

The Volume/Diameter Ratio for Positively Curved Manifolds

JYH-YANG WU

1. Statement of Main Result

In this paper, a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) always means a connected C^∞ -manifold of dimension n ($n \geq 2$) with a Riemannian metric g . $\text{Vol}(M)$, $i(M)$, $d(M)$, K_M , and Ric_M will denote the volume, the injectivity radius, the diameter, the sectional curvature, and the Ricci curvature of M , respectively.

Gromov [4] showed that if $0 > K_M \geq -1$ ($n \geq 8$) then

$$\text{Vol}(M) \geq C_n(1 + d(M)),$$

where the constant $C_n > 0$ depends only on n . Furthermore, the Bishop volume comparison [2] gives $\text{Vol}(M) \leq C'_n d(M)$ when $\text{Ric}_M \geq n - 1$. This paper is concerned with a better estimate of this type for $K_M \geq 1$. Our main result can be stated as follows.

THEOREM A. *Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold with $K_M \geq 1$; then*

$$(*) \quad \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{d(M)} \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(S^n)}{d(S^n)}.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if M is isometric to S^n or RP^n with constant curvature $+1$.

REMARKS.

1. For $d(M) \leq \pi/2$, (*) is also true when only $\text{Ric}_M \geq n - 1$. This follows from the Bishop volume comparison theorem for Ricci curvature. The author does not know if the rigidity (in case equality holds) is true. However, if M is not simply connected, the rigidity is true (cf. §2).

2. For $d(M) > \pi/2$, Theorem A is wrong when only $\text{Ric}_M \geq n - 1$. This can be seen using, for example, $M^4 = CP^2$ with metric normalized so that $\text{Ric}_M = 3$.

3. The interesting point is that for $K_M \geq 1$, the same conclusion holds if $d(M) > \pi/2$. For this, one can consider a pair of points at maximal distance which by Berger's lemma are mutually critical for the distance function. Then

one can sharpen the classical argument (in the proof of the sphere theorem) that $M = B_{\pi/2}(p) \cup B_{\pi/2}(q)$ by combining it with the Grove–Petersen argument for pairs of mutually critical points (cf. §3).

Although the following theorem is well known, it is an immediate consequence of Theorem A and the diameter sphere theorem [6].

THEOREM B. *Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold with $K_M \geq 1$. If $\text{Vol}(M) \geq \text{Vol}(S^n)/2$, then*

- (1) *M is homeomorphic to S^n , or*
- (2) *M is isometric to RP^n with constant curvature 1.*

The author would like to express gratitude to J. P. Sha for many helpful discussions.

2. The Case $d(M) \leq \pi/2$

From now on, let M be a complete Riemannian manifold with $K_M \geq 1$. In this section, we assume $d(M) = l \leq \pi/2$. According to the Bishop volume comparison theorem, one has

$$\text{Vol}(M) \leq \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) \int_0^l (\sin r)^{n-1} dr.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{\text{Vol}(S^n)} \leq \frac{\int_0^l (\sin r)^{n-1} dr}{\int_0^\pi (\sin r)^{n-1} dr} \leq \frac{l}{\pi} = \frac{d(M)}{d(S^n)},$$

that is,

$$(1) \quad \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{d(M)} \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(S^n)}{d(S^n)}.$$

By the above argument, if the equality in (1) holds then $d(M) = i(M) = \pi/2$ and $K_M \equiv 1$. Let \tilde{M} be the universal covering of M ; then, by the Cartan–Ambrose–Hicks theorem, \tilde{M} is isometric to S^n with constant curvature 1, and it is a double covering of M .

CLAIM. *M is isometric to RP^n with constant curvature 1.*

Proof. Let $\Psi: S^n \rightarrow M$ be the covering map. For any $m \in M$, $\Psi^{-1}(m) = \{m_1, m_2\}$; one need only show that $d(m_1, m_2) = \pi$, that is, m_2 is the antipodal point of m_1 . Let $c(t)$ be a minimal geodesic from m_1 to m_2 . Then $L[c] \leq \pi$ and $\Psi \circ c$ is a closed geodesic in M ; hence $L[\Psi \circ c] \geq \pi$ for $i(M) = \pi/2$. Since $L[c] = L[\Psi \circ c]$, $L[c] = \pi$; that is, $d(m_1, m_2) = \pi$. This proves Theorem A for the case $d(M) \leq \pi/2$. \square

3. The Case $d(M) > \pi/2$

Now we assume $d(M) = l > \pi/2$. The diameter sphere theorem implies that in this case M is homeomorphic to S^n . In particular, M is simply connected.

