

On groups G of p -length 2 whose nilpotency indices of $J(KG)$ are $a(p-1)+1$

Dedicated to Professor Tosihiro TSUZUKU on his 60th birthday

Hiroshi FUKUSHIMA
(Received April 9, 1990)

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite p -solvable group with a Sylow p -subgroup P of order p^a , K a field of characteristic p , KG the group algebra of G over K , and $t(G)$ the nilpotency index of the radical $J(KG)$ of KG .

D. A. R. Wallace [9] proved that $a(p-1)+1 \leq t(G) \leq p^a$. Y. Tsuchishima [8] proved that the second equality $t(G)=p^a$ holds if and only if P is cyclic. Here we shall study the structure of G with $t(G)=a(p-1)+1$. If G has p -length 1, then $t(G)=t(P)$ by Clarke [1]. From this, we can easily see that $t(G)=a(p-1)+1$ if and only if P is elementary abelian. Therefore we shall be interested in the structure of G of p -length 2 with $t(G)=a(p-1)+1$. As such examples, we know the followings.

We set $q=p^r$ and $l=(q^p-1)/(q-1)$. Then $q-1$ and l are relatively prime. Let $F=GF(q^p)$ be a finite field of q^p elements, λ a generator of the multiplicative group F^* of F , and $\nu=\lambda^{q-1}$. Let V be the additive group of F . If we define $v^x=\nu v$, where νv means a multiplication in the field F , then $x \in \text{Aut}(V)$. Let U be the Galois group of F over $GF(q)$, and $H=\langle x \rangle$. Then $HU \cong \text{Aut}(V)$. So we can consider the semidirect product of V by HU . We set $M_{p,r}=VHU$. Then HU is a Frobenius group and $|H|=l$, $|U|=p$, and VU is a Sylow p -subgroup of $M_{p,r}$ of order p^{pr+1} . In [5], Motose proved $t(M_{p,r})=(pr+1)(p-1)+1$.

Let $G=M_{p,r}$, then $G=O_{p,p,p}(G)$ and $G/O_p(G)$ is a Frobenius group. So can we consider conversely that if G satisfies such conditions and $t(G)=a(p-1)+1$, then is G isomorphic to $M_{p,r}$? Concerning this problem, we have the following result.

THEOREM. *Let V be a normal p -subgroup of G with $G=VN$ and $V \cap N=1$ for some Frobenius group N with complement U and kernel H , where U and H are p -group and abelian p' -group, respectively. Then the*

following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $t(G) = a(p-1) + 1$, where p^a is the order of a Sylow p -subgroup of G .
- (ii) (A) U and V are elementary abelian.
(B) $C_G(x)$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup of G for every element x of V .
- (iii) Set $\bar{G} = G/O_{p'}(G)$. Then $\bar{G} = [\bar{V}, \bar{H}]\bar{N} \times C_{\bar{V}}(\bar{H})$ and $[\bar{V}, \bar{H}] = \bar{V}_1 \times \cdots \times \bar{V}_m$, where V_i is a minimal normal subgroup of VH , $1 \leq i \leq m$. Furthermore $[\bar{V}, \bar{H}]\bar{H} = (\bar{V}_1\bar{H}_1) \times \cdots \times (\bar{V}_m\bar{H}_m)$, where H_i is a subgroup of H and $\bar{V}_i\bar{H}_i\bar{U} \simeq M_{p, r_i}$ for some r_i .

2. Preliminaries

In this section we shall prove some lemmas which will be used to prove the theorem.

From Theorem 3. 1 of Wallace [9], we have immediately the following result.

LEMMA 1. Let G be a p -solvable group of order $p^a m$, $(p, m) = 1$, and have the following normal series

$$1 = P_0 \subseteq N_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq P_n = G$$

such that P_{i+1}/N_i , N_i/P_i are p and p' -groups, respectively, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. If $t(G) = a(p-1) + 1$, then P_{i+1}/N_i is elementary abelian, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

LEMMA 2. ([7, Proposition 19. 8]). Let G act faithfully on vector space V of order q^n over $GF(q)$. Suppose A is a normal cyclic subgroup of G which acts irreducibly on V . Then we can identify V with the additive group of $GF(q^n)$ in such a way that $G \cong T(q^n)$ where $T(q^n)$ is the set of semilinear transformations of the form $x \rightarrow ax^\sigma$ with $a \in GF(q^n)$, $a \neq 0$ and σ a field automorphism. Furthermore $C_G(A)$ is contained in the subgroup of $T(q^n)$ consisting of linear transformations (that is, $\sigma = 1$).

