

## Principal functions and invariant subspaces of hyponormal operators

By Yoshihiro NAKAMURA

(Received March 24, 1982)

### 1. Introduction and Theorems

A bounded linear operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space is said to be *hyponormal* if its self-commutator  $[T^*, T] \equiv T^*T - TT^*$  is positive semi-definite, and *pure hyponormal* if, in addition,  $T$  has no nontrivial reducing subspace on which it is normal.

It is not known at present whether every hyponormal operator has a non-trivial invariant subspace. Putnam [7] and Apostol and Clancey [1] presented some conditions for a hyponormal operator to have invariant subspaces. In this paper, by using the principal function invented by Pincus [6], we shall improve the results of Putnam, and Apostol and Clancey.

Let  $T = X + iY$  be a pure hyponormal operator, where  $X$  and  $Y$  are self-adjoint. Then it is known that  $X$  and  $Y$  are absolutely continuous (see [4, Chap. 2, Th. 3.2]). Let  $X = \int t dG(t)$  be the spectral resolution of  $X$ . Then the *absolutely continuous support*  $E_X$  of  $X$  is defined as a Borel subset of the real line (determined uniquely up to a null set) having the least Lebesgue measure and satisfying  $G(E_X) = I$ . Analogously  $E_Y$  is defined for  $Y$ .

The main results in this paper are the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T = X + iY$  be a pure hyponormal operator. Suppose that, for some real  $\mu_0$ , the spectrum of  $T$ ,  $\sigma(T)$ , has non-empty intersection with each of the open half-planes  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z < \mu_0\}$  and  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > \mu_0\}$ . If*

$$\int_{E_X} \frac{F(x)}{(x - \mu_0)^2} dx < \infty$$

*where  $F(x)$  is the linear measure of the vertical cross section  $\sigma(T) \cap \{z : \operatorname{Re} z = x\}$ , then  $T$  has a non-trivial invariant subspace.*

**THEOREM 2.** *In Theorem 1 the existence of a non-trivial invariant subspace is also guaranteed if the integrability condition is replaced by*

$$\int_{E_X} \frac{1}{|x - \mu_0|} dx < \infty.$$

Putnam [7] proved Theorem 1 under a more restrictive condition:  $\int_{E_X} \frac{F(x)}{(x-\mu_0)^2} dx < 2\pi$ , while Apostol and Clancey [1] established Theorem 2 for  $T$  with rank one self-commutator.

## 2. Principal functions

Let  $T = X + iY$  be a pure hyponormal operator with trace class self-commutator. For each complex  $\lambda$ ,  $T_\lambda$  will be used for  $T - \lambda I$ . Pincus [6] provided a useful unitary invariant for  $T$ , called the principal function of  $T$ . There is a non-negative summable function  $g$  on  $\mathbf{C}$ , which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \left[ (X - z)(Y - w)(X - z)^{-1}(Y - w)^{-1} \right] \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{g(x + iy)}{(x - z)(y - w)} dx dy \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $(z, w)$  in  $\mathbf{C}^2 \setminus \sigma(X) \times \sigma(Y)$ . The principal function is known to yield much information about the structure of  $T$  (see, e. g. [2]). In this section we shall also present some such information.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $T$  be a pure hyponormal operator with trace class self-commutator  $D \equiv [T^*, T]$ . If the principal function  $g$  of  $T$  satisfies, for some  $\lambda = \mu + i\nu \in \mathbf{C}$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{g(x + iy)}{(x - \mu)^2 + (y - \nu)^2} dx dy \leq M < \infty,$$

then  $cD \leq T_\lambda T_\lambda^*$  with  $c = (\exp(M) - 1)^{-1}$ .

PROOF. Since  $[T_\lambda^*, T_\lambda] = [T^*, T]$  and the principal function of  $T_\lambda$  coincides with  $g(z + \lambda)$ , it suffices to consider the case  $\lambda = 0$ . It is a well-known result of M. G. Krein (see [2, § 3]) that there is a summable function  $\delta(t)$  on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , called the *phase shift* corresponding to the perturbation  $TT^* \rightarrow T^*T = TT^* + D$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \left( I + D(TT^* - z)^{-1} \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\delta(t)}{t - z} dt \right) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \sigma(TT^*). \end{aligned}$$

Carey and Pincus established the connection between the principal function  $g$  and the phase shift  $\delta$ :

$$\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\sqrt{t} e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad t \geq 0$$

(see [2, § 7]). Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\det \left( I + D(TT^* + \varepsilon)^{-1} \right) &= \exp \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\delta(t)}{t + \varepsilon} dt \right) \\
&= \exp \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(x + iy)}{x^2 + y^2 + \varepsilon} dx dy \right) \\
&\leq \exp(M) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + \operatorname{tr} \left( D^{1/2} (TT^* + \varepsilon)^{-1} D^{1/2} \right) &\leq \det \left( I + D^{1/2} (TT^* + \varepsilon)^{-1} D^{1/2} \right) \\
&= \det \left( I + D(TT^* + \varepsilon)^{-1} \right) \\
&\leq \exp(M),
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$D^{1/2} (TT^* + \varepsilon)^{-1} D^{1/2} \leq \exp(M) - 1$$

or equivalently

$$cD \leq TT^* + \varepsilon$$

with  $c = (\exp(M) - 1)^{-1}$ . Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the assertion follows.

