# CRITERIA FOR A 2-SPHERE IN S<sup>3</sup> TO BE TAME MODULO TWO POINTS

# C. E. Burgess

Dedicated to Professor Raymond L. Wilder on his seventieth birthday.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Examples have been described by Fox and Artin [12] of wild 2-spheres in a 3-sphere  $S^3$  that are locally tame except at a finite number of points. Harrold and Moise [14] have shown that at each of its points such a 2-sphere S must be locally tame from at least one of its complementary domains. Furthermore, if S has at most one point where it is wild from the component  $S^3$  of  $S^3$ , then  $S^3$  is an open 3-cell [10, Theorem 1], [19, Corollary 2.4]. Sikkema [20] has studied a duality between spheres and arcs in  $S^3$  that are locally tame except at one point. In this paper, we present some conditions which imply that a 2-sphere in  $S^3$  has at most two wild points.

In Section 4, we use Theorem 1 to investigate the following question raised by Bing in [6]: Is a 2-sphere S in  $S^3$  tame if it can be pierced along each arc in it by a tame disk? We do not answer this question, but we obtain an affirmative answer (Theorem 5) with the additional hypothesis that each component of  $S^3$  - S be an open 3-cell.

Hempel [15] has raised the following question: Is a 2-sphere S tame in S<sup>3</sup> if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and each component U of S<sup>3</sup> - S, there exists a map of S into U that moves no point more than a distance  $\epsilon$ ? He recently obtained an affirmative answer under an additional hypothesis [16]. In Section 4 we impose the alternative additional hypothesis that S can be pierced with a disk on each tame arc on S, and we observe (Theorem 6 and Corollary 3) that with this alternative additional hypothesis an affirmative answer follows from a combination of the Sphere Theorem [18], Theorem 4, and one of Hempel's recent results [16, Corollary 2].

In Section 5, we indicate how some of these results for a 2-sphere in  $S^3$  can be adapted to a 2-manifold in a 3-manifold.

# 2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

Let S be a 2-sphere in S<sup>3</sup>, and let U be a component of S<sup>3</sup> - S. We define S to be *locally tame from* U *at the point*  $p \in S$  if there exist a 3-cell K and a disk D such that

$$K \cap S = D$$
,  $p \in Int D$ ,  $D \subset Bd K$ ,  $K - D \subset U$ .

The sphere S is defined to be *tame from* U if S is locally tame from U at each point of S. This is equivalent to requiring that  $S \cup U$  be a 3-manifold with boundary, and to requiring that  $S \cup U$  be a 3-cell [1], [8], [17]. Furthermore, S is *tame* if it

Received August 15, 1966.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under GP-3882.

is tame from each component of  $S^3$  - S. If S is not locally tame from U at the point p of S, then S is said to be *wild from* U at p.

We say that a subset X of S can be *collared from* U if there exists a homeomorphism h of  $X \times [0, 1]$  into  $X \cup U$  such that, for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$h(x\times 0) \,=\, x \qquad \text{and} \qquad h(x\times t) \subset U \ \text{for} \ 0 < t \leq 1 \,.$$

Thus S is locally tame from U at a point  $p \in S$  if there exists an open set V such that  $p \in V$  and  $V \cap S$  can be collared from U [8]. We say that S can be *locally peripherally collared from* U at a point  $p \in S$  if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a disk D such that

 $p \in Int D \subset S$ .

Diam  $D < \varepsilon$ , and

Bd D can be collared from U.

We say that S can be *locally peripherally collared from* U if it can be locally peripherally collared from U at each point, and S can be *locally peripherally collared* if it can be locally peripherally collared from each component of  $S^3$  - S. If an arc K in S can be collared from each component of  $S^3$  - S, we say that S can be *pierced on* K with a disk. As in [9], we say that S can be *locally spanned* from U at a point  $p \in S$  if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist disks D and R such that

 $p \in Int D \subset S$ ,

BdD = BdR,

Int  $R \subset U$ , and

Diam (D  $\cup$  R) <  $\epsilon$ .

Various other definitions used here can be found in some of the references, particularly in [1], [2], [4], and [9].

