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## Introduction

Let $G$ be a compact abelian group and $\Gamma_{0}$ be a fixed subsemigroup of the dual group $\Gamma=\hat{G}$ of $G$. It is well known that in the case when $G$ is the unit circle $S^{1}$ and $\Gamma_{0}=\boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$any complex Borel measure $d \mu$ on $G$ with zero nonpositive Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients $c_{-n}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i n t} d \mu(t), n \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$, is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar (i. e. Lebesgue) measure $d \sigma$ on $G=S^{1}$. This is exactly the famous F . and M. Riesz theorem for analytic measures on the unit circle (e.g. [1]). In the sequel we shall use the following

Definition 1. A pair ( $G, K$ ) of a compact abelian group $G$ and a subset $K$ of its dual group $\Gamma=\hat{G}$ is said to be a Riesz pair if every finite Borel measure $d \mu$ orthogonal to $K$ (i. e. $\int_{G} x(x) d \mu(x)=0$ for any $\chi \in K$ ) is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure $d \sigma$ on $G$.

The F. and M. Riesz theorem says that ( $S^{1}, \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$) is a Riesz pair. As shown by S. Koshi and H. Yamaguchi [3] in the case when $\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{0}^{-1}=\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_{0} \cap \Gamma_{0}^{-1}=\{1\}$ an analogue of F . and M . Riesz theorem for analytic measures on a compact connected group $G$ does not hold unless $G=S^{1}$ and $\Gamma_{0}=\boldsymbol{Z}_{+}$(or $\boldsymbol{Z}_{-}$). A theorem by I. Glicksberg [2] says that ( $S^{1}, \Gamma_{0}$ ) is a Riesz pair for any subsemigroup $\Gamma_{0}$ of $\boldsymbol{Z}$, such that $\Gamma_{0}-\Gamma_{0}=\boldsymbol{Z}$. Consequently any finite complex Borel measure on $S^{1}$ that is orthogonal to such $\Gamma_{0} \subset \boldsymbol{Z}$ and is singular with respect to the Haar measure on $S^{1}$ coincides with the zero measure on $S^{1}$. On the other hand according to Bochner's theorem (e.g. [1]) ( $T^{2}, K$ ) is a Riesz pair, where $T^{2}$ is the two dimensional torus and $K$ is the complement in $\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}=\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{2}$ of a plane angle less then $2 \pi$ edged at the origin. Here we extend Glicksberg's theorem and give a general construction of Riesz pairs that generalizes the Bochner's one.

## 1. Low-complete subsets of partially ordered sets

Let $G$ be a compact abelian group. If $\Gamma_{0}$ is a subsemigroup of its dual

[^0]group $\Gamma=\hat{G}$, such that $\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{0}^{-1}=\Gamma$ then $\Gamma$ can be provided in a natural way with a partial ordering (the so called $\Gamma_{0}$-ordering), namely, by defining that $a$ follows $b(a>b)$ iff $a b^{-1} \in \Gamma_{0}, a, b \in \Gamma$. This ordering possesses the following properties: $a c>b c$ whenever $\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{b}$ for any $a, b, c$ from $\Gamma$; for every $a \in \Gamma$ either $a>1$ or $1>a$, where both conditions can be fulfilled simultaneously. If in addition $\Gamma_{0} \cap \Gamma_{0}^{-1}=\{1\}$ then the $\Gamma_{0}$-ordering is complete, i. e. $a>b>$ $a$ implies always that $a=b$. As mentioned before if a $\overline{\Gamma_{0} \text {-ordering of } \Gamma=\hat{G} \text { is }}$ complete, then $\left(S^{1}, \boldsymbol{Z}_{+}\right)$and ( $S^{1}, \boldsymbol{Z}_{-}$) are the only Riesz pairs of type ( $G$, $\Gamma_{0}$ ).

DEFINITION 2[6]. Let $Z$ be a partially ordered set and let $\Omega$ be a subset of $Z . \Omega$ is said to be low-complete with respect to the given ordering in $Z$ iff for any subset $Y \subset Z$ that is bounded from below by some element of $\Omega$ there exists in $\Omega \backslash Y$ a greatest among all lower boundaries of $Y$.

