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#### Abstract

Suppose $G$ is a coproduct (= a direct sum) of countable abelian groups and $F$ is a perfect field of char $F=p \neq 0$. Then $S(F G) / G_{p}$ is a coproduct of countables and so $G_{p}$ is a direct factor of $S(F G)$ with a complement which is a coproduct of countables. Moreover, $F H \cong F G$ as $F$-algebras for any group $H$ implies $H_{p} \cong G_{p}$. In particular, if $G_{t}$ is $p$-torsion, then $G$ is a direct factor of $V(F G)$ with the same complementary factor. Besides, $H_{t} \cong G_{t}$ and there is a totally projective $p$-group $T$ of length $\leq \Omega$ such that $H \times T \cong G \times T$. Thus $H$ is a coproduct of countables.

The present results generalize statements obtained by Hill-Ullery in Comm. Algebra (1997).
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## 1. Introduction and known facts

Our global aim in the present article is to give a detailed analysis of the commutative modular group algebra $F G$ when the group $G$ is a coproduct (i.e. a restricted, in other words, bounded direct product) of countable abelian groups and the field $F$ is perfect of characteristic $p>0$. For such a $F$-algebra $F G, V(F G)$ designates the group of all normed units (i.e. normalized invertible elements) in $F G$, and its $p$-component is denoted by $S(F G)$. For a subgroup $A$ of $G$, we define $I(F G ; A)$ as a relative augmentation ideal of $F G$ with respect to $A$, and $I_{p}(F G ; A)$ is its nilideal. Recall that $G_{t}$ and $G_{p}$ are the torsion part (= maximal torsion subgroup) and its $p$-primary component, respectively. All other notations and terminologies follow essentially the excellent books [4].

Further, in the sequel, we shall examine more specially The Direct Factor Problem for $S(F G)$ and $V(F G)$ and The Isomorphism Problem for $F G$. Our strategy is based precisely on the connection between the direct decompositions of $G$ and $S(F G)$. Besides, our technique generalizes and extends May [7] and is absolute different to Hill-Ullery [5].

Now, for the sake of completeness and for the convenience of the reader,
we summarize below some well-known and documented classical facts concerning the commutative group rings of coproducts of abelian groups. And so, we can formulate a fundamental $[7,8]$

Theorem (May, 1979-1988) Let $G$ be a coproduct of countable abelian p-groups and $R$ be a commutative ring with identity of prime characteristic $p$ which is perfect. Then $G$ is a direct factor of $V(R G)$ and $V(R G) / G$ is a coproduct of countables. Moreover, $R H \cong R G$ as $R$-algebras for any group $H$ if and only if $H \cong G$.

Remark Actually, the original May's result [8] is very stronger than the present, but we consider only this formulation of our interest.

More recently, Hill and Ullery generalized the May's result for $p$-mixed groups with totally projective torsion parts, but and with a length restriction. More precisely, they have proved the following [5].

Theorem (Hill and Ullery, 1997) Suppose $G$ is an abelian group of countable torsion - free rank whose $G_{t}$ is totally projective p-primary of length less than $\Omega+\omega$ and $F$ is a perfect field of characteristic $p>0$. Then $G$ is a direct factor of $V(F G)$ with totally projective complement. Moreover, the $F$-isomorphism $F H \cong F G$ for some group $H$ implies that $H_{t} \cong G_{t}$ and that there is a totally projective p-group $T$ such that $H \times T \cong G \times T$.

Left-open is still the question of whether the group claim can be extended for lengths $\geq \Omega+\omega$. Besides, a crucial feature in the cited above work (cf. [5]]) is that $G$ can be decomposed as $A \times B$ in the chief case for length $\Omega$, where $A$ is countable and $B$ is a coproduct of countable $p$-groups.

Here, we proceed by proving a strong generalization and extension to the above fact, as we study an arbitrary coproduct of countable abelian groups. Our major assertions are selected in the next paragraph.

## 2. Main results

Well, we are in position to state the following our goals.
Theorem (Direct Factor) Suppose that $G$ is an abelian coproduct of countables, $R$ and $F$ are a perfect unitary commutative ring with zero nilradical and a perfect field of characteristics $p \neq 0$, respectively. Then $G_{p}$ is a direct factor of $S(R G)$ and $S(R G) / G_{p}$ is a coproduct of countables. As
a corollary, $G$ is a direct factor of $V(F G)$ and $V(F G) / G$ is a coproduct of countables, provided $G$ is $p$-mixed.