If K is a set in a metric space and  $\epsilon>0$ , then N(K,  $\epsilon$ ) denotes the set of all points within a distance  $\epsilon$  of K. If a and b are two points, then ab denotes an arc whose end points are a and b.

# 3. SPHERES THAT CAN BE LOCALLY PERIPHERALLY COLLARED

We present in this section some conditions which imply that a 2-sphere S in  $S^3$  is locally tame, except possibly at one point, from the component U of  $S^3$  - S. The converses of both Theorems 1 and 2 readily follow from known results cited in Section 1.

#### THEOREM 1. If

- (i) S is a 2-sphere in  $S^3$ ,
- (ii) the component U of  $S^3$  S is an open 3-cell, and
- (iii) S can be locally peripherally collared from U,

then there exists a point p such that S is locally tame from U at each point of S - p.

*Proof.* Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be any two points of S. Our main task will be to show that S can be locally spanned from U at one of these two points. This will imply that there exists a point p such that S can be locally spanned from U at each point of S - p. Then it will follow from [9, Theorem 10] that S is locally tame from U at each point of S - p.

Let  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  be positive numbers such that

(1) 
$$7\delta < \epsilon$$
.

From the hypothesis that S can be locally peripherally collared from U, it follows that there exist two disjoint disks  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  and two disjoint annuli  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  such that for each i (i = 1, 2)

$$p_i \in \text{Int } D_i \subset S,$$
 
$$A_i \cap S = \text{Bd } D_i \subset \text{Bd } A_i,$$
 
$$A_i - \text{Bd } D_i \subset U, \text{ and}$$
 
$$\text{Diam } (D_i \cup A_i) < \delta.$$

Furthermore, by using Bing's Approximation Theorem [2, Theorem 7], [7, Theorem 1.1], we may assume that each  $A_i$  is locally polyhedral at each point of  $A_i \cap U$ . We let  $J_i$  denote Bd  $A_i$  - Bd  $D_i$  and let  $a_i$  denote a point of  $J_i$ . There exists an arc  $a_1a_2$  such that

$$a_1 a_2 - (a_1 \cup a_2) \subset U - (A_1 \cup A_2).$$

From the hypothesis that U is an open 3-cell, it follows that there exists a polyhedral 2-sphere  $S_1$  in U such that S and  $J_1 \cup a_1 a_2 \cup J_2$  are in different components of  $S^3$  -  $S_1$  and

$$S_1 \subset N(S, \delta).$$

For each i (i = 1, 2), let  $A_i$  denote an annulus in  $A_i$  such that  $A_i \cap S = Bd D_i$  and

(4) 
$$\rho(x, S) < \rho(S_1, S) \quad \text{for each } x \in A_i'.$$

As above, the hypothesis implies that there exists a polyhedral 2-sphere  $S_2$  such that S and  $S_1 \cup (A_i - A_i)$  are in different components of  $S^3 - S_2$  and

(5) 
$$\rho(x, S) < \rho(S_1, S)$$
 for each  $x \in S_2$ .

We assume that  $S_2$  and  $A_i$  - Bd  $D_i$  are in general position, so that each component of  $S_2 \cap A_i$  is a simple closed curve in  $A_i^{\, \cdot}$ . Since Bd  $D_i$  and  $J_i$  are in different components of  $S^3$  -  $S_2$ , it follows that some component of  $A_i \cap S_2$  separates Bd  $D_i$  from  $J_i$  in  $A_i$ . Thus there exists a disk D' in  $S_2$  such that every component of  $(A_1 \cup A_2) \cap$  Int D' is the boundary of a disk in  $A_1' \cup A_2'$ , no disk in  $A_1 \cup A_2$  has Bd D' as its boundary, and Bd D' is a subset of one of the annuli  $A_1'$  and  $A_2'$ , say of  $A_1'$ . Now, by using methods described by Bing [4, p. 297], we obtain a disk D such that

$$Bd D = Bd D'$$
,

Int D 
$$\subset$$
 U - (A<sub>1</sub>  $\cup$  A<sub>2</sub>  $\cup$  S<sub>1</sub>), and

$$D \subset D' \cup N(A'_1 \cup A'_2, \delta)$$
.