Example 1. Let $\boldsymbol{Z}=\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}$ is the standard $\boldsymbol{Z}$-lattice in $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ provided with the partial ordering generated by the semigroup $\Gamma_{0}=\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}=\left\{(n, m) \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{2}: n \geqq\right.$ $0\}$. Here $\Gamma_{0} \cap \Gamma_{0}^{-1}=\{(0, n): n \in Z\} \neq \emptyset$. The set $\Omega=\{(n, m): n \leqq 0, m=0\}$ is low-complete with respect to the $\Gamma_{0}$-ordering in $\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}$. Indeed, let $Y$ be a subset of $\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}$ that is bounded from below by some element ( $n, 0$ ) of $\Omega$. This simply means that $Y \subset\left\{(n, m) \in Z^{2}: n \geqq n_{0} \leqq 0\right\}$ and it is clear that in $\Omega \backslash Y$ there exists a greatest low boundary for $Y$, namely the point ( $n_{1}, 0$ ), where $n_{1}=\max \{n:(n, 0) \notin Y\}$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let now $Z=\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}$ is provided with the partial ordering generated by the semigroup $\Gamma_{0}=\left\{(n, m) \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{2}: m \leq \sqrt{2} n\right\}$. Here $\Gamma_{0} \cap-\Gamma_{0}=\{0\}$ The set $\Omega=\left\{(n, m) \in Z^{2}: n \leqq 0,|m| \leqq-n\right\}$ is low-complete with respect to the $\Gamma_{0}$-ordering in $\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}$. Indeed let $Y$ be a subset of $\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}$ that is bounded from below by some element $\left(n_{0}, m_{0}\right) \in \Omega$. This means that $Y \subset\left\{(n, m) \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{2}\right.$ : $\left.m \leqq \sqrt{2}\left(n-n_{0}\right)+m_{0}\right\}$, i. e. $Y$ lies on the right hand side of the line $\lambda: y=$ $\sqrt{2}\left(x-n_{0}\right)+m_{0}$. If $\lambda_{1}$ is the rightest possible line parallel to $\lambda$, so that $Y$ lies on the right hand side of $\lambda_{1}$, then $\lambda_{1} \cap\left\{(x, y) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{2}: x \leqq 0,|y|=-x\right\}$ is a finite segment from $\lambda_{1}$ and it is easy to see that there are points from $\Omega \backslash Y$ that are closest to $\lambda_{1}$. That it will be only one closest to $\lambda_{1}$ point in $\Omega \backslash Y$ follows from the fact that the line $y=\sqrt{2} x$ contains only one point (namely 0 ) from $\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}$.

EXAMPLE 3. In the previous example one can take $Q$ to be any subset of $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$, which intersections with every line parallel to $y=\sqrt{2} x$ are bounded segments and to define $\Omega$ to be $Q \cap \boldsymbol{Z}^{2}$, or, equivalently, all the sets $\Omega^{-}$ ( $n, m$ ), where $(n, m) \in \Omega$, to be finite.

## 2. Main results

The next theorem is an extension of the mentioned at the beginning Glicksberg's theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a compact abelian group, let $\Gamma_{0}$ be a fixed subsemigroup of the dual group $\Gamma=\hat{G}$ of $G$, for which $\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{0}^{-1}=\Gamma, \Gamma_{0} \cap \Gamma_{0}^{-1}=$ $\{1\}$ and let $\Sigma$ be a nonempty subset of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}$ that is low-complete with respect to the $\Gamma_{0}$-ordering in $\Gamma$. Then every finite complex Borel measure $d \mu$ on $G$ that is orthogonal to the set $K=\Gamma \backslash \Sigma$ and is singular with respect to the Haar measure $d \sigma$ on $G$ coinciues with the zero measure on $G$.

