

## S4.6 IS S4.9

J. JAY ZEMAN

The system S4.4 is  $S4 + \mathcal{E}pCMLpLp$ . In [2], the system S4.9, which may be formulated as S4.4 plus

$$(1) \quad ACLMqMLqCMLpLp$$

and the system S4.6, which may be formulated as S4.4 plus

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{E}LMpCLMqCMKpqLMKpq$$

are discussed; S4.6 is shown to be contained in S4.9; the question of whether the containment is proper is left open. It has been communicated to me that K. Fine has solved this problem negatively; S4.6 is S4.9. The following is based upon but a bit briefer than Fine's proof:

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{E}LMCpqCLMCpNqCMKpqCpNqLMKpqCpNq \quad (2), P/Cpq, q/CpNq$$

The formula  $KCpqCpNq$  is strictly equivalent to the simple  $Np$ ; thus (3) gives

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{E}LMCpqCLMCpNqCMNpLMNp$$

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{E}KLMCpqLMCpNqCMLpLp \quad (4), S1^\circ$$

Even in  $S1^\circ$  the formulas  $\mathcal{E}qCpq$  and  $\mathcal{E}NqCpNq$  are theses; by the semi-substitutivity of strict implication (which holds in  $S2^\circ$ ), then, we may replace  $Cpq$  and  $CpNq$  in formula (5) by  $q$  and  $Nq$  respectively:

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}KLMqLMNqCMLpLp$$

In  $S1^\circ$  this last formula converts to (1); we have (2) giving (1), then, in the field of  $S2^\circ$ , and S4.9 is S4.6 and so also the system Z9 of [1].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Sobociński, B., "A new class of modal systems," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XII (1971), pp. 371-377.  
 [2] Zeman, J. Jay, "A study of some systems in the neighborhood of S4.4," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XII (1971), pp. 341-357.

*University of Florida*  
*Gainesville, Florida*

*Received July 1, 1971*