Furthermore, in view of (4) and (5), this can be done so that

(6) 
$$\rho(x, S) < \rho(S_1, S) \quad \text{for each } x \in D.$$

We wish now to show that Diam  $D < 6\delta$ . Suppose that

(7) 
$$\operatorname{Diam} D > 6\delta$$
.

Following methods described in [21, p. 66], we construct an arc p<sub>1</sub>a<sub>1</sub> such that

(8) 
$$p_1a_1 - (a_1 \cup p_1) \subset [U - (a_1a_2 \cup A_1 \cup A_2)] \cap N(D_1 \cup A_1, \delta)$$

and no point of D is between  $a_1$  and a point of  $S_1$  on  $p_1a_1$ . Let  $a_2p_2$  denote an arc in  $D_2 \cup A_2$ , let  $p_2p_1$  denote an arc such that

$$p_2p_1 - (p_1 \cup p_2) \subset S^3 - (S \cup U),$$

and let J denote the simple closed curve  $p_1a_1 \cup a_1a_2 \cup a_2p_2 \cup p_2p_1$ . Let S' denote the 2-sphere that is a subset of  $D \cup D_1 \cup A_1$ . Since  $J \cap (D_1 \cup A_1) = p_1$  and J pierces S' at  $p_1$ , it follows that J intersects D and thus that D intersects the arc  $p_1a_1$ . Let a denote a point of  $D \cap p_1a_1$ . Now (7) implies that there is a point b in D such that

$$\rho(a, b) \geq 3\delta.$$

It follows from (3) and (6) that there exists a point c of S such that

$$\rho(b, c) < \delta$$

and the rectilinear arc bc does not intersect  $S_1$ . Let  $p_1a$  denote a subarc of  $p_1a_1$ , let ab denote an arc in D such that  $p_1a \cup ab \cup bc$  is an arc, and let  $cp_1$  denote an arc such that

$$\mathtt{cp}_1$$
 -  $(\mathtt{c} \cup \mathtt{p}_1) \subset \mathtt{S}^3$  -  $(\mathtt{S} \cup \mathtt{U})$  .

Let J' denote the simple closed curve  $p_1a \cup ab \cup bc \cup cp_1$ . We have constructed J' in  $S^3$  -  $S_1$  so that the arc  $cp_1 \cup p_1a \cup ab$  pierces  $D_1$  at  $p_1$  and does not intersect  $D_1 \cup (A_1 - p_1)$ . Furthermore, it follows from (2), (8), (9), and (10) that the arc bc does not intersect  $D_1 \cup A_1$ , so J' links the simple closed curve  $J_1$ . But this is impossible, since  $S_1$  separates  $J_1$  from J' in  $S^3$ . Thus our supposition (7) has led to a contradiction; we conclude that

(11) Diam 
$$D < 6\delta$$
.

Now (1), (2), and (11) imply that

Diam (D 
$$\cup$$
 A<sub>1</sub>)  $< \epsilon$ ;

hence, there exists a disk R in  $D \cup A_1$  such that

Diam 
$$R < \epsilon$$
, Bd  $R = Bd D_1$ , Int  $R \subset U$ .

Thus we have shown that S can be locally spanned from U at one of the points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . As we indicated in the first paragraph of this proof, it follows from [9, Theorem [9] that there is a point p such that S is locally tame from U at each point of S - p.

# THEOREM 2. If

- (i) S is a 2-sphere in  $S^3$ ,
- (ii) the components  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of  $S^3$  S are open 3-cells, and
- (iii) S can be locally peripherally collared,

then there exist two distinct points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  of S such that, for each i (i = 1, 2), S is locally tame from  $U_i$  at each point of  $S - p_i$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 1 that, for each i (i = 1, 2), there exists a point  $p_i$  such that S is locally tame from  $U_i$  at each point of S -  $p_i$ . Harrold and Moise have shown [14] that a 2-sphere in S<sup>3</sup> cannot be wild from each of its complementary domains at one of its isolated wild points. Thus we can require that  $p_1 \neq p_2$ .

