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## 1. Introduction

We consider the following nonlinear parabolic problem,

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t}-\Delta u & =F(u, \nabla u), & & x \in \Omega, t>0  \tag{1.1}\\
u(t, x) & =0, & & x \in \partial \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
u(0, x) & =u_{0}(x), & & x \in \Omega, \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded (or unbounded) and sufficiently regular (say, uniformly regular of class $C^{2}$ ) open domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, F \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and $u_{0}$ satisfies the compatibility condition (i.e., $u_{0}(x)=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ ). It is well known that the problem (1.1)-(1.3) admits a unique classical solution $u$, of maximal existence time $T^{*} \in$ $(0, \infty]$, when $\Omega$ is a bounded domain and $u_{0}(x) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ [14, Thm. 10, p. 206]. Moreover, if $T^{*}<\infty$, then $u$ blows up in finite time in $C^{1}$ norm; that is,

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow T^{*}} \sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}|u(x, t)|+|\nabla u(x, t)|=+\infty
$$

It is also known that if $F(u, \nabla u)=b|\nabla u|^{p}+a u^{q}(p>1, q>1$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{R})$ then (1.1)-(1.3) admits a unique, maximal-in-time solution $u \in C\left(\left[0, T^{*}\right)\right.$; $W_{0}^{1, s}(\Omega)$ ) for all sufficiently regular initial data. For example, $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, s}(\Omega)$ with $s \geq N \max (p, q)$ when $\Omega$ is an unbounded domain. Moreover, if $T^{*}<\infty$ then $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*}}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)}=\infty$.

The foregoing two regularity assumptions on $u_{0}$ will be maintained throughout the paper.

The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta u=|\nabla u|^{p}, \quad t>0, x \in \Omega, p>1, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

serves as a typical model case in the theory of parabolic partial differential equations. In fact, it is the simplest example of parabolic PDE with a nonlinearity depending on the first-order spatial derivatives of $u$, and it can be considered as an analogue of the extensively studied equation with zero-order nonlinearity, $u_{t}-\Delta u=u|u|^{p-1}$. This equation was studied by many authors in the past few years $[3 ; 4 ; 5 ; 6 ; 7 ; 8 ; 16 ; 17 ; 21 ; 22 ; 29]$.

Assume that the initial data is given by $u_{0}=\lambda \psi$, where $\psi \not \equiv 0$ is a positive function on $\Omega$. The authors in [1] and [29] proved that the problem (1.2)-(1.4) for $p>2$ cannot admit a global solution whenever $\lambda$ is sufficiently large and $\Omega$ is a bounded domain.

The first aim of the present paper is the study of the problem (1.2)-(1.4) for unbounded domains. In fact, for $p>2$ and $u_{0}=\lambda \psi(\psi \not \equiv 0$ with $\lambda$ sufficiently large), we shall prove that the finite-time blow-up occurs whenever Poincaré's inequality is valid in $W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega)$; that is:

$$
\|v\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega)\|\nabla v\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \quad \forall v \in W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega)
$$

and there exist some positive functions $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$ such that, for $\delta=\frac{1}{p-1}$ and $\delta=\frac{1}{p N}$,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\phi(x)^{\delta}} d x<\infty
$$

Remark 1.1. Here we should mention that the foregoing conditions hold for every bounded domain. In fact, if $\phi$ is the first eigenfunction of the $-\Delta$ operator in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then $\phi \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$ and we can choose $\phi$ such that $\phi>0$ in $\Omega$. Furthermore, the author in [29, Lemma 5.1] proved that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi^{-\alpha}(x) d x=C(\alpha, \Omega)<\infty \quad \forall \alpha \in(0,1)
$$

Moreover, these conditions may hold for some unbounded domains. For example, if we take

