

Projective Γ -sets

By Tomoyuki YOSHIDA

(Received January 26, 1982)

The purpose of this paper is to study projective functors from a small category to the category of sets. Our results are generalizations of semigroup cases.

1. Some basic definitions and properties

Let \mathcal{S} be the category of sets and Γ a small category. We denote by \mathcal{S}^Γ the functor category from Γ to \mathcal{S} . Then an object in \mathcal{S}^Γ is called a (right) Γ -set and a morphism in \mathcal{S}^Γ is called a Γ -map. We denote the hom-set from i to j in Γ by $\Gamma(i, j)$. Furthermore *throughout the paper*, we denote the composition $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ by $fg: X \rightarrow Z$. So a (right) Γ -set X consists of sets X_i , $i \in \Gamma$, which are called *stalks* at i , together with maps

$$X_i \times \Gamma(i, j) \longrightarrow X_j: (x_i, \alpha) \longmapsto x_i \cdot \alpha$$

for $i, j \in \Gamma$ which satisfy the conditions:

- (a) $x_i \cdot 1_i = x_i$ for $i \in \Gamma$, $x_i \in X_i$ and the identity 1_i ;
- (b) $(x_i \cdot \alpha) \cdot \beta = x_i \cdot (\alpha\beta)$ for $x_i \in X_i$, $\alpha \in \Gamma(i, j)$, $\beta \in \Gamma(j, k)$.

Furthermore a Γ -map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between Γ -sets is a family of maps $f_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i$, $i \in \Gamma$, satisfying the condition $(x_i \cdot \alpha)f_j = (x_i f_i) \cdot \alpha$ for $i, j \in \Gamma$, $x_i \in X_i$, $\alpha \in \Gamma(i, j)$. The set of all Γ -maps of X to Y is denoted by $\Gamma(X, Y)$. We define analogously *left* Γ -sets which can be regarded as contravariant functor from Γ to \mathcal{S} . The category of Γ -sets, \mathcal{S}^Γ , is complete and cocomplete. In fact, limits and colimits of Γ -sets are constructed pointwise. A Γ -set X is called a *finite* Γ -set provided each stalk X_i is a finite set. The full subcategory of finite Γ -sets in \mathcal{S}^Γ is denoted by \mathcal{S}_f^Γ .

Any set A is regarded as a *constant* Γ -set defined by $A_i = A$ and $a \cdot \alpha = a$ for all $a \in A_i$, $\alpha \in \Gamma(i, j)$. For each $k \in \Gamma$, the hom-functor $H^k: i \mapsto \Gamma(k, i)$ is a Γ -set, which is called a *representable* Γ -set. Of course the map $H^k_i \times \Gamma(i, j) \rightarrow H^k_j: (\gamma, \alpha) \mapsto \gamma\alpha$ is defined by the compositions. If Γ is a finite category, that is, all morphisms in Γ makes a finite set, then H^k is a finite Γ -set. It is well-known as the Yoneda Lemma that Γ -maps of H^k to X are bijectively corresponding with elements of X_k . The Yoneda embedding $Y: \Gamma^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\Gamma: k \mapsto H^k$ is fully-faithful, and furthermore Y preserves and reflects

limits, monomorphisms and isomorphisms.

Some elementary concepts about sets are generalized to ones about Γ -sets. See Chapter 14 of [3]. For example, a Γ -subset A of a Γ -set X consists of subsets A_i of X_i , $i \in \Gamma$, such that $A_i \cdot \alpha \subseteq A_j$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma(i, j)$, $i, j \in \Gamma$. A non-empty Γ -set is called *indecomposable* if it is not decomposed to the disjoint union of two non-empty Γ -subsets. For example, each representable Γ -set is indecomposable. Furthermore, for each $\lambda: j \rightarrow k$ in Γ , the Γ -subset $\lambda H^k \subseteq H^j$ is also indecomposable. The following lemma is easily proved.

LEMMA 1. (1) *A Γ -set is uniquely decomposed to the disjoint union of minimal indecomposable Γ -subsets.*

(2) *A decomposition of a Γ -set to a disjoint union of Γ -subsets is preserved by the inverse image of a Γ -map.*

(3) *A Γ -set X is indecomposable if and only if every equivalence relation \sim on $\coprod_{i \in \Gamma} X_i$ satisfying*

$$x_i \sim x_i \cdot \alpha \quad \text{for } x_i \in X_i, \alpha \in \Gamma(i, j)$$

has only one equivalence class.