LEMMA 3. ([3, Theorem 4. 6, p409]). Let G satisfy the assumption of the theorem. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) $t(G) = t(U) + t(V) - 1$.
- (2) $\sum_{h \in H} z^{hu} - \sum_{h \in H} z^h \in J(KV)^{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 0$, all $z \in J(KV)^i$ and all $u \in U$.

LEMMA 4. Let G satisfy the assumption and (i) of the theorem. Then the following conditions hold.

- (1) $|U|=p$ and V is elementary abelian.
- (2) If W is a H -invariant subgroup of V , then W is N -invariant.
- (3) $\sum_{h \in H} z^h + (\sum_{h \in H} z^h)^s + \dots + (\sum_{h \in H} z^h)^{s^{p-1}} \in J(KV)^{i+(p-1)}$ for all $i \geq 0$ and all $z \in J(KV)^i$.

PROOF. (1) Since $N=HU$ is a Frobenius group, (1) follows immediately by Lemma 1.

(2) Since H is a p' -group, V is completely reducible as H -module. So we may assume that W is an irreducible H -module. Then we have (2) by the proof of [6, Lemma 11(7)].

(3) HU acts on KV by conjugation. So KHU acts on KV by linear extension. Then

$$z^{(\sum_{h \in H} h)(s-1)} = (\sum_{h \in H} z^h)^s - \sum_{h \in H} z^h \in J(KV)^{i+1}$$

by Lemma 3. Similarly

$$\{z^{(\sum_{h \in H} h)(s-1)}\}^{(\sum_{h \in H} h)(s-1)} = |H| z^{(\sum_{h \in H} h)(s-1)^2} \in J(KV)^{i+2}$$

by Lemma 3. Hence $z^{(\sum_{h \in H} h)(s-1)^2} \in J(KV)^{i+2}$. If we repeat this method, we have $z^{(\sum_{h \in H} h)(s-1)^{p-1}} \in J(KV)^{i+(p-1)}$. On the other hand $(s-1)^{p-1} = 1 + s + \dots + s^{p-1}$, and so

$$z^{(\sum_{h \in H} h)(1+s+\dots+s^{p-1})} = \sum_{h \in H} z^h + (\sum_{h \in H} z^h)^s + \dots + (\sum_{h \in H} z^h)^{s^{p-1}} \in J(KV)^{i+(p-1)}.$$

3. Proof of the Theorem

(ii)→(i) For $z \in V$, $z^{h_0} \in Z(VU)$ for some $h_0 \in H$ by (ii)(B). Then $(\sum_{h \in H} z^h)^u = \sum_{h \in H} z^{hu} = \sum_{h \in H} z^{h_0 h_0^{-1} hu} = \sum_{h \in H} (z^{h_0})^{hu} = \sum_{h \in H} (z^{h_0})^{u^{-1} hu} = \sum_{h \in H} z^h$.

Hence $t(G) = t(U) + t(V) - 1$ by Lemma 3, and so $t(G) = a(p-1) + 1$ by (ii)(A).

(iii)→(ii) It is clear that (ii)(A) holds. Let $v \in V$, then $v = v_1 \dots v_m$ for some $v_i \in V_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $V_i HU \simeq M_{p, \tau_i}$, there exists an element $h_i \in H_i$ such that $v_i^{h_i} \in C_{V_i}(U) \subseteq Z(VU)$. Set $h = h_1 \dots h_m \in H$, then $v^h = v_1^{h_1} \dots v_m^{h_m} \in Z(VU)$. Hence $C_G(v^h) \supseteq VU$, and so $C_G(v) \supseteq (VU)^{h^{-1}} \in \text{Sy}1_p(G)$. This proves that (ii)(B) holds.