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega & =\left\{( x , y ) | | x | \leq 1 , | y | \leq 3 x ^ { 4 } - 8 x ^ { 2 } + 6 \} \cup \left\{(x, y)| | x\left|\geq 1,|y| \leq 1 / x^{4}\right\}\right.\right. \\
& \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and choose

$$
\phi(x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(1 / x^{4}-|y|\right) e^{\left(1 / x^{4}-|y|\right)}, & |x| \geq 1 \\ 3 x^{4}-8 x^{2}+6-|y|, & |x| \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

whenever $p=3$, then the conditions hold for this unbounded domain.
In the case of $F(u, \nabla u)=b|\nabla u|^{p}+a u^{q}(q>1, p>1)$, several authors have studied the existence of nonglobal positive solutions and blow-up under certain assumptions on $p, q, N, a, b$, and $\Omega$ (see e.g. $[2 ; 10 ; 11 ; 12 ; 13 ; 17 ; 18 ; 23 ; 24 ; 25$; $26 ; 27 ; 28 ; 31]$ ). The author in [29] and [28] recently introduced some open problems about boundedness of global solutions and blow-up in finite time for these types of equations. The second aim of this article is to consider these problems. In fact, we prove the following statements.
(i) If $a>0$ and $b>0$, we show that blow-up in finite time occurs for large values of initial data in every regular domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(ii) If $b>0, a<0,|a| \ll 1$, and $\Omega=(-1,1)$ is an interval in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$, we show that either blow-up occurs or global solutions are unbounded for large values of initial data.
(iii) If $a>0, b<0$, and $\Omega$ is bounded, we show that the solutions decay exponentially whenever the initial data is small.
In Section 2 we establish the blow-up for the equation $u_{t}-\Delta u=F(\nabla u)$. In Section 3, we study blow-up in finite time and global solutions for the equation $u_{t}-\Delta u=b|\nabla u|^{p}+a u^{q}$.

## 2. Blow-up for the Equation $u_{t}-\Delta u=F(\nabla u)$

In this section we consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t}-\Delta u & =F(\nabla u), & & x \in \Omega, t>0, \\
(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x), & & x \in \Omega  \tag{2.5}\\
u(x, t) & =0, & & x \in \partial \Omega, t>0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a (possibly unbounded) domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. At first we assume that $F(\nabla u)=$ $|\nabla u|^{p}$. In [29] it was shown that the nonnegative solutions of (1.2)-(1.4) for $p>$ 2 , blow up in finite time for large values of initial data whenever $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We recall this theorem here.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary (say $C^{2}$ ) and let $\varphi_{1}>0$ be the first eigenfunction of the $-\triangle$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Assume that $p>2$ and consider the problem (1.2)-(1.4). There exists $K_{0}=K_{0}(\Omega, p)>0$ such that, if $\int_{\Omega} u_{0}(x) \varphi_{1}(x) d x>K_{0}$, then gradient blow-up occurs.

We now extend this theorem for regular domains that are possibly unbounded.
THEOREM 2.2. Let $\Omega$ be a uniformly regular domain of class $C^{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $p>$ 2 and $u_{0}=\lambda \psi(\psi \not \equiv 0, \psi \geq 0)$, and consider the problem (1.2)-(1.4). Assume that Poincaré's inequality holds in $W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and there exists some positive function $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\int_{\Omega}\left(1 / \phi(x)^{\delta}\right) d x<\infty$ for $\delta=\frac{1}{p-1}$ and $\delta=\frac{1}{p N}$. Then there exists a $K=K(\Omega, p, \phi)$ such that, if $\lambda>K$, blow-up occurs.

Notice that these conditions on $\Omega$ hold for every bounded domain and may also hold for some unbounded domain (see Remark 1.1).

For the proof of Theorem 2.2 we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.3. Let the conditions of Theorem 2.2 hold. Then:
(i) $u(t) \in W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega)$ for all $r \geq 1$;
(ii) there exists a constant $C=C(\Omega, \phi, p)$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} u \phi d x\right)^{p} \leq C \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x .
$$

