing matrix X. M' has (2m+1)-isolated fixed points. Let N((2m+1)pts) be the equivariant tubular neighborhoods of (2m+1)-fixed points in M' and put

$$V' = M' - \operatorname{int} N((2m+1) pts) / Z_p.$$

By Lemma 1.3, there is a normal map  $G': V' \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p)$  between  $\{\bigcup_{F} \partial N_i/Z_p, i=1, 2\}$  and  $\partial M'/Z_p$ . Looking at the boundary components, we see that

$$\left(G' \left| \left\{ \bigcup_{F} \partial N_i / Z_p \right\}, \left\{ \bigcup_{F} \partial N_i / Z_p \right\} \right) \\ = \left( (m+1) \left( L(p), id \right) \cup m \left( L(p, -a_i, a_i), c \times 1 \right) \right),$$

so that G' has degree 1. So far, M' is simply connected, and  $\pi_1(V') = Z_p$ . Hence  $G': V' \longrightarrow L(p)$  is a normal map in the usual sense. Now, to realize Y, all other plumbings in M' must be done by a multiple of p-times. We can do them equivariantly in the free part of  $E^{i}$ 's of the action. We have a manifold with boundary M which admits a  $Z_p$ -action with (2m+1)-fixed points inside M. We then put

$$V = M - \operatorname{int} N((2m+1) pts)/Z_p$$
.

It follows from Lemma 1.4 that

(1) there is a normal map  $G: V \longrightarrow L(p)$  between  $\partial M/Z_p$  and

$$\left\{(m+1)\left(L(p), id\right) \cup m\left(L(p, -a_1, a_1), c \times 1\right)\right\}$$

(of course, G has degree 1).

From the standard theory of plumbing, it follows that M is connected,  $\pi_1(\partial M) = \pi_1(M)$  is free, and

$$H_i(\partial M) = H_i(M) = 0$$
 for  $1 < i < 2k-1$ ,  $H_{2k-1}(M) = 0$ .

Put  $(G|\partial_+ V, \partial_+ V) = (f', \partial M/Z_p)$ . Since f' has degree 1, so  $\pi_1(f') = 0$ . There is no obstruction to doing a normal surgery on a generator in

$$\pi_2(f') = \operatorname{Ker}\left\{f'_*: \ \pi_1(\partial M/Z_p) \longrightarrow \pi_1(L(p))\right\},$$

so there is a trace W and a normal map  $F': W \to L(p)$  between  $\partial M/Z_p$  and  $\partial_+ W$  such that  $f = F | \partial_+ W$  is 2-connected. Then, we set  $V_1 = V \cup W$  and  $M_1 = M \cup \widetilde{W} (= \widetilde{V}_1 \cup N(2m+1) \text{ pts})$  along  $\partial M/Z_p$  and  $\partial M$  respectively. Put  $\partial_+ W = L$ .

(2)  $V_1$  is a normal cobordism between

$$((m+1)(L(p), id) \cup m(L(p, -a_1, a_1), c \times 1))$$
 and  $L$ .

The universal cover  $\tilde{L}$  bounds the parallelizable manifold  $M_1$ . Since the

intersection matrix on the bilinear form  $H_{2k}(M_1) \times H_{2k}(M_1) \longrightarrow Z$  is the plumbing matrix (X+Y) which is unimodular, and from the above facts, it concludes that  $\pi_{i+2}(f) = \pi_{i+1}(\tilde{L}) = 0$  for all  $i \ge 0$ . Hence, f is a homotopy equivalence of L onto  $L^{4k-1}(p)$ .

