

On a lifting problem of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of measures

By Jyunji INOUE

(Received April 17, 1982; Revised October 13, 1982)

Let G and \hat{G} be a LCA group and its dual group, respectively. $M(G)$ denotes the measure algebra on G , the Banach algebra of bounded regular complex Borel measures on G with convolution multiplication and total variation norm $\|\cdot\|$. $M_a(G)$ and $M_s(G)$ express the space of absolutely continuous measures and the space of singular measures on G with respect to the Haar measure of G , respectively. For $\mu \in M(G)$, $\hat{\mu}$ denotes the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of μ , and we put $B(\hat{G}) = \{\hat{\mu} | \mu \in M(G)\}$, $A(\hat{G}) = \{\hat{\mu} | \mu \in M_a(G)\}$, $B_s(\hat{G}) = \{\hat{\mu} | \mu \in M_s(G)\}$. $B(\hat{G})$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the pointwise multiplication and the norm $\|\hat{\mu}\| = \|\mu\|$.

Let Λ be a closed subgroup of \hat{G} . The following theorem is well-known ([6]).

THEOREM 1. $B(\hat{G})|_{\Lambda} = B(\Lambda)$, $A(\hat{G})|_{\Lambda} = A(\Lambda)$.

It follows from theorem 1 that each member of $B(\Lambda)$ (resp. $A(\Lambda)$) can be lifted to a member of $B(\hat{G})$ (resp. $A(\hat{G})$), but it is not clear whether there exist any liftings which are linear maps from $B(\Lambda)$ to $B(\hat{G})$ (resp. from $A(\Lambda)$ to $A(\hat{G})$).

On the other hand, in the recent papers [4] and [5], we can find partial answers to this lifting problem.

THEOREM 2 (cf. [4] and [5]). Let Λ be a discrete subgroup of \hat{G} , let H be the annihilator of Λ in G , and let W be a neighborhood of $0 \in \hat{G}$. Choose a neighborhood U of $0 \in \hat{G}$ and a probability measure $\rho \in M_a(G)$ such that $\text{supp } \hat{\rho} \subset U \subset W$ and $(U - U) \cap \Lambda = \{0\}$, and put

$$\hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \hat{\mu}(\alpha) \hat{\rho}(\gamma - \alpha) \quad (\hat{\mu} \in B(\Lambda), \gamma \in \hat{G}).$$

Then we have $\hat{J}\hat{\mu} \in B(\hat{G})$ with the following additional properties.

- i) $\hat{J}\hat{\mu}|_{\Lambda} = \hat{\mu}$,
- ii) $\|\hat{J}\hat{\mu}\| = \|\hat{\mu}\|$,
- (*) iii) $\hat{J}\hat{\mu}$ is positive definite if $\hat{\mu}$ is positive definite,

- iv) $\hat{J}\hat{\mu} \in A(\hat{G})$ if $\hat{\mu} \in A(\Lambda)$,
- v) $\hat{J}\hat{\mu} \in B_s(\hat{G})$ if $\hat{\mu} \in B_s(\Lambda)$,
- vi) $\text{supp}(\hat{J}\hat{\mu}) \subset \text{supp}(\hat{\mu}) + W$.

REMARK 1. In theorem 2, we can consider $\hat{J}\hat{\mu}$ the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of a uniquely determined measure $J\mu \in M(G)$, and then J becomes a linear map of $M(G/H)$ into $M(G)$ with the following properties.

- i) $(J\mu)^\wedge|_\Lambda = \hat{\mu}$,
- ii) $\|J\mu\| = \|\mu\|$,
- (**) iii) $J\mu \geq 0$ if $\mu \geq 0$,
- iv) $J\mu \in M_a(G)$ if $\mu \in M_a(G/H)$,
- v) $J\mu \in M_s(G)$ if $\mu \in M_s(G/H)$,
- vi) $\text{supp}(J\mu)^\wedge \subset \text{supp}(\hat{\mu}) + W$.

Obviously, the existence of \hat{J} with (*) and the existence of J with (**) are equivalent each other.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem 3 which gives an answer to the lifting problem stated above.