COROLLARY 1. If S is a 2-sphere in  $S^3$  such that each component of  $S^3$  - S is an open 3-cell and the set W of wild points of S is 0-dimensional, then W consists of at most two points.

## THEOREM 3. If

- (i) V is an open subset of the 2-sphere S in S<sup>3</sup>,
- (ii) the component U of  $S^3$  S is an open 3-cell, and
- (iii) V can be locally peripherally collared from U,

then there exists a point p such that S is locally tame from U at each point of V - p.

With only slight changes, the above proof of Theorem 1 can be used as a proof of Theorem 3.

# COROLLARY 2. If

- (i) S is a 2-sphere in  $S^3$ ,
- (ii) the component U of  $S^3$  S is an open 3-cell, and
- (iii) W is the set of all points of S where S is wild from U,

then W has at most one isolated point. Furthermore, either  $W = \emptyset$ , or W consists of a point, or there exists a nondegenerate continuum in W.

*Remark.* With reference to the hypotheses of Theorems 1 and 2, it is interesting to notice two 2-spheres, described by Bing [3] and Gillman [13], that are wild at every point and have the additional property that each arc in them is tame. The 2-sphere described by Bing can be locally peripherally collared, but one of its complementary domains in  $S^3$  is not an open 3-cell. Gillman modified Bing's example to obtain a 2-sphere S such that each component of  $S^3$  - S is an open 3-cell. However, Theorem 1 implies that there is a component of  $S^3$  - S from which S cannot be locally peripherally collared.

# 4. SPHERES THAT CAN BE PIERCED WITH TAME DISKS

In Theorem 4, we require that each tame arc on S can be collared from U. Bing's theorem on the existence of tame arcs on S [5] enables us to obtain, in the proof of the lemma, two small tame arcs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  that intersect and cross at two points such that a given point x is in the small component of S -  $(H_1 \cup H_2)$ . In the proof of Theorem 4, we do not use the tameness of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , except to get two such arcs that can be collared from U. Clearly, the hypothesis of Theorem 4 could be changed accordingly.

#### THEOREM 4. If

- (i) S is a 2-sphere in  $S^3$ ,
- (ii) the component U of  $S^3$  S is an open 3-cell, and
- (iii) each tame arc on S can be collared from U,

then there exists a point p such that S is locally tame from U at each point of S - p.

Proof. This theorem follows from Theorem 1 and the following lemma.

LEMMA. If each tame arc on the 2-sphere S in  $S^3$  can be collared from the component U of  $S^3$  - S, then S can be locally peripherally collared from U.

*Proof.* Let x be a point of S, and let V be an open set containing x. From Bing's theorem on the existence of tame Sierpiński curves in S [5], it follows that there exist two tame arcs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  and a disk D such that

$$H_1 \cup H_2 \subset V \cap S$$
,

 $H_1 \cap H_2 = a \cup b$ , where a and b are points,

 $H_1$  crosses  $H_2$  on S at each of the points a and b,

$$x \in Int D \subset V \cap S$$
, and

$$Bd \cdot D \subset H_1 \cup H_2$$
.

For each i (i = 1, 2), let  $H_i'$  denote the subarc of  $H_i$  with end points a and b. Clearly, Bd D =  $H_1' \cup H_2'$ . In the following two paragraphs, we complete the proof of our lemma by showing that  $H_1' \cup H_2'$  can be collared from U.

By hypothesis, there exist two disks  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  such that

$$D_i \cap S = H_i \subset Bd D_i$$
 and  $D_i - H_i \subset U$  for each  $i \ (i = 1, 2)$ .

We assume that each  $D_i$  is locally polyhedral at each point of  $D_i$  -  $H_i$  [2, Theorem 7]. With slight adjustments of  $D_1$  -  $H_1$  and  $D_2$  -  $H_2$ , we can require that the closure of each component of  $D_1 \cap D_2$  - (a  $\cup$  b) be either a simple closed curve or a spanning arc of one of the disks  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ . By using methods described by Bing [4, p. 297], we can further require that the closure of each such component contain exactly one of the points a and b. There exist two disjoint arcs  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  in  $D_1 \cap D_2$  and a disk  $D_1'$  in  $D_1$  such that

$$D_1' \cap S = H_1'$$

$$K_1 \cup K_2 \subset Bd D'_1$$
,

each of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  is the closure of a component of  $D_1' \cap D_2$  - (a  $\cup$  b),

no component of  $D_1' \cap D_2$  -  $(K_1 \cup K_2)$  has an arc as its closure, and

$$a \in K_1$$
 and  $b \in K_2$ .

