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## 1. Problem and Solution

Let $\Delta$ and $d m$ be the open unit disk and the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, respectively. For $\alpha \in(-1, \infty)$, put $d m_{\alpha}(z)=$ $\pi^{-1}(\alpha+1)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d m(z)$. For $p \in[1, \infty)$, let $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ denote the weighted Bergman space of all analytic functions $f$ on $\triangle$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}}^{p}=\int_{\Delta}|f|^{p} d m_{\alpha}<\infty .
$$

This definition breaks down at $p=\infty$. The space $A_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ is substituted by the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$, which consists of those analytic functions $f$ on $\triangle$ obeying

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=|f(0)|+\sup _{z \in \Delta}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty .
$$

Every function $f \in A_{\alpha}^{1}$ has the reproducing formula [10, p. 53]

$$
f(z)=\int_{\Delta} \frac{f(w)}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{\alpha+2}} d m_{\alpha}(w), \quad z \in \Delta .
$$

Note that $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ decreases with $p$ and has the duality properties (cf. [3, Thm. 2.4, Thm. 2.5]) $\left[A_{\alpha}^{p}\right]^{*} \cong A_{\alpha}^{q}$ for $p>1$ and $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$; whereas $\left[A_{\alpha}^{1}\right]^{*} \cong \mathcal{B}$ under the pairing

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{\alpha}=\int_{\Delta} f \bar{g} d m_{\alpha}
$$

A word of caution is necessary: the last integral is understood in the sense of conditional convergence,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{|z| \leq r} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d m_{\alpha}(z)
$$

rather than absolute convergence (which, in fact, is false in some cases).
After giving a lecture (about Möbius invariant function spaces) on March 23, 1998, in the Department of Mathematics of Lund University, Sweden, I was encouraged by J. Peetre to attack the following problem.

[^0]Peetre's Problem. Let $\mu$ be a complex Borel measure on $\triangle$. What geometric property must $\mu$ have in order that

$$
\left|\int_{\Delta} f^{2} d \mu\right| \leq C\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{2}}^{2}, \quad f \in A_{\alpha}^{2} ?
$$

Here and throughout the paper, the letter $C$ stands for a (variable) positive constant.
Before providing a solution to this problem, we would like to make two remarks. First, a complex Borel measure $\mu$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\triangle}|f|^{2} d|\mu| \leq C\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{2}}^{2}, \quad f \in A_{\alpha}^{2}
$$

is called a Carleson measure for $A_{\alpha}^{2}$. This measure is important for example in the theory of interpolation, and it has the following equivalent property (cf. [2, Lemma 2.1] or [8, Thm. 1.2]): A complex Borel measure $\mu$ given on $\Delta$ is a Carleson measure for $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ if and only if either

$$
\sup _{w \in \Delta} \int_{\Delta}\left[\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{2}}\right]^{\alpha+2} d|\mu|(z)<\infty
$$

or

$$
\sup _{I \subset \partial \Delta}|I|^{-(\alpha+2)}|\mu|(S(I))<\infty .
$$

Here $I \subset \partial \Delta$ stands for a subarc of $\partial \Delta$ with the arclength $|I|$, and $S(I)$ is the Carleson box

$$
S(I)=\{z \in \Delta: 1-|I| /(2 \pi) \leq|z|<1, z /|z| \in I\}
$$

Regarding the geometric description of Carleson measures for the Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{2}$, we refer also to the papers by Hastings [4] and Luecking [5].

