

## $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness for a class of $g$ -functions and applications

Guoen HU

(Received August 17, 2001; Revised April 30, 2002)

**Abstract.** A class of  $g$ -functions related to the commutators of convolution operators are considered, a sufficient condition implying the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness for these  $g$ -functions is obtained. As applications, some new results about the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness for the commutators of the Marcinkiewicz integrals and the maximal operators corresponding to the commutators of homogeneous singular integral operators are established.

*Key words:* commutator, convolution operator,  $g$ -function, singular integral, maximal operator.

### 1. Introduction

As well-known, commutators generated by some classical operators and BMO functions are of great interest in harmonic analysis and are useful in the study of some related topics (see [2] and [13]). Thus, it is meaningful to study the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness for these commutators. In their celebrated work [3], Coifman and Meyer observe that if  $T$  is standard Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator, then for  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness for the commutator defined by

$$T_b f(x) = T(b(x) - b)f(x)$$

can be obtained from the weighted  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  estimate with  $A_p$  weights for the operator  $T$ , where  $A_p$  denotes the weight function class of Muckenhoupt (see [14, Chapter V] for definition and properties of  $A_p$ ). Alvarez, Bagby, Kurtz and Pérez [1] developed the idea of Coifman and Meyer, and established a generalized boundedness result for the commutators of linear operators. Let  $E$  be a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_E$ , denote by  $\mathcal{M}(E)$  the set of  $E$ -valued measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For a weight function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , define the Banach space  $L^p(E, u(x)dx)$  by

$$L^p(E, u(x)dx) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{M}(E), \|f\|_{L^p(E, u(x)dx)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x)\|_E^p u(x) dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

The result of Alvarez, Bagby, Kurtz and Pérez (see [1, Theorem 2.13]) states that if  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and the linear operator  $T$  is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w(x)dx)$  to  $L^p(E, w(x)dx)$  with bound independent of the weight  $w$  for any  $w \in A_q$ , then for  $u \in A_q, b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and positive integer  $k$ , the  $k$ -th order commutator of  $T$  defined by

$$T_{b,k}f(x) = T((b(x) - b)^k f)(x)$$

is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, u(x)dx)$  to  $L^p(E, u(x)dx)$  with bound  $C(n, k, p) \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$ . In [11], we considered the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness for the commutators of convolution operators and proved the following result.

**Theorem HSW** *Let  $K(x)$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and  $K_j(x) = K(x)\chi_{\{2^j < |x| \leq 2^{j+1}\}}(x)$ , where  $\chi_{\{2^j < |x| \leq 2^{j+1}\}}$  is the characteristic function of the set  $\{2^j < |x| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$ . Suppose that there exist some constants  $C > 0, \alpha > k + 1$  such that for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\|K_j\|_1 \leq C, \quad |\widehat{K_j}(\xi)| \leq C \min \{ |2^j \xi|, \log^{-\alpha}(2 + |2^j \xi|) \},$$

$$\|\nabla \widehat{K_j}\|_\infty \leq C 2^j,$$

where  $\widehat{K_j}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $K_j$ . Then for positive integer  $k, b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $2\alpha/(2\alpha - (k + 1)) < p < 2\alpha/(k + 1)$ , the commutator

$$T_{b,k}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k K(x - y) f(y) dy$$

is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C(n, k, p, \alpha) \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$ .

This paper is a continuation of our previous works [10] and [11], we will consider the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness for a class of  $g$ -functions related to the commutators of convolution operators. Let  $\{K_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define the operator  $U_j$  by

$$U_j f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_j(x - y) f(y) dy.$$

For  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and nonnegative integer  $k$ , the  $k$ -th order commutator

of  $U_j$  is defined by

$$U_{j;b,k}f(x) = U_j((b(x) - b(y))^k f)(x),$$

(note that  $U_{j;b,0} = U_j$ ). The operators we consider here are of the form

$$g_{b,k}(f)(x) = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_{j;b,k}f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \tag{1}$$

We will see in Section 4 that the operator  $g_{b,k}$  plays an important role in the study of some maximal operators associated with the commutators of convolution operators. Our main result can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer,  $\{K_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that there are some constants  $C > 0$ ,  $0 < A \leq 1/2$  and  $\alpha > k + 1/2$  such that for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_j\|_1 \leq C, \quad |\widehat{K_j}(\xi)| \leq C \min \{A|2^j\xi|, \log^{-\alpha}(2 + |2^j\xi|)\}, \\ \|\nabla \widehat{K_j}\|_\infty \leq C2^j. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

*Then for  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and any  $0 < \nu < 1$  such that  $\alpha\nu > k + 1/2$ , the operator  $g_{b,k}$  defined by (1) is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C(n, k, \alpha, \nu) \log^{-\alpha\nu+k+1/2}(\frac{1}{A}) \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$ .*

**Theorem 2** *Let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer,  $\{K_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\text{supp } K_j \subset \{x: 2^j < |x| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$ . Suppose that the maximal operator*

$$\overline{M}f(x) = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |K_j * f(x)|$$

*is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $1 < p < \infty$ , and that there exist some constants  $C > 0$ ,  $\alpha > k + 1/2$  such that for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_j\|_1 \leq C, \quad |\widehat{K_j}(\xi)| \leq C \min \{|2^j\xi|, \log^{-\alpha}(2 + |2^j\xi|)\}, \\ \|\nabla \widehat{K_j}\|_\infty \leq C2^j. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

*Then for  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $4\alpha/(4\alpha - (2k + 1)) < p < 4\alpha/(2k + 1)$ , the operator  $g_{b,k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C(n, k, p, \alpha) \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$ .*

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2 and Section 3, we will give the proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 respectively. We will see that

Theorem 1 for  $A < 1/2$  is very useful in the proof of Theorem 2. Section 4 is devoted to some applications of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. We will consider the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness for the maximal operator associated with the commutator of homogeneous singular integral operator and the commutator of the Marcinkiewicz integral.