REMARK 1. If  $D$  is of finite rank, the converse of Lemma 1 is also true in the following sense: if  $cD \leq TT^*$  for some  $c > 0$ , then

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(x + iy)}{x^2 + y^2} dx dy < \infty.$$

In fact, the assumption  $cD \leq TT^*$  implies for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\operatorname{tr} \left( D^{1/2} (TT^* + \varepsilon)^{-1} D^{1/2} \right) \leq c^{-1} \operatorname{rank}(D).$$

Since

$$\det \left( I + D^{1/2} (TT^* + \varepsilon)^{-1} D^{1/2} \right) \leq \exp \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left( D^{1/2} (TT^* + \varepsilon)^{-1} D^{1/2} \right) \right\},$$

it is seen from the proof of Lemma 1 that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(x + iy)}{x^2 + y^2 + \varepsilon} dx dy \leq \exp \left( c^{-1} \operatorname{rank}(D) \right).$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary and  $D$  is of finite rank, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(x + iy)}{x^2 + y^2} dx dy < \infty.$$

But above converse is not true in general. In fact, if  $T$  is a bilateral weighted shift with weights  $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  where  $a_n = \min(2^{n/2}, 1)$ ,  $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2,$

..., then  $T$  becomes a pure hyponormal operator for which  $[T^*, T] \leq TT^*$ . But a simple calculation will show that the principal function  $g$  of  $T$  is the characteristic function of the unit disc (the index result for the principal function [2, § 8] will also show this fact), hence

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(x+iy)}{x^2+y^2} dx dy = \infty.$$

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $T$  be a pure hyponormal operator with trace class self-commutator  $D$  and the principal function  $g$ . If  $\bar{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue of  $T^*$ , then*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(x+iy)}{|x+iy-\bar{\lambda}|^2} dx dy = \infty.$$

PROOF. Suppose, for  $\lambda = \mu + i\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(x+iy)}{|x+iy-\lambda|^2} dx dy < \infty.$$

From Lemma 1, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $cD \leq T_i T_i^*$ . Since  $D = T_i^* T_i - T_i T_i^*$ ,  $cT_i^* T_i \leq (1-c) T_i T_i^*$ . This implies  $\text{ran } T_i^* \subset \text{ran } T_i$ . Because of  $\ker T_i = \{0\}$ , it follows that  $\ker T_i^* = \{0\}$ , contradicting that  $\bar{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue of  $T^*$ .

The assertion of Corollary 1 is similar to the following proposition that is proved by Carey and Pincus [3] in the case  $0 \leq g \leq 1$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $T$  be a pure hyponormal operator with trace class self-commutator  $D$  and the principal function  $g$ . Suppose  $0 \leq g \leq n$  for an integer  $n$ . If  $\bar{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue for  $T^*$  and the dimension of  $\ker (T - \lambda)^*$  is  $n$ , then for some  $r > 0$*

$$\iint_{B_r(\lambda)} \frac{n-g(x+iy)}{|x+iy-\lambda|^2} dx dy < \infty,$$

where  $B_r(\lambda) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \lambda| < r\}$ .

PROOF. As in the proof of Lemma 1, it suffices to consider the case  $\lambda = 0$ . Let  $\delta$  be the phase shift corresponding to the perturbation  $TT^* \rightarrow T^*T$  and let  $TT^* = \int_0^\infty t dE(t)$  be the spectral resolution of  $TT^*$ . Since, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (TT^* + \varepsilon)^{-1} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t + \varepsilon} dE(t) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} E(\{0\}), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{\delta(t)}{t+\varepsilon} dt\right) &= \det\left(I + D^{1/2}(TT^* + \varepsilon)^{-1}D^{1/2}\right) \\ &\geq \det\left(I + \varepsilon^{-1}D^{1/2}E(\{0\})D^{1/2}\right) \\ &= \det\left(I + \varepsilon^{-1}E(\{0\})DE(\{0\})\right). \end{aligned}$$