Proof. Assume that $d \mu \neq 0$. Then $d \mu$ is not orthogonal to $\Gamma$ by the uniqueness theorem for Fourier-Stieltjes transforms. Let $Y=\{\chi \in \Gamma$ : $\int_{G} \chi_{1}(g) d \mu(g)=0$ for every $\left.\chi_{1}>\chi\right\}$. Note that $Y$ contains every $\chi \in \Gamma$ that follows some element of $Y$. Also $Y$ contains the whole semigroup $\Gamma_{0}$. On the other hand $Y$ is bounded from below by some element of $\Sigma$ because in the opposite case every element of $\Sigma$ will follow some element of $Y$ and consequently will belong to $Y$ in contradiction with $d \mu \searrow \Gamma$. Since $\Sigma$ is a lowcomplete subset of $\Gamma$ there will exist in $\Sigma \backslash Y$ an element that is biggest among all low boundaries of $Y$, say $\delta$. Then we have $\delta\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash\{1\}\right) \subset Y$. To see this assume $\delta \cdot \chi \notin Y$ for some $\chi \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash\{1\}$. Therefore there exists a $\chi_{1} \in \Gamma_{0}$ such that $\int_{G} \chi_{1}(g) \chi(g) \delta(g) d \mu(g) \neq 0$. Thus $\chi_{1} \chi \delta \in \Sigma \backslash Y$ because $d \mu$ is orthogonal to $\Gamma \backslash \Sigma$ and because of the definition of $Y$. Since $\chi_{1} \chi \delta>\chi \delta, \chi_{1} \chi \delta$ is not a low boundary of $Y$. Consequently $\chi_{1} \chi \delta$ follows some element of $Y$ and henceforth $\chi_{1} \chi \delta \in Y$ by the definition of $Y$. But this is a contradiction. Hence $\chi \delta \in Y$ for every $\chi \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash\{1\}$, i. e. $\delta \Gamma_{0} \backslash\{1\} \subset Y$, wherefrom $\int_{G} \chi(g) \delta(g) d \mu(g)=$ 0 for every $\chi \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash\{1\}$. Denote by $d \nu$ the complex measure $d \nu=\delta d \mu$ on $G$. We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G} \chi(g) d \nu(g)=\int_{G} \chi(g) \delta(g) d \mu(g)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash\{1\}$. Put $d \tilde{\nu}=\delta d \mu-d \sigma$. Then $\int_{G} \delta(g) d \mu(g)=0$ by the Helson-Lowdenslager theorem [6] because $\int_{G} \chi(g) d \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(g)=0$ for each $\chi \in$ $\Gamma_{0} \backslash\{1\}$ and $d \tilde{\nu}_{s}=\delta d \mu$. This implies $\delta \in Y$. But this is a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

The next theorem generalizes Bochner's theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let $G$ be a fixed compact abelian group, let $\Xi$ be a family of subsemigroups $\left\{\Gamma_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}}$ of its dual group $\Gamma=\hat{G}$ such that $\Gamma_{\alpha} \cup \Gamma_{\alpha}^{-1}=\Gamma$ for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ and let $\delta_{\alpha} \in \Gamma_{\alpha}^{-1}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$. If the complement $\Sigma=\Gamma \backslash K$ of the set $K=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}} \delta_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha}$ is low-complete with respect to the $\Gamma_{0}$-ordering, generated by some semigroup $\Gamma_{0}$ from $\Xi$ with $\Gamma_{0} \cap \Gamma_{0}^{-1}=\{1\}$, then every finite Borel measure on $G$ that is orthogonal to $K$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure d $\sigma$ on $G$.

Theorem 2 means simply that under above conditions ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{K}$ ) is a Riesz pair.

Proof. Let $d \mu$ be a finite Borel measure on $G$ that is orthogonal to the set $K$. Then $d \mu \perp \delta_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$ and thatswhy the measure $d \nu_{\alpha}=\delta_{\alpha} d \mu$ is orthogonal to the semigroup $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. As shown by Yamaguchi [4] both absolutely continuous $\left(\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)_{a}\right)$ and singular $\left(\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)_{s}\right)$ components of the measure $d \nu_{\alpha}$ with respect to $d \sigma$ are orthogonal to $\Gamma_{\alpha}$, i. e. $\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)_{a} \perp \Gamma_{\alpha}$, ( $\left.d \nu_{\alpha}\right)_{s} \perp \Gamma_{\alpha}$. If $d \mu=d \mu_{a}+d \mu_{s}$ is the Lebesgue decomposition of $d \mu$, then $\delta_{\alpha} d \mu_{s} \perp \Gamma_{\alpha}$ since $\delta_{\alpha} d \mu_{s}=\left(\delta_{\alpha} d \mu\right)_{s}=\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)_{s} \perp \Gamma_{\alpha}$. Hence $d \mu_{s} \perp \delta_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ and consequently $d \mu_{s} \perp K$ for $K=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}} \delta_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha}$. Now $G, d \mu_{s}, \Sigma=\Gamma \backslash K$ and $\Gamma_{0}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 and thatswhy $d \mu_{s}=0$. Hence $d \mu=d \mu_{a}$.
Q. E. D.

In the case when $\Gamma_{\alpha} \cap \Gamma_{\alpha}^{-1}=\{1\}$ Theorem 2 is proved in[6]. Bochner's theorem and its $n$-dimensional version for Borel measures on the $n$ dimensional torus $T^{n}$ is a simple corollary from Theorem 2. Actually we can obtain the following :

Corollary 1. Let $L$ be a closed convex set in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ that is contained entirely in some half-space $E_{0}$ of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ with $\lambda \cap \boldsymbol{Z}^{n}=\{0\}$, where $\lambda$ is the ( $n$-1)-dimensional boundary of $E_{0}$ and such that the intersections of $L$ with all ( $n-1$ )-dimensional spaces parallel to $\lambda$ are bounded. Then every finite complex Borel measure on the n-dimensional torus $T^{n}$ with vanishing outside $L$ Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure d $\sigma$ on $T^{n}$.