We continue with the other paramount
Theorem (Isomorphism) Suppose $G$ is an abelian coproduct of countables and $F$ is a field of characteristic $p>0$. Then $F H \cong F G$ as $F$-algebras for an arbitrary group $H$ yields $H_{p} \cong G_{p}$. As a consequence, $H_{t} \cong G_{t}$ and there exists a totally projective $p$-group $T$ with length not exceeding $\Omega$ such that $H \times T \cong G \times T$, provided $G_{t}$ is a p-group. In particular, $H$ is a coproduct of countables.

Remark This theorem partially settles a question posed by W. May in [8] (see also [2, 3]).

Next, we come to the proofs of our central statements, given in the following paragraph.

## 3. Proofs of the theorems

Before proving the claims, we will establish a few preliminaries and multiplicities very needed for our good presentation. As usual, $R$ is an abelian unitary (i.e. with unity) ring of prime characteristic $p$.

We start with a key technical
Lemma (Intersection) Let $A, B \leq G$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R A \cap I(R G ; B)=I(R A ; A \cap B) \quad \text { and } \\
& S(R A) \cap(1+I(R G ; B))=1+I_{p}(R A ; A \cap B) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. To prove the first relation, we take $x$ in the left-hand side. Hence $x=\sum_{a \in A} r_{a} a$, where $r_{a} \in R$ and $\sum_{a \in \bar{a} B} r_{a}=0$ for every $\bar{a} \in A$. But $\bar{a} B \cap A=\bar{a}(B \cap A)$ because $\bar{a} \in A$. As a final we deduce $\sum_{a \in \bar{a}(A \cap B)} r_{a}=0$, i.e. it is trivial that $x \in I(R A ; A \cap B)$, as desired.

For the second dependence, given $y$ in the left-hand side. Therefore $y=\sum_{a \in A} r_{a} a$, where $r_{a} \in R$ and $\sum_{a \in \bar{a} B} r_{a}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & \bar{a} \notin B \\ 1, & \bar{a} \in B\end{array}\right.$ for each $\bar{a} \in A$. Since $\bar{a} B \cap A=\bar{a}(B \cap A)$ it follows that $\sum_{a \in \bar{a}(A \cap B)} r_{a}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & \bar{a} \notin B \cap A \\ 1, & \bar{a} \in B \cap A\end{array}\right.$, hence $y$ lies in $1+I(R A ; A \cap B)$.

Now we can attack the next valuable.
Proposition (Direct decomposition) Suppose $G=A \times B$ is abelian. Then $S(R G)=S(R A) \times\left(1+I_{p}(R G ; B)\right)$. Inductively, $G=\amalg_{\alpha<\tau} G_{\alpha}$ implies $S(R G)=\coprod_{\beta<\tau}\left(1+I_{p}\left(R C_{\beta+1} ; G_{\beta}\right)\right)$, where $C_{\beta+1}=\coprod_{\alpha<\beta+1} G_{\alpha}$.

Proof. Foremost, let $G=A \times B$. Since $R G=(R A) B$ may be regarded as a group algebra of the group $B$ over a ring $R A$, for every $x \in S(R G)$ we may write $x=\sum_{b \in B} x_{a b} b, x_{a b} \in R A$. Besides, it is clear that $x=$ $\sum_{b \in B} x_{a b}+\sum_{b \in B} x_{a b}(b-1)$. Because $x \in S(R G)$ we derive $1=\sum_{b \in B} x_{a b}^{p^{t}}+$ $\left(\sum_{b \in B} x_{a b}(b-1)\right)^{p^{t}}$ for some $t \in N$. Consequently by virtue of the lemma, $1-\sum_{b \in B} x_{a b}^{p^{t}} \in R A \cap I(R G ; B)=0$. Thus $\left(\sum_{b \in B} x_{a b}\right)^{p^{t}}=1$ and immediately $\sum_{b \in B} x_{a b} \in S(R A)$ since evidently it is a normed element. By the Intersection Lemma, $x=\sum_{b \in B} x_{a b}\left(1+\sum_{b \in B}\left(\sum_{b \in B} x_{a b}\right)^{-1} x_{a b}(b-1)\right) \in$ $S(R A) \times\left(1+I_{p}(R G ; B)\right)$.

