

# LENGTH AND AREA ESTIMATES FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Thomas H. MacGregor

Suppose that  $f(z)$  is analytic for  $|z| < 1$ , and for  $0 < r \leq 1$  let  $D(r, f)$  denote the image of  $|z| < r$  under  $f(z)$ . Let  $A(r, f)$  denote the area of  $D(r, f)$ , in the sense that the areas of regions of  $D(r, f)$  covered more than once are counted with the appropriate multiplicity. Also, let  $L(r, f)$  denote the length of the curve  $w = f(re^{i\theta})$  ( $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ ). Then, for  $r < 1$ ,

$$(1) \quad A(r, f) \geq \pi r^2 |f'(0)|^2,$$

$$(2) \quad L(r, f) \geq 2\pi r |f'(0)|,$$

and in either estimate equality holds only for the functions  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z$  [3, p. 155, Problems 10, 11].

We improve (1) and (2) in the following way. If  $a(r, f)$  denotes the area of the set  $D(r, f)$ , then evidently  $A(r, f) \geq a(r, f)$ . Theorem 1 (with  $n = 1$ ) asserts that  $a(r, f) \geq \pi r^2 |f'(0)|^2$ . This estimate is precise only for the functions for which (1) is precise.

Let  $C(r, f)$  be the outer boundary of  $D(r, f)$ , and let  $\ell(r, f)$  be the length of  $C(r, f)$ . Here we mean length to be measured as seen; that is, the lengths of multiply covered arcs of  $C(r, f)$  are counted only once. Then  $L(r, f) \geq \ell(r, f)$ , and Theorem 2 (with  $n = 1$ ) asserts that  $\ell(r, f) \geq 2\pi r |f'(0)|$ . Again, equality occurs only for the functions  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z$ . If  $\ell^*(r, f)$  denotes the length of the boundary of  $D(r, f)$  (in the above sense) then  $L(r, f) \geq \ell^*(r, f) \geq \ell(r, f)$ , so that, in particular, our result implies that  $\ell^*(r, f) \geq 2\pi r |f'(0)|$ .

If  $A(1, f)$  exists, then (1) also holds for  $r = 1$ . Indeed, if

$$(3) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

then the formula

$$(4) \quad A(r, f) = \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2 r^{2n}$$

is valid even for  $r = 1$ , in the case where  $A(1, f)$  is finite.

If  $f(z)$  is continuous for  $|z| \leq 1$  and of bounded variation on  $|z| = 1$ , then (2) is valid for  $r = 1$ . This follows since (2) holds for all  $r < 1$ , and with these additional hypotheses  $L(r, f) \rightarrow L(1, f)$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$  [5, p. 150, 6.11].

If  $f(z)$  has the form (3), then (4) implies that

---

Received April 6, 1964.

This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under the grant NSF-GP-161.

$$(5) \quad A(r, f) \geq \pi n r^{2n} |a_n|^2.$$

Also, from the relations

$$n a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{f'(z)}{z^n} dz, \quad L(r, f) = \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})| r d\theta$$

one readily obtains

$$(6) \quad L(r, f) \geq 2\pi r^n n |a_n|.$$

Inequalities (5) and (6) are the same as (1) and (2) if  $n = 1$ , and they are precise only for the functions  $f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n$ , which map  $|z| < r$  onto a circle covered  $n$  times (if  $a_n \neq 0$ ). If the power series for  $f(z)$  begins  $f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ , then the factor  $n$  in (5) and (6) can be replaced by 1 when  $a(r, f)$  and  $\ell(r, f)$  are considered; that is, in this case

$$(7) \quad a(r, f) \geq \pi r^{2n} |a_n|^2,$$

$$(8) \quad \ell(r, f) \geq 2\pi r^n |a_n|.$$

For  $n = 1$ , (7) and (8) are the results announced earlier.

The proof of (7) depends upon an application of the principle of symmetrization [1, Chapter 4], [4], whereas (8) is established by means of the principle of subordination [3, p. 226]. It is interesting to note that the method of proving (8) can be used to obtain a weaker form of (7), and that the method of proving (7) can be used to obtain a weaker form of (8), namely  $\ell^*(r, f) \geq 2\pi r^n |a_n|$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$  be analytic for  $|z| < 1$ , and for  $0 < r < 1$  let  $D(r, f)$  denote the image of  $|z| < r$  under  $f(z)$ . If  $a(r, f)$  is the area of  $D(r, f)$ , then  $a(r, f) \geq \pi r^{2n} |a_n|^2$ .*

*Proof.* The function  $g(z) = f(rz) = b_0 + b_n z^n + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$  is analytic for  $|z| < 1$  and maps  $|z| < 1$  onto  $D(r, f)$ . Because of the form of  $g(z)$ ,

$$(9) \quad |b_n| \leq r_1,$$

where  $r_1$  is the inner radius of  $D(r, f)$  at  $b_0$ . This can be proved by the method used for the case  $n = 1$  [1, p. 80], except that the function  $h(z)$  in that argument must be replaced by

$$H(z) = g_\rho(g(z), b_0) + \log \frac{|z|^n}{\rho^n},$$

so that  $H(0) = \log[r(\rho)/|b_n|\rho^n] \geq 0$ . (The notation  $g_\rho(w, b_0)$  is the same as that used in [1].)