Let  $Y_1$  denote the component of  $D_1'$  -  $D_2$  that contains Bd  $D_1'$  -  $(K_1 \cup K_2)$ . No more than a finite number of components of  $D_2 \cap Cl\ Y_1$  -  $(K_1 \cup K_2)$  have closures that separate  $K_1$  - a from  $K_2$  - b in  $D_2$ . Thus there exist two disjoint arcs  $K_1'$  and  $K_2'$  in  $D_2 \cap Cl\ Y_1$  and a disk  $D_2'$  in  $D_2$  such that

$$D_2' \cap S = H_2',$$

$$K_1' \cup K_2' \subset Bd D_2'$$

 $K_1'$  and  $K_2'$  are closures of components of  $D_1' \cap D_2'$  - (a  $\cup$  b),

no component of  $D_2 \cap Cl \ Y_1$  -  $(K_1' \cup K_2')$  has an arc as its closure, and

$$a \in K'_1$$
 and  $b \in K'_2$ .

From the requirement that the arcs  $K_1'$  and  $K_2'$  are subsets of  $D_2\cap Cl\ Y_1$ , it follows that no component of  $D_1'\cap D_2'$  - (a  $\cup$  b) has a closure that separates  $K_1'$  - a from  $K_2'$  - b in  $D_1'$ . Thus there exists a subdisk  $D_1''$  of  $D_1'$  such that

$$\texttt{K}_1' \, \cup \, \texttt{K}_2' \, \cup \, \texttt{H}_1' \, \subset \, \texttt{Bd} \, \, \texttt{D}_1''$$

and the closure of each component of Int  $D_1''\cap D_2'\cap Cl\ Y_1$  is a simple closed curve containing one of the points a and b. Let  $Y_1'$  denote the component of  $D_1''-D_2'$  that contains Bd  $D_1''-(K_1'\cup K_2')$ . Each component of  $D_1''-Cl\ Y_1'$  is an open disk that has one of the points a and b on its boundary. Now we can use a modification of Bing's procedure  $[4,\ p.\ 297]$  to move each component of Int  $D_2'\cap Cl\ Y_1'$  slightly to one side of  $D_2'$  and to replace each component of  $D_1''-Cl\ Y_1'$  with an open disk slightly to one side of  $D_2'$ . We obtain a disk  $D_1'''$  such that

$$D_1^{'''} \, \cap \, D_2^{'} \, = \, K_1^{'} \, \cup \, K_2^{'} \, , \qquad H_1^{'} \, \cup \, H_2^{'} \, \subset \, Bd \, (D_1^{'''} \, \cup \, D_2^{'}) \, , \qquad D_1^{'''} \, \cup \, D_2^{'} \, \subset \, U \, .$$

Thus we have shown that  $H'_1 \cup H'_2$  can be collared from U.

THEOREM 5. If

- (i) S is a 2-sphere in  $S^3$ ,
- (ii) each component of  $S^3$  S is an open 3-cell, and
- (iii) S can be pierced on each of its arcs with a tame disk, then S is tame.

*Proof.* The hypothesis implies that every arc on S is tame. Thus it follows from a theorem proved by Doyle and Hocking [11] that S does not have an isolated wild point. We combine this with Theorem 4 to conclude that S is locally tame at each of its points. Thus S is tame [1], [17], [8].

## THEOREM 6. If

- (i) S is a 2-sphere in  $S^3$ ,
- (ii) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , S can be approximated in the component U of S<sup>3</sup> S with a map that moves no point more than a distance  $\varepsilon$ , and
- (iii) each tame arc on S can be collared from U,

then S is tame from U.