In the next proof, we denote by e the identity of G .

(i)→(iii) Let $\bar{G} = G/O_{p'}(G)$. Then \bar{G} satisfies (i), and so we may assume that $O_{p'}(G) = \{e\}$. By Lemma 4(1), $|U|=p$ and V is elementary abelian. N acts on V by conjugation. So we can regard V as $N=HU$

module. Since H is a p' -group, V is a completely reducible H -module. Let $V = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$, where V_i is an irreducible H -module, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then by Lemma 4(2), V_i is N -invariant.

If $C_V(H) \neq \{e\}$, then we may assume that $[V_1, H] = \{e\}$. Since $G \triangleright V_2 \times \cdots \times V_m H$ and $G/V_2 \times \cdots \times V_m H \simeq V_1 U$, $V_1 U$ is elementary abelian by Lemma 1, in particular $[V_1, U] = \{e\}$. Hence $G = (V_2 \times \cdots \times V_m H U) \times V_1$. Since $V_2 \times \cdots \times V_m H U \simeq G/V_1$ satisfies (i), we may assume that $C_V(H) = \{e\}$.

Let $L_i = V_i H U$ and $\bar{L}_i = V_i H U / C_H(V_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $\bar{L}_i = \bar{V}_i \bar{H} \bar{U}$ and \bar{H} is cyclic since \bar{H} is abelian and \bar{H} acts irreducibly and faithfully on \bar{V}_i . Since $\bar{V}_i \simeq V_i$ and $\bar{U} \simeq U$, we can identify \bar{V}_i and \bar{U} with V_i and U , respectively. By Lemma 2, we can identify V_i with the additive group of $GF(p^{n_i})$ in such a way that $\bar{H} \bar{U} \cong T(p^{n_i})$, where $p^{n_i} = |V_i|$. Furthermore \bar{H} is contained in the subgroup of $T(p^{n_i})$ consisting of linear transformations.

Let $\text{Gal}(GF(p^{n_i})/GF(p)) = \langle \tau \rangle$ and $\sigma = \tau^{r_i}$, where $n_i = p r_i$. Furthermore $C_{V_i}(\sigma) = GF(q_i)$, where $q_i = p^{r_i}$. By considering the structure of $T(p^{n_i})$, a conjugate subgroup of $\bar{H} \bar{U}$ in $T(p^{n_i})$ contains σ . So let $U = \langle s \rangle$, then we may assume that $s = \sigma$. When we identify V_i with $GF(p^{n_i})$, let V_i^* be the multiplicative group of V_i . Set $\langle \lambda_i \rangle = C_{V_i^*}(s)$ and $\langle \nu_i \rangle = [V_i^*, \langle s \rangle]$, then $V_i^* = \langle \lambda_i \rangle \times \langle \nu_i \rangle$. Let $x \in H$ such that $\langle \bar{x} \rangle = \bar{H}$. Then there exists $\xi_i \in V_i^*$ such that $v^x = \xi_i v$ for every element $v \in V_i$, where $\xi_i v$ means a multiplication of ξ_i and v in $GF(p^{n_i}) (= V_i)$. Since $\bar{H} \bar{U}$ is a Frobenius group, $\bar{x}^s = \bar{x}^j$ for some $\bar{x}^j \neq \bar{x}$. Let 1 be the identity of V_i^* , then $(1^x)^s = \xi_i^s$ and $(1^x)^s = 1^{xs} = 1^{s^{-1}xs} = 1^{x^j} = \xi_i^j$. Hence $\xi_i^s = \xi_i^j \neq \xi_i$. This implies that s acts fixed-point-freely on $\langle \xi_i \rangle$. Hence $\langle \xi_i \rangle = [\langle \xi_i \rangle, \langle s \rangle] \cong \langle \nu_i \rangle$, and so $\bar{L}_i \cong M_{p, r_i}$.

Now we shall divide the remainder of the proof into several steps.