Throughout this paper,  $C$  denotes the constants that are independent of the main parameters involved but whose values may differ from line to line. For any locally integrable function  $f$ , we will denote by  $Mf$  the standard Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of  $f$ , and  $f^\#$  the Fefferman-Stein sharp function of  $f$  (see [14, Chapter IV]). For a power exponent  $p$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we denote the dual exponent of  $p$  by  $p'$ , that is,  $p' = p/(p-1)$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

We begin with some preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 1** (see [10]) *Let  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a radial function such that  $\text{supp } \phi \subset \{\xi: 1/4 \leq |\xi| \leq 4\}$  and*

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^2(2^{-l}\xi) = 1, \quad |\xi| \neq 0.$$

*Denote by  $S_l$  the multiplier operator  $\widehat{S_l f}(\xi) = \phi(2^{-l}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$ . For  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and positive integer  $k$ , denote by  $S_{l;b,k}$  the  $k$ -th order commutator of  $S_l$  as defined in (1). Then the inequalities*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_{l;b,k} f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C(n, k, p) \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \|f\|_p$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{l;b,k} f l \right\|_p \leq C(n, k, p) \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \left\| \left( \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |f l|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p$$

holds for all  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Lemma 2** (see [10]) *Let  $m_\delta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $0 < \delta < \infty$ ) be a multiplier such that  $\text{supp } m_\delta \subset \{\xi: |\xi| \leq \delta\}$  and for some constants  $C$ ,  $0 < A \leq 1/2$  and  $\alpha > 1$ ,*

$$\|m_\delta\|_\infty \leq C \min \{A\delta, \log^{-\alpha}(2 + \delta)\}, \quad \|\nabla m_\delta\|_\infty \leq C.$$

Let  $T_\delta$  be the multiplier operator defined by  $\widehat{T_\delta f}(\xi) = m_\delta(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$ . For positive integer  $k$  and  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , denote by  $T_{\delta;b,k}$  the  $k$ -th order commutator of  $T_\delta$ . Then for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $C = C(n, k, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$\|T_{\delta;b,k}f\|_2 \leq C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k (A\delta)^{1-\varepsilon} \log^k\left(\frac{1}{A}\right) \|f\|_2, \quad \text{if } \delta < 10/\sqrt{A};$$

$$\|T_{\delta;b,k}f\|_2 \leq C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \log^{-\alpha(1-\varepsilon)+k}(2+\delta) \|f\|_2, \quad \text{if } \delta > 1/\sqrt{A}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We shall carry out the argument by induction on the order  $k$ . If  $k = 0$ , the operator  $g_{b,k}$  is exactly the operator  $g$  defined by

$$g(f)(x) = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_j f(x)|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

We claim that  $g$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C \log^{-\alpha+1/2}\left(\frac{1}{A}\right)$ . In fact, by the Plancherel theorem, it suffices to show that for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{K}_j(\xi)|^2 \leq C \log^{-2\alpha+1}\left(\frac{1}{A}\right).$$

Let  $j_0$  be the integer such that  $2^{j_0} \leq |\xi| < 2^{j_0+1}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{K}_j(\xi)|^2 &= \sum_{j \leq -j_0 + \lceil \log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}) \rceil} |\widehat{K}_j(\xi)|^2 + \sum_{j > -j_0 + \lceil \log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}) \rceil} |\widehat{K}_j(\xi)|^2 \\ &\leq CA^2 \sum_{j \leq \lceil \log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}) \rceil} 2^{2j} + \sum_{j > \lceil \log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}) \rceil} j^{-2\alpha} \\ &\leq CA + C \log^{-2\alpha+1}\left(\frac{1}{A}\right) \leq C \log^{-2\alpha+1}\left(\frac{1}{A}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $[a]$  denotes the integer part of the real number  $a$ . Now let  $k$  be a positive integer, we assume that the estimate

$$\|g_{b,m}(f)\|_2 \leq C \log^{-\alpha\nu+m+1/2}\left(\frac{1}{A}\right) \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^m \|f\|_2$$

holds for all  $0 \leq m \leq k - 1$ . Let  $S_l$  be the multiplier operator defined in Lemma 1. Define the operator  $S_l^2$  by  $S_l^2 f(x) = S_l(S_l f)(x)$ . Write

$$\|g_{b,k}f\|_2 = \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{l-j}^2 U_{j;b,k} f \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_2, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Again by the Plancherel theorem, we see that for  $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} S_l^2 h \right\|_2^2 &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{S_l^2 h}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{S_d^2 h}(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(\xi)|^2 (\widehat{\phi_l}(\xi))^2 \overline{(\widehat{\phi_d}(\xi))^2} d\xi \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}: |d-l| \leq 4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_l^2 h(x) \overline{S_d^2 h(x)} dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}: |d-l| \leq 4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|S_l^2 h(x)|^2 + |S_d^2 h(x)|^2) dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \|S_l^2 h\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|g_{b,k}(f)\|_2^2 \leq C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \|S_{l-j}^2 U_{j;b,k} f\|_2^2.$$

With the aid of the formula

$$(b(x) - b(y))^k = \sum_{m=0}^k C_k^m (b(x) - b(z))^{k-m} (b(z) - b(y))^m, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

the Fubini theorem and a straightforward computation gives that

$$S_{l-j}^2 U_{j;b,k} f(x) = (S_{l-j}^2 U_j)_{b,k} f(x) - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} C_k^m S_{l-j}^2 S_{l-j;b,k-m} (U_{j;b,m} f)(x).$$

This in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{b,k} f\|_2^2 &\leq C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(S_{l-j}^2 U_j)_{b,k} f\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \|S_{l-j}^2 S_{l-j;b,k-m} (U_{j;b,m} f)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 together with our induction hypothesis says that for each  $m$  with

$$0 \leq m \leq k - 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \|S_{l-j}^2; b, k-m(U_j; b, mf)\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2(k-m)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|U_j; b, mf\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \log^{-2\alpha\nu+2m+1} \left(\frac{1}{A}\right) \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2k} \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  bound for the operator  $(S_{l-j}^2 U_j)_{b,k}$ , set  $m_j(\xi) = \widehat{K}_j(\xi)$ ,  $m_j^l(\xi) = m_j(\xi)\phi(2^{j-l}\xi)$ , and define the operator  $U_j^l$  by

$$\widehat{U_j^l f}(\xi) = m_j^l(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Obviously,  $\text{supp } m_j^l(2^{-j}\xi) \subset \{|\xi| \leq 2^{l+2}\}$  and

$$\|m_j^l(2^{-j}\cdot)\|_\infty \leq C \min \{A2^l, \log^{-\alpha}(2 + 2^l)\}, \quad \|\nabla m_j^l(2^{-j}\cdot)\|_\infty \leq C.$$