Further, the transfinite inductive procedure is organized thus: choose arbitrary $\beta$ with $\beta<\tau$ and such that $C_{\beta}=\coprod_{\alpha<\beta} G_{\alpha}$. Clearly $C_{\beta+1}=$ $C_{\beta} \times G_{\beta}$. Employing the first half of the statement, we yield $S\left(R C_{\beta+1}\right)=$ $S\left(R C_{\beta}\right) \times\left(1+I_{p}\left(R C_{\beta+1} ; G_{\beta}\right)\right)$. By induction hypothesis, $S\left(R C_{\beta}\right)=$ $\coprod_{\gamma<\beta}\left(1+I_{p}\left(R C_{\gamma+1} ; G_{\gamma}\right)\right)$ where $C_{\gamma+1}=\coprod_{\alpha<\gamma+1} G_{\alpha}$ and hence $S\left(R C_{\beta+1}\right)=$ $\amalg_{\gamma \leq \beta}\left(1+I_{p}\left(R C_{\gamma+1} ; G_{\gamma}\right)\right)$. Finally, it is a routine exercise to verify that $S(\bar{R} G)=\coprod_{\beta<\tau}\left(1+I_{p}\left(R C_{\beta+1} ; G_{\beta}\right)\right)$ since $G=\coprod_{\beta<\tau} C_{\beta}$.

Of some interest and importance is also the next significant.
Proposition (Structure) (*) If $G$ is countable torsion and $R$ is perfect, then $S(R G) / G_{p}$ is a coproduct of countables.
(**) If $G$ is $p$-mixed and $F$ is perfect, then $V(F G)$ as a coproduct of countables yields that $G$ is a coproduct of countables.

Proof. (*) Although that this claim is well-known and documented by us in a more general form in [1] (see [5], too), we give a new distinguish confirmation. Indeed, we can write $G=\bigcup_{k<\omega} A_{k}$, where $A_{k} \subseteq A_{k+1}$ and all $A_{k}$ are finite. Furthermore $S(R G) / G_{p}=\bigcup_{k<\omega}\left[S\left(R A_{k}\right) G_{p} / G_{p}\right]$. Certainly $S\left(R A_{k}\right)$ are height-finite in $S(R G)$. Now, we show that all $S\left(R A_{k}\right) G_{p} / G_{p}$ have finite height spectrum in $S(R G) / G_{p}$. In fact, since $G_{p}$ is balanced in $S(R G)$ (cf. [2]), for each element $a G_{p} \in S\left(R A_{k}\right) G_{p} / G_{p}$ such that $a \in$ $S\left(R A_{k}\right) \backslash G_{p}$ it is true that height $\left(a G_{p}\right)=\operatorname{height}\left(a g_{p}\right)$ for some $g_{p} \in G_{p}$. Ap-
parently $\operatorname{height}(a) \leq \operatorname{height}\left(a G_{p}\right)$ and immediately height $(a) \leq \operatorname{height}\left(g_{p}\right)$; otherwise height $(a) \leq \operatorname{height}\left(g_{p}\right)<\operatorname{height}(a)$ which is a false. If now height $(a)<\operatorname{height}\left(g_{p}\right)$ there is nothing to prove. That is why, let height $(a)=$ $\operatorname{height}\left(g_{p}\right)$. Write $a=\sum_{i} r_{k}^{(i)} a_{k}^{(i)}$, where $r_{k}^{(i)} \in R, a_{k}^{(i)} \in A_{k}, i \in N$. Hence $a g_{p}=\sum_{i} r_{k}^{(i)} a_{k}^{(i)} g_{p}$ and besides it is no harm in presuming that $a_{k}^{(i)}=1$ for some $i$, owing to the form of $a G_{p}$. Moreover, because height $(a)=$ $\min _{i} \operatorname{height}\left(a_{k}^{(i)}\right)$ and $\operatorname{height}\left(a g_{p}\right)=\min _{i} \operatorname{height}\left(a_{k}^{(i)} g_{p}\right)$, it is a routine matter to verify that height $\left(a g_{p}\right)=\operatorname{height}\left(g_{p}\right)=\operatorname{height}(a)$, as required. Finally, the criterion for total projectivity in [5] is applicable to complete the proof.
$(* *)$ Since $V(F G)$ is a coproduct of countables, it is evident that so is $S(F G)$. Next, we will show that the same holds for $S(F G) / G_{p}$. Really, if $\left\{N_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha}$ is a nice composition series for $S(F G)$, then $\left\{N_{\alpha} G_{p} / G_{p}\right\}_{\alpha}$ is (or can be refined to) a nice composition series for $S(F G) / G_{p}$. In order to prove this, let

