# ON ORDER-PRESERVING EXTENSIONS TO REGRESSIVE ISOLS

# Fred J. Sansone

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The extension of functions to isols was treated by Nerode in [4]. If we restrict our attention to regressive isols, the notion of an infinite series of isols, defined in [2], becomes a useful tool. In [1] and [5], such series were employed to study extensions. In particular, Barback proved that for a recursive function f,  $f_{\Lambda}\colon \Lambda_R \to \Lambda_R$  if and only if f is eventually increasing. Our main concern here is the determination of the functions that are recursive and eventually increasing and have the additional property that their extensions ultimately preserve the partial ordering  $\leq$  in  $\Lambda_R$ . We call the extension  $f_{\Lambda}$  of a recursive, eventually increasing function f ultimately order-preserving in  $\Lambda_R$  if there exists a natural number k such that  $f_{\Lambda}$  preserves the order  $\leq$  in the class of regressive isols that are greater than or equal to k. Our principal result states that among the recursive, eventually increasing functions, those whose extensions are ultimately order-preserving in  $\Lambda_R$  are exactly the functions whose first difference is eventually increasing. Our notation and terminology is that of [5].

### 2. A THEOREM ON INFINITE SERIES

By a number-theoretic function, we mean any function defined on the nonnegative integers and having integral values. A number-theoretic function is said to be recursive if its positive and negative parts are both recursive. We recall the definitions of two additional concepts, defined in [5]: the mapping  $\phi_f$  and the star-sum. If T is an infinite regressive isol and f is a one-to-one function, then  $\phi_f(T) = \operatorname{Req} \rho t_{f(n)}$ , where  $t_n$  is any regressive function ranging over any set in T. The star-sum is defined as follows. If f is a recursive, number-theoretic function and T is an infinite, regressive isol, then

$$\sum_{T}^{*} f_{n} = \sum_{T} f_{n}^{+} - \sum_{T} f_{n}^{-},$$

where  $f_n^+$ ,  $f_n^-$  are respectively the positive and negative parts of f.

THEOREM 1. Let  $\boldsymbol{a}_n$  be a recursive function. Then for all regressive isols  $\boldsymbol{T}$  and  $\boldsymbol{U}$ 

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{U} \leq \mathbf{T} \implies \sum\limits_{\mathbf{U}} \ \mathbf{a_n} \leq \sum\limits_{\mathbf{T}} \ \mathbf{a_n} \end{array}\right] \Longleftrightarrow \mathbf{a_n} \ \textit{is eventually increasing} \,.$$

*Proof.* Proceeding from right to left, we first assume that  $a_n$  is recursive and eventually increasing. If U is finite, the left-hand side clearly holds. Suppose U is infinite. We first dispense with the case where  $a_n$  is increasing. If  $U \le T$ , then  $U = \phi_f(T)$  for some strictly increasing but not necessarily recursive function f. Thus for this f,  $\phi_f(T) \le T$ , and it follows that

Received October 19, 1965.

(1) 
$$\sum_{\phi_{f}(T)} a_{f(n)} \leq \sum_{T} a_{n}.$$

Since  $a_n$  and f are both increasing,  $a_n \le a_{f(n)}$  for all n. Thus

(2) 
$$\sum_{\phi_{f}(T)} a_{n} \leq \sum_{\phi_{f}(T)} a_{f(n)}.$$

The argument below suffices to show that (2) holds. If m is a number of the form  $j(t_{f(k)}, p)$ , where j is the well-known recursive pairing function from  $\epsilon^2$  onto  $\epsilon$ , and where  $p < a_{f(k)}$ , then we can find  $t_{f(k)}$  and hence f(k), since  $t_{f(k)}$  is regressive. Having obtained f(k), one can also obtain f(0) through f(k-1), since T is regressive and  $\phi_f(T) \le T$ . Thus the number k can be found, and hence also  $a_k$ . One need only compare p with  $a_k$  to determine whether  $m \in j(t_{f(k)}, \nu(a_k))$ . Combining (1) and (2), we have the inequality

$$\sum_{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{a_n} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{a_n}.$$

If  $a_n$  is eventually increasing, but not increasing, there exists a positive number k such that  $a_{n+k}$  is increasing. The relation  $U \leq T$  implies that  $U - k \leq T - k$ , and by the inequality above,  $\sum\limits_{U-k} a_{n+k} \leq \sum\limits_{T-k} a_{n+k}$ . Therefore,  $\sum\limits_{U} a_n \leq \sum\limits_{T} a_n$ .