*Proof.* As observed by Hempel [15], it follows from the hypothesis and the Sphere Theorem [18] that U is an open 3-cell. Thus by Theorem 4, there exists a point p such that S is locally tame from U at each point of S - p. That S is tame from U follows from [16, Theorem 1 and Corollary 2].

# COROLLARY 3. If

- (i) S is a 2-sphere in  $S^3$ ,
- (ii) for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , S can be approximated in each component of  $S^3$  S with a map that moves no point more than a distance  $\epsilon$ , and
- (iii) S can be pierced with a disk on each tame arc on S, then S is tame.

## 5. 2-MANIFOLDS THAT ARE ALMOST TAME

Some of the theorems in Sections 3 and 4 can be adapted to a 2-manifold that separates a 3-manifold. Of course, we need to formulate a suitable condition to replace the requirement that a complementary domain of the 2-sphere S in  $S^3$  be an open 3-cell.

In all of the theorems stated in this section, M denotes a compact connected 2-manifold that separates a connected 3-manifold  $M^3$ , and U denotes a component of  $M^3$ -M. Suppose there exists a sequence  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $\cdots$  of polyhedral 2-manifolds converging to M such that for some y  $\varepsilon$  U each  $M_j$  separates y from M in  $M^3$  and

(12) for some  $\gamma > 0$  and for each positive integer j, every unknotted simple closed curve in  $M_j$  of diameter less than  $\gamma$  is the boundary of a disk in  $M_i$ .

We notice that these requirements are satisfied if M is a 2-sphere in  $S^3$  such that the component U of  $S^3$  - M is an open 3-cell.

THEOREM 7. If M can be locally peripherally collared from U, then there exists a point p such that M is locally tame from U at each point of M - p.

*Proof.* We obtain a proof of this theorem by changing slightly the proof of Theorem 1. We replace  $S^3$ , S,  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$  with  $M^3$ , M,  $M_1$ , and  $M_2$ , respectively, and unless otherwise specified, we follow the same procedure and use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be two points of M, and let K be a disk in M such that  $p_1 \cup p_2 \subset Int$  K. We require that the disks  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be subsets of Int K, and we assume, for convenience, that  $M_1$  separates  $J_1 \cup J_2 \cup a_1 a_2$  from M in  $M^3$  and that  $M_1 \subset N(M, \delta)$ . In view of (12) and the fact that each simple closed curve in

 $A_1 \cup A_2$  is unknotted, we assume further that each simple closed curve in each  $A_i \cap M_j$  is the boundary of a disk in  $M_j$ . In the proof of Theorem 1, we used the fact that each component of  $S^3$  -  $S_1$  is simply connected, to obtain the contradiction that it is impossible for J' to link  $J_1$ . Since we do not know that  $M^3$  -  $M_1$  is simply connected, we construct J' so close to K that it is impossible for J' to link  $J_1$ .

We choose a positive number  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma < \delta$  and

(13) each simple closed curve in  $N(K, \sigma)$  can be shrunk to a point in the component of  $M^3$  -  $M_1$  that contains K.

Furthermore, we require that  $\sigma$  be so small that, for each arc  $p_i x$  (i = 1, 2) that lies in  $N(M, \sigma)$  and has diameter at least  $3\delta$ , there exist an arc  $p_i b$  in  $p_i x$  and a point c in  $K - (D_1 \cup D_2)$  such that

(14) 
$$p_i b \subset N(K, \sigma)$$

and

(15) the rectilinear arc bc has length less than  $\sigma$  and does not intersect  $A_1 \cup D_1 \cup A_2 \cup D_2$ .