But  $E(\{0\})DE(\{0\}) = E(\{0\})T^*TE(\{0\})$ . Since  $E(\{0\})$  is the orthogonal projection onto the finite dimensional subspace  $\ker T^*$  and  $\ker(T^*T) = \{0\}$ , there is an  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $E(\{0\})T^*TE(\{0\}) \geq \alpha E(\{0\})$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \det\left(I + \varepsilon^{-1}E(\{0\})DE(\{0\})\right) &\geq \det\left(I + \varepsilon^{-1}\alpha E(\{0\})\right) \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon^{-1}\alpha)^n \\ &= \exp\left(\int_0^\alpha \frac{n}{t+\varepsilon} dt\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\delta(t)}{t+\varepsilon} dt \geq \int_0^\alpha \frac{n}{t+\varepsilon} dt$$

and

$$\int_0^\alpha \frac{n-\delta(t)}{t+\varepsilon} dt \leq \int_\alpha^\infty \frac{\delta(t)}{t+\varepsilon} dt.$$

The hypothesis  $0 \leq g \leq n$  implies  $0 \leq \delta \leq n$ . Taking limits as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the monotone convergence yields

$$\int_0^\alpha \frac{n-\delta(t)}{t} dt \leq \int_\alpha^\infty \frac{\delta(t)}{t} dt < \infty.$$

The result follows with  $r = \alpha^{1/2}$  on substituting  $\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\sqrt{t} e^{i\theta}) d\theta$  into the left-hand side.

The following proposition gives an estimate of the principal function  $g$  on the point spectrum of  $T^*$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $T$  be a pure hyponormal operator with trace class self-commutator and the principal function  $g$ . Then for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$\dim \ker (T - \lambda)^* \leq \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \left\{ \text{ess sup}_{z \in B_r(\lambda)} g(z) \right\}.$$

**PROOF.** It can be assumed  $\lambda = 0$  as before. Let  $\delta$  be the phase shift corresponding to the perturbation  $TT^* \rightarrow T^*T$ . Then, for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\operatorname{tr} \left\{ -(I+nT^*T)^{-1} + (I+nTT^*)^{-1} \right\} = \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{(1+nt)^2} dt$$

(see [2, § 3]). As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $(I+nT^*T)^{-1}$  converges strongly to 0 and  $(I+nTT^*)^{-1}$  to the orthogonal projection onto  $\ker T^*$ , say  $P$ . Since  $(I+nTT^*)^{-1} \geq (I+nT^*T)^{-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ , by Fatou's lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ -(I+nT^*T)^{-1} + (I+nTT^*)^{-1} \right\} &\geq \operatorname{tr} P \\ &= \dim \ker T^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\dim \ker T^* \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{(1+nt)^2} \delta(t) dt.$$

For  $r > 0$ , define

$$M(r) \equiv \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in B_r(0)} g(z).$$

$M(z)$  is a positive, monotone non-decreasing function. Since, for any  $a > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t < a} \delta(t) &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t < a} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\sqrt{t} e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right\} \\ &\leq M(a^{1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{(1+nt)^2} \delta(t) dt \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_0^a \frac{n}{(1+nt)^2} \delta(t) dt + \int_a^\infty \frac{n}{(1+nt)^2} \delta(t) dt \right\} \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ M(a^{1/2}) \int_0^a \frac{n}{(1+nt)^2} dt + \frac{n}{(1+na)^2} \int_a^\infty \delta(t) dt \right\} \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ M(a^{1/2}) + \frac{n}{(1+na)^2} \int_a^\infty \delta(t) dt \right\} \\ &= M(a^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\dim \ker T^* \leq \lim_{r \downarrow 0} M(r).$$

### 3. Proofs of theorems

Both theorems are immediate consequences of the following.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $T$  be a pure hyponormal operator with trace class*

self-commutator  $D \equiv [T^*, T]$  and the principal function  $g$ . If there exists a real  $\mu_0$  such that the spectrum of  $T$  has non-empty intersection with each of the open half-planes  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z < \mu_0\}$  and  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > \mu_0\}$  and

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(x+iy)}{(x-\mu_0)^2+(y-\nu)^2} dx dy \leq M < \infty \quad \text{for all real } \nu,$$

then  $T$  has a non-trivial invariant subspace.