THEOREM 3. *If Λ is a closed subgroup of \hat{G} , and if W is a neighborhood of $0 \in \hat{G}$, there exists a linear map \hat{J} of $B(\Lambda)$ into $B(\hat{G})$ which satisfies (*) of theorem 2.*

To prove theorem 3, we provide two lemmas. \mathbf{R}^n and \mathbf{T}^n denote the n -fold products of the real groups and the circle groups, respectively.

LEMMA 1. *For each neighborhood U of $0 \in \hat{G}$, there exists a compact subgroup K of \hat{G} contained in $U \cap \Lambda$ such that \hat{G}/K and Λ/K split into direct sums of the forms*

$$\hat{G}/K = L \times F, \quad \Lambda/K = L \times D,$$

where L is an open subgroup of Λ/K and F is a closed subgroup of \hat{G}/K .

PROOF. By the structure theorem of LCA groups, Λ contains an open subgroup of the form $\mathbf{R}^m \times K'$ with a compact subgroup K' of Λ . By (24.7) of [3], there exists a compact subgroup K contained in $K' \cap U$ such that $K'/K = \mathbf{T}^n \times F'$ with a finite subgroup F' of K'/K . Hence Λ/K contains an open subgroup L isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{T}^n$, and by theorem 6.16 of [1], there exists a discrete subgroup D of Λ/K such that $\Lambda/K = L \times D$. Likewise, since L is a closed subgroup of \hat{G}/K , there exists a closed subgroup F of \hat{G}/K

such that $\hat{G}/K = L \times F$.

LEMMA 2. Let K be a compact subgroup of Λ and let H and G_0 be the annihilator of Λ and K in G , respectively. For each $\mu \in M(G/H)$, we denote by $\mu|_{(G_0/H)+x}$ the restriction of μ to a coset $(G_0/H)+x \in (G/H)/(G_0/H)$. Then the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of $(\mu|_{(G_0/H)+x}) * \delta_{-x}$ is given by

$$\left((\mu|_{(G_0/H)+x}) * \delta_{-x} \right)^\wedge(\gamma) = \left(((x, \cdot) \hat{\mu}) * m_K \right)(\gamma) \quad (\gamma \in \Lambda), \quad (1)$$

where δ_{-x} denotes the dirac measure at $-x \in G/H$, and $m_K \in M(\Lambda)$ denotes the normalized Haar measure of K .

PROOF. First, suppose that $\text{supp}(\hat{\mu})$ is compact. If $\check{}$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform, we have by the inversion theorem

$$\left(((x, \cdot) \hat{\mu}) * m_K \right)^\check{=} (\mu * \delta_{-x}) \cdot \chi_{G_0/H} = (\mu|_{(G_0/H)+x}) * \delta_{-x} \quad (\in M_a(G/H)).$$

where $\chi_{G_0/H}$ is the characteristic function of G_0/H . Hence (1) holds.

Next, we consider the general case. For each $\gamma_0 \in \Lambda$, there exists $\nu \in M_a(G/H)$ such that $\text{supp}(\hat{\nu})$ is compact, $\hat{\nu}|_{K+\gamma_0} = 1$ and $\text{supp}(\nu) \subset G_0/H$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(((\mu * \nu)|_{(G_0/H)+x}) * \delta_{-x} \right)^\wedge(\gamma_0) &= \left((\mu|_{(G_0/H)+x}) * \delta_{-x} \right)^\wedge(\gamma_0), \\ \left(((x, \gamma') \hat{\mu}(\gamma') \hat{\nu}(\gamma')) * m_K \right)(\gamma_0) &= \left(((x, \gamma') \hat{\mu}(\gamma')) * m_K \right)(\gamma_0). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

From the first paragraph we have

$$\left(((\mu * \nu)|_{(G_0/H)+x}) * \delta_{-x} \right)^\wedge(\gamma_0) = \left(((x, \gamma') \hat{\mu}(\gamma') \hat{\nu}(\gamma')) * m_K \right)(\gamma_0). \quad (3)$$

we get (1) from (2) and (3).