Proof. (i) Since $u(t) \in W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega)$ for $r>p N$ [30, Props. $\left.A_{3} \& A_{4}\right]$, it is sufficient to show that $u(t) \in W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq r \leq p N$. By Hölder's inequality we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} u d x=\int_{\Omega} u \phi^{1 / s} \phi^{-1 / s} d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{s} \phi d x\right)^{1 / s}\left(\int_{\Omega} \phi^{-s^{\prime} / s} d x\right)^{1 / s^{\prime}}
$$

where $1 / s+1 / s^{\prime}=1$. On the other hand, if $s=p N+1$ then $u \in W_{0}^{1, s}(\Omega)$; moreover, $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$ and $\int_{\Omega} \phi^{-s^{\prime} / s} d x<\infty$, so $\int_{\Omega} u d x<\infty$. Consequently, $u \in$ $L^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p N+1}(\Omega)$. Hence $u \in L^{r}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq r \leq p N$. By using a similar argument, we can show that $|\nabla u| \in L^{r}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq r \leq p N$. Therefore, $u(t) \in W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq r \leq p N$.
(ii) First of all, notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u| d x=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u| \phi^{1 / p} \phi^{-1 / p} d x & \leq\left(\int|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega} \phi^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left(\int|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p^{\prime}$ is the conjugate of $p$ and $C_{1}=C_{1}(\Omega, \phi, p)$. By using Poincare's inequality in $W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} u \phi d x \leq\|\phi\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} u d x & \leq\|\phi\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u| d x \\
& \leq\|\phi\|_{\infty} C_{1}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} u \phi d x\right)^{p} \leq C \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x
$$

where $C=C(\Omega, \phi, p)$.
Now, we can prove Theorem 2.2.
Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let us first assume that $u_{0} \in C_{c}^{3}(\Omega)$. By Propositions $A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$ in [30], it follows that for every finite $r \geq p N$ we must have $u \in$ $C^{1}\left(\left[0, T^{*}\right), L^{r}(\Omega)\right)$ and $u(t) \in W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega) \cap W^{2, r}(\Omega)$ for all $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)$.

Let $Z(t)=\int_{\Omega} u(t, x) \phi(x) d x$ and $T_{1}=\min \left(1, T^{*} / 2\right)$. Using integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}(t)+\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi d x=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x, \quad t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By considering Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality for the second term on the left-hand side, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} & \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u| \phi^{1 / p}|\nabla \phi| \phi^{-1 / p} d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p^{\prime}} \phi^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \quad \text { (Hölder's inequality) } \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x+C_{1} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p^{\prime}} \phi^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x \quad \text { (Young's inequality). } \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $M=C_{1} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p^{\prime}} \phi^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x$. Then (2.6) and (2.7) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x-M, \quad t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.3(ii) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x \geq C_{2}\left(\int u \phi d x\right)^{p} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{2}$. From (2.8) and (2.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}(t) \geq C_{3} Z(t)^{p}-M, \quad t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{3}$. Now if $Z(0) \geq M+\left(2 M / C_{3}\right)^{1 / p}$, then it follows from (2.10) that $M \leq\left(C_{3} / 2\right) Z(t)^{p}$ for every $t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
Z^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{C_{3}}{2} Z(t)^{p}, \quad t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(t) \geq\left[(1-p) \frac{C_{3}}{2} t+Z(0)^{1-p}\right]^{1 /(1-p)}, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the right-hand side of (2.11) becomes infinite for some finite values of $t$. By taking a larger value for $Z(0)$ if necessary, we must have $T_{1}<1$ and hence $T^{*}<2$. By using continuous dependence of the solutions to the initial data in $W_{0}^{1, s}(\Omega)\left[30\right.$, Prop. $\left.A_{1}\right]$, we can show that this result is true for all large values of the initial data $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega), r \geq p N$. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.

Here, we assume that the domain $\Omega$ has a finite Lebesgue measure. In this particular case it is possible to prove that, if $p>2$ and $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2(p-1) /(p-2)}}(\Omega)$ is large enough, then blow-up occurs.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\Omega$ be a domain with finite Lebesgue measure and smooth boundary (say $C^{2}$ ), and let Poincaré's inequality hold in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Assume that $p>2$ and consider the problem (1.2)-(1.4). Then there exists $K=K(\Omega, p)>0$ such that, if $u_{0}(x) \geq 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{2(p-1) /(p-2)} d x>K$, then blow-up occurs.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, we assume $u_{0} \in C_{c}^{3}(\Omega)$. Multiplying equation (1.4) by $u^{p /(p-2)}$ and integrating over $\Omega$ yields

