

Semicocycles and Weighted Composition Semigroups on H^p

WOLFGANG KÖNIG

1. Introduction

We consider semigroups $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on the Hardy space H^p of the unit disc \mathbf{D} , which are of the form

$$(1.1) \quad T_t: H^p \rightarrow H^p, \quad T_t f(z) = h_t(z) f(\Phi_t(z)) \quad (t \geq 0, f \in H^p, z \in \mathbf{D})$$

with suitable analytic functions $\Phi_t: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ and $h_t: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We suppose that $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semiflow (sometimes called semigroup) of analytic functions; that is, the mapping $t \mapsto \Phi_t(z)$ is continuous for every $z \in \mathbf{D}$, $\Phi_0(z) \equiv z$ and $\Phi_{t+s}(z) = \Phi_t(\Phi_s(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbf{D}$ and $t, s \in [0, \infty)$. An application of Vitali's theorem shows the joint continuity of the mapping $(z, t) \mapsto \Phi_t(z)$. We often write Φ instead of $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Semiflows are studied very comprehensively by Berkson and Porta [1].

In this paper we discuss the manner in which properties of semigroups $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of the form (1.1) are related to the properties of the functions h_t .

DEFINITION 1. Let Φ be a semiflow. A family $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of analytic functions $h_t: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is called a *semicocycle for Φ* if

- (i) the mapping $t \mapsto h_t(z)$ is continuous for every $z \in \mathbf{D}$,
- (ii) $h_{t+s} = h_t \cdot (h_s \circ \Phi_t)$ for $t, s \geq 0$, and
- (iii) $h_0 \equiv 1$.

$(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be

- continuous*, if the mapping $(t, z) \mapsto h_t(z)$ is continuous,
- differentiable*, if for every $z \in \mathbf{D}$ the mapping $t \mapsto h_t(z)$ is differentiable,
- and
- bounded*, if every h_t is bounded ($t \geq 0$).

By using Vitali's theorem one can show that a bounded semicocycle is continuous. If Φ is a semiflow and $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a bounded semicocycle for Φ , then the family $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$, given by (1.1), is a semigroup of bounded linear operators on H^p .

Let $\omega: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an analytic function satisfying $\omega \neq 0$. If all zeros of ω are in the set $\{z \in \mathbf{D}: \Phi_t(z) = z \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of fixed points of Φ , then

Received October 11, 1989. Revision received March 8, 1990.
Michigan Math. J. 37 (1990).

$$(1.2) \quad h_t(z) = \frac{\omega(\Phi_t(z))}{\omega(z)} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } z \in \mathbf{D}$$

defines a semicyclole for Φ . It is differentiable with

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_t(z) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{\omega'(z)G(z)}{\omega(z)} \quad \text{when } z \in \mathbf{D},$$

where G is the so-called infinitesimal generator of Φ (see below). Semicycloles arising in this way are discussed by Siskakis [6].

Let $g: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an analytic function and define $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$(1.3) \quad h_t(z) = \exp\left(\int_0^t g(\Phi_s(z)) ds\right) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

Then $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a differentiable semicyclole for Φ also. Furthermore, we have

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_t(z) \right|_{t=0} = g(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

In Lemma 2.2 we prove that every semicyclole given by (1.2) has a representation of the form (1.3), and we state conditions for which the converse holds.

Our main result shows that for a strongly continuous semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of the form (1.1), the functions h_t are given by (1.3).

THEOREM 1. *Let p be in $[1, \infty)$, Φ be a semiflow, and h_t be analytic in \mathbf{D} for $t \geq 0$ such that $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$, defined by (1.1), is a strongly continuous semigroup on H^p . Then $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a differentiable semicyclole for Φ , the function $g := (\partial/\partial t)h_t|_{t=0}$ is analytic in \mathbf{D} , and (1.3) holds.*

The proof characterizes, for every $z \in \mathbf{D}$, the mapping $t \mapsto h_t(z)$ as the unique solution of the differential equation on \mathbf{R}_+ :

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} w(t) = w(t) \cdot g(\Phi_t(z)) \quad \text{with } w(0) = 1.$$

There are parallels to analogous properties of the semiflow Φ . Berkson and Porta [1] showed that for every semiflow Φ the limit

$$G(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Phi_t(z) - z}{t} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_t(z) \right|_{t=0}$$

exists uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbf{D} and, for every $z \in \mathbf{D}$, the mapping $t \mapsto \Phi_t(z)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} w(t) = G(w(t)) \quad \text{with } w(0) = z.$$

The analytic function G is called the *infinitesimal generator* of Φ . We list some properties of a semiflow Φ and its generator G (see [1], [5]): If G is not

identically zero, then G has the unique representation

$$G(z) = F(z)(z - b)(\bar{b}z - 1)$$

with $|b| \leq 1$ and analytic $F: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with nonnegative real part. So the set of the zeros of G is equal to $\{b\} \cap \mathbf{D}$. The point b is called the *Denjoy-Wolff point* of Φ . If $|b| < 1$, then it is a fixed point for every Φ_t . In this case there is a unique schlicht function $h: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $h(0) = 0$ and $h'(0) = 1$ such that $h(\gamma_b(\Phi_t(z))) = e^{G'(b) \cdot t} \cdot h(\gamma_b(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbf{D}$ and $t \geq 0$, where $\gamma_b(z) = (z - b)(1 - \bar{b}z)^{-1}$. This function h is called the *univalent* (or schlicht) *function* associated with Φ .

