

INVARIANT LEVI FACTORS

Earl J. Taft

1. INTRODUCTION

Let L be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero. By a well-known theorem of Levi (see [8]), L is a vector-space direct sum of a semi-simple subalgebra S and the radical R , the latter being the maximal solvable ideal of L . S is called a Levi factor of L . In [7], we proved that if G is a finite group of automorphisms of L , then L contains Levi factors which are left fixed by the elements of G , in other words, G -invariant Levi factors. (In [7], the result is obtained for a wider class of algebras, and G is allowed to possess anti-automorphisms as well as automorphisms. However, since τ is an anti-automorphism of the Lie algebra L if and only if $-\tau$ is an automorphism, it suffices to assume that G consists of automorphisms of L).

We discuss here the uniqueness question for G -invariant Levi factors of L . Let N denote the nil radical of L , that is, the maximal nilpotent ideal in L . Then, if $z \in N$, the mapping $\text{Ad } z$ of L defined by $b(\text{Ad } z) = [b, z]$ is a nilpotent derivation of L , and its exponential $\exp(\text{Ad } z)$ is an automorphism of L . It follows from the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula ([1] or [2]), that the collection of these automorphisms for $z \in N$ forms a group. In [4] and [6], it is shown that if S and T are two Levi factors of L , then there exists an automorphism $\exp(\text{Ad } z)$ of L ($z \in N$) which maps S isomorphically onto T .

In [7], we conjectured that if S and T are two G -invariant Levi factors of L , then there exists an automorphism of L which commutes with each element of G and carries S onto T . Here we shall prove this conjecture (see Corollary 1), and we now proceed to describe the type of automorphism which will accomplish the result.

2. DEFINITIONS

We call an element $z \in L$ *G-symmetric* if $\tau z = z$ for all $\tau \in G$. The G -symmetric elements of L form a subalgebra of L . The G -symmetric elements in N form a nilpotent subalgebra of L .

Now let z be a G -symmetric element of L . If $x \in L$ and $\tau \in G$, then

$$(\tau x) \text{Ad } z = [\tau x, z],$$

$$\tau(x \text{Ad } z) = \tau([x, z]) = [\tau x, \tau z] = [\tau x, z].$$

Therefore $\text{Ad } z$ commutes with the element of G . Hence, if z is a G -symmetric element of N , then $\exp(\text{Ad } z)$, which is a polynomial in $\text{Ad } z$, commutes with the elements of G . It follows that if S is any G -invariant subalgebra of L , then so is $S \exp(\text{Ad } z)$.

Definition. If z is a G -symmetric element of N , we call the automorphism $\exp(\text{Ad } z)$ a G -symmetry of L . Two subalgebras of L will be called G -symmetric if there exists a G -symmetry of L which carries one onto the other.

Using the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula, one can easily show that the automorphisms $\exp(\text{Ad } z)$ with z G -symmetric in N form a group. Hence, the relation of G -symmetry is an equivalence relation among the subalgebras of L and is also an equivalence relation among the G -invariant subalgebras of L .

Now let S be a G -invariant Levi factor of L ; then $L = S + R$. If we apply $\exp(\text{Ad } z)$ to L , where z is a G -symmetric element of N , then, since R is invariant under all automorphisms of L , we obtain $L = S \exp(\text{Ad } z) + R$, where $S \exp(\text{Ad } z)$ is G -invariant, semi-simple, and isomorphic to L/R , and has zero intersection with R . Hence $S \exp(\text{Ad } z)$ is a G -invariant Levi factor of L . The converse will follow from our theorem.

3. THE THEOREM

THEOREM. *Let L be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero. Let R denote the radical of L , and let N denote its nil radical. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of L . Let S be a G -invariant semi-simple subalgebra of L , and let $L = T + R$ be a Levi decomposition of L , where T is a G -invariant Levi factor of L . Then there exists a G -symmetric element $z \in N$ such that $S \exp(\text{Ad } z) \subset T$.*

Proof. Let L' denote the derived algebra $[L, L]$ of L , and let

$$L^{(n)} = [L^{(n-1)}, L^{(n-1)}]$$

denote the terms of the derived series of L . Then, for some integer n , $R^{(n)} = \{0\}$, and also, since $N \subset R$; $N^{(n)} = \{0\}$.

If $s \in S$, then $s = t(s) + r(s)$, where $t(s) \in T$ and $r(s) \in R$. Let $s_1, s_2 \in S$. Then

$$[s_1, s_2] = [t(s_1), t(s_2)] + [t(s_1), r(s_2)] + [r(s_1), t(s_2)] + [r(s_1), r(s_2)].$$

Hence we have

$$(1) \quad t([s_1, s_2]) = [t(s_1), t(s_2)],$$

$$(2) \quad r([s_1, s_2]) = [t(s_1), r(s_2)] + [r(s_1), t(s_2)] + [r(s_1), r(s_2)].$$

Also, since S, T and R are G -invariant, we have

$$(3) \quad t(\tau s) = \tau t(s), \quad r(\tau s) = \tau r(s) \quad (s \in S, \tau \in G).$$

Since $[L, R] \subset N$, it follows from (2) that $r([s_1, s_2]) \in N$ for $s_1, s_2 \in S$. But since S is semi-simple, $S = S'$; and it follows that $r(s) \in N$ for all $s \in S$.