A Γ -set P is called *projective* provided every Γ -epimorphism onto P is split, that is, whenever $f: A \rightarrow P$ is a Γ -epimorphism, then there is $g: P \rightarrow A$ such that $gf = 1_P: P \rightarrow A \rightarrow P$. A Γ -set which is a disjoint union of representable Γ -subsets is called a *free Γ -set*

LEMMA 2. (1) *A Γ -set is projective if and only if each indecomposable direct summand of it is so.*

(2) *A Γ -set X is projective if and only if the hom-functor $H^X: \mathcal{S}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}: A \mapsto (X, A)_\Gamma$ preserves epimorphisms.*

(3) *A Γ -set which has a split epimorphism from a projective Γ -set is also projective.*

(4) *A Γ -set has a Γ -epimorphism from a free Γ -set.*

(5) *A free Γ -set is projective.*

The proof is easy, but the Axiom of Choice is necessary to prove the “if-part” of (1) and (5). For a Γ -set X , we can choose as a free Γ -set F in (4) the free Γ -set

$$F := \coprod_{k \in \Gamma} X_k \times H^k$$

with a Γ -epimorphism $\pi: F \rightarrow X$ defined by $\pi_i: (x_k, \alpha) \mapsto x_k \cdot \alpha$.

2. Projective Γ -sets

In this section we characterize projective Γ -sets.

THEOREM A. *Let Γ be a small (resp. finite) category and X a Γ -set (resp. finite Γ -set). Then X is projective if and only if X is isomorphic to the direct sum of Γ -sets of the form εH^k for some $k \in \Gamma$ and some idempotent $\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon \in \Gamma(k, k)$.*

PROOF. We may assume that X is indecomposable. We will first show that εH^k , where $k \in \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon \in \Gamma(k, k)$, is projective. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow \varepsilon H^k$ be a Γ -epimorphism. Then there is an element a_k of A_k such that $\pi_k : a_k \mapsto \varepsilon$. We define a Γ -map $\phi : \varepsilon H^k \rightarrow A$ by

$$\phi_i : \varepsilon \Gamma(k, i) \longrightarrow A_i : \varepsilon \alpha \longmapsto a_k \varepsilon \alpha.$$

Then ϕ is actually a Γ -map and $\phi\pi = 1$ on εH^k . Thus each epimorphism to εH^k is split, and hence εH^k is projective, as required. Next let X be an indecomposable and projective Γ -set. Take a Γ -epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow X$ from a free Γ -set F . Then there is a Γ -map $\phi : X \rightarrow F$ such that $\phi\pi = 1_X$. By the indecomposability of X and Lemma 1 (2), we have that the image of ϕ is contained in a unique indecomposable direct summand, and so for some k , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1_X = \phi\pi : X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & F & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ & \searrow & \nearrow & & \\ & H^k & & & \end{array}$$

Thus we may assume that $F = H^k$, so that

$$1_X = \phi\pi : X \xrightarrow{\phi} H^k \xrightarrow{\pi} X.$$

Set $\theta = \pi\phi : H^k \rightarrow H^k$. Then $\theta^2 = \theta$ and $X \cong \text{Im } \theta \subseteq H^k$. Define $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(k, k)$ by

$$\theta_k : H^k_k \longrightarrow H^k_k : 1_k \longmapsto \varepsilon.$$

Then for any $\alpha : k \rightarrow i$ in Γ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} k & \Gamma(k, k) & \xrightarrow{\theta_k} & \Gamma(k, k) & 1_k \longmapsto \varepsilon \\ \downarrow \alpha & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ i & \Gamma(k, i) & \xrightarrow{\theta_i} & \Gamma(k, i) & \alpha \longmapsto \varepsilon \alpha \end{array}$$

Thus θ_i sends each $\alpha \in \Gamma(k, i)$ to $\varepsilon \alpha$, and so $X \cong \text{Im } \theta = \varepsilon H^k$. Furthermore, since θ is an idempotent, so is ε , as required. The theorem is proved.

LEMMA 3. *Let $k, l \in \Gamma$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 \in \Gamma(k, k)$ and $\eta = \eta^2 \in \Gamma(l, l)$. Then εH^k is isomorphic to ηH^l if and only if there exist $\kappa : k \rightarrow l$ and $\lambda : l \rightarrow k$ such that $\lambda = \eta \lambda \varepsilon$, $\kappa = \varepsilon \kappa \eta$, $\varepsilon = \kappa \lambda$, $\eta = \lambda \kappa$.*

$$\varepsilon \hookrightarrow k \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{\kappa} \\ \xrightarrow{\lambda} \end{matrix} l \hookrightarrow \eta.$$

This lemma is easily proved by the similar way as in the Yoneda Lemma.