A complex Borel measure $\mu$ with Peetre's property will be called a pseudoCarleson measure for $A_{\alpha}^{2}$. It is clear that a Carleson measure for $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ must be a pseudo-Carleson measure for $A_{\alpha}^{2}$, but not conversely. The second remark is that each pseudo-Carleson measure for $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ is Möbius invariant in the following sense. Consider any Möbius mapping $\phi$ of $\Delta$ onto itself. This mapping $\phi$ induces that $f \mapsto g=\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{1+\alpha / 2} f \circ \phi$ is an isometry of $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ onto itself. If one defines $d v=$ $\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{-(2+\alpha)} d \mu \circ \phi$, then

$$
\int_{\Delta} g^{2} d v=\int_{\triangle} f^{2} d \mu
$$

The forthcoming theorem is our main result, solving Peetre's problem.
Theorem. Let $\mu$ be a complex Borel measure on $\triangle$ and let $\alpha \in(-1, \infty)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\mu$ is a pseudo-Carleson measure for $A_{\alpha}^{2}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\triangle} f d \mu\right| \leq C\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{1}}, \quad f \in A_{\alpha}^{1} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{w \in \Delta}\left|\int_{\Delta}\left[\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{2}}\right]^{\alpha+2} d \mu(z)\right|<\infty \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{I \subset \partial \Delta}|I|^{-(\alpha+2)} \int_{S(I)}\left|\int_{\Delta} \frac{\bar{w} d \bar{\mu}(w)}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{\alpha+3}}\right|^{2} d m_{\alpha+2}(z)<\infty ; \tag{iv}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) $P \bar{\mu}(z)=\int_{\Delta}(1-z \bar{w})^{-(\alpha+2)} d \bar{\mu}(w)$ defines a function in $\mathcal{B}$;
(vi) $K_{\mu}: f \mapsto \int_{\Delta}(1-w z)^{-(\alpha+2)} f(w) d \mu(w)$ exists as a bounded operator on $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ for each $p>1$.

The proof of the theorem will consist of straightforward applications of atomic decompositions and dual estimates of weighted Bergman spaces.

## 2. Proof and Remarks

In this section we prove the theorem and give some comments about it. Toward this end, we need an atomic decomposition theorem for $A_{\alpha}^{1}$.

Theorem A. Let $\alpha \in(-1, \infty)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{j}\right\} \subset \triangle$ with the following property: $f \in A_{\alpha}^{1}$ if and only if there is a sequence $\left\{c_{j}\right\} \in l^{1}$ such that $f$ can be written as

$$
f(z)=\sum_{j} c_{j}\left[\frac{1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\bar{z}_{j} z\right)^{2}}\right]^{\alpha+2}
$$

with $\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{1}} \simeq \sum_{j}\left|c_{j}\right|$.
For an account of Theorem A, see [7, Thm. 2.2]. Here we have used the notation $a \simeq b$ to denote comparability of the quantities $a$ and $b$, that is, there is a positive constant $C$ satisfying $C^{-1} b \leq a \leq C b$.

Proof of Theorem A. We divide the argument into four steps.
Step 1: (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). If (ii) holds then (i) follows easily. Let (i) be true. Because

$$
\sup _{w \in \Delta} \int_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+2} d m_{\alpha}(z)<\infty
$$

(cf. [10, Lemma 4.2.2]), if

$$
f_{w}(z)=\left[\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{2}}\right]^{(\alpha+2) / 2}
$$

then $f_{w}$ belongs to $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ uniformly for $w \in \Delta$, that is, $\sup _{w \in \Delta}\left\|f_{w}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{2}}<\infty$ and hence from (i) we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{\Delta} f_{w}^{2} d \mu\right| \leq C\left\|f_{w}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{2}}^{2} \leq C \sup _{w \in \Delta}\left\|f_{w}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{2}}^{2}
$$

giving (iii). Suppose that (iii) is valid. In order to verify (ii), we apply the existence of the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \triangle$ in Theorem A to imply that to each $f \in A_{\alpha}^{1}$ there corresponds a $\left\{c_{j}\right\} \in l^{1}$ satisfying

$$
f(z)=\sum_{j} c_{j}\left[\frac{1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\bar{z}_{j} z\right)^{2}}\right]^{\alpha+2}
$$

and $\left\|\left\{c_{j}\right\}\right\|_{l^{1}} \leq C\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{1}}$, so that