Denote the  $Z_p$ -action on  $M_1$  by T, and then put  $\tilde{L} = \Sigma_A \in bP_{4k}$  and  $T|\tilde{L} = T_A$  (we called  $(T_A, \Sigma_A)$  "Weintraub's action" in Introduction). Since generators of  $H_{2k}(M_1)$  consist of invariant (2k)-spheres and the induced action is trivial on homology, we have

Sing 
$$(T, M_1) =$$
 Index of the intersection matrix on  $H_{2k}(M_1) = \sigma(A)$ ,

the local invariants

$$L(T, M_{1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2m+1} L(T, x_{i}), \qquad x_{i} \text{ the fixed points}$$
$$= (m+1) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{1+t^{a_{i}}}{1-t^{a_{i}}}\right)^{2} - m \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{1+t^{a_{i}}}{1-t^{a_{i}}}\right)^{2}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{1+t^{a_{i}}}{1-t^{a_{i}}}\right)^{2}.$$

It follows that  $\Sigma_A = \sigma(A)/8\Sigma_1$  and the Atiyah-Singer invariant

$$\sigma(T_A, \Sigma_A) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1+t^{a_i}}{1-t^{a_i}}\right)^2 - \sigma(A) .$$

This proves the Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. By (1), (2) in the proof of Theorem 1, there is a normal cobordism  $F: V_1 \longrightarrow L(p)$  between

$$((m+1)(L(p), id) \cup m(L(p, -a_1, a_1), c \times 1))$$
 and  $L = \Sigma_A/T_A$ .

Since  $c \times 1$ :  $L(p, -a_1, a_1) \longrightarrow L(p)$  is the orientation reversing diffeomorphism, there is a normal cobordism  $W_1$  between

$$((m+1)(L(p), id) \cup m(L(p, -a_1, a_1), c \times 1))$$
 and  $(L(p), id)$ .

Combing these cobordisms  $V_1$ ,  $W_1$ , there exists a normal cobordism  $G: V_2 \longrightarrow L(p)$  between (L(p), id) and  $(\Sigma_A/T_A, f)$  completing the proof of Theorem 2.

NOTE 1. Clearly, we can take  $W_1$  such that the intersection form on  $H_{2k}(\widetilde{W}_1)$  does not affect that on  $H_{2k}(\widetilde{V}_2)$ . The intersection form on  $H_{2k}(\widetilde{V}_2)$  is the same as that on  $H_{2k}(M_1)$ , *i.e.*,  $\sigma(\widetilde{V}_2) = \sigma(M_1) = \sigma(A)$ , because  $H_{2k}(\widetilde{V}_2) = H_{2k}(\widetilde{V}_1) = H_{2k}(M_1)$ ,  $V_2 = V_1 \cup W_1$ .

Certain free cyclic group actions on homotopy spheres, bounding parallelizable manifolds 101

NOTE 2. For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can take a unimodular, even, symmetric matrix with index 8i. So, we can take it as A for the above normal cobordism  $(G, V_2)$ . Then, we can derive (iii) of Theorem 13 A. 4 [4] for the case of a cyclic group  $\pi$ .

COROLLARY 3. The transfer  $\tau: L_0^h(Z_p) \longrightarrow L_0(1)$  is onto for any integer p.

#### 3. Effects on the action

If one fixes the rank of a unimodular, even, symmetric matrix, by (\*) in § 2, so many "Weintraub's actions" are constructed. We consider these actions under the calculations of Wall groups  $L_0^{\iota}(Z_p)$  ( $\varepsilon = h, s$ ).

THEOREM 4. Suppose that p is any odd integer or 2, 4 and 6. Let  $A_i$  be a unimodular, even symmetric matrix for i=1, 2. There is a free  $Z_p$ -action  $(T_{A_i}, \Sigma_{A_i})$  as in Theorem 1. Then,  $\Sigma_{A_i}/T_{A_i}$  is h-cobordant to  $\Sigma_{A_2}/T_{A_2}$  if and only if  $\sigma(A_1) = \sigma(A_2)$ . In particular,  $\Sigma_A/T_A$  is h-cobordant to  $L^{4k-1}(p)$  if and only if  $\sigma(A) = 0$ .

The following is an immediate consequence of the Theorem since  $Wh(Z_2)=0$ .