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u^{p /(p-2)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^{p /(p-2)} d x=\int|\nabla u|^{p} u^{p /(p-2)} d x .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u^{2(p-1) /(p-2)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{2 /(p-2)} d x \\
& =\int|\nabla u|^{p} u^{p /(p-2)} d x \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

For the second term on the left-hand side, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{2 /(p-2)} d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} u^{p /(p-2)} d x\right)^{2 / p} \operatorname{mes}(\Omega)^{(p-2) / p} \quad \text { (Hölder's inequality) } \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} u^{p /(p-2)} d x+C_{1} \operatorname{mes}(\Omega) \quad \text { (Young's inequality), } \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where mes $(\Omega)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the domain $\Omega$. Therefore, from (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{2(p-1) /(p-2)} d x\right)+C_{1} \operatorname{mes}(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} u^{p /(p-2)} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, Poincaré's inequality yields

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} u^{p /(p-2)} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{(p-1) /(p-2)}\right|^{p} d x \geq C_{2}(\Omega, p) \int_{\Omega} u^{p(p-1) /(p-2)} d x
$$

But

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{2(p-1) /(p-2)} d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p(p-1) /(p-2)} d x\right)^{2 / p} \operatorname{mes}(\Omega)^{(p-2) / p} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} u^{p /(p-2)} d x \geq C_{3}\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{2(p-1) /(p-2)} d x\right)^{p / 2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}=C_{3}(\Omega, p)$ is a positive constant. By setting $Z(t)=\int_{\Omega} u^{2(p-1) /(p-2)} d x$, from (2.14) and (2.15) we obtain

$$
Z^{\prime}(t)+M \geq C_{4} Z(t)^{p / 2}, \quad t \in\left(0, T^{*}\right)
$$

for some constants $M$ and $C_{4}=C_{4}(\Omega, p)$. Hence, for large values of $Z(0)$, we must have

$$
Z^{\prime}(t) \geq C_{5} Z(t)^{p / 2}, \quad t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)
$$

where $T_{1}=\min \left(1, T^{*}\right)$ and $C_{5}=C_{5}(\Omega, p)$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, it follows that $T^{*}<1$ for all large values of initial data in $W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega), r \geq p N$.

In the previous theorems we showed that blow-up occurs for large values of initial data. However, if we add some positive constant to the right-hand side of (1.4), say,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta u=|\nabla u|^{p}+\lambda, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, by the following theorem, blow-up may occur for all $u_{0} \geq 0, u_{0} \not \equiv 0$.
Theorem 2.5. Let $\Omega$ and $\phi$ be exactly the same as in Theorem 2.2 and let $u$ be the nonnegative classical solution of (2.16), (1.2)-(1.3). If $u_{0} \geq 0\left(u_{0} \not \equiv 0\right)$, then blow-up occurs for large values of $\lambda$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, we arrive at

$$
Z^{\prime}(t) \geq C_{1} Z(t)^{p}+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \phi(x) d x-M, \quad t \in\left(0, T^{*}\right)
$$

where $Z(t)=\int_{\Omega} \phi(x) u(t, x) d x$ and $C_{1}$ is a positive constant. Therefore, if $\lambda>$ $M /\left(\int_{\Omega} \phi(x) d x\right)$ then

$$
Z^{\prime}(t) \geq C_{1} Z(t)^{p}, \quad t \in\left(0, T^{*}\right)
$$

Now, since $Z(0)>0, Z$ becomes infinite at a finite time, which implies that $T^{*}<$ $\infty$.

Remark 2.6. Notice that, when $\Omega$ is a bounded domain, each solution of the problem (1.2)-(1.4) satisfies a maximum principle. Thus, the $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ norm of the solution remains finite as long as the solution exists. Since the solution blows up in finite time for $p>2$, it follows that some of its derivatives must be singular for a finite time. Moreover, as noted by many authors, if $F$ depends only on $\nabla u$ then it follows (from the maximum principle) that the maximum values of $|\nabla u|$ must be attained on the parabolic boundary. Therefore, in this problem we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*}} \sup \sup _{x \in \partial \Omega}|\nabla u(t, x)|=\infty
$$

Remark 2.7. All of the results of this section remain valid if $u$ is a classical solution of $u_{t}-\Delta u=F(\nabla u)$ and $F(\nabla u) \geq b|\nabla u|^{p}$ for $p>2$ and $b>0$.

