

# ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF BIHOLOMORPHIC MAPS

Eric Bedford and Sergey Pinchuk

In this note we give another proof of the following result of Baouendi, Jacobowitz, and Treves [1].

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be domains with real analytic boundaries, and let  $f: \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$  be a biholomorphism which extends as a diffeomorphism  $f: \bar{\Omega}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_2$ . If  $p \in \partial\Omega_1$  and if there is no nontrivial complex variety in  $\partial\Omega_2$  passing through  $f(p)$ , then  $f$  extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of  $p$ .*

More general results have been obtained by several authors; see Baouendi and Rothschild [2] and Diederich and Fornæss [4] and the references there. The proof given here applies in more general situations. It is evident, for instance, that the proof applies most naturally to the condition that  $\partial\Omega_2$  have essentially finite type at  $p$ .

We let  $\Gamma_f \subset \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  denote the graph of  $f$ . In what follows, we will show that there is a germ  $V$  of an  $n$ -dimensional variety in  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$  containing  $(p, f(p))$  and  $\Gamma_f$ . It will then follow from Lemma 1 of [3] that  $f$  extends holomorphically past  $p$ .

We may assume that  $p = 0$  and that  $\partial\Omega_1 = \{\varphi(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = 0\}$  near 0, where  $\varphi(\zeta, \bar{\eta})$  is analytic in  $\zeta$  and  $\varphi(\zeta, \bar{\eta}) = \varphi(\eta, \bar{\zeta})$ . We may assume also that  $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_n + \bar{\eta}_n) + \dots$ , so that  $\{\text{Re } \zeta_n = 0\}$  is the tangent plane to  $\partial\Omega_1$  at 0. Thus

$$E = \{\text{Re } \zeta_1 = \dots = \text{Re } \zeta_{n-1} = 0\} \cap \Omega_1$$

is a totally real  $n$ -manifold, and the reflection about  $E$  is given by solving the complexification of the real defining equations:  $\zeta_j + \bar{\zeta}_j^* = 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , and  $\varphi(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}^*) = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_n + \bar{\zeta}_n^*) + \dots = 0$ .

Thus the reflection about  $E$  is an antiholomorphic map of the form:

$$(\zeta_1^*, \dots, \zeta_n^*) = -(\bar{\zeta}_1, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_n) + \dots$$

We let  $\Omega_1^*$  denote the image of  $\Omega_1$  under this reflection so that  $E \subset \partial\Omega_1 \cap \partial\Omega_1^*$  and  $T_0 \partial\Omega_1^* = T_0 \partial\Omega_1$ , although the outward normals point in opposite directions at 0.

Let us start with  $\tilde{X}_j = \partial_{z_j} - (\varphi_{z_j}/\varphi_{z_n})\partial_{z_n}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , and  $\tilde{X}^\alpha = \tilde{X}_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \tilde{X}_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}$ . We then define  $X_j$  and  $X^\alpha$  by setting  $X_j = \tilde{X}_j$  and  $X^\alpha = \tilde{X}^\alpha$  on  $E$ , and extending them from  $E$  by making the coefficients holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $E$ . Thus  $X^\alpha$  is tangential to  $\partial\Omega_1$  at points of  $\partial\Omega_1 \cap E$ . Although  $X^\alpha \neq (X_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (X_{n-1})^{\alpha_{n-1}}$ , the highest-order parts of both operators are equal to  $\partial_z^\alpha$  at 0.

Now let  $f(0) = 0$ , and let  $\psi(w, \bar{w})$  be a defining function for  $\Omega_2$ . It follows that the (antiholomorphic) operators  $\bar{X}^\alpha$  annihilate  $\psi(f(z), \overline{f(z)})$  along  $E$ . By the chain rule, we obtain an expression of the form:

$$\bar{X}^\alpha \psi(f(z), \overline{f(z)}) = \sum \partial_{\bar{w}}^\gamma \psi P_\gamma(\overline{X^{\alpha_i} f_j(z)}),$$

---

Received August 28, 1987. Revision received February 3, 1988.  
Michigan Math. J. 35 (1988).

where  $P_\gamma$  represents a polynomial in the terms  $\overline{X^{\alpha_i} f_j}$ , with holomorphic coefficients. We use the right-hand side of this expression to define

$$\phi^\alpha(w, z) := \sum \partial_{\bar{w}}^\gamma \psi(w, \overline{f(z)}) P_\gamma(\overline{X^{\alpha_i} f_j(z)})$$

for  $|w| < \epsilon$  and  $z \in \Omega_1 \cap \{|z| < \delta\}$ . We note that  $\phi^\alpha$  is holomorphic in  $w$  and anti-holomorphic in  $z$ , and that  $\phi^\alpha(w, z) = 0$  for  $z \in E$  and  $w = f(z)$ .