3.1. *Volume comparison related to nets in spheres* (see [5]). We will use the following notation. For $\Gamma \subset S^{n-1}$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, set

$$\Gamma(\theta) = \{u \in S^{n-1} : \chi(u, \Gamma) < \theta\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^C(\theta) = \{u \in S^{n-1} : \chi(u, \Gamma) \geq \theta\}.$$

Let $V_\alpha = \{u, v\} \subset S^{n-1}$ with $\chi(u, v) = \pi - 2\alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi/2$. A subset Γ of S^{n-1} is said to be a θ -net provided $\Gamma(\theta) = S^{n-1}$. The following lemma is shown in the appendix of [5].

LEMMA 1. *Let Γ be a finite $(\pi/2 + \alpha)$ -net in S^{n-1} , $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi/2$. Then*

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma(\theta)) \geq \text{Vol}(V_\alpha(\theta)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2.$$

LEMMA 2. *Let Γ be a $(\pi/2 + \alpha)$ -net in S^{n-1} for all $\alpha \in (0, \pi/2)$. Then*

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma(\theta)) \geq \text{Vol}(V_0(\theta)) \quad \text{for } \theta \geq 0.$$

Proof. For any $\alpha \in (0, \pi/2)$, since Γ is a $(\pi/2 + \alpha)$ -net in S^{n-1} , by compactness of S^{n-1} we can extract a finite subset Γ_α from Γ such that Γ_α is still a $(\pi/2 + \alpha)$ -net in S^{n-1} . For a fixed $\theta \geq 0$,

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma(\theta)) \geq \text{Vol}(\Gamma_\alpha(\theta))$$

and, by Lemma 1,

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma_\alpha(\theta)) \geq \text{Vol}(V_\alpha(\theta)).$$

Hence,

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma(\theta)) \geq \text{Vol}(V_\alpha(\theta)).$$

Now let $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ to obtain $\text{Vol}(\Gamma(\theta)) \geq \text{Vol}(V_0(\theta))$. □

3.2. *The number l_α .* Let \bar{p} be the north pole of S^2 . Pick $\bar{q} \in S^2$ with $d(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) = l$ and \bar{c} a minimal geodesic from \bar{q} to \bar{p} . For any $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2]$, choose a geodesic σ_α starting from \bar{q} with $\chi(\bar{c}'(0), \sigma_\alpha'(0)) = \alpha$. Let x_α be the first intersection point of σ_α with the equator.

Now define $l_\alpha = d(\bar{q}, x_\alpha)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi/2$.

On S^n , choose $\bar{q} \in S^n$, $v_0 \in SS_{\bar{q}}^n \simeq S^{n-1}$, and $V_0 = \{v_0, -v_0\} \subset S^{n-1}$. Define $\alpha(w)$ and U by

$$\alpha(w) = \chi(w, V_0) \quad \text{for } w \in S^{n-1}$$

and

$$U = \{\exp_{\bar{q}}(rw) : w \in SS_{\bar{q}}^n, 0 < r < l_{\alpha(w)}\}.$$

By the definition of l_α and U , one can see that

$$(2) \quad \text{Vol}(U) \leq \frac{l - \pi/2}{\pi} \text{Vol}(S^n).$$

Now, let $\bar{A}_r = \{v \in SS_{\bar{q}}^n : l_{\alpha(v)} \geq r\}$; then

$$(3) \quad \text{Vol}(U) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_{\bar{A}_r} (\sin r)^{n-1} dv dr.$$

3.3. *Estimating the volume of $B_p^C(\pi/2)$.* Choose $p, q \in M$ so that $d(p, q) = d(M)$. Let $B_p(\pi/2)$ be the closed geodesic ball of radius $\pi/2$ in M about p

and let $B_p^C(\pi/2)$ be the complement of $B_p(\pi/2)$ in M . In [3, p. 115], it is shown that $B_p^C(\pi/2)$ is convex. By the argument in Section 2, we have

$$(4) \quad \text{Vol}\left(B_p\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(S^n).$$

In order to estimate the volume of $B_p^C(\pi/2)$, we need the following lemma of Berger [3, p. 106].