## 3. Blow-up and Global Solutions for the Case $F(u, \nabla u)=b|\nabla u|^{p}+a u^{q}$

In this section we consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t}-\Delta u & =b|\nabla u|^{p}+a u^{q}, & & x \in \Omega, t>0 \\
u(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x), & & x \in \Omega,  \tag{3.17}\\
u(x, t) & =0, & & x \in \partial \Omega, t>0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $p>1, q>1, a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\Omega$ is a regular (and possibly unbounded) domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. For this problem we show that, under some assumptions on $a, b, p, q, \Omega$ and the initial data, the solutions can be global or blow up in finite time. In order to start our work, we recall some results about the special cases of this problem that have been considered before.

For the equation with zero-order nonlinearity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta u=u^{q}, \quad q>1, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is well known that $L^{\infty}$ blow-up occurs for large (nonnegative) initial data if $\Omega$ is bounded. It is therefore natural to ask what happens if the nonlinearity involves both zero-order and first-order source terms, such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta u=|\nabla u|^{p}+u^{q}, \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

or if the zero-order term is an absorption term, such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta u=|\nabla u|^{p}-u^{q} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, one may consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta u=|\nabla u|^{p}+a(x) u^{q}, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \in C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}), 0<\alpha<1$.
The author in [29] provided answers to some of these questions for a bounded domain by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that $p>2$ and $p>q \geq 1$. Let $u \geq 0$ be the solution of (3.21), (1.2)-(1.3) where $u_{0}=\lambda \psi(\psi \geq 0, \psi \not \equiv 0)$. Then there exists $\Lambda_{0}=$ $\Lambda_{0}(p, q, a, \Omega, \psi)>0$ such that, for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda_{0}$, gradient blow-up occurs.

Moreover, it was shown in [29] that the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 remains valid when $q=p$ and $\|a\|_{\infty}$ is sufficiently small (depending on $p, \Omega$, and $\psi$ ).

In this section, we have the following results related to the problem (3.17).
(i) If $a>0$ and $b>0$, we show that blow-up occurs for large values of initial data in every regular domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(ii) If $b>0, a<0,|a| \ll 1$, and $\Omega=(-1,1)$ is an interval in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$, we show that either blow-up occurs or global solutions are unbounded for large values of initial data.
(iii) If $a>0, b<0$, and $\Omega$ is bounded, we show that the solutions decay exponentially whenever the initial data is small.
Here is our first result.
Theorem 3.2. Let $\Omega$ be an open (bounded or unbounded) domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary, and let $a>0, b>0$, and $p, q>1$. If $u$ is the nonnegative solution of the problem (3.17) with $u_{0}=\lambda \psi(\psi \geq 0, \psi \not \equiv 0)$ then there exists $a$ $\Lambda_{0}=\Lambda_{0}(p, q, a, b, \Omega, \psi)$ such that, for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda_{0}$, blow-up occurs.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, here we assume that $u_{0} \in C_{c}^{3}(\Omega)$. Let $\Omega_{0} \subseteq \Omega$ be a bounded domain such that $\psi \not \equiv 0$ on $\Omega_{0}$, and let $\varphi_{1}>0$ be the first eigenfunction of the $-\triangle$ operator in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$. Multiplying (3.17) by $\phi=\varphi_{1}^{\sigma}$ ( $\sigma>p^{\prime}, 1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$ ) and then integrating over $\Omega_{0}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}} u_{t} \phi d x+\int_{\Omega_{0}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi d x=b \int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x+a \int_{\Omega_{0}} u^{q} \phi d x . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the second term on the left-hand side, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}} & \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla u| \phi^{1 / p} \phi^{-1 / p}|\nabla \phi| d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla \phi|^{p^{\prime}} \phi^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \quad \text { (Hölder's inequality) } \\
& \leq b\left(\int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla u|^{p} \phi d x\right)+C_{1} \int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla \phi|^{p^{\prime}} \phi^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x . \quad \text { (Young's inequality). } \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let $Z(t)=\int_{\Omega_{0}} u(t, x) \phi d x$. From (3.22), (3.23), and Jenson's inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}(t)+C_{1} \int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla \phi|^{p^{\prime}} \phi^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x \geq a Z(t)^{q} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma>p^{\prime}$, we must have $\int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla \phi|^{p^{\prime}} \phi^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x<\infty$. Let