$$
1=N_{0} \subseteq N_{1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq N_{\alpha} \subseteq \cdots
$$

be a smooth ascending chain of nice subgroups of $S(F G)$ with $S(F G)=$ $\bigcup_{\alpha<\mu} N_{\alpha}$. Furthermore $S(F G) / G_{p}=\bigcup_{\alpha<\mu}\left(N_{a} G_{p} / G_{p}\right)$ and on the other hand it is easy to verify that

$$
1=N_{0} G_{p} / G_{p} \subseteq N_{1} G_{p} / G_{p} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq N_{\alpha} G_{p} / G_{p} \subseteq \cdots \quad(\alpha<\mu)
$$

is a smooth ascending chain. Now, in order to finish the claim in general, we must prove only that $N_{\alpha} G_{p}$ is nice (or can be expanded in a nice subgroup) in $S(F G)$. But the last follows automatically from the technique described in [5, Theorems 4.2 and 5.6]. So, finally $N_{\alpha} G_{p} / G_{p}$ or its extension will be nice in $S(F G) / G_{p}$ (see, for example, [4]). By the above arguments $S(F G) / G_{p}$ is a coproduct of countables. After this, owing to the fact $G_{p}$ is balanced in $S(F G)$ (cf., for example, [9]), $G_{p}$ must be a direct factor of $S(F G)$ [4]. But it follows from [8, 2] that $V(F G)=G S(F G)$ and thus we directly have that $G$ is a direct factor of $V(F G)$. As a final, we need only apply [4, p.63, Proposition 9.10] to get the proposition.

We begin now in the paper with all details of the proofs of two theorems. First, we are now prepared to obtain

Proof of the Direct Factor theorem. We shall show now that the quotient group $S(R G) / G_{p}$ is a coproduct of countables by using a standard transfi-
nite induction on the power of $G$ denoted by $|G|$. The countable case is done by $[1,5]$ (or the structure proposition for the torsion situation), therefore we may presume that $G$ is uncountable. For this purpose, let $\tau$ be the first (i.e. the smallest) ordinal such that $|\tau|=|G|$. Thus we may assume that $G=\coprod_{\alpha<\tau} G_{\alpha}$, where each $G_{\alpha}$ is countable. For every $\beta<\tau$, put $C_{\beta}=$ $\coprod_{\alpha<\beta} G_{\alpha} ;$ note that $G_{0}=1$. Next, we observe that the decomposition's Proposition is applicable to obtain that $S(R G)=\coprod_{\beta<\tau}\left(1+I_{p}\left(R C_{\beta+1} ; G_{\beta}\right)\right)$. Since $G=\coprod_{\beta<\tau} G_{\beta}$, the standard canonical isomorphism ensure

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(R G) / G_{p} \cong \coprod_{\beta<\tau}\left[\left(1+I_{p}\left(R C_{\beta+1} ; G_{\beta}\right)\right) /\left(G_{\beta}\right)_{p}\right] . \tag{०}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $C_{\beta+1}=C_{\beta} \times G_{\beta}$, and so applying again the cited above Proposition on the splitting, we deduce $S\left(R C_{\beta+1}\right)=S\left(R C_{\beta}\right) \times\left(1+I_{p}\left(R C_{\beta+1} ; G_{\beta}\right)\right)$ and consequently $S\left(R C_{\beta+1}\right) /\left(C_{\beta+1}\right)_{p} \cong S\left(R C_{\beta}\right) /\left(C_{\beta}\right)_{p} \times\left(1+I_{p}\left(R C_{\beta+1} ; G_{\beta}\right)\right)$ $/\left(G_{\beta}\right)_{p}$. Besides, without loss of generality $\tau$ may be choosen to be limit, whence $\beta+1<\tau$ and $|\beta+1|<|G|$ implies $\left|C_{\beta+1}\right|<|G|$. Therefore $S\left(R C_{\beta+1}\right) /\left(C_{\beta+1}\right)_{p}$ is a coproduct of countables by an induction hypothesis. By [4], so is $\left(1+I_{p}\left(R C_{\beta+1} ; G_{\beta}\right)\right) /\left(G_{\beta}\right)_{p}$. It now follows directly by the formula (o) that $S(R G) / G_{p}$ is a coproduct of countables, as claimed.