$$
\left|\int_{\Delta} f d \mu\right| \leq C\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{1}} \sup _{j}\left|\int_{\Delta}\left[\frac{1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\bar{z}_{j} z\right)^{2}}\right]^{\alpha+2} d \mu(z)\right|
$$

implying (ii).
Step 2: (iv) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (v). This follows immediately from [2, Thm. 2.2]—an analytic function $f$ on $\triangle$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2} d m_{\alpha+2}$ is an $(\alpha+2)$-Carleson measure:

$$
\sup _{I \subset \partial \Delta}|I|^{-(\alpha+2)} \int_{S(I)}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2} d m_{\alpha+2}<\infty .
$$

Step 3: (ii) $\Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{v})$. The reproducing formula of $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Delta} f d \mu & =\int_{\Delta}\left[\int_{\Delta} \frac{f(z)}{(1-w \bar{z})^{\alpha+2}} d m_{\alpha}(z)\right] d \mu(w) \\
& =\int_{\Delta} f(z)\left[\int_{\Delta} \frac{d \mu(w)}{(1-w \bar{z})^{\alpha+2}}\right] d m_{\alpha}(z) \\
& =\int_{\Delta} f(z) \overline{P \bar{\mu}(z)} d m_{\alpha}(z) \\
& =\langle f, P \bar{\mu}\rangle_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly, the equivalence (ii) $\Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{v})$ derives from the duality $\left[A_{\alpha}^{1}\right]^{*} \cong \mathcal{B}$.
Step 4: (v) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (vi). Following [6], we call $K_{\mu}$ a Hankel operator associated with the symbol $\mu$ and then demonstrate the following useful identity:

$$
\left\langle K_{\mu} f, g\right\rangle_{\alpha}=\int_{\Delta} f(w) \overline{g(\bar{w})} d \mu(w), \quad f \in A_{\alpha}^{p}, \quad g \in A_{\alpha}^{q},
$$

where $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ and $p>1$. In fact, when $f \in A_{\alpha}^{p}$ and $g \in A_{\alpha}^{q}$, one can use the reproducing formula of $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle K_{\mu} f, g\right\rangle_{\alpha} & =\int_{\Delta} K_{\mu} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d m_{\alpha}(z) \\
& =\int_{\Delta}\left[\int_{\Delta} \frac{f(w)}{(1-z w)^{\alpha+2}} d \mu(w)\right] \overline{g(z)} d m_{\alpha}(z) \\
& =\int_{\Delta} f(w) \overline{\left[\int_{\Delta} \frac{g(z)}{(1-\overline{z w})^{\alpha+2}} d m_{\alpha}(z)\right]} d \mu(w) \\
& =\int_{\Delta} f(w) \overline{g(\bar{w})} d \mu(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting

$$
h(w)=\int_{\Delta} \frac{1}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{\alpha+2}} d \mu(z)
$$

and noting that $\overline{g(\bar{z})}$ is analytic on $\Delta$ (indeed, $\overline{g(\bar{z})}$ lies in $A_{\alpha}^{q}$ whenever $g \in A_{\alpha}^{q}$ ), from the reproducing formula one thus derives that

$$
\left\langle K_{\mu} f, g\right\rangle_{\alpha}=\int_{\Delta} f(w) \overline{g(\bar{w})} h(w) d m_{\alpha}(w)
$$

The last identity shows that $K_{\mu}: A_{\alpha}^{p} \rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{p}$ exists as a bounded operator if and only if $\bar{h}$ is a member of $\mathcal{B}$. More precisely, if $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{B}$ then the dual relation $\left[A_{\alpha}^{1}\right]^{*} \cong$ $\mathcal{B}$, together with Hölder's inequality, indicates that for any $f \in A_{\alpha}^{p}$ and $g \in A_{\alpha}^{q}$ we have

$$
\left|\left\langle K_{\mu} f, g\right\rangle_{\alpha}\right| \leq C\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}}\|g\|_{A_{\alpha}^{q}}\|\bar{h}\|_{\mathcal{B}}
$$