Let  $\widetilde{U}_j^l$  be the operator defined by

$$\widehat{\widetilde{U}_j^l f}(\xi) = m_j^l(2^{-j}\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

The Fourier transform estimate for  $m_j^l$  via Lemma 2 states that for any  $0 < \nu < 1$  and positive integer  $m$ ,

$$\|\widetilde{U}_j^l; b, mf\|_2 \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^m \log^m \left(\frac{1}{A}\right) (A2^l)^\nu \|f\|_2,$$

$$l \leq \left\lceil \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}\right) \right\rceil + 1.$$

Note that if  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then for any  $t > 0$ ,  $b_t(x) = b(tx) \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\|b_t\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ . By dilation-invariance,

$$\|U_j^l; b, mf\|_2 \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^m \log^m \left(\frac{1}{A}\right) (A2^l)^\nu \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^m \|f\|_2,$$

$$l \leq \left\lceil \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}\right) \right\rceil + 1. \tag{4}$$

Since  $|m_j^l(\xi)| \leq C \min\{A2^l, 1\} \leq C(A2^l)^\nu$ , the Plancherel theorem tells us that

$$\|U_j^l f\|_2 \leq C(A2^l)^\nu \|f\|_2. \tag{5}$$

Observe that for  $f, h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) (S_{l-j}^2 U_j)_{b,k} f(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k C_k^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) U_{j;b,m}^l (S_{l-j;b,k-m} f)(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the estimate (4) and (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \| (S_{l-j}^2 U_j)_{b,k} f \|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \| U_{j;b,m}^l (S_{l-j;b,k-m} f) \|_2^2 \\ & \leq C (A2^l)^{2\nu} \sum_{m=0}^k \log^{2m} \left( \frac{1}{A} \right) \| b \|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2m} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \| S_{l-j;b,k-m} f \|_2^2 \\ & \leq C (A2^l)^{2\nu} \log^{2k} \left( \frac{1}{A} \right) \| b \|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2k} \| f \|_2^2, \quad l \leq \left[ \log \left( \frac{1}{A} \right) \right] + 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2 and the same argument as above, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \| (S_{l-j}^2 U_j)_{b,k} f \|_2^2 & \leq C \log^{2(-\alpha\nu+k)} (2 + 2^l) \| b \|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2k} \| f \|_2^2, \\ & \quad l > \left[ \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \right) \right] + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\alpha\nu > k + 1/2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \| (S_{l-j}^2 U_j)_{b,k} f \|_2^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{l > \left[ \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \right) \right] + 1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \| (S_{l-j}^2 U_j)_{b,k} f \|_2^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{l \leq \left[ \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \right) \right] + 1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \| (S_{l-j}^2 U_j)_{b,k} f \|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \| b \|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2k} A^{2\nu} \log^{2k} \left( \frac{1}{A} \right) \| f \|_2^2 \sum_{l \leq \left[ \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \right) \right] + 1} 2^{2\nu l} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2k} \|f\|_2^2 \sum_{l > \lceil \log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}) \rceil + 1} \log^{2(-\alpha\nu+k)}(2 + 2^l) \\
 &\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2k} \log^{2(-\alpha\nu+k)+1} \left(\frac{1}{A}\right) \|f\|_2^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1. □

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

As in [16] and [11], let  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a radial and nonnegative function such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 1$ ,  $\text{supp } \psi \subset \{x : |x| \leq 1/4\}$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and positive integer  $l$ , set

$$\psi_j(x) = 2^{-jn} \psi(2^{-j}x), \quad K_j^l(x) = K_j * \psi_{j-l}(x).$$

Denote by  $V_j^l$  the convolution operator whose kernel is  $K_j^l$ , and  $V_{j,b,k}^l$  the  $k$ -th order commutator of  $V_j^l$ . Define the operator  $g_{l,b,k}$  by

$$g_{l,b,k}(f)(x) = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |V_{j,b,k}^l f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We have

**Lemma 3** *For any nonnegative integer  $m$  with  $0 \leq m \leq k$ ,  $g_{l,b,m}$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^m$ . Furthermore, for  $0 < \nu < 1$  such that  $\alpha\nu > k + 1/2$ ,*

$$\|g_{l,b,m}(f) - g_{b,m}(f)\|_2 \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^m l^{-\alpha\nu+m+1/2} \|f\|_2.$$

*Proof.* By the Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\|g_{l,b,m}(f) - g_{b,m}(f)\|_2 \leq \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |V_{j,b,m}^l f(x) - U_{j,b,m} f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_2.$$

The Fourier transform estimate of  $K_j$  now states that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\widehat{K_j^l}(\xi) - \widehat{K_j}(\xi)| &= |\widehat{K_j}(\xi)| |\widehat{\psi_{j-l}}(\xi) - 1| \\
 &\leq C \min\{|2^j \xi|, \log^{-\alpha}(2 + |2^j \xi|)\} \min\{|2^{j-l} \xi|, 1\} \\
 &\leq C \min\{2^{-l} |2^j \xi|, \log^{-\alpha}(2 + |2^j \xi|)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\nabla \widehat{K}_j^l - \nabla \widehat{K}_j\|_\infty \leq \|\nabla \widehat{K}_j\|_\infty \|\widehat{\psi} - 1\|_\infty + \|\widehat{K}_j\|_\infty \|\nabla \widehat{\psi}_{j-l}\|_\infty \leq C2^j.$$

This together with Theorem 1 says that for each  $0 \leq m \leq k$ ,  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $0 < \nu < 1$  such that  $\alpha\nu > k + 1/2$ ,

$$\|g_{l;b,m}(f) - g_{b,m}(f)\|_2 \leq Cl^{-\alpha\nu+m+1/2} \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^m \|f\|_2.$$