STEP 1. *The following conditions hold.*

- (1) *If $C_{V_i}(h) \neq \{e\}$ for some V_i and $h \in H$, then $[V_i, h] = \{e\}$.*
- (2) *If $a^h \in C_{V_i^*}(s)$ for some $a \in C_{V_i^*}(s)$ and $h \in H$, then $[V_i, h] = \{e\}$.*

PROOF. (1) Since H is abelian, $C_{V_i}(h)$ is H -invariant. Moreover, since V_i is an irreducible H -module, $C_{V_i}(h) = V_i$, and so $[V_i, h] = \{e\}$.

(2) Two elements of $Z(UV)$ are conjugate in G if and only if they are conjugate in $N_G(VU)$ (See [Gorenstein, Finite groups, p. 240 Th. 1.1]). Since $N = UH$ is a Frobenius group, $N_G(VU) = VU$. Hence $a^h = a$ and so $e \neq a \in C_{V_i^*}(h)$. Then (2) follows from (1).

Let $A = \{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \mid \mu_i \in \langle \nu_i \rangle, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Next we define the action

of HU on A as follows :

$$(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)^g = (\mu_1^g, \dots, \mu_m^g) \text{ for } g \in HU.$$

STEP 2. *The following conditions holds.*

- (1) H acts regularly on A .
- (2) If H acts transitively on A , then (ii) (B) holds.

PROOF. (1) If $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)^h = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$, then $\mu_i \in C_{V_i}(h)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. By Step 1(1), $[V_i, h] = \{e\}$, and so $[V, h] = \{e\}$. Since $O_{p'}(G) = \{e\}$, $h = e$. Hence H acts regularly on A .

(2) Let $a \in V$, then $a = (\alpha_1 \mu_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m)$, where $\alpha_i \in C_{V_i}(s)$ and $\mu_i \in \langle v_i \rangle$, and $\alpha_i \mu_i$ means a multiplication of α_i and μ_i in $GF(p^{n_i}) (= V_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since H acts transitively on A , $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)^h = (1, \dots, 1)$ for some $h \in H$, where 1 is the identity of V_i^* . Since $\mu_i^h = 1$, $v^h = \mu_i^{-1} v$ for every element $v \in V_i$. In particular $(\alpha_i \mu_i)^h = \mu_i^{-1} \alpha_i \mu_i = \alpha_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Hence $a^h = \{(\alpha_1 \mu_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m)\}^h = (\alpha_1 \mu_1)^h \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m)^h = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m \in C_V(s) \subseteq Z(VU)$.

Let A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r be the H -orbits of A , where $(1, \dots, 1) \in A_0$. Since U normalizes H , $U = \langle s \rangle$ induces a permutation on the set of the H -orbits of A .

STEP 3. A_0 is the only orbit which is fixed by s .

PROOF. Since $(|s|, |H|) = 1$, a s -invariant orbit contains an element which is fixed by s . Since $C_{\langle v_i \rangle}(s) = 1$, $(1, \dots, 1)$ is the only element of A which is fixed by s and the assertion follows.

STEP 4. *Let $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m) \in A$, then there exists $y \in \text{Aut}(V)$ which satisfies the following conditions.*

- (1) y fixes V_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$.
- (2) If we define the action of y as follows :
 $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)^y = (\mu_1^y, \dots, \mu_m^y)$ for $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in A$,
then y acts on A .
- (3) $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m)^y = (1, \dots, 1)$.
- (4) y commutes with every element of H in $\text{Aut}(V)$.

PROOF. If we define $y \in \text{Aut}(V)$ as follows :

$(v_1 \cdots v_m)^y = (\eta_1^{-1} v_1) \cdots (\eta_m^{-1} v_m)$, where $v_i \in V_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $y \in \text{Aut}(V)$ and y fixes V_i . Furthermore $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m)^y = (\eta_1^y, \dots, \eta_m^y) = (1, \dots, 1)$. Next, since $\eta_i \in \langle v_i \rangle$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, y acts on A . Let $h \in H$, then $(v_1 \cdots v_m)^h = (\xi_1 v_1) \cdots (\xi_m v_m)$, where $\xi_i \in \langle v_i \rangle$ and $v_i \in V_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(v_1 \cdots v_m)^{hy} &= \{(\xi_1 v_1) \cdots (\xi_m v_m)\}^y \\ &= (\eta_1^{-1} \xi_1 v_1) \cdots (\eta_m^{-1} \xi_m v_m)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(v_1 \cdots v_m)^{yh} &= \{(\eta_1^{-1} v_1) \cdots (\eta_m^{-1} v_m)\}^h \\ &= (\xi_1 \eta_1^{-1} v_1) \cdots (\xi_m \eta_m^{-1} v_m),\end{aligned}$$

and so (4) follows.