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let U be a compact neighborhood of $0 \in \hat{G}$ such that $U + U \subset W$. By lemma 1 there exists a compact subgroup K of \hat{G} contained in $\Lambda \cap U$ such that \hat{G}/K and Λ/K split into direct sums of the form $\hat{G}/K = L \times F$ and $\Lambda/K = L \times D$ with a closed subgroup F of \hat{G}/K and a discrete subgroup D of Λ/K , respectively.

(I). First, we consider the case $K = \{0\}$. Let τ be the group topology of \hat{G} such that F with the subspace topology inherit from \hat{G} forms an open subgroup of \hat{G} with respect to τ . Obviously, τ is stronger than the original topology of \hat{G} . Since $L \cap F = \{0\}$ Λ is a discrete subgroup in the new topology τ . The group \hat{G} with the topology τ forms a LCA group, which will be denoted by \hat{G}_τ .

Let V be a compact neighborhood of $0 \in \hat{G}_\tau$ such that $(V - V) \cap \Lambda = \{0\}$,

$V \subset U \cap F$, and let ρ be a probability measure in $M(\hat{F})$ (\hat{F} is the dual group of F) such that $\text{supp } \hat{\rho} \subset V$. Then, we define the functions $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{J}\hat{\mu}$ on \hat{G} by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(\gamma) &= \begin{cases} \hat{\rho}(\gamma); & \gamma \in F \\ 0; & \gamma \notin F \end{cases} \\ (\hat{J}\hat{\mu})(\gamma) &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} \hat{\mu}(\alpha) \hat{\rho}(\gamma - \alpha) \quad (\gamma \in \hat{G}, \hat{\mu} \in B(A)). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By theorem 2, $\hat{J}\hat{\mu}$ is an element of $B(\hat{G})$ which has the properties (*). If we can show that $\hat{J}\hat{\mu}$ is continuous in the original topology of \hat{G} , we have $\hat{J}\hat{\mu} \in B(\hat{G})$ with the properties i), ii), iii) and vi) of (*) in theorem 2.

Let $\gamma_0 \in \hat{G}$, and let $(\gamma_\beta)_{\beta \in B} \subset \hat{G}$ be a net which converges to γ_0 in the topology of \hat{G} . In the first, we consider the case that $\gamma_0 \in (A + \text{supp } (\hat{\rho}))^0$ (the set of the interior points of $A + \text{supp } (\hat{\rho})$ in \hat{G}). Then, we may assume $\gamma_\beta \in A + \text{supp } (\hat{\rho})$ ($\beta \in B$). Write $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + t_0$, $\gamma_\beta = \alpha_\beta + t_\beta$ ($\beta \in B$), where $\alpha_0, \alpha_\beta \in A$ and $t_0, t_\beta \in \text{supp } (\hat{\rho})$. These expressions are unique by the condition $A \cap (V - V) = \{0\}$. Since A contains L , $A + V_1$ contains a neighborhood of γ_0 for each neighborhood V_1 of t_0 in F . This shows that $\lim t_\beta = t_0$, $\lim \alpha_\beta = \alpha_0$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim \hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_\beta) &= \lim \sum_{\alpha \in A} \hat{\mu}(\alpha) \hat{\rho}(\gamma_\beta - \alpha) = \lim \hat{\mu}(\alpha_\beta) \hat{\rho}(t_\beta) \\ &= \hat{\mu}(\gamma_0) \hat{\rho}(t_0) = \hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_0) \quad (\hat{\mu} \in B(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Next, if $\gamma_0 \in \partial_{\hat{G}}(A + \text{supp } (\hat{\rho}))$ (the boundary of $A + \text{supp } (\hat{\rho})$ in \hat{G}), we have $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + t_0$ with $t_0 \in \partial_F(\text{supp } (\hat{\rho}))$ and $\alpha_0 \in A$, since $A + \text{supp } (\hat{\rho})$ is closed in \hat{G} . Let $B' = \{\beta \in B \mid \gamma_\beta \in A + \text{supp } (\hat{\rho})\}$, and put $\gamma_\beta = \alpha_\beta + t_\beta$ ($\beta \in B'$) with $\alpha_\beta \in A$, $t_\beta \in \text{supp } (\hat{\rho})$. Then we have

$$\hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_\beta) = \begin{cases} \hat{\mu}(\alpha_\beta) \hat{\rho}(t_\beta); & \beta \in B' \\ 0; & B \setminus B'. \end{cases}$$