We are thus led to define the extension of the graph  $\Gamma_f$  over  $\Omega_1^*$  as

$$V^* = \bigcap_{\alpha} \{(z^*, w) \in \Omega_{1,\delta}^* \times \{|w| < \epsilon\} : \phi^\alpha(w, z) = 0\},$$

where  $\Omega_{1,\delta}^* = \Omega_1^* \cap \{|z| < \delta\}$ . Let  $\pi_1 : \mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  denote projection onto the first copy of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Since  $\phi^\alpha(w, z^*)$  is holomorphic,  $V^*$  is an analytic variety. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the strongly pseudoconvex points of  $\partial\Omega$  are dense in  $E$ . It is well known (see [5; 6]) that  $f$  extends past strongly pseudoconvex boundary points. Thus we have  $\bar{V}^* \supset \bar{\Gamma}_f \cap \pi_1^{-1}E$ , and in particular  $V^*$  is nonempty.

CLAIM. *The projection  $\pi_1 : V^* \rightarrow \Omega_{1,\delta}^*$  is proper for  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small.*

To prove the claim, it suffices to show that the fiber over the point 0 (i.e.,  $\{w \in \mathbf{C}^n : |w| < \epsilon \text{ and } \phi^\alpha(w, 0) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha\}$ ) is discrete. The claim is an immediate consequence of the three lemmas below. In them, we will assume that  $\psi$  satisfies the condition  $\psi(w, 0) = w_n$ . This may be achieved by setting  $\bar{w}_j = w_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ ,  $\bar{w}_n = \psi(w, 0)$  so that in these coordinates  $\psi(\bar{w}, \bar{\bar{w}}) = \bar{w}_n + \bar{\bar{w}}_n + \text{mixed terms}$ .

LEMMA 1. *The variety  $W = \{w \in \mathbf{C}^n : |w| < \epsilon \text{ and } \partial_{\bar{w}}^\alpha \psi(w, 0) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, 0)\}$  is discrete for  $\epsilon > 0$  small.*

*Proof.* We note that since  $\psi$  is real analytic, it is given by the power series

$$\psi(w, \bar{w}) = \sum \frac{\bar{w}^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial_{\bar{w}}^\alpha \psi(w, 0).$$

By the remark above, we see that  $W \subset \{\psi(w, 0) = 0\} = \{w_n = 0\}$ . If the Lemma does not hold, there is a nontrivial germ  $W'$  of a variety  $0 \in W' \subset W$ . For  $w_n = 0$ , the power series remains valid if we sum only over  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, 0)$ . Thus it follows that  $W' \subset \{\psi(w, \bar{w}) = 0\}$ , which contradicts the hypothesis of the Theorem. □

LEMMA 2.  *$X^\alpha f_n(0) = 0$  for all  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, 0)$ .*

*Proof.* We note that

$$\phi^\alpha(w, 0) = \psi_{\bar{w}_n}(w, 0)(\overline{X^\alpha f_n(0)}) + \sum \partial_{\bar{w}}^\beta \psi(w, 0) P_\beta(\overline{X^{\alpha_i} f_j(0)}),$$

where the  $P_\beta$  denotes a polynomial in terms of the form  $X^{\alpha_i} f_j$ , and  $|\alpha_i| \leq |\alpha|$ ; when  $j = n$ ,  $|\alpha_i| < |\alpha|$ . With the normalization of  $\psi$ , we have  $\partial_{\bar{w}}^\beta \psi(0, 0) = 0$  for  $\beta \neq (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . Further,  $P_\beta(0) = 0$ . Since  $\phi^\alpha(0, 0) = 0$ , an induction argument gives us  $X^\alpha f_n(0) = 0$ . □

LEMMA 3. *Consider only indices  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $\alpha_n = \beta_n = 0$ . If  $w_0$  satisfies  $\phi^\beta(w_0, 0) = 0$  for  $|\beta| \leq |\alpha|$ , then  $\partial_{\bar{w}}^\beta \psi(w_0, 0) = 0$  for  $|\beta| \leq |\alpha|$ .*