On the other hand, Theorem 1 tells us that the operator  $g_{b,m}$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$ . Therefore, for each  $0 \leq m \leq k$ ,  $g_{l;b,m}$  is also bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4** *For any nonnegative integer  $k$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $g_{l;b,k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $Cl^{k+1/2}\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$ , and  $C$  depends only on  $n, p, k$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ . By the same argument as in the kernel estimate used in the proof of Theorem 1 in [11], we can verify that there exists a positive constant  $A$  which is independent of  $l$ , such that for each nonnegative integer  $m$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $|y| \leq R/4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d \geq 1} |B(0, 2^d R)| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|K_j^l(\cdot - y) - K_j^l(\cdot)\|_{L(\log L)^{2m}, B(0, 2^{d+1}R) \setminus B(0, 2^d R)} \\ & \quad + \sum_{d \geq 1} d^{2m} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{B(0, 2^{d+1}R) \setminus B(0, 2^d R)} |K_j^l(x - y) - K_j^l(x)| dx \\ & \leq Al^{2m+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K_j^l(\cdot - y) - K_j^l(\cdot)\|_{L(\log L)^{2m}, B(0, 2^{d+1}R) \setminus B(0, 2^d R)} \\ & = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0: \int_{B(0, 2^{d+1}R) \setminus B(0, 2^d R)} \Psi_m \left( \frac{|K_j^l(x - y) - K_j^l(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\Psi_m(t) = t \log^m(2 + t)$  for  $t > 0$  (see also [12, page 168]).

We first consider the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  bound of  $g_{l;b,k}$  for  $1 < p < 2$ . By the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, it is enough to show that for each  $1 < p < 2$ ,  $g_{l;b,k}$  is a bounded mapping from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to weak  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

bound  $C l^{k+1/2}$ , that is, for each  $1 < p < 2$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_{l;b,k}(f)(x) > \lambda\}| \leq C(l^{k+1/2}\lambda^{-1})^p \|f\|_p^p.$$

For  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and fixed  $\lambda > 0$ , applying the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to  $|f|^p$  at level  $\lambda^p$ , we can decompose  $f$  as  $f = f^I + f^{II} = f^I + \sum_d f_d^{II}$ , where  $\|f^I\|_2^2 \leq C\lambda^{2-p}\|f\|_p^p$ , each  $f_d^{II}$  is supported on some cube  $Q_d$ , the cubes  $Q_d$  have disjoint interiors,  $\int f_d^{II}(x)dx = 0$ ,  $\|f_d^{II}\|_p^p \leq C\lambda^p|Q_d|$  and  $\sum_d |Q_d| \leq C\lambda^{-p}\|f\|_p^p$ . Let  $x_d$  and  $r_d$  be the center and the side length of  $Q_d$ . Set  $B_d = B(x_d, 4nr_d)$  and  $E = \cup_d B_d$ , then  $|E| \leq C\lambda^{-p}\|f\|_p^p$ . By the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness of  $g_{l;b,k}$ , it is easy to see that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_{l;b,k}(f^I)(x) > \lambda\}| \leq C\lambda^{-p}\|f\|_p^p.$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : g_{l;b,k}(f^{II})(x) > \lambda\}| \leq C(l^{k+1/2}\lambda^{-1})^p \|f\|_p^p, \quad 1 < p < 2. \tag{7}$$

We shall carry out the argument by induction on the order  $k$ . If  $k = 0$ , then  $g_{l;b,k}$  is the operator

$$g_l(f)(x) = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |V_j^l f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : g_l(f^{II})(x) > \lambda\} &\subset \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |K_j^l * (f^{II})(x)| > l^{-1/2}\lambda \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |V_j^l(f^{II})(x)| > l^{1/2}\lambda \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |K_j^l * (f^{II})(x)| \leq C \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|K_j| * (M f^{II}))(x).$$

It follows that

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |K_j^l * (f^{II})(x)| > l^{-1/2}\lambda \right\} \right| \leq C(l^{1/2}\lambda^{-1})^p \|f\|_p^p.$$

The inequality (6) (with  $m = 0$ ) now gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |K_j^l * (f^{\text{II}})(x)| > l^{1/2} \lambda \right\} \right| \\ & \leq l^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1} \sum_d \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_d} |K_j^l * (f_d^{\text{II}})(x)| dx \\ & \leq l^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1} \sum_d \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{Q_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_d} |K_j^l(x - x_d) - K_j^l(x - y)| dx |f_d^{\text{II}}(y)| dy \\ & \leq Cl^{1/2} \sum_d |Q_d| \leq Cl^{1/2} \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_p^p, \end{aligned}$$

and the estimate (7) holds for the case of  $k = 0$ .

Now let  $k$  be a positive integer. We assume that the inequality (7) holds for all  $1 < p < 2$  and integer  $m$  with  $0 \leq m \leq k - 1$ . Denote by  $m_{B_d}(b)$  the mean value of  $b$  on the ball  $B_d$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} (b(x) - b(y))^k &= (b(x) - m_{B_d}(b))^k \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} C_k^m (b(x) - b(y))^m (b(y) - m_{B_d}(b))^{k-m}, \\ &\hspace{25em} x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for  $j, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{j;b,k}^l f^{\text{II}}(x) &= \sum_d (b(x) - m_{B_d}(b))^k V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} C_k^m V_{j;b,m}^l \left( \sum_d (b(\cdot) - m_{B_d}(b))^{k-m} f_d^{\text{II}} \right)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{l;b,k}(f^{\text{II}})(x) \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_d |b(x) - m_{B_d}(b)|^k |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad + C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| V_{j;b,m}^l \left( \sum_d (b(\cdot) - m_{B_d}(b))^{k-m} f_d^{\text{II}} \right)(x) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_d |b(x) - m_{B_d}(b)|^{2k} |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| \sum_d |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} g_{l;b,m} \left( \sum_d (b(\cdot) - m_{B_d}(b))^{k-m} f_d^{\text{II}} \right)(x) \\
 &\leq C \left( \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_d |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_d |b(x) - m_{B_d}(b)|^{2k} |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} g_{l;b,m} \left( \sum_d (b(\cdot) - m_{B_d}(b))^{k-m} f_d^{\text{II}} \right)(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $p_0$ ,  $1 < p_0 < p$ , and set  $r = p/p_0$ . For each  $0 \leq m \leq k - 1$ , our induction hypothesis states that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : g_{l;b,m} \left( \sum_d (b(\cdot) - m_{B_d}(b))^{k-m} f_d^{\text{II}} \right)(x) > \lambda \right\} \right| \\
 &\leq \lambda^{-p_0} \left\| g_{l;b,m} \left( \sum_d (b(\cdot) - m_{B_d}(b))^{k-m} f_d^{\text{II}} \right) \right\|_{p_0}^{p_0} \\
 &\leq C (l^{m+1/2} \lambda^{-1})^{p_0} \sum_d \left\| (b(\cdot) - m_{B_d}(b))^{k-m} f_d^{\text{II}} \right\|_{p_0}^{p_0} \\
 &\leq C (l^{m+1/2} \lambda^{-1})^{p_0} \sum_d \|f_d^{\text{II}}\|_p^{p_0} \left( \int_{B_d} |b(y) - m_{B_d}(b)|^{(k-m)p_0 r'} dy \right)^{1/r'} \\
 &\leq C (l^{m+1/2} \lambda^{-1})^p \|f\|_p^p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \left( \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_d |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| \right)^{1/2} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_d |b(x) - m_{B_d}(b)|^{2k} |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| \right)^{1/2} > \lambda \right\} \right| \\
 &\subset \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_d |b(x) - m_{B_d}(b)|^{2k} |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| > l^{k+1/2} \lambda \right\} \right| \\
 &\cup \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_d |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| > l^{-k-1/2} \lambda \right\} \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the cubes  $Q_d$  have disjoint interiors, straightforward computa-