In order to prove the converse, assume that  $a_n$  is recursive and not eventually increasing. We show that for some regressive isol T,

$$\sum_{T-1} a_n \leq \sum_{T} a_n.$$

From the definition of an infinite series of isols, one readily obtains the equivalence

(4) 
$$\sum_{T-1} a_n \leq \sum_{T} a_n \iff \sum_{T-1} a_n \leq a_0 + \sum_{T-1} a_{n+1}.$$

Since  $a_n$  is recursive, it is clear that  $\triangle a = a_{n+1} - a_n$  is a recursive, number-theoretic function. By [5, Theorem 3, Corollary 4],

$$\sum_{T-1} a_{n+1} - \sum_{T-1} a_n = \sum_{T-1}^* \Delta a_n.$$

Hence the left-hand side of (4) holds if and only if

$$a_0 + \sum_{T-1}^* \Delta a_n \in \Lambda_R$$

or by [5, Theorem 2], if and only if  $a_{\Lambda}(T-1) \in \Lambda_R$ . Since  $a_n$  is not eventually increasing, it follows from [1, Theorem 4] that some regressive isol T satisfies (3).

### 3. THE MAIN RESULT

THEOREM 2. Let f be increasing and recursive. Then  $f_{\Lambda}$  is order-preserving in  $\Lambda_{\rm R}$  if and only if  $\triangle f$  is eventually increasing.

*Proof.* Since f is increasing and recursive,  $\triangle f$  is a recursive function. Replacing a by  $\triangle f$  in Theorem 1 and applying [5, Theorem 3, Corollary 3], we obtain the desired result.

COROLLARY. Let f be recursive and eventually increasing. Then  $f_{\Lambda}$  is ultimately order-preserving in  $\Lambda_R$  if and only if  $\triangle f$  is eventually increasing.

*Proof.* If f is recursive and eventually increasing, there exists a natural number k such that  $f_{n+k}$  is recursive and increasing. With the notation  $g_n$  =  $f_{n+k}$ , it follows that  $g_\Lambda$  is order-preserving in  $\Lambda_R$  if and only if  $\Delta g$  is eventually increasing. Let T, U  $\in \Lambda_R$  with  $k \leq U \leq T$ . Then U -  $k \leq T$  - k, where both are members of  $\Lambda_R$ . Hence

$$g_{\Lambda}(U-k) < g_{\Lambda}(T-k) \iff \triangle g$$
 is eventually increasing.

However,  $\triangle g$  is eventually increasing if and only if  $\triangle f$  is eventually increasing. Finally,  $g_{\Lambda}(U - k) = f_{\Lambda}(U)$  and  $g_{\Lambda}(T - k) = f_{\Lambda}(T)$ .

#### 4. REMARKS

In [3], Dekker considers a relation  $\leq *$  in  $\Lambda$ , which he proves to be a partial ordering. We readily see that the extension of every recursive, eventually increasing function is  $\leq *$  order-preserving in  $\Lambda_R$ . (It follows from [3, Proposition 12] and [5, Theorem 3, Corollary 3].) As a consequence, we obtain the following simple proof of the existence of regressive isols X and Y such that  $X \leq *$  Y and  $X \leq Y$ . Let f be a function that is recursive and eventually increasing, but for which  $\Delta f$  is not eventually increasing. Then there exist regressive isols T and U such that  $U \leq T$  and yet  $f_{\Lambda}(U) \leq f_{\Lambda}(T)$ . However,  $U \leq T \Rightarrow U \leq *$  T, and hence  $f_{\Lambda}(U) \leq *$   $f_{\Lambda}(T)$ .

# REFERENCES

- 1. J. Barback, Recursive functions and regressive isols, Math. Scand. 15 (1964), 29-42.
- 2. J. C. E. Dekker, *Infinite series of isols*, Recursive Function Theory, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 5, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1962; 77-96.
- 3. —, The minimum of two regressive isols, Math. Z. 83 (1964), 345-366.
- 4. A. Nerode, Extensions to isols, Ann. of Math. (2) 73 (1961), 362-403.
- 5. F. J. Sansone, A mapping of regressive isols, Illinois J. Math. 9 (1965), 726-735.

Arizona State University Tempe, Arizona