On the other hand, the following question arises: Which conditions for an analytic function g imply the strong continuity of the semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$, defined by (1.1) and (1.3)? We state the following theorem. (By \mathbf{N} we denote the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbf{N}_0 = \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$.)

THEOREM 2. *Let p be in $[1, \infty)$ and Φ be a semiflow with generator G and (if $G \neq 0$) Denjoy-Wolff point b . Furthermore, let $g: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be analytic.*

(a) *If*

$$(1.6) \quad M := \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \operatorname{Re} g(z) < \infty,$$

then the semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$, defined by (1.1) and (1.3), is strongly continuous on H^p .

(b) *If the semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$, defined by (1.1) and (1.3), is strongly continuous, then its generator $(A, D(A))$ is given by*

$$D(A) = \{f \in H^p : G \cdot f' + g \cdot f \in H^p\}$$

and

$$Af = G \cdot f' + g \cdot f \quad \text{for } f \in D(A).$$

If $G \neq 0$ and $|b| < 1$, then choose any $c \in \mathbf{D} \setminus \{b\}$ and define $\alpha = g(b)/G'(b)$, $\gamma_b(z) = (z - b)/(1 - \bar{b}z)$, and

$$\omega(z) = \exp\left(\int_c^z \frac{g(\zeta)}{G(\zeta)} d\zeta\right) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{D} \setminus \{b\}.$$

Then the point spectrum $\pi(A)$ of A satisfies

$$\pi(A) = \left\{ k \cdot G'(b) + g(b) : k \in \mathbf{N}_0 \text{ and } \frac{(h \circ \gamma_b)^{k+\alpha}}{\omega} \in H^p \right\}.$$

REMARK. $(h \circ \gamma_b)^{k+\alpha}/\omega$ is always analytic at b , but ω is not.

So we see that there are at least as many strongly continuous weighted composition semigroups for a given semiflow as there are analytic functions on \mathbf{D} whose real parts are bounded above. Theorem 2 is a generalization of Theorems 1, 2, and 3 of Siskakis [6].

2. Semicocycles and the Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout this section Φ denotes a semiflow with generator G and (if $G \neq 0$) Denjoy–Wolff point b . Let $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a semicyclo for Φ . We state some properties of $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

LEMMA 2.1.

- (a) $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is bounded if and only if $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|h_t\|_\infty < \infty$.
 (b) h_t has no zero ($t \geq 0$).

Proof. (a) Let $M_t := \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} |h_t(z)| \in [0, \infty]$ for $t \geq 0$.

“ \Rightarrow ”: Note that $M_t < +\infty$ for every $t \geq 0$. Condition (ii) of Definition 1 shows the subadditivity of $t \mapsto \log M_t$. From [2, VIII, 1.4 and 1.5] we know that there are $M, w \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $M_t \leq Me^{wt}$ for all $t \geq 0$. The conclusion follows.

“ \Leftarrow ”: There are $M, \delta > 0$ with $|h_t(z)| \leq M$ for $z \in \mathbf{D}$ and $t \in [0, \delta]$. For arbitrary $t > 0$ there exists $\tau \in [0, \delta]$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $t = n\tau$. Then the equation

$$h_t(z) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} h_\tau(\Phi_{k\tau}(z)) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{D}$$

shows that $h_t \in H^\infty$.

(b) Assume the existence of $z_0 \in \mathbf{D}$ and $t_0 \in [0, \infty)$ with $h_{t_0}(z_0) = 0$. Define $I = \{t \in [0, \infty) : h_t(z_0) = 0\}$ and $\tau = \inf I$. Part (i) of Definition 1 implies that $\tau \in I$. Part (iii) implies that $0 \notin I$. Since

$$h_{\tau+s}(z_0) = h_\tau(z_0)h_s(\Phi_\tau(z_0)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, \infty),$$

we have $I = [\tau, \infty)$. Choose $\epsilon \in (0, \tau)$. Then $h_{\tau+s-\epsilon}(z_0) = h_s(z_0)h_{\tau-\epsilon}(\Phi_s(z_0))$ implies $h_{\tau-\epsilon}(\Phi_s(z_0)) = 0$ for all $s \in [\epsilon, \tau)$.