Let  $D$  be the Steiner symmetrization of  $D(r, f)$  with respect to any line through  $b_0$ . Since  $D$  is a bounded simply connected domain, there exists a function  $h(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + \dots$ , analytic and univalent for  $|z| < 1$ , mapping  $|z| < 1$  onto  $D$ , and such that  $c_0 = b_0$ . Now  $r_1 \leq r_2 = |c_1|$ , where  $r_2$  is the inner radius of  $D$  with respect to  $c_0$ . Together with (9), this implies that

$$(10) \quad |b_n| \leq |c_1|.$$

The area of  $D$  (call it  $A$ ) exists, since  $h(z)$  is univalent and bounded, and

$$(11) \quad A \geq \pi |c_1|^2.$$

Since Steiner symmetrization preserves area,  $A = a(r, f)$ , and from (11), (10), and the relation  $b_n = r^n a_n$  we obtain the result  $a(r, f) \geq \pi r^{2n} |a_n|^2$ .

This theorem is exact, since  $a(r, f) = \pi r^{2n} |a_n|^2$  for the functions

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n.$$

For  $n = 1$ ,  $a(r, f) = \pi r^2 |a_1|^2$  only for the functions  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z$ . To prove this, notice that  $a(r, f) = \pi r^2 |a_1|^2$  demands  $A = \pi |c_1|^2$  and  $|b_1| = |c_1|$ . The condition  $A = \pi |c_1|^2$  implies that  $h(z) = c_0 + c_1 z$ , and equality in the principle of symmetrization implies that  $g(z) = h(\varepsilon z)$ , where  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ . Since  $g(z) = f(rz)$ , this shows that  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$  be analytic for  $|z| < 1$ , and for  $0 < r < 1$  let  $D(r, f)$  denote the image of  $|z| < r$  under  $f(z)$ . If  $\ell(r, f)$  is the length of the outer boundary of  $D(r, f)$ , then  $\ell(r, f) \geq 2\pi r^n |a_n|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the unbounded component of the complement of  $D(r, f)$ , and let  $F$  be the complement of  $E$ . Then  $F$  consists of  $D(r, f)$  and the "holes" in  $D(r, f)$ . The outer boundary of  $D(r, f)$ , which we denote by  $C$ , is defined as the boundary of  $E$ , or equivalently as the boundary of  $F$ . Thus  $C$  consists of a finite number of analytic arcs.

There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $f(z)$  is nonconstant, in which case  $F$  is a simply connected domain [2, Lemma 2].  $F$  is also bounded, and therefore there exists a function  $h(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + \dots$ , analytic and univalent for  $|z| < 1$ , mapping  $|z| < 1$  onto  $F$ , and such that  $c_0 = a_0$ . Since the function

$$g(z) = f(rz) = b_0 + b_n z^n + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$$

maps  $|z| < 1$  onto  $D(r, f)$ , and since  $b_0 = a_0$  and  $D(r, f) \subset F$ , the function  $g(z)$  is subordinate to  $h(z)$ . Thus there exists a function  $\phi(z) = d_1 z + d_2 z^2 + \dots$  that is analytic and satisfies  $|\phi(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$ , and such that  $g(z) = h(\phi(z))$ . Because of the form of the power series for  $g(z)$ , and since  $g(z) = h(\phi(z))$  and  $c_1 \neq 0$ , one finds successively that  $d_1 = 0$ ,  $d_2 = 0$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $d_{n-1} = 0$ , and  $b_n = c_1 d_n$ . Using  $|d_n| \leq 1$ , we obtain

$$(12) \quad |b_n| \leq |c_1|.$$

Now  $h(z)$  can be defined continuously for  $|z| \leq 1$ , and  $h(z)$  is of bounded variation on  $|z| = 1$ . Moreover, as  $z$  traverses the circle  $|z| = 1$  once,  $h(z)$  traverses  $C$  once, always moving in the same direction. Therefore, if  $L$  denotes the length of the curve  $w = h(e^{i\theta})$  ( $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ ), then

$$(13) \quad L = \ell(r, f),$$

$$(14) \quad L \geq 2\pi |c_1|.$$

Our result  $\ell(r, f) \geq 2\pi r^n |a_n|$  follows from (12), (13), and (14), since  $b_n = r^n a_n$ .

To determine when  $\ell(r, f) = 2\pi r^n |a_n|$ , notice that this demands  $|b_n| = |c_1|$  and  $L = 2\pi |c_1|$ . The condition  $|b_n| = |c_1|$  is equivalent to  $|d_n| = 1$ , which holds only if  $\phi(z) = \varepsilon z^n$ , where  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ . Since  $f(rz) = h(\phi(z))$ , this implies that  $h(z)$  is analytic for  $|z| \leq 1$ , and hence  $L = 2\pi |c_1|$  can occur only if  $h(z) = c_0 + c_1 z$ . Then the relation  $\phi(z) = \varepsilon z^n$  shows that  $f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n$ . Conversely, for the functions  $f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n$ ,  $\ell(r, f) = 2\pi r^n |a_n|$ .

## REFERENCES

1. W. K. Hayman, *Multivalent functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1958.
2. T. H. MacGregor, *Translations of the image domains of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
3. Z. Nehari, *Conformal mapping*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952.
4. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Isoperimetric inequalities in mathematical physics*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951.
5. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959.

Lafayette College