COROLLARY 5. Let  $(T_{A_i}, \Sigma_{A_i})$  be a free involution on a homotopy sphere for i=1, 2. Then,  $(T_{A_1}, \Sigma_{A_1})$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $(T_{A_2}, \Sigma_{A_2})$  if and only if  $\sigma(A_1) = \sigma(A_2)$ . In particular,  $(T_A, \Sigma_A)$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $(a, S^{4k-1})$  if and only if  $\sigma(A) = 0$ . Here, a is the antipodal map on the standard sphere  $S^{4k-1}$ .

We quote the Theorem of Wall [4]. Let  $R(Z_p)$  denote the complex representation ring of  $Z_p$ . Using the ideas of Atiyah-Singer, we can define a homomorphism called the "Multi-signature invariant"

$$\rho: L_0^i(Z_p) \longrightarrow R(Z_p) \quad \text{by setting}$$

$$\rho(t^i, x) = \operatorname{trace} t_*^i \left| H_{2k}(\widetilde{W})_+ - \operatorname{trace} t_*^i \right| H_{2k}(\widetilde{W})_-, \quad i = 1, \dots, p-1$$

Here t is a generator of  $Z_p$  and  $x=\theta(F, W) \in L_0^{\epsilon}(Z_p)$ , where

 $F: W^4 \longrightarrow L^{4k-1}(p) \times I$ 

is a normal map. In this case, the following alternative formula is deduced from the definition

$$\rho(t^i, x) = \sigma(t^i, \partial_+ W) - \sigma(t^i, \partial_- W), \qquad i = 1, \dots, p-1.$$

THEOREM (Wall). Suppose that p is any odd integer or 2, 4 and 6. Then, the multi-signature  $\rho$  is injective on the summand  $L_0^h(Z_p^{\widetilde{p}})$ , where  $L_0^h(Z_p)$  is the reduced Wall group.

The proof is seen in [4, Theorem 13 A. 4, (ii)] and [5].

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. We have a normal cobordism between (L(p), id)and  $(\Sigma_{A_i}/T_{A_i}, f_i)$  as in Theorem 2. Denote the normal cobordism by  $Y_i$ for i=1, 2, respectively. By note 1  $\sigma(\tilde{Y}_i) = \sigma(A_i)$ . Put  $X = Y_1 \cup -Y_2$ . Then, there is a normal cobordism  $F: X \longrightarrow L(p)$  between  $\Sigma_{A_1}/T_{A_1}$  and  $\Sigma_{A_2}/T_{A_2}$ . Set the surgery obstruction of F

$$x = \theta(F, X) \in L_0^h(Z_p)$$
.

For the multi-signature of x, it follows by Theorem 1 that

$$\rho(T^{j}, x) = \sigma(T^{j}_{A_{1}}, \Sigma_{A_{1}}) - \sigma(T^{j}_{A_{2}}, \Sigma_{A_{2}})$$
  
=  $\sigma(A_{1}) - \sigma(A_{2}), \quad i = 1, ..., p-1.$ 

If  $\sigma(A_1) = \sigma(A_2)$ , by the above Theorem, x lies in the summand  $L_0(1) \subset L_0^h(Z_p)$ . Hence, x is written  $m\chi_R$  for some  $m \in Z$ , where  $\chi_R$  is the regular representation of  $Z_p$ . Taking a p-fold covering of x, it follows that  $\tilde{x} = \theta(\tilde{F}, \tilde{X}) = pm$ . Since  $\theta(\tilde{F}, \tilde{X}) = \sigma(\tilde{X}) = \sigma(\tilde{Y}_1) - \sigma(\tilde{Y}_2) = 0$ , m must be zero,  $i. e., \theta(F, X) = 0$ . Hence,  $\Sigma_{A_1}/T_{A_1}$  is h-cobordant to  $\Sigma_{A_2}/T_{A_2}$ . Conversely, if  $\Sigma_{A_1}/T_{A_1}$  is h-cobordant to  $\Sigma_{A_2}/T_{A_2}$ , then the Atiyah-Singer invariants of these must agree. Hence, from our computations in Theorem 1,  $\sigma(A_1) = \sigma(A_2)$ . The rest of the Theorem follows from the fact that the Atiyah-Singer invariant of  $L(p) = L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is  $\prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1+t^{a_i}}{1-t^{a_i}}\right)^2$ .