$$
M=C_{1} \int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla \phi|^{p^{\prime}} \phi^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x
$$

then (3.24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}(t)+M \geq a Z(t)^{q} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, it follows that $T^{*}<\infty$ for all large values of initial data in $W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega), r \geq N \max (p, q)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

In the following theorem we obtain a more interesting result by taking $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}$, $a>0$, and $b>0$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $a, b>0$. Let $u \geq 0$ be a solution of the problem (3.17) with $u_{0} \geq 0\left(u_{0} \not \equiv 0\right)$. If $1<q<1+2 / N$ and $1<p<1+1 /(N+1)$, then $u$ is nonglobal.

Proof. Suppose that $T^{*}=\infty$ and $\zeta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a function satisfying
$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\zeta_{t}\right|^{q} \zeta^{-q^{\prime} / q} d x d t<\infty, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \zeta|^{p^{\prime}} \zeta^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x d t<\infty$,
where $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1 / q+1 / q^{\prime}=1$. Multiplying (3.17) by $\zeta(t, x)$ and integrating over $Q=\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ gives
$\int_{Q} u_{t} \zeta d x d t+\int_{Q} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta d x d t=b \int_{Q}|\nabla u|^{p} \zeta d x d t+a \int_{Q} u^{q} \zeta d x d t$.
Integrating by parts yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{Q} u \zeta_{t} d x d t+\int_{Q} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta d x d t \\
& \quad=b \int_{Q}|\nabla u|^{p} \zeta d x d t+a \int_{Q} u^{q} \zeta d x d t+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{0}(x) \zeta(x, 0) d x \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

For the first and second terms on the left-hand side of (3.28), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{Q} u \zeta_{t} d x d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{Q} u\left|\zeta_{t}\right| \zeta^{1 / q} \zeta^{-1 / q} d x d t \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{Q} u^{q} \zeta d x d t\right)^{1 / q}\left(\int_{Q}\left|\zeta_{t}\right|^{q^{\prime}} \zeta^{-q^{\prime} / q} d x d t\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{a}{2} \int_{Q} u^{q} \zeta d x d t+C_{1} \int_{Q}\left|\zeta_{t}\right|^{q^{\prime}} \zeta^{-q^{\prime} / q} d x d t \quad \text { (Young's inequality) } \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q} & \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{Q}|\nabla u| \zeta^{1 / p}|\nabla \zeta| \zeta^{-1 / p} d x d t \\
& \leq\left(\int_{Q}|\nabla u|^{p} \zeta d x d t\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{Q}|\nabla \zeta|^{p^{\prime}} \zeta^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x d t\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \frac{b}{2} \int_{Q}|\nabla u|^{p} \zeta d x d t+C_{2} \int_{Q}|\nabla \zeta|^{p^{\prime}} \zeta^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x d t \quad \text { (Young's inequality), } \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are positive constants. Therefore, by (3.28)-(3.30) and using the fact that $u_{0} \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{b}{2} \int_{Q}|\nabla u|^{p} \zeta d x d t & +\frac{a}{2} \int_{Q} u^{q} \zeta d x d t \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{Q}\left|\zeta_{t}\right|^{q^{\prime}} \zeta^{-q^{\prime} / q} d x d t+C_{2} \int_{Q}|\nabla \zeta|^{p^{\prime}} \zeta^{-p^{\prime} p} d x d t \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let $\phi \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$be a decreasing function with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1, r\left|\phi^{\prime}(r)\right| \leq c$ ( $c$ is a positive constant) for every $r>0$, and

$$
\phi(r)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } r \leq 1  \tag{3.32}\\ 0 & \text { for } r \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
\zeta(t, x)=\phi^{\sigma}\left(\frac{t+|x|^{2}}{R^{2}}\right), \quad R>0, \sigma \gg 1
$$