If B' is a cofinal set of B , we have $\lim_{\beta \in B'} \alpha_\beta = \alpha_0$, $\lim_{\beta \in B'} t_\beta = t_0$ in \hat{G} as above, and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\beta \in B'} \hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_\beta) &= \lim_{\beta \in B'} \hat{\mu}(\alpha_\beta) \hat{\rho}(t_\beta) \\ &= \hat{\mu}(\alpha_0) \hat{\rho}(t_0) = \hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_\beta) = 0$ ($\beta \in B \setminus B'$), we have $\lim_{\beta \in B} \hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_\beta) = 0 = \hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_0)$ whether B' is a cofinal set of B or not.

In the last case, if $\gamma_0 \notin A + \text{supp } (\hat{\rho})$, we have at once $\lim \hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_\beta) = 0 = \hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_0)$ since $\text{supp } \hat{J}\hat{\mu} \subset A + \text{supp } (\hat{\rho})$.

To prove iv), let $\hat{\mu} \in A(A)$ be arbitrary and choose $\hat{\mu}_k \in A(A)$ ($k=1, 2, \dots$)

with compact support such that $\lim \|\hat{\mu}_k - \hat{\mu}\| = 0$. Since $\text{supp } \hat{J}\hat{\mu}_k$ is contained in the compact set $\text{supp } \hat{\mu}_k + \text{supp } \hat{\rho}$, we have $\hat{J}\hat{\mu}_k \in A(\hat{G})$. Thus, by $\lim \|\hat{J}\hat{\mu}_k - \hat{J}\hat{\mu}\| = \lim \|\hat{\mu}_k - \hat{\mu}\| = 0$, we get $\hat{J}\hat{\mu} \in A(\hat{G})$.

To prove v), we use the Doss's criterion on singular measures ([2]). Let $\hat{\mu} \in B_s(A)$, and let C be an arbitrary compact set of \hat{G} , and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $A \cap C$ is compact, we have by [2] a trigonometric polynomial $\bar{P}(\hat{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i (-\hat{x}, \gamma_i)$ ($\gamma_i \in A \setminus C$) on G/H such that $|\sum_{i=1}^s c_i \mu(\gamma_i)| > \|\mu\| - \varepsilon$, $\sup_{\hat{x} \in G/H} |\bar{P}(\hat{x})| \leq 1$. Then the trigonometric polynomial $P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i (-x, \gamma_i)$ on G satisfies

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^s c_i \hat{J}\hat{\mu}(\gamma_i) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^s c_i \hat{\mu}(\gamma_i) \right| > \|\hat{\mu}\| - \varepsilon = \|\hat{J}\hat{\mu}\| - \varepsilon, \quad \sup_{x \in G} |P(x)| \leq 1;$$

and we have, by [2] again, $\hat{J}\hat{\mu} \in B_s(\hat{G})$.

(II). Next, we consider the case $K \neq \{0\}$. Here, we express by π the natural map of \hat{G} onto \hat{G}/K . If G_0 and H are the annihilator of K and A respectively, we have $G_0 \supset H$ and G_0 is open in G . Since G_0 is the dual group of $\hat{G}/K = L \times F$ and H is the annihilator in G_0 of the closed subgroup $A/K = L \times D$ of \hat{G}/K , we have by (I) a linear map J_0 of $M(G_0/H)$ into $M(G_0)$ which satisfies (**) of remark 1 and

$$(J_0 \mu)^\wedge(\gamma) = \sum_{\alpha \in A/K} \hat{\mu}(\alpha) \hat{\rho}(\gamma - \alpha) \quad (\mu \in M(G_0/H), \gamma \in \hat{G}/K) \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{\rho}$ is a function on \hat{G}/K defined by (4) for some probability measure $\rho \in M(\hat{F})$ with $\text{supp } \rho \subset \pi(U) \cap F$.