REMARK 3.1. According to the method of Theorem 1, we have a plumbing manifolds with the plumbing matrix  $P_{2m}$  (see Proof of Theorem 1). If we concentrate on the boundary, *i. e.*, on  $(T_{P_{2m}}, \Sigma_{P_{2m}})$ ,  $\Sigma_{P_{2m}}$  is  $S^{4k-1}$  obtained by attaching  $S^{2k-1} \times D^{2k}$  to  $D^{2k} \times S^{2k-1}$  by means of

$$\psi(x, y) \longrightarrow (x, u(x) y)$$
 on  $S^{2k-1} \times S^{2k-1}$ ,

where  $u: S^{2k-1} \longrightarrow SO(2k)$  is the characteristic map of the tangent bundle  $\tau$  of  $S^{2k}$ .  $\psi$  makes sense for  $y \in D^{2k}$  and hence extends to an equivariant diffeomorphism of  $S^{2k-1} \times D^{2k}$  onto itself.

Thus  $(T_{P_{2m}}, \Sigma_{P_{2m}})$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the linear  $Z_p$ -action on  $S^{4k-1}$  which induces just  $L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ .

#### 4. López's involutions

P. Orlik and C. P. Rourke [2] proved the following theorem using López's construction.

Certain free cyclic group actions on homotopy spheres, bounding parallelizable manifolds 103

THEOREM. For each *i* there exists a homotopy sphere  $\Sigma_i^{4k-1}$ , bounding a parallelizable manifold  $M_i$ , and an involution  $T_i$  such that

$$I(T_i, \Sigma_i) = \sigma(M_i) = 8i$$

First, we show that  $\Sigma_i/T_i$  is normally cobordant to the standard projective space  $P^{4k-1}(k \ge 2)$ .

LEMMA 4.1. There exists a normal cobordism  $X_i$  between  $P^{4k-1}$  and  $\Sigma_i/T_i$  so that  $\sigma(\tilde{X}_i) = 8i$ .

This lemma depends only on the proof of the above theorem if one takes care of normal maps. So, we sketch its proof for the necessity of recalling the López's construction. We use the same notations as [2]. It is sufficient to prove the case i=1.

Let  $T_0: S^{4k-1} \longrightarrow S^{4k-1}$  be the antipodal map and  $W = S^{4k-2} \nexists 4(S^{2k-1} \times S^{2k-1})$ be a characteristic submanifold of  $S^{4k-1}$ , *i. e.*,  $S^{4k-1} = V \cup T_0 V$ ,  $V \cap T_0 V = W$ . Since W/T and  $P^{4k-2}$  are characteristic submanifolds of  $P^{4k-1}$ , there is a characteristic cobordism Y joining them so that  $S^{4k-1} \times I = X^{4k} \cup TX^{4k}$ ,  $X \cap TX = \tilde{Y}$ and  $\partial X = V \cup \tilde{Y} \cup D^{4k-1}$ . If  $F: Y \longrightarrow P^{4k-2}$  is a normal map, then  $\tilde{F}: \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow S^{4k-2}$ extends to a normal map  $G: X \longrightarrow D^{4k-1}$ .

Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_8\}$  be a standard basis for  $H_{2k-1}(W)$  chosen so that  $\alpha_i \in \operatorname{Ker} \{i_* : H_{2k-1}(W) \longrightarrow H_{2k-1}(V)\}$  and  $\beta_i \in \operatorname{Ker} \{i_* : H_{2k-1}(W) \longrightarrow H_{2k-1}(T_0 V)\}$ . Choose new generators  $\alpha_i^* = p_{ij}\alpha_j + q_{ij}\beta_j$ ,  $i=1, \dots, 8$ . The matrices  $P = (p_{ij})$  $Q = (q_{ij})$  are given explicitly in [1]. So, we perform surgery on the

$$\alpha_i^* \in \operatorname{Ker}\left\{\widetilde{f}_*: H_{2k-1}(W) \longrightarrow H_{2k-1}(S^{4k-2})\right\},$$

obtaining a normal cobordism  $h: A \longrightarrow S^{4k-2}$  between  $\tilde{f}: W \longrightarrow S^{4k-2}$  and a homotopy equivalence  $K \longrightarrow S^{4k-2}$ . Then, they showed that  $V \bigcup A$  is a (4k-1)-disk. Thus K is a standard sphere. Attach a disk D on  $V \bigcup A$  so that  $V \bigcup A \cup D$  is a sphere bounding a 4k-disk B (see Figure 1). The normal map  $(G|V) \cup h: V \bigcup A \longrightarrow D^{4k-1} \cup S^{4k-2} = D^{4k-1}$  extends to a normal map  $H: V \bigcup A \cup D \longrightarrow \partial(D^{4k-1} \times I)$ . Again, H extends to a normal map  $\bar{H}: B \longrightarrow D^{4k-1} \times I$ . Combining with G, there is a normal map

$$\bar{G}: X \bigcup_{\nu} B \longrightarrow D^{4k-1} \cup D^{4k-1} \times I = D^{4k-1} \times I \longrightarrow D^{4k-1}$$

Put  $B' = X \bigcup_{\mathcal{V}} B$ . Let  $B'^*$  be another copy of B'. We obtain a parallelizable manifold M' with a free involution T,  $M' = B' \cup B'^*$ , glued on  $(T, \tilde{Y})$ . Then, M'/T is a cobordism between  $P^{4k-1}$  and a "López's involution  $\Sigma_1/T_1$ ".

Y. Kamishima



Fig. 1.

Let  $\eta_P$  be the normal bundle of  $P^{4k-2}$  in  $P^{4k-1}$ , and  $\eta_Y$  the normal bundle of Y in M'/T. Then,  $\partial E(\eta_P) = S^{4k-2}$ ,  $\partial E(\eta_Y) = \tilde{Y}$  and  $P^{4k-1} = E(\eta_P) \cup D^{4k-1}$ ,  $M'/T = E(\eta_Y) \cup B'$ . Since  $F: Y \longrightarrow P^{4k-2}$  is a normal map, the same is true for  $E(F): E(\eta_Y) \longrightarrow E(\eta_P)$ , because  $\eta_Y$  is the pull-back of  $\eta_P$ . Now,  $\bar{G}: B' \longrightarrow D^{4k-1}$ is also a normal map. Hence, M'/T defines a normal cobordism between  $P^{4k-1}$  and  $\Sigma_1/T_1$ . For the rest of the lemma, the boundary  $(T_0, S^{4k-1})$  of M' bounds a disk  $D^{4k}$  with the antipodal map. Put  $M = M' \cup D^{4k}$ . Then,  $M = B \bigcup C \bigcup B^*$ , where C is the standard (4k)-disk with boundary  $S^{4k-1} =$  $V \cup T_0 V$ . Then, it has been shown in [2] that  $\sigma(M') = \sigma(M) = 8$ .

Consequently, we can say that in general case  $(T_i, \Sigma_i)$  bounds an  $M_i$  which admits an involution T with only one fixed point, and if we remove the interior of a disk D of the fixed point from  $M_i$ , then  $M_i$ -int D/T is a normal cobordism between  $P^{4k-1}$  and  $\Sigma_i/T_i$  so that  $\sigma(M_i - \text{int } D) = 8i$ .

THEOREM 6. Suppose that p=2q  $(q \ge 1)$ . There exists a free  $Z_p$ -action  $T_A$  on a homotopy sphere  $\Sigma_A \in bP_{4k}$  which satisfies that: If we restrict this "Weintraub's action" to the  $Z_2$ -action on  $\Sigma_A$ , then the above "López's involution"  $(T_i, \Sigma_i)$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $(T_A^q, \Sigma_A)$  for any q.