tion yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_d |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| > l^{-k-1/2} \lambda \right\} \right| \\
& \leq (l^{k+1/2} \lambda^{-1})^p \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( |K_j^l| * \sum_d |f_d^{\text{II}}| \right) \right\|_p^p \\
& \leq C(l^{k+1/2} \lambda^{-1})^p \left\| \sum_d |f_d^{\text{II}}| \right\|_p^p \leq C(l^{k+1/2} \lambda^{-1})^p \|f\|_p^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of the inequality (7) for  $k$  can be reduced to proving that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_d |b(x) - m_{B_d}(b)|^{2k} |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| > l^{k+1/2} \lambda \right\} \right| \\
& \leq C(l^{k+1/2} \lambda^{-1})^p \|f\|_p^p. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

By the kernel estimate (6), the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2 in [11] leads to that for any  $y \in Q_d$ ,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_d} |b(x) - m_{B_d}(b)|^{2k} |K_j^l(x-y) - K_j^l(x-x_d)| dx \leq Cl^{2k+1}. \tag{9}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_d} |b(x) - m_{B_d}(b)|^{2k} |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| dx \\
& \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_d} |m_{B_d}(b) - b(x)|^{2k} \int_{Q_d} |K_j^l(x-y) - K_j^l(x-x_d)| |f_d^{\text{II}}(y)| dy dx \\
& \leq Cl^{2k+1} \int_{Q_d} |f_d^{\text{II}}(y)| dy \leq Cl^{2k+1} \lambda |Q_d|,
\end{aligned}$$

which in turn shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_d |b(x) - m_{B_d}(b)|^{2k} |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| > l^{k+1/2} \lambda \right\} \right| \\
& \leq l^{-k-1/2} \lambda^{-1} \sum_d \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_d} |b(x) - m_{B_d}(b)|^{2k} |V_j^l f_d^{\text{II}}(x)| dx \\
& \leq Cl^{k+1/2} \sum_d |Q_d| \leq Cl^{k+1/2} \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_p^p.
\end{aligned}$$

This establishes the inequality (8).

Since that the operator  $g_{l;b,k}$  is not linear, we don't automatically get the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness of  $g_{l;b,k}$  for  $2 < p < \infty$  by duality argument. We claim that

$$\|(g_l(f))^\#\|_\infty \leq Cl^{1/2}\|f\|_\infty, \quad f \in L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{10}$$

and for any  $1 < s < \infty$  and positive integer  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{l;b,k}(f))^\#(x) &\leq C_s \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} M_s(g_{l;b,m}(f))(x) \\ &\quad + Cl^{k+1/2}\|f\|_\infty, \quad f \in L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where  $C_s$  is a positive constant depending only on  $s$ ,  $M_s h(x) = (M(|h|^s)(x))^{1/s}$ . We only prove the inequality (11), the inequality (10) can be proved in the same way. For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $B = B(x_0, R)$  be a ball containing  $x$ , with center  $x_0$  and the radius  $R$ . Denote by  $M_B(b)$  the mean value of  $b$  on  $B$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} V_{j;b,k}^l h(y) &= V_j^l((m_B(b) - b)^k h)(y) \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} C_k^m (m_B(b) - (b(y)))^{k-m} V_{j;b,m}^l h(y). \end{aligned}$$

For  $f \in L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , decompose  $f$  as

$$f(y) = f(y)\chi_{B(x_0, 4R)}(y) + f(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, 4R)}(y) = f_1(y) + f_2(y).$$

Note that  $(m_B(b) - b)^k f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness of  $g_l$ , we can take  $y_0 \in B(x_0, R)$  such that  $|g_l((m_B(b) - b)^k f_2)(y_0)| < \infty$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |g_{l;b,k}(f)(y) - g_l((m_B(b) - b)^k f_2)(y_0)| dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |V_{j;b,k}^l f(y) - V_j^l((m_B(b) - b)^k f_2)(y_0)|^2 \right)^{1/2} dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |m_B(b) - b(y)|^{k-m} g_{l;b,m}(f)(y) dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B g_l((m_B(b) - b)^k f_1)(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |V_j^l((m_B(b) - b)^k f_2)(y) \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - V_j^l((m_B(b) - b)^k f_2)(y_0) \right|^2 \Big)^{1/2} dy \\
 & = \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{I} & \leq C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |m_B(b) - b(y)|^{(k-m)s'} dy \right)^{1/s'} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B (g_{l;b,m}(f)(y))^s dy \right)^{1/s} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} M_s(g_{l;b,m}(f))(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

The  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness of  $g_l$  now says that

$$\text{II} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B(x_0, 4R)} |m_B(b) - b(y)|^{2k} |f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|f\|_\infty.$$

Similar to the inequality (9), we have that for each  $y \in B(x_0, R)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, 4R)} |m_B(b) - b(z)|^{2k} |K_j^l(y - z) - K_j^l(y_0 - z)| dz \\
 & \leq Cl^{2k+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $y \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |V_j^l((m_b(b) - b)^k f_2)(y) - V_j^l((m_b(b) - b)^k f_2)(y_0)|^2 \\
 & \leq 2 \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|K_j^l * f_2\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |K_j^l(y - z) - K_j^l(y_0 - z)| \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \times |m_B(b) - b(z)|^{2k} |f_2(z)| dz \\
 & \leq Cl^{2k+1} \|f\|_\infty^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\text{III} \leq Cl^{k+1/2} \|f\|_\infty,$$

and gives the inequality (11).