Let $\hat{S} = \sum_{v \in S} v (\in KG)$ for any subset S of V and let $V_1^* \times \cdots \times V_m^* = \{v_1 \cdots v_m \mid v_i \in V_i^*, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Set $z = \hat{V} - V_1^* \times \cdots \times V_m^*$ and

$$z_n = z + \sum_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in A_n} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i \in C_{V_i^*}(s) \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} (\alpha_1 \mu_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m), \quad 0 \leq n \leq r.$$

Since $\{(\alpha_1 \mu_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m)\}^h = (\alpha_1 \mu_1^h) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m^h)$,

$$z_n = z + \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{\alpha_i} \{(\alpha_1 \eta_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \eta_m)\}^h$$

for some fixed element $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m) \in A_n$, and so $z_n^h = z_n$ for $\forall h \in H$.

STEP 5. Let t be the maximal positive integer such that $z_0 \in J(KV)^t$, then for z_n , $1 \leq n \leq r$, t is also the maximal positive integer such that $z_n \in J(KV)^t$. Furthermore, if $t = d(p-1)$, where $|V| = p^d$, then (ii) (B) holds.

PROOF. Let $y \in \text{Aut}(V)$ as in Step 4. Then

$$\begin{aligned}z_n^y &= z^y + \left\{ \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{\alpha_i} (\alpha_1 \eta_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \eta_m) \right\}^{hy} \\ &= z + \left\{ \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{\alpha_i} (\alpha_1 \eta_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \eta_m) \right\}^{hy} \quad (\text{by Step 4(1)}) \\ &= z + \left\{ \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{\alpha_i} (\alpha_1 \eta_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \eta_m) \right\}^{yh} \quad (\text{by Step 4(4)}) \\ &= z + \left\{ \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{\alpha_i} (\alpha_1 1) \cdots (\alpha_m 1) \right\}^h \quad (\text{by Step 4(3)}) \\ &= z_0.\end{aligned}$$

Since $y \in \text{Aut}(V)$, the first assertion follows.

We remark $J(KV)^{d(p-1)} = K\hat{V}$. Hence, if $z_0 \in J(KV)^{d(p-1)}$, $A_0 = A$, and so H acts transitively on A . By Step 2(2), the second assertion follows.

STEP 6. (ii) (B) holds.

PROOF. Suppose false, then $r \geq 1$ by Step 2(2). Let $\{A_1, \dots, A_p\}$ be a s -orbit. For example, if $A_1^s = A_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}& \left\{ \sum_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in A_1} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i \in C_{V_i^*}(s) \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} (\alpha_1 \mu_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m) \right\}^s \\ &= \sum_{(\mu_1^s, \dots, \mu_m^s) \in A_2} \sum_{\alpha_i} (\alpha_1 \mu_1^s) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m^s).\end{aligned}$$

Since $a_i \in C_{V_i^*}(s)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $z_1^s = z_2$.

By Lemma 4(3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h \in H} z_1^h + \left(\sum_{h \in H} z_1^h\right)^s + \cdots + \left(\sum_{h \in H} z_1^h\right)^{s^{p-1}} &= |H|(z_1 + z_1^s + \cdots + z_1^{s^{p-1}}) \\ &= |H|(z_1 + \cdots + z_p) \in J(KV)^{t+(p-1)} \end{aligned}$$

The same thing holds for another orbits, and so $z_1 + \cdots + z_r \in J(KV)^{t+(p-1)} \subseteq J(KV)^{t+1}$ by Step 3. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0 + z_1 + \cdots + z_r \\ &= z + \sum_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in A_0} \sum_{\alpha_i} (\alpha_1 \mu_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m) + \sum_{j=1}^p \left\{ \sum_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in A_j} \sum_{\alpha_i} (\alpha_1 \mu_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m) \right\} + \cdots \\ &= z + \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in A_j} \sum_{\alpha_i} (\alpha_1 \mu_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m) \\ &= z + \sum_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in A} \sum_{\alpha_i} (\alpha_1 \mu_1) \cdots (\alpha_m \mu_m) \\ &= z + V_1^* \times \cdots \times V_m^* \\ &= \hat{V} \in J(KV)^{d(p-1)} \subseteq J(KV)^{t+1} \text{ by Step 5.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $z_0 = \hat{V} - (z_1 + \cdots + z_r) \in J(KV)^{t+1}$, this contradicts the choice of t and the assertion follows.