PROOF. By Lemma 4.1, there is a normal cobordism  $X_i$  such that  $\sigma(\tilde{X}_i) = 8i$ . Let  $F_i: X_i \longrightarrow P^{4k-1}$  be a normal map between  $P^{4k-1}$  and  $\Sigma_i/T_i$ . Then, the surgery obstruction of  $F_i$  is

Certain free cyclic group actions on homotopy spheres, bounding parallelizable manifolds 105

(1) 
$$\theta(F_i) = \left(\sigma(X_i), \sigma(\tilde{X}_i)\right) = (8i, 8i) \in L_0(Z_2).$$

This follows from the fact that

$$2\sigma(X_i) - \sigma(\tilde{X}_i) = I(T_i, \Sigma_i) - I(a, S^{4k-1}) = 8i$$
.

Take a free  $Z_p$ -action  $T_A$  on  $\Sigma_A$  from Theorem 1 such that  $\sigma(A)=8i$  (for example, a direct sum of *i*-copies of the well known  $(8 \times 8)$ -matrix  $E_8$ ). By Theorem 2 and Note 1, we have a normal cobordism (G, Y) between L(p)and  $\Sigma_A/T_A$  such that  $\sigma(\tilde{Y})=\sigma(A)=8i$ . Since the Atiyah-Singer invariant  $\sigma$ and the Browder-Livesay invariant I agree for involutions, so if  $Y^q$  is the q-fold covering of Y, so that  $(G_q, Y_q)$  is a normal cobordism between  $P^{4k-1}$ and  $\Sigma_A/T_A^q$ , then it follows that

(2) 
$$\theta(G^q) = (\sigma(Y^q), \sigma(\tilde{Y})) = (8i, 8i) \in L_0(Z_2).$$

From (1) and (2), there is an *h*-cobordism between  $\Sigma_i/T_i$  and  $\Sigma_A/T_A^q$  (note that  $\Sigma_A = \sigma(A)/8\Sigma_1$ ). Hence,  $(T_i, \Sigma_i)$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $(T_A^q, \Sigma_A)$ .

REMARK 4.1. We have the analogous results for lens spaces  $L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  instead of lens spaces  $L^{4k-1}(p, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . Let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{2k}\}$  be any collection with  $(a_i, p) = 1$  and b an integer which reduces to  $\prod_{i=k+1}^{2k} a_i / \prod_{i=1}^k a_i$  mod p. Let  $\overline{b}$  reduce  $b^{-1} \mod p$ . Instead of  $P_{2m}$ , we use the following matrix due to S. Weintraub.

$$P_{2m}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 2b & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 2\bar{b} & 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & 2\bar{b} & 1 & \\ & & 1 & 2\bar{b} & 1 & \\ & & 1 & -2\bar{b} & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & -2b & \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & -2\bar{b} & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & -2\bar{b} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, we can construct the bundles with  $Z_p$ -actions  $E_{\pm}$ ,  $\bar{E}_{\pm}$  and  $E_0$  which have the Euler classes congruent with  $\pm 2b$ ,  $\pm 2\bar{b}$ , 0 mod 2p accordingly. The results follow similarly.

#### Y. Kamishima

#### References

- [1] LÓPEZ DE MEDRANO: Involutions on manifolds, Springer-Verlag 1971.
- [2] P. ORLIK and C. P. ROURKE: Free invalutions on homotopy (4k+3) spheres, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74, 949–953 (1968).
- [3] S. WEINTRAUB: Semi-free Z<sub>p</sub>-actions on highly-connected manifolds, Math. Z. 145, 163-185 (1975).
- [4] C. T. C. WALL: Surgery on compact manifolds, Academic Press, 1971.
- [5] C. T. C. WALL: Some L groups of finite groups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79, 526-529 (1973).

Yoshinobu Kamishima Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Hokkaido University Sapporo, JAPAN