COROLLARY A. 1. *Let Γ be a small (resp. finite) category in which every endomorphism is an automorphism. Then the Yoneda functor $Y: \Gamma^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma}: k \mapsto H^k$ yields an equivalence between Γ^{op} and the full subcategory of indecomposable and projective Γ -sets in \mathcal{S}^{Γ} (resp. \mathcal{S}_f^{Γ}).*

COROLLARY A. 2. *Let Γ and Δ be small (resp. finite) category in which every endomorphism is an automorphism. If \mathcal{S}^{Γ} (resp. \mathcal{S}_f^{Γ}) and \mathcal{S}^{Δ} (resp. \mathcal{S}_f^{Δ}) are equivalent, then Γ and Δ are equivalent, too.*

NOTE 1. Two small categories Γ and Δ are called *Morita equivalent* provided \mathcal{S}^{Γ} and \mathcal{S}^{Δ} are equivalent. The problem when two categories are Morita equivalent is solved in monoidcase (Knauer [1]). Moreover, it is well-known that \mathcal{S}_f^{Γ} and \mathcal{S}_f^{Δ} are equivalent for groups Γ and Δ if and only if the profinite completion $\hat{\Gamma}$ and $\hat{\Delta}$ are isomorphic.

NOTE 2. A Γ -set P is called *internally projective* if $H^k \times P$ is projective Γ -set for every k in Γ . The characterization of internally projective Γ -sets seems to be more troublesome excepting the case where Γ is a finite monoid.

3. Projective covers.

A Γ -epimorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between Γ -sets is called *essential* if no proper Γ -subsets of X is mapped onto Y by f . A Γ -set X with projection $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is called a *projective cover* of X provided \tilde{X} is projective and π is an essential Γ -epimorphism. Differing from injective envelopes, projective covers does not always exist. Similarly as module-case, some finiteness conditions are absolutely necessary for the existence of projective covers. Let Γ be a small category and $k \in \Gamma$. Let $k \backslash \Gamma$ be the category of morphisms from k . For $\alpha: k \rightarrow i$ and $\beta: k \rightarrow j$ in Γ , we write $\alpha \overset{k}{\sim} \beta$ if there exist $\lambda: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ and $\mu: \beta \rightarrow \alpha$ in $k \backslash \Gamma$ such that $\alpha\lambda = \mu$, $\beta\mu = \alpha$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \alpha & \rightarrow i \\ k & \searrow & \uparrow \lambda \\ & \beta & \rightarrow j \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \mu \\ \downarrow \end{array}$$

LEMMA 4. *Let Γ be a small category and $k \in \Gamma$. Then the representable Γ -set H^k possesses only a finite number of Γ -subsets if and only if $k \backslash \Gamma$*

has a finite number of equivalence classes with respect to $\stackrel{k}{\sim}$.

PROOF. For present, we call a Γ -set X *irreducible* if X has a Γ -epimorphism from a representable Γ -set. By Lemma 2 (4), every Γ -set is a union of set-indexed irreducible Γ -subsets. Thus the Γ -set H^k has only a finite number of Γ -subsets if and only if H^k has only a finite number of irreducible Γ -subsets. Let $\alpha \in \Gamma(k, i)$ and $\beta \in \Gamma(k, j)$. Suppose first the image of $\alpha^*: H^i \rightarrow H^k$ and $\beta^*: H^j \rightarrow H^k$ are coincident. Since α_i^* sends 1_i to α , there exists $\mu \in H^j_i = \Gamma(j, i)$ which is mapped to $\alpha = \beta\mu$ by β^* . Similarly, there exists $\lambda \in \Gamma(i, j)$ such that $\beta = \alpha\lambda$. Hence $\alpha \stackrel{k}{\sim} \beta$. Conversely, suppose $\alpha \stackrel{k}{\sim} \beta$, so that there exist $\lambda \in \Gamma(i, j)$ and $\mu \in \Gamma(j, i)$ such that $\beta = \alpha\lambda$ and $\alpha = \beta\mu$. Then $\alpha\Gamma(i, l) = \beta\Gamma(j, l)$ for each l in Γ , and hence $\text{Im } \alpha^* = \text{Im } \beta^* \subseteq H^k$. Thus we have that $\text{Im } \alpha^* = \text{Im } \beta^*$ if and only if $\alpha \stackrel{k}{\sim} \beta$. Now the lemma follows from Yoneda.

THEOREM B. Let Γ be a small category, Δ a finite set of objects in Γ and X and finite Γ -set. Assume the following conditions:

- (a) $d \backslash \Gamma$ has only finite $\stackrel{k}{\sim}$ -equivalence classes for every d in Δ ;
- (b) $X_k = \bigcup_{d \in \Delta} X_d \cdot \Gamma(d, k)$ for every k in Γ .

Then X possesses a projective cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ uniquely determined up to isomorphism on X . In particular, if Γ is a finite category and X is a finite Γ -set, then X has a projective cover.

