

A SIMPLE PROOF OF FUNCTIONAL COMPLETENESS IN
MANY-VALUED LOGICS BASED ON
ŁUKASIEWICZ'S C AND N

ROBERT E. CLAY

Past investigations, [1], [2] and [3], have used the integers $1, 2, \dots, n$ as truth-values for an n -valued logic. In such a logic, the truth-functions associated with C and N have the following definitions

$$C(p, q) = \max(1, q - p + 1); \quad N(p) = n - p + 1.$$

Here we shall use $n + 1$ -valued logics with truth-values $0, 1, \dots, n$. As a result, the above definitions simplify to

$$C(p, q) = \max(0, q - p); \quad N(p) = n - p.$$

Not only does this simplify the computations involved, but also makes a simple line of proof apparent. No logical tools are used, and the only non-trivial number-theoretic result used is "If $(a, b) = d$,¹ then there are integers x and y for which $ax + by = d$."

Theorem 1. Any function² which takes the value 0 once and n otherwise is generated by C and N .

1. $C(p, p) = 0$.
2. $N(0) = n$.
3. $\alpha_m(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \min(n, p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_m)$ is generated for $m \geq 1$.

Proof is by induction.

$$C(0, p_1) = p_1 = \min(n, p_1) = \alpha_1(p_1).$$

Suppose that α_k is generated for $k \geq 1$.

$$N(\alpha_k(p_1, \dots, p_k)) = \max(0, n - (p_1 + \dots + p_k)).$$

¹ $(a, b) = d$ means that d is the greatest common divisor of a and b .

²All functions used in this paper will have $0, 1, \dots, n$ as the domain for each argument and will take values in this set.

$$C(p_{k+1}, N(\alpha_k(p_1, \dots, p_k))) = \max(0, n - (p_1 + \dots + p_k) - p_{k+1}) = \max(0, n - (p_1 + \dots + p_{k+1})).$$

$$N(C(p_{k+1}, N(\alpha_k(p_1, \dots, p_k)))) = \min(n, p_1 + \dots + p_{k+1}) = \alpha_{k+1}(p_1, \dots, p_{k+1}).$$

4. $\beta_m(p) = \min(n, mp)$ is generated for $m \geq 0$.

$$\beta_0(p) = \min(n, 0) = C(p, p)$$

$$\beta_m(p) = \alpha_m(p, \dots, p) \text{ for } m \geq 1.$$

5. $\gamma_m(p) = \begin{cases} n, & p = m \\ 0, & p \neq m \end{cases}$ is generated for $0 < m \leq n$.

Proof is by induction.

$$\beta_n(p) = \begin{cases} 0, & p = 0 \\ n, & p \neq 0 \end{cases}; \quad N(\beta_n(p)) = \gamma_0(p).$$

Suppose that $\gamma_i(p)$ has been generated for $0 \leq i < k \leq n$.

$$\alpha(p) = \alpha_k(\gamma_0(p), \dots, \gamma_{k-1}(p)) = \begin{cases} n, & 0 \leq p < k \\ 0, & k \leq p \leq n \end{cases} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0, \dots, k-1, k, \dots, n \\ n \text{-----} n, 0 \text{-----} 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

There is an $s \geq 0$, for which $0 \leq sk < n \leq (s + 1)k$.

$$\beta_s(p) = \begin{cases} sp, & 0 \leq p \leq k \\ n, & k < p \leq n \end{cases} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \dots, k, k+1, \dots, n \\ 0, p, \dots, sk, n \text{-----} n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha_2(\alpha(p), \beta_s(p)) = \begin{cases} sk, & p = k \\ n, & p \neq k \end{cases} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0, \dots, k-1, k, k+1, \dots, n \\ n \text{-----} n, sk, n \text{-----} n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$N(\alpha_2(\alpha(p), \beta_s(p))) = \begin{cases} n - sk, & p = k \\ 0, & p \neq k \end{cases} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0, \dots, k-1, k, k+1, \dots, n \\ 0 \text{-----} 0, n-sk, 0 \text{-----} 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $sk < n$, $n - sk \geq 1$. Thus there is a t , $0 < t \leq n$, for which $t(n - sk) \geq n$.

$$\gamma_k(p) = \beta_t(N(\alpha_2(\alpha(p), \beta_s(p)))) = \begin{cases} n, & p = k \\ 0, & p \neq k \end{cases}$$

6. $\delta_m(p) = N(\gamma_m(p)) = \begin{cases} 0, & p = m \\ n, & p \neq m \end{cases}$ is generated for $0 \leq m \leq n$.

7. $\epsilon_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \alpha_m(\delta_{k_1}(p_1), \dots, \delta_{k_m}(p_m)) = \begin{cases} 0, & k_i = p_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ n, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

is generated for $m \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k_i \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Q. E. D.

Theorem 2. If f is a function of m variables, $m \geq 1$, with the value c_{k_1}, \dots, c_{k_m} in the k_1, \dots, k_m -th place, then f is generated by C , N , and all the constants c_{k_1}, \dots, c_{k_m} .

$$8. \pi_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(p_1, \dots, p_m) = C(\epsilon_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(p_1, \dots, p_m), c_{k_1, \dots, k_m}) = \begin{cases} c_{k_1, \dots, k_m}, & k_i = p_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ \max(0, c_{k_1, \dots, k_m} - n), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} c_{k_1, \dots, k_m}, & k_i = p_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$9. \alpha_{(n+1)^m}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_0(p_1, \dots, p_m), \dots, \pi_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(p_1, \dots, p_m), \dots, \pi_n, \dots, \pi_n(p_1, \dots, p_m)) = c_{k_1, \dots, k_m} \text{ for } k_i = p_i, 1 \leq i \leq m. \text{ Q.E.D.}$$

Theorem 3. If a and b are constants, $0 \leq a < b \leq n$, then every constant function of the form $ax + by$, where $0 \leq ax + by \leq n$, is generated by C, N, a, b .