We can now conclude the proof of Lemma 4 by proving that  $g_{l;b,k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $Cl^{k+1/2}$  when  $2 < p < \infty$ . Again we shall use the induction argument on the order  $k$ . If  $k = 0$ , the estimate (10) and the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness of  $g_l$  via the well-known interpolation theorem of Fefferman-Stein (see [14, Chapter IV]) give us the desired result directly. Now let  $k$  be a positive integer, and the estimate

$$\|g_{l;b,m}(f)\|_p \leq Cl^{m+1/2}\|f\|_p, \quad 2 < p < \infty$$

hold for all  $0 \leq m \leq k - 1$ . Our goal is to show that

$$\|g_{l;b,k}(f)\|_p \leq Cl^{k+1/2}\|f\|_p, \quad 2 < p < \infty.$$

By the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, the relationship of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and sharp function ([14, Chapter V]), and a standard density argument, it is enough to show that for each  $2 < p < \infty$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (g_{l;b,k}(f))^{\#}(x) > \lambda\}| \\ &\leq C(l^{k+1/2}\lambda^{-1})^p\|f\|_p^p, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

For each given  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , perform the Whitney decomposition of the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(|f|^p)(x) > (l^{-(k+1/2)}\lambda)^p\}$  into a union of non-overlapping cubes  $\{Q_d\}$ . We can write  $f(x) = f^I(x) + f^{II}(x)$ , where  $\|f^I\|_\infty \leq Cl^{-(k+1/2)}\lambda$ ,  $\|f^I\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ ;  $f^{II}(x) = \sum_d f_d^{II}(x)$ , with  $f_d^{II}$  supported on  $Q_d$ , and  $\int |f_d^{II}(x)|^p dx \leq C(l^{-(k+1/2)}\lambda)^p|Q_d|$ . Since  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there exists a compact set  $E$  such that  $\{x : M(|f|^p)(x) > (l^{-(k+1/2)}\lambda)^p\} \subset E$ , and thus  $f^I$  has compact support. Choose  $1 < s < \infty$  such that  $2 < p/s < \infty$ . By the inequality (11) and our induction hypothesis, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (g_{l;b,k}(f^I))^{\#}(x) > (C + 1)\lambda\}| \\ &\leq \left| \left\{ x : C_s \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} M_s(g_{b,m}f^I)(x) > \lambda \right\} \right| \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-p} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \|M_s(g_{b,m}f^I)\|_p^p \leq C(l^{k+1/2}\lambda^{-1})^p\|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

The  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness of  $g_{l;b,k}$  states that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, (g_{l;b,k}(f^{\text{II}}))^{\#}(x) > \lambda\}| \\
& \leq \lambda^{-2} \|(g_{l;b,k} f^{\text{II}})^{\#}\|_2^2 \leq C \lambda^{-2} \|f^{\text{II}}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq C l^{(k+1/2)p} |\{x: M(|f|^p)(x) > (l^{k+1/2} \lambda)^p\}| \\
& \leq C \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_p^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above leads to the inequality (12).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We only consider the case of  $2 < p < 4\alpha/(2k+1)$ . For  $4\alpha/(4\alpha-2k-1) < p < 2$ , the proof is very similar. Let  $g_{l;b,k}$  be the same as above. By Lemma 3,

$$\|g_{2^l;b,k}(f) - g_{2^{l+1};b,k}(f)\|_2 \leq 2^{(-\alpha\nu+k+1/2)l} \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \|f\|_2. \quad (13)$$

Therefore, the series

$$g_{b,k} = g_{1;b,k} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (g_{2^{l+1};b,k} - g_{2^l;b,k}) \quad (14)$$

converges strongly in the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -operator norm. On the other hand, invoking Lemma 4, we can obtain

$$\|g_{l;b,k}(f)\|_p \leq C l^{k+1/2} \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|g_{2^l;b,k}(f) - g_{2^{l+1};b,k}(f)\|_p \\
& \leq C 2^{(k+1/2)l} \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty.
\end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Interpolation the inequalities (13) and (15) gives us that for any  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|g_{2^{l+1};b,k}(f) - g_{2^l;b,k}(f)\|_p \\
& \leq C_{\theta} \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k 2^{(-2\alpha\nu/p+k+1/2+\theta)l} \|f\|_p, \quad 2 < p < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

For each given  $p$  with  $2 < p < 4\alpha/(2k+1)$ , we can choose  $\theta > 0$  and  $0 < \nu < 1$  such that  $2\alpha\nu/p > k+1/2+\theta$ . Thus, the series (14) converges in the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -operator norm, and the operator  $g_{b,k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

#### 4. Some applications

In this section, we will give some applications of the theorems we have established. We begin with the maximal operator defined by

$$M_{\Omega; b, k} f(x) = \sup_{r>0} r^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<r} |b(x) - b(y)|^k |\Omega(x - y) f(y)| dy,$$

where  $\Omega$  is homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$ ,  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $k$  is a positive integer. We can prove that

**Corollary 1** *Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on  $S^{n-1}$ ,  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $k$  is a even number and for some  $\alpha > k + 1/2$ ,*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(\theta)| \log \left( \frac{1}{|\theta \cdot \zeta|} \right)^\alpha d\theta < \infty, \tag{16}$$

then  $M_{\Omega; b, k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$  for  $4\alpha/(4\alpha - 2k - 1) < p < 4\alpha/(2k + 1)$ . If  $k$  is a odd number and  $\Omega$  satisfies (16) for some  $\alpha > k + 3/2$ , then  $M_{\Omega; b, k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$  for  $4\alpha/(4\alpha - 2k - 3) < p < 4\alpha/(2k + 3)$ .