PROOF. Take the Γ -map

$$\pi: P = \coprod_{d \in \Delta} X_d \times H^d \longrightarrow X: (x_d, \alpha) \longmapsto x_d \cdot \alpha.$$

Then by the assumption (b), π is a Γ -epimorphism. Furthermore by the assumption (a) and Lemma 4, P has only a finite number of Γ -subsets. Thus there exists a minimal Γ -subset \tilde{X} of P such that $\pi|_{\tilde{X}}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a Γ -epimorphism. Then the minimality implies that $\pi|_{\tilde{X}}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is an essential epimorphism. We will show that \tilde{X} is projective. Let $i: \tilde{X} \rightarrow P$ be the injection. Since $i\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is an epimorphism and P is projective, there exists a Γ -map $\phi: P \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\pi = \phi i\pi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{i} & P \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow i\pi & & \\ & & X & & \end{array}$$

Thus $\pi = \phi \cdot (i\pi) = \phi i \phi \cdot (i\pi)$. Since $\pi|_{\tilde{X}} = i\pi$ is essential and π is an epimorphism, we have that $\phi: P \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and $\phi i \phi: P \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ are both epimorphisms, and so

$\phi(P) = \phi(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{X}$. Thus it follows from the finiteness of \tilde{X} that $i\phi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a Γ -isomorphism. Set $\psi = (i\phi)^{-1}i: \tilde{X} \rightarrow P$. Then $\phi\psi = 1_{\tilde{X}}$, that is, $\phi: P \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a split epimorphism, and hence X is projective. We proved that $i\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a projective cover with \tilde{X} finite. Next we will show the uniqueness. Let $p: P \rightarrow X$ and $q: Q \rightarrow X$ be two projective covers of X . We may assume that P is finite. Then there are Γ -maps $f: P \rightarrow Q$ and $g: Q \rightarrow P$ such that $f q = p$ and $g p = q$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & & \\ f \uparrow & p \searrow & \\ Q & & X \\ g \downarrow & q \nearrow & \end{array}$$

Thus $f g p = p$ and $g f q = q$. Since p and q are essential, we have that $f g$ and $g f$ are epimorphisms. The finiteness of P implies that $f g: P \rightarrow P$ is an automorphism, and so f is not only a epimorphism but also a monomorphism. Thus f and also g are isomorphisms on X , as required. The theorem is proved.

The following lemma is useful to see whether the category Γ satisfies the assumption of the theorem.

LEMMA 5. *Let Γ be a small category satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a) *Any morphism in Γ can be factored as an epi followed by a mono;*
- (b) *Any object of Γ has only finite quotient objects;*
- (c) *Any object of Γ is injective.*

Then for each $k \in \Gamma$, $k \backslash \Gamma$ has finite $\overset{k}{\sim}$ -equivalence classes, that is, H^k has finite Γ -subsets.

PROOF. Let $\alpha: k \rightarrow i$ be a morphism in Γ and let $\alpha = \alpha' \alpha'': k \xrightarrow{\alpha'} k' \xrightarrow{\alpha''} i$ be an epi-mono factorization of α . Then by (c), we have that $\alpha \overset{k}{\sim} \alpha'$. Thus there is a bijective correspondence between $\overset{k}{\sim}$ -equivalence classes and quotient objects of k .

EXAMPLES. (1) Let Γ be a monoid. Then Γ may be considered as a category with only one object. In this case, a projective Γ -set is a direct sum of cyclic Γ -sets $e\Gamma$ generated idempotents e of Γ (Knauer [1]). In particular, when Γ is a group, a Γ -set is projective if and only if it is semi-regular.

(2) Let Γ be the category with two objects and four morphisms as follows:

$$id \hookrightarrow 1 \rightrightarrows 0 \twoheadrightarrow id.$$

Then a Γ -set is regarded as directed graph. An indecomposable and projective Γ -set is represented by one of the following graphs:

$$\circ, \quad \circ \longrightarrow \circ.$$

Furthermore in this case every Γ -set has unconditionally a projective cover.

(3) Let \mathcal{A} be the category which has as objects the sets $[n] := \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 0$, and as morphisms all monotone functions. Set $\Gamma = \mathcal{A}^{op}$. Then Γ -sets are called simplicial sets (May [2]). In this case, an indecomposable and projective simplicial set is always representable, and so it is isomorphic to the simplicial set given by a finite simplex. The category Γ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5. Thus by Theorem B, a simplicial set of finite dimensional (that is, with a finite dimensional CW complex as its geometric realization) has a projective cover. Contrary, infinite dimensional simplicial sets have no projective covers.

References

- [1] U. KNAUER: Projectivity of acts and Morita equivalence of monoids, *Semigroup Forum*, vol. 3 (1972), 359–370.
- [2] J. P. MAY: “Simplicial Objects in Algebraic Topology”, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1968.
- [3] H. SCHUBERT: “Categories”, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York 1972).

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University