STEP 7. Let $H_i = C_H(V_1 \times \cdots \times V_{i-1} \times V_{i+1} \times \cdots \times V_m)$, then $H = C_H(V_i) \times H_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

PROOF. Let $h \in H$, and let $a_i \in C_{V_i^*}(s)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $a_1 \cdots a_i^h \cdots a_m \in V$, there exists an element $x \in H$ such that $(a_1 \cdots a_i^h \cdots a_m)^x \in C_V(s) = \prod_i C_{V_i}(s)$ by Step 6. Then $a_1^x \in C_{V_1^*}(s)$, \dots , $a_i^{hx} \in C_{V_i^*}(s)$, \dots , $a_m^x \in C_{V_m^*}(s)$. By Step 1(2), $x \in H_i$ and $hx \in C_H(V_i)$, hence $h = hxx^{-1} \in C_H(V_i)H_i$. Furthermore $C_H(V_i) \cap H_i = C_H(V) \subseteq O_{p'}(G) = \{e\}$ and the assertion follows.

STEP 8. (iii) holds.

PROOF. Since $H = C_H(V_2) \times H_2$ by Step 7, $C_H(V_1) = H_2 \times C_H(V_1 \times V_2)$. Hence $H = H_1 \times H_2 \times C_H(V_1 \times V_2)$. Similarly we have $C_H(V_1 \times V_2) = H_3 \times C_H(V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3)$, and hence $H = H_1 \times H_2 \times H_3 \times C_H(V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3)$. By the similar argument, $H = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_m \times C_H(V)$. Since $O_{p'}(G) = \{e\}$, $C_H(V) = \{e\}$, and so $H = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_m$ and $VH = (V_1 H_1) \times \cdots \times (V_m H_m)$. Then, by the first paragraph of the proof, $M_{p, r_i} \cong V_i H U / C_H(V_i) = V_i H U / H_1 \times \cdots \times H_{i-1} \times H_{i+1} \times \cdots \times H_m \simeq V_i H_i U$. If $M_{p, r_i} \cong V_i H U / C_H(V_i)$, $\langle \nu_i \rangle \cong \langle \xi_i \rangle$, then ν_i is not conjugate to any element of $C_{V_1}(s)$. This contradicts Step 6. Therefore $V_i H_i U \simeq M_{p, r_i}$ and the assertion follows.

This proves (i) \rightarrow (iii) and completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] R. J. CLARKE, On the radical of the group algebra of a p -nilpotent group, *J. Australian Math. Soc.* 13 (1972), 119-123.
- [2] S. A. JENNINGS, The structure of the group ring of a p -group over a modular field, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 50 (1941), 175-185.
- [3] G. KARPILOVSKY, *The Jacobson radical of group algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [4] M. LORENZ, On Loewy lengths of projective module for p -solvable group, *Comm. Algebra.* 13 No 5 (1985), 1193-1212.
- [5] K. MOTOSE, On the nilpotency index of the radical of a group algebra III, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 25 (1982), 39-42.
- [6] K. MOTOSE, On the nilpotency index of the radical of a group algebra IV, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 25 (1983), 35-42.
- [7] D. S. PASSMAN, *Permutation groups*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [8] Y. TSUSHIMA, Some notes on the radical of a finite group ring, *Osaka J. Math.* 15 (1978), 647-653.
- [9] D. A. R. WALLACE, Lower bounds for the radical of group algebra of a finite p -soluble group, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 16 (1968/69), 127-134.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of General Studies
Gunma University
Maebashi, Gunma 371
Japan