

## COMBINATORY AND PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

DAVID MEREDITH

The relationship between combinatory and propositional logic is dealt with at length in [1] and tangentially in [2]. The present paper adds nothing essentially new to previous results. It does, however, offer a straightforward procedure, which for any  $\lambda$ -expression in normal form will either lead to its propositional correspondent or determine that this is null. Section 1 presents the hypothesis upon which the correspondence between  $\lambda$ -expressions and propositional formulae is based; our translation procedure is described in section 2, and illustrated in section 3.

1 Hypothesis. In dealing with  $\lambda$ -expressions we assume Church's rules and conventions as given in [3]. With respect to propositional formulae, ' $\Lambda$ ' denotes the null class of formulae, and ' $\Gamma$ ' is used for C. A. Meredith's operator  $D$ : ' $\Gamma PQ$ ' denotes the most general result that can be obtained when *Modus Ponens* is applied with  $P$ , or some substitution in it, as major premiss, and  $Q$ , or some substitution in it, as minor premiss. ' $\sim$ ' denotes correspondence between a  $\lambda$ -expression and a propositional formula. Our basic hypothesis is the following.

Hypothesis *Where  $L, M$  and  $N$  are  $\lambda$ -expressions,  $P, Q$  and  $R$  are propositional formulae, and  $\Sigma$  is an operation under the substitution rule which may be null.*

1. *Let  $L \sim P$ , then for  $M$  with no free variables in common with  $L$ , and all  $N, Q, R$ . If  $M \sim Q, LM = N$ , and  $N \sim R$ , then either  $\Gamma PQ = \Sigma R$  or  $\Gamma PQ = \Lambda$ .*
2. *Let  $N \sim \Lambda$ , then for  $L, M$  with no free variables in common, and all  $P, Q$ . If  $L \sim P, M \sim Q$ , and  $LM = N$ , then  $\Gamma PQ = \Lambda$ .*

The need for the two cases under the first section

- (a)  $L \sim P, M \sim Q, LM = N$  and  $\Gamma PQ = \Sigma R$  for  $\Sigma$  non-null
- (b)  $L \sim P, M \sim Q, LM = N, N \sim R$  and  $\Gamma PQ = \Lambda$

is unfortunate but unavoidable. With respect to (a): if for  $L \sim P$  we take

$$\lambda abcd . ac(bd) \sim CCpCqrCCsqCpCsr$$

Received March 9, 1971

and for  $M \sim Q$  we take

$$\lambda ab . a \sim CpCqp$$

then while for  $N$  we have

$$\lambda abc . b \sim CrCpCqp$$

$\Gamma PQ$  gives us

$$\Gamma PQ = CCqrCpCqp.$$

With respect to (b): if for  $L \sim P$  we take

$$\lambda ab . a(ab) \sim CCpCp$$

and for  $M \sim Q$  we take

$$\lambda ab . a \sim CpCqp$$

then while for  $N$  we have

$$\lambda abc . a \sim CpCqCrp$$

$\Gamma PQ$  gives us

$$\Gamma PQ = \Lambda .$$

**2  $\lambda$ -Translation Procedure.** Our procedure requires three definitions and a set of derivation rules.

**Definition 1** Let  $E = \lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n . X_1 X_2 \dots X_m$  or  $E = X_1 X_2 \dots X_m$  ( $n, m \geq 1$ ) be a  $\lambda$ -expression in principal normal form; let  $F = \lambda y_1 y_2 \dots y_p . Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_q$  ( $p, q \geq 1$ ) be a well-formed component of  $E$ : then each part of  $E$  yields a *result* and an *equality* determined as follows.

1. For  $\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n .$  or the null prefix, and for  $E, P_k$  is the result of  $\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n .$  or the null prefix,  $P_l$  is the result of  $E$ , and  $P_l = Cx_1 Cx_2 \dots Cx_n P_k$  or  $P_l = P_k$ .

2. For  $\lambda y_1 y_2 \dots y_p .$  and for  $F, P_k$  is the result of  $\lambda y_1 y_2 \dots y_p .$ ,  $P_l$  is the result of  $F$ , and  $P_l = Cy_1 Cy_2 \dots Cy_p P_k$ .

3. For  $Z_i Z_j$  any well-formed component of  $E$  such that  $Z_i Z_j \neq X_{m-1} X_m$  and  $Z_i Z_j \neq Y_{q-1} Y_q$ :

- a. If  $Z_i$  and  $Z_j$  are both elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $Z_i = CZ_j P_k$ .
- b. If  $Z_i$  is elementary, and  $Z_j$  is not elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $Z_i = CP_l P_k$  where  $P_l$  is the result of  $Z_j$ .
- c. If  $Z_i$  is not elementary, and  $Z_j$  is elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $P_l = CZ_j P_k$  where  $P_l$  is the result of  $Z_i$ .
- d. If  $Z_i$  and  $Z_j$  are neither of them elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $P_l = CP_m P_k$  where  $P_l$  and  $P_m$  are the results of  $Z_i$  and  $Z_j$  respectively.

4. For  $Y_{q-1} Y_q$  where  $P_k$  is the result of  $\lambda y_1 y_2 \dots y_p$ :

- a. If  $Y_{q-1}$  and  $Y_q$  are both elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $Y_{q-1} = CY_q P_k$ .