*Proof.* We first consider the case that  $k$  is even. Let  $A = \|\Omega\|_1/|S^{n-1}|$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}(x) = |\Omega(x)| - A$ . Note that  $\tilde{\Omega}$  has mean value zero on  $S^{n-1}$ . Set  $K_j(x) = \tilde{\Omega}(x)|x|^{-n} \chi_{\{2^j \leq |x-y| < 2^{j+1}\}}$ . By the estimate of Grafakos and Stefanov [9], we know that if  $\Omega$  satisfies (16) for some  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $K_j$  satisfies the Fourier transform estimate (3) for the same  $\alpha$ . Denote by  $U_j$  the convolution operator whose kernel is  $K_j$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\Omega; b, k} f(x) &\leq C \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j < |x-y| \leq 2^{j+1}} (b(x) - b(y))^k \frac{|\Omega(x - y)|}{|x - y|^n} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_{j; b, k}(|f|)(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + CA \sup_{r>0} r^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<r} (b(x) - b(y))^k |f(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the commutator

$$M_{b, k} f(x) = \sup_{r>0} r^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<r} |b(x) - b(y)|^k |f(y)| dy.$$

(see [8]) and the operator

$$M_{\Omega} f(x) = \sup_{r>0} r^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<r} |\Omega(x - y)| |f(y)| dy$$

are all bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . Corollary 1 for even number  $k$  now follows from Theorem 2 directly.

Now let  $k$  be an odd positive integer. By the Hölder inequality,

$$M_{\Omega; b, k} f(x) \leq (M_{\Omega; b, k+1} f(x))^{k/(k+1)} (M_{\Omega} f(x))^{1/(k+1)}.$$

Another application of the Hölder inequality gives the desired result for odd number  $k$ . □

We now turn our attention to the maximal operator associated with the commutator of homogeneous singular operator defined by

$$T_{b, k}^* f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} (b(x) - b(y))^k \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^n} f(y) dy \right|.$$

We have

**Corollary 2** *Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on  $S^{n-1}$  and have mean value zero,  $k$  be a nonnegative integer and  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $\Omega$  satisfies the inequality (16) for some  $\alpha > k + 3/2$ . Then  $T_{b, k}^*$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$  for  $4\alpha/(4\alpha - 2k - 3) < p < 4\alpha/(2k + 3)$ .*

*Proof.* Our argument will proceed by induction on  $k$ . If  $k = 0$ , Corollary 2 can be obtained from Theorem 2 in [6]. Now we assume that Corollary 2 is true for all integer  $m$  with  $0 \leq m \leq k - 1$ . Let  $K_l(x) = \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^n} \chi_{\{2^l \leq |x| < 2^{l+1}\}}(x)$  and define the operator

$$T_{l; b, k} f(x) = \int_{2^l \leq |x-y| < 2^{l+1}} (b(x) - b(y))^k \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^n} f(y) dy.$$

By Corollary 1, it suffices to consider the operator  $\sup_j \left| \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} T_{l; b, k} f(x) \right|$ . Take  $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\eta(x) \equiv 1$  when  $|x| \leq 1$  and set  $\eta_j(x) = \eta(2^j x)$ . Let  $\Phi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\widehat{\Phi_j}(\xi) = \eta_j(\xi)$ . Denote by  $W_j$  the convolution operator whose kernel is  $\Phi_j$  and  $W_j^l$  the convolution operator whose kernel is  $K_l - \Phi_j * K_l$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} T_{j; b, k} f(x) &= \Phi_j * \left( T_{b, k} f - \sum_{l=-\infty}^{j-1} T_{l; b, k} f \right)(x) \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} T_{l; b, k} f(x) - \Phi_j * \left( \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} T_{l; b, k} f \right)(x) \right) \\ &= \text{I}_j(f)(x) + \text{II}_j(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\left| \Phi_j * \sum_{l=-\infty}^{j-1} K_l(x) \right| \leq C2^{-jn}/(1 + |2^{-j}x|)^{n+1}$$

(see [5]) and that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_j * \left( \sum_{l=-\infty}^{j-1} T_{l;b,k}f \right)(x) &= \left( \Phi_j * \sum_{l=-\infty}^{j-1} K_l \right)_{b,k} f(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} C_k^m W_{j;b,k-m} \left( \sum_{l=-\infty}^{j-1} T_{l;b,m}f \right)(x). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |I_j(f)(x)| &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (M_{b,k-m}(T_{b,m}f)(x) + M_{b,k-m}(T_{b,m}^*f)(x)) \\ &\quad + CM_{b,k}f(x) + CM(T_{b,k}f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 in [11] tells us that  $T_{b,k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $2\alpha/(2\alpha - k - 1) < p < 2\alpha/(k + 1)$ . Thus,  $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |I_j(f)(x)|$  is bounded by an operator that is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$  for  $4\alpha/(4\alpha - 2k - 3) < p < 4\alpha/(2k + 3)$ . To estimate  $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\text{II}_j(f)(x)|$ , write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II}_j f(x) &= \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} T_{l;b,k}f(x) - \left( \Phi_j * \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} T_l \right)_{b,k} f(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} C_k^m W_{j;b,k-m} \left( \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} T_{l;b,m}f \right)(x) \\ &= \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} W_{j;b,k}^l f(x) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} C_k^m W_{j;b,k-m} \left( \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} T_{l;b,m}f \right)(x). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $0 \leq m \leq k - 1$ , it is easy to see that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| W_{j;b,k-m} \left( \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} T_{l;b,m}f \right)(x) \right| \leq CM_{b,k-m}(T_{b,m}^*f)(x).$$

Thus, it suffices to estimate the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  norm of  $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} W_{j;b,k}^l f(x) \right|$ .