Using the change of variables $\tau=t / R^{2}$ and $y=x / R$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q}\left|\zeta_{t}\right|^{q^{\prime}} \zeta^{-q^{\prime} / q} d x d t \leq c R^{\gamma_{1}}, \quad \int_{Q}|\nabla \zeta|^{p^{\prime}} \zeta^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x d t \leq c R^{\gamma_{2}} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}=-2 q^{\prime}+2+N$ and $\gamma_{2}=-p^{\prime}+2+N$. On the other hand, we know that $1<q<1+2 / N$ and $1<p<1+1 /(N+1)$, so $\gamma_{1}<0$ and $\gamma_{2}<0$. Now, if $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.31), then from (3.32) and (3.33) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b}{2} \int_{Q}|\nabla u|^{p} d x d t+\frac{a}{2} \int_{Q} u^{q} d x d t=0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $u \equiv 0$, which is a contradiction.
For the case $b>0$ and $a<0$ the following theorem shows that the global solutions are unbounded whenever $\Omega$ is a bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\Omega=(-1,1)$ be an interval in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$. Let $q=p, b=1$, and $a<$ 0 with $|a|$ small. If $u \geq 0$ is the solution of the problem (3.17) with $u_{0}=\lambda \psi(\psi \geq$ $0, \psi \not \equiv 0)$ and $p>2$, then there exists a $\Lambda_{0}=\Lambda_{0}(p, a, \Omega, \psi)>0$ such that, for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda_{0}$, either blow-up occurs or $T^{*}=\infty$ and $u$ is unbounded.

Proof. Suppose that $T^{*}=\infty$ and $u \geq 0$ is global and uniformly bounded. Let $\varphi(x)=\sqrt{1-|x|}$. Multiplying (3.17) by $\varphi e^{-t}$ and integrating over $Q_{1}=\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \Omega$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi d t d x+a & \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x \\
& =\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u_{t} \varphi d t d x-\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} \triangle(u) \varphi d t d x \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (3.35), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}(\Delta u) \varphi d t d x=\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}(\nabla u) \cdot(\nabla \varphi) d t d x \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts, for the first term on the right-hand side of (3.35) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u_{t} \varphi d t d x=\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u \varphi d t d x-\int_{\Omega} u_{0}(x) \varphi(x) d x \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (3.35)-(3.37) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi d t d x+a \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x \\
& \quad=\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u \varphi d t d x-\int_{\Omega} u_{0}(x) \varphi(x) d x+\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}(\nabla u) \cdot(\nabla \varphi) d t d x \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}(\nabla u) \cdot(\nabla \varphi) d t d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{Q_{1}}|\nabla u||\nabla \varphi| \varphi^{1 / p} \varphi^{-1 / p} e^{-t / p} e^{-t / p^{\prime}} d t d x \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi d t d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} \varphi^{-p^{\prime} / p}|\nabla \varphi|^{p^{\prime}} d t d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Hölder's inequality)

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi d t d x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+C \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} \varphi^{-p^{\prime} / p}|\nabla \varphi|^{p^{\prime}} d t d x \quad \text { (Young's inequality) } \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u \varphi d t d x \\
&=\int_{Q_{1}} u \varphi^{1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}} e^{-t / p^{\prime}} e^{-t / p} d t d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{Q_{1}} \varphi e^{-t} d t d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \frac{|a|}{2} \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x+C(a) \quad \text { (Young's inequality), } \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C(a)$ is a positive constant. Hence, from (3.38)-(3.40) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi d t d x+\frac{3 a}{2} & \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x+\int_{\Omega} u_{0}(x) \varphi(x) d x \\
& \leq C \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} \varphi^{-p^{\prime} / p}|\nabla \varphi|^{p^{\prime}} d t d x+C(a) \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, if