We now define a map J of $M(G/H)$ into $M(G)$. Choose a subset $\{x_\xi\}_{\xi \in G/G_0} \subset G$ such that the set $\{\hat{x}_\xi = x_\xi + H\}_{\xi \in G/G_0}$ becomes a complete set of representatives of $(G/H)/(G_0/H)$ by G_0/H , and put

$$J\mu = \sum_{\xi \in G/G_0} \left[J_0((\mu|_{(G_0/H) + \hat{x}_\xi}) * \delta_{-\hat{x}_\xi}) \right] * \delta_{x_\xi} \quad (\mu \in M(G/H)) \quad (6)$$

For each $\mu \in M(G/H)$, the set $\{\xi \in G/G_0 : \mu|_{(G_0/H) + \hat{x}_\xi} \neq 0\}$ is at most countable, and the map J is well defined. It is easy to see that J is linear and satisfies (**) of remark 1 except i) and vi).

Let $\mu \in M(G/H)$ and $\gamma \in A$ arbitrary. Then we have from (6) and the property (**) i) of J_0 that

$$\begin{aligned} (J\mu)^\wedge(\gamma) &= \sum_{\xi \in G/G_0} \left[J_0((\mu|_{(G_0/H) + \hat{x}_\xi}) * \delta_{-\hat{x}_\xi}) \right]^\wedge(\dot{\gamma})(-x_\xi, \gamma) \\ &= \sum_{\xi \in G/G_0} ((\mu|_{(G_0/H) + \hat{x}_\xi}) * \delta_{-\hat{x}_\xi})^\wedge(\dot{\gamma})(-x_\xi, \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\xi \in G/G_0} \left((\mu|_{(G_0/H) + \hat{x}_\xi}) * \delta_{-\hat{x}_\xi} \right)^\wedge(\gamma)(-x_\xi, \gamma) \\
&= \sum_{\xi \in G/G_0} (\mu|_{(G_0/H) + \hat{x}_\xi})^\wedge(\gamma) = \hat{\mu}(\gamma),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\dot{\gamma} = \gamma + K \in \Lambda/K$. Thus i) holds.

To prove vi), we use lemma 2. By (1) and (5) we have

$$\text{supp} \left(J_0(\mu|_{(G_0/H) + \hat{x}_\xi}) * \delta_{-\hat{x}_\xi} \right)^\wedge \subset \pi(\text{supp}(\hat{\mu})) + \pi(U) \quad (\xi \in G/G_0). \quad (7)$$

Then we get from (6) and (7) that

$$\text{supp}(J\hat{\mu})^\wedge \subset \text{supp}(\hat{\mu}) + K + U \subset \text{supp}(\hat{\mu}) + W,$$

and this proves vi), and the proof is complete.

REMARK 2. In [7], analogous lifting operators are constructed and used under the special setting of the paper.

References

- [1] D. L. ARMACOST: The structure of locally compact abelian groups, M. Dekker Inc., 1981.
- [2] R. DOSS: On the transform of a singular or an absolutely continuous measures, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 19 (1968) pp. 361-363.
- [3] E. HEWITT and K. A. ROSS: Abstract Harmonic Analysis I, Berlin, Springer 1963.
- [4] A. MACLEAN: Transforms of measures on quotients and spline functions, Trans. A.M.S. 261 no. 1 (1980) pp. 287-296.
- [5] L. PIGNO and S. SAEKI: Construction of singular measures with remarkable convolution properties, Studia Math., LXIX (1980) pp. 133-141.
- [6] W. RUDIN: Fourier analysis on groups, Interscience New York 1962.
- [7] H. YAMAGUCHI: Some multipliers on the space consisting of measures of analytic type, Hokkaido Math Jour., XI no. 2 (1982) pp. 173-200.

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University