LEMMA 3. *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold. Suppose $p, q \in M$ are such that $d(p, q) = d(M)$. Then, for any vector $v \in TM_q$, there exists a minimal geodesic c from q to p with $\angle(c'(0), v) \leq \pi/2$.*

REMARK. The point q is called a *critical point of p* if there exists, for any vector $v \in TM_q$, a minimal geodesic c from q to p with $\angle(c'(0), v) \leq \pi/2$.

Let Γ_{qp} denote the set of unit vectors in TM_q corresponding to the set of minimal geodesics from q to p . By Lemma 3, Γ_{qp} is a $(\pi/2 + \alpha)$ -net in $SM_q \simeq S^{n-1}$ for all $\alpha \in (0, \pi/2)$.

If $v \in SM_q$ with $\angle(v, \Gamma_{qp}) = \alpha$, then $\alpha \leq \pi/2$. By Toponogov's comparison theorem, it is easy to see that $\exp_q(rv) \in B_p(\pi/2)$ if $l_\alpha \leq r \leq l$.

Define A_r by

$$A_r = \{v \in SM_q : \exp_q(rv) \in B_p^C(\pi/2) \text{ and } d(q, \exp_q(rv)) = r\}.$$

Note that:

- (a) A_r is an open subset of $SM_q \simeq S^{n-1}$,
- (b) $A_r = S^{n-1}$ if $0 \leq r \leq l - \pi/2$, and
- (c) $A_r = \emptyset$ if $r \geq \pi/2$.

We have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Vol}\left(B_p^C\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) &= \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_{A_r} r^{n-1} \|d(\exp_q)_{rv}\| \, dv \, dr \\ &\leq \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_{A_r} (\sin r)^{n-1} \, dv \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

For $l - \pi/2 \leq r \leq \pi/2$, let θ be such that $l_\theta = r$. Again by Toponogov's comparison theorem, one has $A_r \subset \Gamma_{qp}^C(\theta)$, and hence by Lemma 2

$$(6) \quad \text{Vol}(A_r) \leq \text{Vol}(\Gamma_{qp}^C(\theta)) \leq \text{Vol}(V_0^C(\theta)) = \text{Vol}(\bar{A}_r).$$

For $0 \leq r \leq l - \pi/2$, it is trivial that $\text{Vol}(A_r) = \text{Vol}(\bar{A}_r)$.

Now since $K_M \geq 1$, by (2), (3), (5), and (6) we obtain

$$(7) \quad \text{Vol}\left(B_p^C\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{l - \pi/2}{\pi} \text{Vol}(S^n).$$

Therefore

$$(8) \quad \text{Vol}(M) = \text{Vol}\left(B_p\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) + \text{Vol}\left(B_p^C\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right)$$

and

$$(9) \quad \text{Vol}(M) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(S^n) + \frac{l - \pi/2}{\pi} \text{Vol}(S^n).$$

Hence,

$$(10) \quad \text{Vol}(M) \leq \frac{l}{\pi} \text{Vol}(S^n).$$

That is,

$$(*) \quad \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{d(M)} \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(S^n)}{d(S^n)}.$$

Thus we have proved the first part of Theorem A for the case $d(M) > \pi/2$.

CLAIM. *The equality in (*) holds if and only if M is isometric to S^n with constant curvature 1.*

Proof. Since $d(M) > \pi/2$, M is simply connected. In addition, by (4) and (8)–(10), we must have

$$\text{Vol}\left(B_p\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(S^n)$$

and $K_M \equiv 1$ on $B_p(\pi/2)$. If we exchange the roles of p and q , then (similarly) $K_M \equiv 1$ on $B_q(\pi/2)$. By Lemma 3 and Toponogov's comparison theorem, $B_p(\pi/2) \cup B_q(\pi/2) = M$. Thus $K_M \equiv 1$ on M . Once again, by the Cartan–Ambrose–Hicks theorem, M is isometric to S^n with constant curvature 1, and this completes the proof of Theorem A. \square

References

1. M. Berger, P. Gauduchon, and E. Mazet, *Le spectre d'une variété Riemannienne*, Lecture Notes in Math., 194, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
2. R. Bishop and R. J. Crittenden, *Geometry of Manifolds*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
3. J. Cheeger and D. G. Ebin, *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1975.
4. M. Gromov, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, J. Differential Geom. 13 (1978), 223–229.
5. K. Grove and P. Petersen, *Bounding homotopy types by geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) 128 (1988), 195–206.
6. K. Grove and K. Shiohama, *A generalized sphere theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) 106 (1977), 201–211.

Department of Mathematics
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742