After this, if $G_{t}=G_{p}$, then by $[8,2] V(F G)=G S(F G)$ and hence $V(F G) / G \cong S(F G) / G_{p}$ is also a coproduct of countables.

We begin with
Proof of the Isomorphism theorem. Without any restriction $F$ may be choosen perfect. And so, by what we have just proved above, $S(F G) \cong$ $S(F H)$ is a coproduct of countables, hence the same holds for $H_{p}$ by application of a slight modification of [5, Theorem 5.6]. On the other hand, the Ulm-Kaplansky cardinal invariants of $G_{p}$ and $H_{p}$ are known by May [6] to be equal, therefore [4] guarantees $G_{p} \cong H_{p}$, as stated. Moreover, it is a simple matter to see that $H_{t}$ is $p$-primary, too. Hence $G_{t} \cong H_{t}$.

Next, according to the first central theorem along with ( $* *$ ) we establish that $V(F G)=G \times T_{1} \cong H \times T_{2}=V(F H)$ for some totally projective $p$ groups $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ with lengths $\leq \Omega$. As in [5] we can select a totally projective $p$-group $T$ such that $T, T \times T_{1}$ and $T \times T_{2}$ have equal UlmKaplansky functions (such a group is easy to construct; as example the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ not exceed these of $T$ ). Thus $T \cong$ $T \times T_{1} \cong T \times T_{2}$ by [4] and now automatically $G \times T \cong H \times T$, which must
be proved.
Now, we conclude this paragraph with an interesting discussion. The first significant observation is that our major theorems proved above generate the stated above Hill-Ullery's theorem. Indeed, the case for lengths $\Omega$ follows immediately from the fact given by us, and the general case for lengths $\Omega+n(n \in N)$ is a trivial consequence of the first. Besides, using the isomorphism theorem and the technique of $\sigma$-summable groups developed by us in [2], we are ready to formulate and establish a new independent proof of the following our assertion more stronger than the corresponding result of Hill-Ullery [5, Corollary 5.9], namely:

Theorem ([3], 2000) Suppose $G$ is of countable torsion-free rank and $G_{p}$ is totally projective of length $<\Omega^{2}$. Then the $F$-isomorphism $F H \cong F G$ for any group $H$ implies $H_{p} \cong G_{p}$. Moreover, if $G_{t}$ is a p-group, it is fulfilled that $H_{t} \cong G_{t}$ and even more that $H \cong G$ provided $G$ is of torsion-free rank one.

Further, combining the Direct Factor Theorem together with (**) we yield the statement listed below that is an expanson of the corresponding in [3].

Corollary Let $G$ be $p$-mixed and $F$ be perfect. Then $V(F G)$ is a coproduct of countables if and only if $G$ is a coproduct of countables.

Well, we close the investigation with

## 4. Epilogy

The concluding discussion leads us to state the following general and very important group-theoretic question: What is the complete set of invariants for two $p$-mixed coproducts of countables to be isomorphic? The situation will be solved if it is done for the countable case.

In this light, numerous other actual problems remain unanswered; here are a few, namely:

- It is still unknown to the moment, whether in the main isomorphism theorem it is true that $G \cong H$ ? This probably is so for $p$-mixed groups.
- Does it follow that $S(F G) / G_{p}$ is totally projective provided $F$ is perfect and $G$ is of cardinality $\geq \aleph_{1}$ or of $p$-length $\geq \Omega$ ? If this is the case, then the central theorem will be itself valid for direct sums of such
groups with the above power and length restrictions, following the present algorithm.
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