If $\Phi_s(z_0) = z_0$ for all $s \in [\epsilon, \tau)$, then $\tau - \epsilon \in I$, which is a contradiction to $I = [\tau, \infty)$. Otherwise, the analytic function $h_{\tau-\epsilon}$ is zero on the nonconstant path $[\epsilon, \tau) \ni s \mapsto \Phi_s(z_0)$, hence $h_{\tau-\epsilon} \equiv 0$ and $\tau - \epsilon \in I$. This is again a contradiction to $I = [\tau, \infty)$. \square

The next lemma shows the way in which the representations (1.2) and (1.3) are connected.

LEMMA 2.2. (a) If ω is analytic in \mathbf{D} without zeros in $\mathbf{D} \setminus \{b\}$, then the analytic function $g: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, given by $g = G\omega'/\omega$, satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\omega(\Phi_t(z))}{\omega(z)} = \exp\left(\int_0^t g(\Phi_s(z)) ds\right) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

(b) Assume $G \neq 0$. If g is analytic in \mathbf{D} , then there exists an analytic $\omega: \mathbf{D} \setminus \{b\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that (2.1) holds (even for $z = b$ in the case $b \in \mathbf{D}$). If $|b| < 1$, then ω is analytic at b if and only if $\alpha := g(b)/G'(b) \in \mathbf{N}_0$. In this case α is the order of the zero b at ω .

Proof. (a) Note that for every $z \in \mathbf{D}$ and $t \geq 0$ the mapping

$$\gamma: [0, t] \rightarrow \mathbf{D} \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma(s) := \Phi_s(z)$$

is a continuously differentiable path in \mathbf{D} from z to $\Phi_t(z)$ which satisfies (1.5). We have (first $z \neq b$)

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\int_0^t g(\Phi_s(z)) ds\right) &= \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{\omega'(\Phi_s(z))}{\omega(\Phi_s(z))} \gamma'(s) ds\right) = \exp\left(\int_z^{\Phi_t(z)} \frac{\omega'(\zeta)}{\omega(\zeta)} d\zeta\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\log \omega(\zeta) \Big|_z^{\Phi_t(z)}\right) = \frac{\omega(\Phi_t(z))}{\omega(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Fix any $c \in \mathbf{D} \setminus \{b\}$ and put

$$\omega(z) = \exp\left(\int_c^z \frac{g(\zeta)}{G(\zeta)} d\zeta\right) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{D} \setminus \{b\}.$$

(We choose any integration path in $\mathbf{D} \setminus \{b\}$ between c and z ; the definition is independent of this choice.) Without loss of generality we assume $b \in \mathbf{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $c = 0$. A computation gives

$$\omega(z) = (z-b)^\alpha \psi(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{D} \setminus \{b\},$$

where

$$\psi(z) = (-b)^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{g(\zeta)(\zeta-b) - \alpha G(\zeta)}{G(\zeta)(\zeta-b)} d\zeta\right) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{D} \setminus \{b\}.$$

The integrand $\zeta \mapsto [g(\zeta)(\zeta-b) - \alpha G(\zeta)]/[G(\zeta)(\zeta-b)]$ is analytic in \mathbf{D} . Hence, ψ is analytic in \mathbf{D} without zeros. Therefore, ω is analytic at b if and only if $z \mapsto (z-b)^\alpha$ is, that is, if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0$. In this case α is obviously the order of the zero b of ω . If $\alpha \in \{-1, -2, -3, \dots\}$, then ω has a pole of order $-\alpha$ at b , in all other cases an essential singularity. To show the validity of (2.1), use (1.5) and γ (see above) to parametrize a path in \mathbf{D} from z to $\Phi_t(z)$. \square

REMARK. Let b be in \mathbf{D} and ω be analytic in $\mathbf{D} \setminus \{b\}$. If there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\omega(z)(z-b)^\alpha$ is analytic at b , then the representations (1.2) and (1.3) are equivalent.

We now show that the form (1.3) can always be achieved if $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$, defined by (1.1), is strongly continuous.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

(1) *Analyticity of g .* Let $(A, D(A))$ denote the infinitesimal generator of $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Fix $z \in \mathbf{D}$, $t > 0$ and choose a closed neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbf{D}$ of z . Note that $D(A)$ is dense in H^p with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. Hence, there exists a function $f \in D(A)$ such that

$$f(\Phi_s(\zeta)) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } s \in [0, t] \text{ and } \zeta \in U.$$

Now we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h_s(\zeta) - 1}{s} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{f(\Phi_s(\zeta))} \left[\frac{T_s f(\zeta) - f(\zeta)}{s} - \frac{f(\Phi_s(\zeta)) - f(\zeta)}{s} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{f(\zeta)} \left[Af(\zeta) - f'(\zeta)G(\zeta) \right] \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $\zeta \in U$. It follows that g is analytic in \mathbf{D} .