Hence, $S \subset T + N$. Let $G_0 = \exp(\text{Ad } 0)$; that is, let G_0 be the identity mapping of L . Then $S^{G_0} \subset T + N^{(0)}$ (where $N^{(0)} = N$). Now suppose that we have found G -symmetries G_0, G_1, \dots, G_k such that

$$S_k = S^{G_0 G_1 \dots G_k} \subset T + N^{(k)}.$$

We shall show that then there exists a G -symmetry G_{k+1} such that

$$S^{G_0 G_1 \cdots G_{k+1}} \subset T + N^{(k+1)}.$$

Since $N^{(n)} = \{0\}$, $G_0 G_1 \cdots G_n$ will be the desired G -symmetry.

If $s \in S_k$, then $S = t(s) + r(s)$, where $t(s) \in T$ and $r(s) \in N$. By the induction hypothesis, we take $r(s) \in N^{(k)}$. Then (1), (2), and (3) hold for $s_1, s_2, s \in S_k$ and $\tau \in G$.

Now consider $N^{(k)}/N^{(k+1)}$. We regard this as a representation module for S_k by defining $s \cdot \bar{z} = \overline{[t(s), z]}$ for $s \in S_k, z \in N^{(k)}, \bar{z} = z + N^{(k+1)} \in N^{(k)}/N^{(k+1)}$. By (2), we have

$$\overline{r([s_1, s_2])} = s_1 \cdot \overline{r(s_2)} - s_2 \cdot \overline{r(s_1)}.$$

Therefore, the mapping $s \rightarrow \overline{r(s)}$ of S into $N^{(k)}/N^{(k+1)}$ satisfies the conditions of a lemma of Whitehead's (see [5], Theorem 2.1). Since $H^1(S, N^{(k)}/N^{(k+1)}) = \{0\}$, (see [3], Theorem 25.1), there exists a $z \in N^{(k)}$ such that

$$(4) \quad \overline{r(s)} = s \cdot \bar{z} = \overline{[t(s), z]} \quad (s \in S_k).$$

Now set

$$z' = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\tau \in G} \tau z,$$

where g is the order of G . If $\rho \in G$, then

$$\rho z' = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\tau \in G} (\rho\tau)z = z'.$$

Since $N^{(k)}$ is G -invariant, it follows that z' is a G -symmetric element of $N^{(k)}$. The elements of G induce mappings in $L/N^{(k+1)}$ by $\tau(\bar{l}) = \overline{\tau l}$ for $\tau \in G$ and $l \in L$. We now compute $s \cdot \bar{z}'$ for $s \in S_k, z'$ as above. By direct computation one finds that

$$s \cdot \bar{z}' = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\tau \in G} \overline{[t(s), \tau(z)]},$$

where by (3)

$$\overline{[t(s), \tau(z)]} = \overline{\tau[\tau^{-1}t(s), z]} = \overline{\tau[t(\tau^{-1}s), z]}.$$

Now, using (4) and (3), we obtain

$$\overline{[t(s), \tau(z)]} = \tau \overline{[t(\tau^{-1}s), z]} = \overline{\tau r(\tau^{-1}s)} = \overline{\tau r(\tau^{-1}s)} = \overline{r(s)}.$$

Hence,

$$\overline{s \cdot z'} = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\tau \in G} \overline{r(s)} = \overline{r(s)}.$$

and we have

$$(5) \quad r(s) = s \cdot \overline{z'} = \overline{[t(s), z']}.$$

Now set $z_k = -z'$. Then z_k is a G -symmetric element in $N^{(k)}$. Let G_{k+1} denote the G -symmetry $\exp(\text{Ad } z_k)$. Then, if $s \in S_k$, we have

$$s^{G_{k+1}} = s[1 + \text{Ad}(-z') + \cdots],$$

where the omitted terms involve two or more multiplications by $-z' \in N^{(k)}$ and hence yield elements in $N^{(k+1)}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} s^{G_{k+1}} &\equiv s + [s, -z'] \pmod{N^{(k+1)}} \\ &= t(s) + r(s) + [t(s), -z'] + [r(s), -z'] \\ &\equiv t(s) + r(s) - [t(s), z'] \pmod{N^{(k+1)}} \\ &\equiv t(s) \pmod{N^{(k+1)}} \quad \text{by (5)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $S_k^{G_{k+1}} \subset T + N^{(k+1)}$, and this completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 1. *If S and T are any two G -invariant Levi factors of L , then S and T are G -symmetric.*

COROLLARY 2. *Any G -invariant semi-simple subalgebra of L may be embedded in a G -invariant Levi factor of L .*

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Analytical groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1938), 61-101.
2. J. E. Campbell, *On a law of combination of operators bearing on the theory of continuous transformation groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 28 (1897), 381-390.
3. C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg, *Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1948), 85-124.
4. Harish-Chandra, *On the radical of a Lie algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), 14-17.
5. G. Hochschild, *Semi-simple algebras and generalized derivations*, Amer. J. Math. 64 (1942), 677-694.
6. A. Mal'cev, *On the representation of an algebra as a direct sum of the radical and a semi-simple algebra*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 36 (1942), 42-45.
7. E. J. Taft, *Invariant Wedderburn factors*, Illinois J. Math. 1 (1957), 565-573.
8. J. H. C. Whitehead, *On the decomposition of an infinitesimal group*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 32 (1936), 229-237.