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} W_{j; b, k}^l f(x) \right| &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |W_{j-l; b, k}^j f(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |W_{j-l; b, k}^j f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

since  $K_j - \Phi_{j-l} * K_j$  satisfies the Fourier transform (2) with  $A = 2^{-l}$ , by Theorem 1, we know that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |W_{j-l; b, k}^j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_2 \leq Cl^{-\alpha\nu+k+1/2} \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \|f\|_2. \tag{17}$$

It follows from Theorem 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |W_{j-l; b, k}^j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p &\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \|f\|_p, \\ 4\alpha/(4\alpha - 2k - 1) &< p < 4\alpha/(2k + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Interpolation the inequalities (17) and (18) yields that for  $2 < p < 4\alpha/(2k + 1)$ ,

$$\left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |W_{j-l; b, k}^j f| \right\|_p \leq Cl^{-2\alpha\nu(1/p - (2k+1)/(4\alpha)) + \theta_0} \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \|f\|_p. \tag{19}$$

For each fixed  $2 < p < 4\alpha/(2k + 3)$ , we can take  $\theta_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \nu < 1$ , such that  $2\alpha\nu(1/p - (2k + 1)/(4\alpha)) > 1 + \theta_0$ . Summing over the inequality (19) for all integers  $l \geq 0$  gives the desired result. For the case of  $4\alpha/(4\alpha - 2k - 3) < p < 2$ , the proof is very similar and is omitted. This finishes the proof of Corollary 2.  $\square$

At the end of this section, we consider the commutator of the Marcinkiewicz integral. For  $t > 0$ ,  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and nonnegative integer  $k$ , let

$$F_{t; b, k} f(x) = \int_{|x-y| \leq t} (b(x) - b(y))^k \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy,$$

and define the operator

$$\mu_{\Omega; b, k} f(x) = \left( \int_0^\infty |F_{t; b, k} f(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{1/2}.$$

For  $k = 0$ , this is the higher-dimensional Marcinkiewicz integral introduced by Stein [15] and has been considered by many authors recently (see [4] and [7]). For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t \in [1, 2]$ , let

$$U_{j, t; b, k} f(x) = \int_{2^{j-1}t < |x-y| \leq 2^j t} (b(x) - b(y))^k \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy.$$

The Minkowski inequality states that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\Omega; b, k} f(x) \\ & \leq \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \int_{2^{l-1}t < |x-y| \leq 2^l t} (b(x) - b(y))^k \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 2^l \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \int_{t/2 < |x-y| \leq t} (b(x) - b(y))^k \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{1/2} \\ & = 2 \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \left| \int_{t/2 < |x-y| \leq t} (b(x) - b(y))^k \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq 4 \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^2 |U_{j, t; b, k} f(x)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $t \in [1, 2]$  and  $4\alpha/(4\alpha - 2k - 1) < p < 4\alpha/(2k + 1)$ , by Theorem 2 and rescaling,

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_{j, t; b, k} f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \|f\|_p.$$

If  $2 \leq p < 4\alpha/(2k + 1)$ , it follows from the Minkowski inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( \int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_{j, t; b, k} f|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p^2 & \leq \int_1^2 \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_{j, t; b, k} f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p^2 dt \\ & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2k} \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, repeating the proof of Theorem 2, with some suitable modifi-

cations, we can prove that for  $4\alpha/(4\alpha - 2k - 1) < p < 4\alpha/(2k + 1)$ ,

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^2 |U_{j,t;b,k} f(x)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k \|f\|_p.$$

Therefore, we have

**Corollary 3** *Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on  $S^{n-1}$  and have mean value zero,  $k$  be a nonnegative integer and  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $\Omega$  satisfies the inequality (16) for some  $\alpha > k + 1/2$ . Then  $\mu_{\Omega;b,k}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with bound  $C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^k$  for all  $4\alpha/(4\alpha - (2k + 1)) < p < 4\alpha/(2k + 1)$ .*

**Acknowledgement** The author would like to thank the referee for some valuable suggestions and corrections.

## References

- [ 1 ] Alvarez J., Bagby R., Kurtz D. and Pérez C., *Weighted estimates for commutators of linear operators*. *Studia Math.* **104** (1993), 195–209.
- [ 2 ] Coifman R., Lions P.L., Meyer Y. and Semmes S., *Compensated compactness and Hardy space*. *J. Math. Pure Appl.* **72** (1993), 247–286.
- [ 3 ] Coifman R. and Meyer Y., *Au déla des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*. *Astérisque* **57** (1978), 1–185.
- [ 4 ] Ding Y., Fan D. and Pan Y., *Weighted boundedness for a class of Marcinkiewicz integrals*. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **48** (1999), 1037–1055.
- [ 5 ] Duoandikoetxea J. and Rubio de Francia J.L., *Maximal and singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates*. *Invent. Math.* **84** (1986), 541–561.
- [ 6 ] Fan D., Guo K. and Pan Y., *A note on rough singular integral operators*. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2** (1999), 73–81.
- [ 7 ] Fan D. and Sato S., *Weak type (1, 1) estimates for Marcinkiewicz integrals with rough kernels*. *Tohoku Math. J.* **53** (2001), 265–284.
- [ 8 ] Garcia-Cuerva J., Harboure E., Segovia C. and Torrea J.L., *Weighted norm inequalities for commutators of strongly singular integrals*. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **40** (1991), 1397–1420.
- [ 9 ] Grafakos L. and Stefanov A.,  *$L^p$  bounds for singular integrals and maximal singular integrals with rough kernels*. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **47** (1998), 455–469.
- [ 10 ] Hu G.,  *$L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness for the commutators of convolution operators*. *Nagoya Math. J.* **163** (2001), 55–70.
- [ 11 ] Hu G., Sun Q. and Wang X.,  *$L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  bounds for the commutators of convolution operators*. *Colloquium Math.* **93** (2002), 11–20.
- [ 12 ] Pérez C., *Endpoint estimate for commutators of singular integral operators*. *J. Funct. Anal.* **128** (1995), 163–185.



- [13] Segovia C. and Torrea J.L., *Vector-valued commutators and applications*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **38** (1989), 959–971.
- [14] Stein E.M., *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993.
- [15] Stein E.M., *On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1958), 430–466.
- [16] Watson D.K., *Weighted estimate for singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates*. Duke Math. J. **60** (1990), 389–399.

Department of Applied Mathematics  
University of Information Engineering  
P. O. Box 1001-747, Zhengzhou 450002  
P. R. China  
E-mail: huguoen@371.net