PROOF. From Lemma 1, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda = \mu_0 + i\nu$  ( $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$ )

$$cD \leq T_\lambda T_\lambda^*.$$

By [5], there exists a family  $A(\lambda)$  ( $\operatorname{Re} \lambda = \mu_0$ ) of operators such that

$$T_\lambda A(\lambda) = D^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|A(\lambda)\| \leq c^{-1}.$$

Since each  $T_\lambda$  is one-to-one, it is easily seen that  $A(\lambda)$  is weakly continuous.  $A(\lambda)$  can be extended to  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z = \mu_0\} \cup \rho(T)$  by defining

$$A(z) \equiv (T - z)^{-1} D^{1/2} \quad \text{for } z \in \rho(T).$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a circle centered at  $\mu_0$  such that  $\sigma(T)$  is interior of  $\Gamma$  and let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be the two semicircles determined by  $\Gamma$  and the line  $\{\operatorname{Re} z = \mu_0\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D^{1/2} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} A(z) dz \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} A(z) dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_2} A(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, one of these later integrals is non-zero. Suppose, for definiteness,  $B_1 \equiv -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} A(z) dz \neq 0$ . The operator-valued function

$$A_1(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{A(w)}{w-z} dw, \quad z \notin \Gamma_1$$

is analytic off  $\Gamma_1$  and satisfies  $(T - z)A_1(z) = B_1$  in the interior of  $\Gamma_1$ .

Now let  $\sigma_1$  be the part of  $\sigma(T)$  contained in the closed semidisc with boundary  $\Gamma_1$ . Then

$$\bigcap_{z \in \sigma_1} \operatorname{ran} (T - z) \supset \operatorname{ran} B_1 \neq \{0\}.$$

By [4, Chap. 1, Th. 3.5],  $\bigcap_{z \in \sigma_1} \operatorname{ran} (T - z)$  is a closed invariant subspace for  $T$  and clearly not the whole space. This completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.  $T$  can be assumed to have a cyclic vector. For otherwise  $T$  has obviously a non-trivial invariant subspace. As pointed out by Berger and Shaw (see [4, Chap. 3, Th. 3.1]), the cyclicity implies that the self-commutator  $[T^*, T]$  is of trace class. Furthermore, from the Berger's estimate (see [4, Chap. 5, Cor. 5.1]), the principal function  $g$  of  $T$  satisfies  $0 \leq g \leq 1$ . Since  $g$  vanishes a. e. off  $\sigma(T)$  and off  $\{x+iy : x \in E_X$  and  $y \in E_Y\}$  (see [2, §5] and [4, Chap. 5, §3]), for almost all  $x$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x+iy) dy \leq F(x)$$

and for all  $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{g(x+iy)}{(x-\mu_0)^2+(y-\nu)^2} dx dy &\leq \int_{E_X} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{g(x+iy)}{(x-\mu_0)^2} dy dx \\ &\leq \int_{E_X} \frac{F(x)}{(x-\mu_0)^2} dx \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 3,  $T$  has a non-trivial invariant subspace.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. As in the proof of Theorem 1, it can be assumed that  $0 \leq g \leq 1$ . Then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{g(x+iy)}{(x-\mu_0)^2+(y-\nu)^2} dx dy &\leq \int_{E_X} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{(x-\mu_0)^2+(y-\nu)^2} dy dx \\ &= \pi \int_{E_X} \frac{1}{|x-\mu_0|} dx \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now Theorem 3 can be applied.

REMARK 2. Define the measurable function  $G$  on  $\mathbf{C}$  by

$$G(z) \equiv \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{g(x+iy)}{|x+iy-z|^2} dx dy.$$

Then the assumption of Theorem 3 means that  $G$  is uniformly bounded on the vertical line  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z = \mu_0\}$ . It is also seen from the proof of Theorem 3 that if  $G$  is uniformly bounded on some rectifiable closed curve  $\Gamma$  and the spectrum of  $T$  lies partly in both the exterior and the interior of  $\Gamma$ , then  $T$  has a non-trivial invariant subspace.

The author wishes to thank Prof. T. Ando for many helpful suggestions.

### References

- [1] C. APOSTOL and K. CLANCEY: Local resolvents of operators with one-dimensional self-commutator, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 58 (1976), 158-162.
- [2] R. W. CAREY and J. D. PINCUS: Mosaics, principal functions, and mean motion in von Neumann algebras, *Acta Aath.* 138 (1977), 152-218.
- [3] R. W. CAREY and J. D. PINCUS: Principal function, index theory, geometric measure theory and function algebras, *Integral Eqs. and Op. Theory* 2 (1979), 441-483.
- [4] K. CLANCEY: Seminormal operators, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 742, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [5] R. G. DOUGLAS: On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (1966), 413-415.
- [6] J. D. PINCUS: The determining function method in the treatment of commutator systems, *Hilbert space operators*, pp. 443-477, *Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai* 5, Tihany, Hungary, 1970.
- [7] C. R. PUTNAM: Invariant subspaces of operators having nearly disconnected spectra, *Operator theory and functional analysis*, pp. 8-15, *Res. Notes in Math.* 38, Pitman, 1979.

Division of Applied Mathematics  
Research Institute of Applied Electricity  
Hokkaido University