Proof. By induction on $|x| + |y|$.

If $|x| + |y| = 0, x = 0 = y. \quad a0 + b0 = 0 = C(p, p)$.

Suppose the theorem is true if $|x| + |y| < k$. Consider $0 \leq ax + by \leq n$, where $|x| + |y| = k$.

Case 1. $x = 0. \quad a0 + by = by = \beta_y(b)$.

Case 2.1. $x > 0. \quad a \leq ax + by \leq n$.

Then $0 \leq a(x - 1) + by \leq n - a$. Since $|x - 1| + |y| < |x| + |y| = k, a(x - 1) + by$ is generated. Therefore $\alpha_2(a(x - 1) + by, a) = ax + by$.

Case 2.2. $x > 0. \quad 0 \leq ax + by < a$.

Since $ax \geq a, y < 0. \quad b - a \leq a(x - 1) + b(y + 1) < b; |x - 1| < |x|$ and $|y + 1| < |y|$, so $|x - 1| + |y + 1| < k$. Therefore $a(x - 1) + b(y + 1)$ is generated. $C(b, a) = a - b, \alpha_2(a(x - 1) + b(y + 1), a - b) = ax + by$.

Case 3. $x < 0. \quad$ Since $ax < 0, y > 0$.

Case 3.1. $b \leq ax + by \leq n$.

Then $0 \leq ax + b(y - 1) \leq n - b$. Since $|y - 1| < |y|, |x| + |y - 1| < k$. Therefore $ax + b(y - 1)$ is generated. $\alpha_2(ax + b(y - 1), b) = ax + by$.

Case 3.2. $0 \leq ax + by < b$.

Then $0 \leq b - (ax + by) = a(-x) + b(1 - y). \quad |-x| = |x|$ and $|1 - y| < |y|$, so $|-x| + |1 - y| < k$. Therefore $b - (ax + by)$ is generated. $C(b - (ax + by), b) = ax + by$. Therefore in every case, $ax + by$ is generated. Q.E.D.

Theorem 4. If $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_m) = d$, where $i_0 = n$, then d is generated by C, N, i_1, \dots, i_m .

Proof. By induction on m .

If $m = 0, d = n = N(C(p, p))$.

Suppose that $(n, i_1, \dots, i_k) = d_k$ has been generated. Then $d_{k+1} = (n, i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}) = ((n, i_1, \dots, i_k), i_{k+1}) = (d_k, i_{k+1})$. Therefore there are

integers x and y so that $d_k x + i_{k+1} y = d_{k+1}$. Consequently, by Theorem 3, d_{k+1} is generated.

Theorem 5. If $(n, i_1, \dots, i_m) = d$, then the set of constant functions generated by C, N, i_1, \dots, i_m is

$$\mathcal{C}(d) = \left\{ kd \mid 0 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{d} \right\}$$

Proof. $\beta_k(d) = kd$ for $0 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{d}$, so $\mathcal{C}(d)$ is generated. Further, if kd and jd are in $\mathcal{C}(d)$, then $C(kd, jd) = \max(0, (j - k)d)$ and $N(kd) = n - kd = \left(\frac{n}{d} - k\right)d$ are in $\mathcal{C}(d)$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}(d)$ is closed under the application of C and N . Also, since d divides i_k for each k , $i_k = \frac{i_k}{d}d$ is in $\mathcal{C}(d)$. Consider any constant function, $f(p_1, \dots, p_s; i_1, \dots, i_m)$, generated by C, N, i_1, \dots, i_m . Substitute values from $\mathcal{C}(d)$ for the variables. By the two facts given immediately above, f must take on a value from $\mathcal{C}(d)$ for this substitution. Therefore these are the only constants generated.

Theorem 6. An $n + 1$ -valued logic using the values $0, 1, \dots, n$ and based on C, N , and the constant functions i_1, \dots, i_m is functionally complete if and only if $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_m) = 1$. $n = i_0$.

Proof. If $(i_0, \dots, i_m) = 1$, then by Theorem 5, $\mathcal{C}(1)$, which is all the constant functions, is generated. Now applying Theorem 2 we see that every function is generated. If $(i_0, \dots, i_m) = d > 1$, then by Theorem 5, no constant function outside of $\mathcal{C}(d)$ is generated. In particular, 1 is not generated.

Corollary. An $n + 1$ -valued logic using the values $0, 1, \dots, n$ and based on C and N is functionally complete if and only if $n = 1$.

Proof. Set $m = 0$ in Theorem 6. The greatest divisor of n is 1 if and only if $n = 1$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. B. Rosser and A. R. Turquette, *Many-valued logics*, Amsterdam (North Holland Publishing Co.), 1952.
- [2] T. Evans and P. B. Schwartz, On Skupecki T-functions, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 23 (1958), pp. 267-270.
- [3] R. E. Clay, Note on Skupecki T-functions, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, (to appear).

University of Notre Dame
 Notre Dame, Indiana