*Proof.* We may make a linear change of coordinates in the range so that  $X_i f_j(0) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ . Thus

$$\phi^\alpha(w, 0) = \partial_{\bar{w}}^\alpha \psi(w, 0) + \sum_{|\beta| < |\alpha|} c^\beta \partial_{\bar{w}}^\beta \psi(w, 0)$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, 0)$ . By Lemma 2, we only sum over  $\beta$  of the form  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}, 0)$ . The Lemma then follows by induction.  $\square$

Now we observe that  $\Omega_{1,\delta}^*$  and  $V^*$  were determined by the choice of totally real manifold  $E$ . For real  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ , we may work with

$$E_a = \{x_1 = a_1, \dots, x_{n-1} = a_{n-1}\} \cap \partial\Omega_1.$$

The vector fields  $X_j, X^\alpha$ , the domain  $\Omega_1^*$ , and the functions  $\phi^\alpha$  all depend real analytically on  $a$ . Since

$$\{|w| < \epsilon : \phi_a^\alpha(w, 0) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha\}$$

is discrete for  $a = 0$ , it follows that it is discrete for  $|a|$  small. Thus the projection  $\pi_1: V_a^* \rightarrow \Omega_{1,\delta}^*(a)$  is proper for  $|a|$  small, and so  $\pi_1$  has a constant number of pre-images (with multiplicity)

$$\pi_1^{-1}(z) = \{W_a^1(z), \dots, W_a^q(z)\}.$$

Now let  $P_j(z_1, \dots, z_n, w_j)$  be

$$\begin{aligned} P_j &= \prod_{i=1}^q (w_j - (W_a^i(z))_j) \\ &= w_j^q + A_j^1(z) w_j^{q-1} + \dots + A_j^q(z), \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes on  $V_a^*$ . It follows that  $A_j^i(z)$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega_{1,\delta}^*(a)$  and smooth on the closure. Thus the functions  $W_a^k(z)$  are smooth on an open dense subset of  $E_a$ . As was observed above,  $w = f(z)$  must belong to  $\pi_1^{-1}(z)$ . Now we may take an irreducible component of  $V_a^*$  if necessary to obtain that:  $V_a^*$  is irreducible for an open dense set of  $\{|a| < c\}$ ,  $V_a^*$  varies continuously with  $a$ , and  $V_a^*$  gives an analytic continuation of  $\Gamma_f$  over a dense open subset of  $E_a$ .

We conclude from this that  $V_a^*$  is independent of  $a$ . Thus  $\tilde{V}^* = \bigcup_{|a| < c} V_a^*$  is an analytic subvariety of  $\pi_1^{-1} \bigcup_{|a| < c} \Omega_{1,\delta}^*(a)$ . Thus if  $P_j$  is as above, then it follows that the coefficients  $A_j^k(z)$  are holomorphic in  $({}^c\Omega_1) \cap \{|z| < \delta\}$ . By a result of Trépreau [4], we conclude that  $A_j^k(z)$  extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of 0. Thus

$$V = \{(z, w) : P_j(z, w_j) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

is a germ of an  $n$ -dimensional variety containing  $\Gamma_f$ .

## REFERENCES

1. M. S. Baouendi, H. Jacobowitz, and F. Trèves, *On the analyticity of CR mappings*, Ann. of Math. (2) 122 (1985), 365–400.
2. M. S. Baouendi and L. Rothschild, *Germes of CR maps between real analytic hypersurfaces*, preprint.

3. E. Bedford and S. Bell, *Extension of proper holomorphic mappings past the boundary*, Manuscripta Math. 50 (1985), 1–10.
4. K. Diederich and J.-E. Forneaess, *Proper holomorphic mappings between real-analytic pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , preprint.
5. H. Lewy, *On the boundary behavior of holomorphic mappings*, Accad. Naz. Lincei 35 (1977), 1–8.
6. S. Pinchuk, *On the analytic continuation of holomorphic mappings*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 98(140) (1975), Math. USSR Sbornik 27 (1975), 375–392.
7. J.-M. Trépreau, *Sur le prolongement holomorphe des fonctions  $C$ - $R$  définies sur une hypersurface réelle de classe  $C^2$  dans  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Invent. Math. 83 (1986), 583–592.

Department of Mathematics  
Indiana University  
Bloomington, IN 47405

Department of Mathematics  
Bashkirian State University  
Ufa  
USSR