Since $\left[A_{\alpha}^{p}\right]^{*} \cong A_{\alpha}^{q}$ relative to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\alpha}$, it follows that $K_{\mu}$ is bounded on $A_{\alpha}^{p}$. On the other hand, assume that $K_{\mu}: A_{\alpha}^{p} \rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{p}$ is bounded. Now, for a sequence $\left\{z_{j}\right\} \subset$ $\triangle$ involved in Theorem A, let

$$
f_{j}(z)=\left[\frac{1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\bar{z}_{j} z\right)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}, \quad g_{j}(z)=\left[\frac{1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-z_{j} z\right)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{\alpha+2}{q}}
$$

When $F \in A_{\alpha}^{1}$, one can find a sequence $\left\{c_{j}\right\} \in l^{1}$ such that $F(z)=\sum_{j} c_{j} f_{j}(z) \overline{g_{j}(\bar{z})}$ with $\left\|\left\{c_{j}\right\}\right\|_{l^{1}} \leq C\|F\|_{A_{\alpha}^{1}}$. Moreover,

$$
\left|\langle F, \bar{h}\rangle_{\alpha}\right| \leq\left\|\left\{c_{j}\right\}\right\|_{l^{1}} \sup _{j}\left\|K_{\mu} f_{j}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}}\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{q}} \leq C\|F\|_{A_{\alpha}^{1}}
$$

Hence $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{B}$ follows from $\left[A_{\alpha}^{1}\right]^{*} \cong \mathcal{B}$.
Remarks. It is not hard to figure out that $K_{\mu}=\mathcal{U}^{\star} \bar{P}_{h}$ is affected only by the conjugate analytic part of $h$. Here $\mathcal{U}^{\star}$ is the adjoint operator of $\mathcal{U}: g(z) \mapsto g(\bar{z})$ (which sends $A_{\alpha}^{q}$ to $\overline{A_{\alpha}^{q}}, q>1$ ) and

$$
\bar{P}_{h} f(z)=\int_{\Delta} \frac{f(w) h(w)}{(1-w \bar{z})^{\alpha+2}} d m_{\alpha}(w)
$$

is the classical (small) Hankel operator associated with the symbol $h$. Therefore (see [1, Thm. 9.1]), $K_{\mu}: A_{\alpha}^{p} \rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{p}$ exists as a bounded (resp., compact) operator if and only if $P \bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{B}$ (resp., $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ ), where $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ is the little Bloch space of all analytic functions $f$ on $\triangle$ with

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=0
$$

It is a simple exercise to show that $\left[\mathcal{B}_{0}\right]^{*} \cong A_{\alpha}^{1}$ under $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\alpha}$ and that $P \bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{B}_{0}$ if and only if

$$
\lim _{|w| \rightarrow 1}\left|\int_{\Delta}\left[\frac{1-|w|^{2}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{2}}\right]^{\alpha+2} d \mu(z)\right|=0
$$

Furthermore, as stated in [1, Thm. 9.2], $K_{\mu}: A_{\alpha}^{2} \rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{2}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ (the Schatten $p$-ideal, $p>1$ ) if and only if $P \bar{\mu}$ lies in $B_{p}$, the $p$-Besov space of all analytic functions $f$ on $\triangle$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p}}^{p}=\int_{\triangle}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2} d m(z)<\infty
$$

In addition, (ii) is equivalent to saying that, for all natural numbers $n \geq 2$,

$$
\left|\int_{\Delta} f^{n} d \mu\right| \leq C\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{n}}^{n}, \quad f \in A_{\alpha}^{n}
$$

In particular, if $\mu$ is a real-valued nondecreasing function on the unit interval $[-1,1]$ then our theorem extends [9, Thm. 3.1]. Finally, observe that the major result of this paper can be generalized easily to weighted Bergman spaces on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
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