We identify  $A_1'$  and  $A_2'$  as in the proof of Theorem 1, so that  $A_1' \cup A_2' \subset N(K, \sigma)$ . For convenience, we assume that  $M_2 \subset N(M, \sigma)$ . We obtain the disk D' in  $M_2$  and consider the case where  $Bd \ D' \subset A_1'$ . We adjust D' to a disk D in  $D' \cup (N(M, \sigma) \cap U)$ . If we suppose that  $Diam \ D \geq 6\delta$ , we can follow the procedure in the proof of Theorem 1 to construct an arc  $p_1$  b and a rectilinear arc bc satisfying requirements (14) and (15) above so that  $b \in D$  and the arc  $p_1$  b  $\cup$  bc does not intersect  $A_1 \cup (D_1 - p_1)$ . Let  $cp_1$  be an arc in  $N(K, \sigma)$  such that

$$cp_1 - (c \cup p_1) \subset M^3 - (M \cup U),$$

and let J' denote the simple closed curve  $p_1b \cup bc \cup cp_1$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1, we have constructed J' so that it links  $J_1$ . It follows from (13) that J' can be shrunk to a point in the component of  $M^3$  -  $M_1$  that contains K. Thus, since  $M_1$  separates  $J_1$  from K in  $M^3$ , we have the contradiction that J' does not link  $J_1$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1, we have shown that M can be locally spanned from U at one of the points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Hence the conclusion of Theorem 7 follows from [9, Theorem 10].

THEOREM 8. If each tame arc in M can be collared from U, then there exists a point p such that M is locally tame from U at each point of M - p.

*Proof.* Following Bing's work on the existence of tame Sierpiński curves in a 2-manifold in a 3-manifold [7, p. 513], we observe that the lemma we used in the proof of Theorem 4 can be proved for a 2-manifold in a 3-manifold. Thus Theorem 8 follows directly from Theorem 7 and this adjusted lemma.

THEOREM 9. If M can be pierced on each of its arcs with a tame disk, then M is tame.

Proof. We apply Theorem 8 in the manner in which we used Theorem 4 in the proof of Theorem 5.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. R. H. Bing, Locally tame sets are tame, Ann. of Math. (2) 59 (1954), 145-158.
- 2. ——, Approximating surfaces with polyhedral ones, Ann. of Math. (2) 65 (1957), 456-483.
- 3. ——, A wild surface each of whose arcs is tame, Duke Math. J. 28 (1961), 1-15.
- 4. —, A surface is tame if its complement is 1-ULC, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 294-305.
- 5. ——, Each disk in E<sup>3</sup> contains a tame arc, Amer. J. Math. 84 (1962), 583-590.
- 6. ——, Embedding surfaces in 3-manifolds, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Stockholm, 1962, 457-458; Institut Mittag-Leffler, Djursholm, 1963.
- 7. ——, Improving the Side Approximation Theorem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1965), 511-525.
- 8. M. Brown, Locally flat imbeddings of topological manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2) 75 (1962), 331-341.
- 9. C. E. Burgess, *Characterizations of tame surfaces in* E<sup>3</sup>, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1965), 80-97.
- 10. J. C. Cantrell, Almost locally polyhedral 2-spheres in S<sup>3</sup>, Duke Math. J. 30 (1963), 249-252.
- 11. P. H. Doyle and J. G. Hocking, Some results on tame disks and spheres in E<sup>3</sup>, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 832-836.
- 12. R. Fox and E. Artin, Some wild cells and spheres in three-dimensional space, Ann. of Math. (2) 49 (1948), 979-990.
- 13. D. S. Gillman, Note concerning a wild sphere of Bing, Duke Math. J. 31 (1964), 247-254.
- 14. O. G. Harrold, Jr. and E. E. Moise, Almost locally polyhedral spheres, Ann. of Math. (2) 57 (1953), 575-578.
- 15. J. Hempel, A surface in S<sup>3</sup> is tame if it can be deformed into each complementary domain, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1964), 273-287.
- 16. —, Free surfaces in  $S^3$  (to appear).
- 17. E. E. Moise, Affine structures in 3-manifolds, VIII. Invariance of the knot-types; local tame imbedding, Ann. of Math. (2) 59 (1954), 159-170.
- 18. C. D. Papakyriakopoulos, On Dehn's Lemma and the asphericity of knots, Ann. of Math. (2) 66 (1957), 1-26.
- 19. D. G. Stewart, Cellular subsets of the 3-sphere, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1965), 10-22.
- 20. C. D. Sikkema, A duality between certain spheres and arcs in S<sup>3</sup>, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1966), 399-415.
- 21. R. L. Wilder, *Topology of manifolds*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications 32, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1949 and 1963.