Proof. As a closed convex set, $L$ is an intersection of certain family of closed half-spaces $E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$, i. e. $L=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{Z}} E_{\alpha}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that the boundary of $E_{\alpha}$ contains some point (say $Z_{\alpha}$ ) from $\boldsymbol{Z}^{n}$ for every $\alpha$ and that $E_{0}$ belongs to this family. For semigroups $\Gamma_{\alpha}=$ $\left(Z_{\alpha}-E_{\alpha}\right) \cap \boldsymbol{Z}^{n}$ we have : $0 \in \Gamma_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{\alpha} \cap-\Gamma_{\alpha}=\{0\}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. For $K=\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \backslash$ $(-L)$ we get : $K=-\left(\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \backslash L\right)=-\left(\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \backslash \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathscr{R}} E_{\alpha}\right)=-\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathscr{R}}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \backslash E_{\alpha}\right)=-\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathscr{R}}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \backslash\right.$
$\left.\left.\left(Z_{\alpha}-\Gamma_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \backslash \Gamma_{\alpha}-Z_{\alpha}\right)\right)$. The set $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \backslash K=\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \cap L$ is low complete with respect to the $\Gamma_{0}$-ordering on $\Gamma$. Indeed, let $Y$ be a bounded from below subset of $\boldsymbol{Z}^{n}$. This means that $Y \subset-E_{0}+Z_{1}$ for some point $Z_{1} \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{n}$. Let $Z_{2}$ $\in Z^{n}$ be such that $Y \subset-E_{0}+Z_{2}$, but $Y \not \subset-E_{0}+Z, Z \in Z^{n}, Z>Z_{2}$. From the hypotheses it follows that $-\left(E_{0}+Z_{2}\right) \cap Z^{n}$ is a finite set and consequently, since $\lambda \cap \boldsymbol{Z}^{n}=\{0\}$, there exists a unique elment $Z_{3} \in\left(\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \cap L\right) \backslash Y$ that is closest to $\left(\lambda+Z_{2}\right) \cap L$ amongst all elements of $\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \cap L, \lambda$ being the boundary of $E$. It is clear that $Z_{3}$ is the biggest amongst all low boundaries of $Y$ belonging to $\left(\boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \cap L\right) \backslash Y$. The proof now terminates by applying Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 2. Let $F$ be a real linear functional of $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{R}$ and let $L$ be a closed convex set in $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{R}$ such that: (i) $F(Z) \geqq 0$ on $L$; (ii) Ker $F \cap$ $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{Z}=\{0\}$; (iii) the set $L \cap\left\{Z \in \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{Z}: \alpha=F(\boldsymbol{Z})\right\}$ is finite for every positive number $\alpha$. Then $\left(T^{\infty},\left(\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{Z}\right) \backslash L\right)$ is a Riesz pair.

EXAMPLE. Let $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a fixed sequence of linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Z}$ positive numbers and let $F$ be the linear functional on $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{R}$, defined as : $F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, \ldots\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_{k} x_{k}$ (note that at most finite many of $x_{k}$ are different from 0 ). Clearly $\operatorname{Ker} F \cap \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{Z}=(0, \ldots, 0, \ldots)$ and thatswhy each of the sets $\left\{Z \in \bigoplus_{n=1} \boldsymbol{Z}: F(Z)=\alpha\right\}$ contains at most one point from $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ being a positive number. Hence for any closed convex set $L$ in $\left\{Z \in \bigoplus_{n=1} \boldsymbol{R}: F(Z) \geqq\right.$ $0\}$ the set $L \cap\left\{Z \in \bigoplus_{n=1} Z: F(Z)=\alpha\right\}$ is finite for each $\alpha>0$. Therefore ( $T^{\infty}$, $\left.\left(\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{Z}\right) L\right)$ is a Riesz pair, according to Corollary 2.

Note, that in the considered in [7] general case when $\Sigma \subset \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}$ and the sets $(\Sigma-\chi) \cap \Gamma_{0}$ are finite for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Sigma$, the set $\Sigma$ is low-complete with respect to the given complete $\Gamma_{0}$-ordering of $\Gamma$.
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