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_{0}(x) \varphi(x) d x \geq C \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} \varphi^{-p^{\prime} / p}|\nabla \varphi|^{p^{\prime}} d t d x+C(a)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi d t d x \leq 3|a| \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, integrating by parts yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} u^{p}(x, t) \sqrt{1-x} d x \\
& \quad=-\frac{2 p}{3} \int_{0}^{1} u^{p-1}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, t)(1-x)^{3 / 2} d x-\frac{2}{3} u^{p}(0, t) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2 p}{3} \int_{0}^{1} u^{p-1}(\sqrt{1-x})^{(p-1) / p}|\nabla u|(\sqrt{1-x})^{1 / p} d x-\frac{2}{3} u^{p}(0, t) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2 p}{3}\left(\int_{0}^{1} u^{p} \sqrt{1-x} d x\right)^{(p-1) / p}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|\nabla u|^{p} \sqrt{1-x} d x\right)^{1 / p}-\frac{2}{3} u^{p}(0, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the same argument, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^{0} u^{p}(x, t) \sqrt{1+x} d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2 p}{3}\left(\int_{-1}^{0} u^{p} \sqrt{1+x} d x\right)^{(p-1) / p}\left(\int_{-1}^{0}|\nabla u|^{p} \sqrt{1+x} d x\right)^{1 / p}+\frac{2}{3} u^{p}(0, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the foregoing inequalities we now obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{p} \varphi d x \leq\left(\frac{4 p}{3}\right)^{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi d x . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.43) by $e^{-t}$ and integrating over $[0, \infty)$ with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x \leq\left(\frac{4 p}{3}\right)^{p} \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi d t d x \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $|a|$ be small enough that $0<3|a|\left(\frac{4 p}{3}\right)^{p}<\frac{1}{2}$. Then from (3.42) and (3.44) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $u$ is uniformly bounded and so $0 \leq \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x<\infty$. Therefore, $\int_{Q_{1}} e^{-t} u^{p} \varphi d t d x=0$, which implies that $u \equiv 0$ on $\Omega$ which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.5. The conclusion of Theorem 3.4 remains valid if $q>p$ and the remaining conditions hold.

Now we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta u=-\mu|\nabla u|^{q}+u^{p}, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0 \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu>0$ is a constant. In the following theorem we show that the solutions of (3.46), (3.2)-(3.3), for small values of initial data, cannot blow up in finite time whenever $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

ThEOREM 3.6. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain. If $u_{0} \geq 0$ and if $u$ is the solution of (3.46), (1.2)-(1.3), then there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ such that, if $\left|u_{0}\right|_{C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})}<\varepsilon$, then $u$ is a global solution and decays exponentially.

Proof. Let $\bar{\Omega}$ be in $B_{R}=\{x:|x|<R\}$ for some $R>0$. We denote by $\lambda_{1}>0$ the lowest eigenvalue of $-\triangle$ in $H_{0}^{1}\left(B_{R}\right)$ and by $\varphi_{1}$ the associated eigenfunction such that

$$
0<\varphi_{1} \leq 1 \text { on } B_{R} .
$$

Now observe that, for the function $W(x, t)=\varepsilon e^{-\lambda_{1}(t / 2)} \varphi_{1}(x)$ with $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{t}-\Delta W-W^{p}+\mu|\nabla W|^{q}= & \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(x) e^{-\lambda_{1}(t / 2)}-\varepsilon^{p} e^{-\lambda_{1}(p t / 2)} \varphi_{1}(x)^{p} \\
& +\mu|\nabla W|^{q} \geq 0, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover for $(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty)$ we have $W>0$.
From [30, Lemma $B_{1}$ ] it follows that, for $u_{0}$ with

$$
0 \leq u_{0}(x) \leq \varepsilon \min _{\bar{\Omega}} \varphi_{1}(x),
$$

we must have

$$
0 \leq u(x, t) \leq W(x, t) \leq C e^{-\lambda_{1}(t / 2)}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant. By Proposition 2.1 in [30], we must have $u$ as a global solution. This completes the proof.
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