(2) $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a differentiable semicyclole. The semicyclole properties of $(h_t)_{t \geq 0} = (T_t \mathbf{1})_{t \geq 0}$ (where $\mathbf{1}: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \{1\}$ denotes the constant function) are evident. The proof of the following fact is elementary: If $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is continuous and has a continuous right-hand derivative, then f is differentiable. Since

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h_{t+s}(z) - h_t(z)}{s} = h_t(z) \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h_s(\Phi_t(z)) - 1}{s} = h_t(z)g(\Phi_t(z))$$

holds for every $t \geq 0$ and $z \in \mathbf{D}$, this fact implies the differentiability of $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

(3) (1.3) holds. Part (2) shows that, for every $z \in \mathbf{D}$, the mapping $t \mapsto h_t(z)$ is a solution of (1.4). According to the theory of ordinary differential equations, the unique solution is given by (1.3). \square

3. Proof of Theorem 2 and Discussion of Continuity of the Generator

The following lemma is a generalization of [6, Thm. 1]. That proof applies here with small changes.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let p be in $(0, \infty)$, Φ be a semiflow, and $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a semicyclole for Φ . If $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|h_t\|_\infty \leq 1$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|h_t \cdot (f \circ \Phi_t) - f\|_p = 0$ for every $f \in H^p$.*

In the situation of Theorem 2 we have, for $t \geq 0$,

$$\|h_t\|_\infty \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \exp \left(\int_0^t \operatorname{Re} g(\Phi_s(z)) ds \right) \leq e^{tM},$$

so Lemma 3.1 implies the strong continuity of $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$. The rest of the proof of Theorem 2 is a generalization and reformulation of [6, Thms. 2 and 3]. \square

An advantage of the form (1.3) of a semicyclole is that every multiplication semigroup on H^p can be seen as a special case of a weighted composition semigroup. We will now discuss the continuity of the generator of $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$. The following generalization of [6, Cor. 1] is also applicable to multiplication semigroups.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 be satisfied. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) A is continuous,
- (ii) $G \equiv 0$, and g is bounded in \mathbf{D} .

The proof follows from [6, Cor. 1], together with the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let p be in $[1, \infty)$, Φ be a Möbius transformation of \mathbf{D} , and h be analytic in \mathbf{D} so that $A: H^p \rightarrow H^p$, $Af := h \cdot (f \circ \Phi)$, is well defined. Then h is bounded in \mathbf{D} .*

Proof. A is a closed operator on H^p ; therefore A is continuous. By induction we obtain $h^n \in H^p$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Use Littlewood's subordination theorem [3, p. 29] to see that $h^n \circ \Phi^{-1} \in H^p$ if $h^n \in H^p$. So we have $h \in H^q$ for every $q \in [1, \infty)$. It follows that, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\|h\|_{np} = \left(\|A(h^{n-1} \circ \Phi^{-1})\|_p \right)^{1/n} \leq \dots \leq \left(\|A\|^n \left(\frac{1 + |\Phi^{-1}(0)|}{1 - |\Phi^{-1}(0)|} \right)^{n/p} \right)^{1/n} =: M.$$

For $\alpha > 0$, put $E_\alpha := \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] : |h(e^{i\theta})| > \alpha\}$. By λ we denote the 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\partial\mathbf{D}$. If $\lambda(E_\alpha) > 0$, then a straightforward computation yields $\alpha^q \lambda(E_\alpha)/(2\pi) \leq \|h\|_q^q$ for $q \geq 1$, and therefore

$$M \geq \|h\|_q \geq \alpha \left(\frac{\lambda(E_\alpha)}{2\pi} \right)^{1/q} \uparrow \alpha \quad \text{as } q \uparrow \infty.$$

It follows that $M \geq \alpha$. We have now deduced that $\text{ess sup}_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} |h(e^{i\theta})| \leq M$. We write $h = s \cdot F$ as in [4, p. 63] with an inner function s and

$$F(z) = \exp \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |h(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

Using the Poisson integral we obtain, for $z \in \mathbf{D}$,

$$|h(z)| \leq \exp \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log M d\theta \right) = M,$$

and the conclusion follows. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I am grateful to Professor Förster, who brought the problem to my attention.

References

1. E. Berkson and H. Porta, *Semigroups of analytic functions and composition operators*, Michigan Math. J. 25 (1978), 101–115.
2. N. Dunford and H. Schwarz, *Linear operators I*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1958.
3. P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

4. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
5. A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators and the Cesaro operator on H^p* , Thesis, Univ. of Illinois, 1985.
6. ———, *Weighted composition semigroups on Hardy spaces*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 84 (1986), 359–371.

Bruno-Walter-Str. 8b
1000 Berlin 46
Federal Republic of Germany