- b. If  $Y_{q-1}$  is elementary and  $Y_q$  is not elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $Y_{q-1} = CP_l P_k$  where  $P_l$  is the result of  $Y_q$ .
- c. If  $Y_{q-1}$  is not elementary and  $Y_q$  is elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $P_l = CY_q P_k$  where  $P_l$  is the result of  $Y_{q-1}$ .
- d. If  $Y_{q-1}$  and  $Y_q$  are neither of them elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $P_l = CP_m P_k$  where  $P_l$  and  $P_m$  are the results of  $Y_{q-1}$  and  $Y_q$  respectively.
5. For  $Y_q$  ( $q = 1$ ) elementary, where  $P_k$  is the result of  $\lambda y_1 y_2 \dots y_p$ ,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $Y_q = P_k$ .
6. For  $X_{m-1} X_m$  where  $P_k$  is the result of  $\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$  or the null prefix:
- a. If  $X_{m-1}$  and  $X_m$  are both elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $X_{m-1} = CX_m P_k$ .
- b. If  $X_{m-1}$  is elementary and  $X_m$  is not elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $X_{m-1} = CP_l P_k$  where  $P_l$  is the result of  $X_m$ .
- c. If  $X_{m-1}$  is not elementary and  $X_m$  is elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $P_l = CX_m P_k$  where  $P_l$  is the result of  $X_{m-1}$ .
- d. If  $X_{m-1}$  and  $X_m$  are neither of them elementary,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $P_l = CP_m P_k$  where  $P_l$  and  $P_m$  are the results of  $X_{m-1}$  and  $X_m$  respectively.
7. For  $X_m$  ( $m = 1$ ) elementary, where  $P_k$  is the result of  $\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$  or the null prefix,  $P_k$  is the result, and  $X_m = P_k$ .

Our derivation rules are the normal rules governing identity, together with three special rules.

Rule 1. Where  $I, J, K, L, M \neq \Lambda$ , if  $I = CJK$  and  $I = CLM$ , then  $J = L$  and  $K = M$ .

Rule 2. Where  $I$  occurs in  $J$ , if  $I = J$ , then  $I = \Lambda$ .

Rule 3. Where  $I$  and  $J$  occur in  $K$ , if  $I = \Lambda$ , then  $J = \Lambda$  and  $K = \Lambda$ .

Definition 2 The *equality set belonging to a  $\lambda$ -expression*  $=_{df}$  the union of the set of equalities which the expression yields, and the set of equalities derivable from that set.

Definition 3 The *expanded result of a  $\lambda$ -expression*  $=_{df}$   $J$ , where for the result  $I$  of the expression,  $I = J$  is a member of the equality set belonging to the expression, and either  $J$  is null, or the set contains no equality  $I = K$  where  $K$  is longer than  $J$  or is of the same length as  $J$  and has fewer distinct arguments.

If the expanded result of a  $\lambda$ -expression is null, the expression has no propositional correspondent. If this result is non-null, the desired correspondent is obtainable by relettering.

**3 Illustrations** In illustrating our procedure we make use of the following conventions:

A. 'Q' 'R' ... are always used to denote the results of  $\lambda$ -prefixes, of  $Y_{q-1} Y_q$ , of  $Y_q$ , of  $X_{m-1} X_m$ , and of  $X_m$ .

B. 'Φ' 'Ψ' ... are always used to denote the results of well-formed expressions commencing with a  $\lambda$ -prefix.

C. ' $P_1$ ' ' $P_2$ ' . . . are always used to denote the results of  $Z_i Z_j$ .

D. An asterisk marks the first derived equality.

In addition, for equalities yielded by an expression under Definition 1, the section of the definition in virtue of which the quality obtains is noted to its right.

Ex. 1  $\lambda abc . a(bc)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= CaCbCcQ & (1) \\ a &= CP_1 Q & (6b) \\ b &= CcP_1 & (3a) \\ * \Phi &= CCP_1 QCCcP_1 CcQ \sim CCqrCCpqCpr \end{aligned}$$

Ex. 2  $a(bc)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= Q & (1) \\ a &= CP_1 Q & (6b) \\ b &= CcP_1 & (3a) \\ * \Phi &= Q \sim p \end{aligned}$$

The expanded result of an expression with no bound variables will always be either elementary or null.

Ex. 3  $\lambda a . a(bb)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= CaQ & (1) \\ a &= CP_1 Q & (6b) \\ b &= CbP_1 & (3a) \\ * b &= \Lambda \\ \Phi &= \Lambda \end{aligned}$$

The effect of derivation Rule 3 is that the occurrence of a single equality of the form  $I = \Lambda$  in the equality set belonging to a  $\lambda$ -expression, ensures that the expanded result of the expression is null.

Ex. 4  $\lambda ab . a(ab)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= CaCbQ & (1) \\ a &= CP_1 Q & (6b) \\ a &= CbP_1 & (3a) \\ * b &= P_1 \\ Q &= P_1 \\ a &= CP_1 P_1 \\ \Phi &= CCP_1 P_1 CP_1 P_1 \sim CCpqCqp \end{aligned}$$

Ex. 5  $\lambda ab . a(b(\lambda c . a))$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= CaCbQ & (1) \\ a &= CP_1 Q & (6b) \\ b &= C\Psi P_1 & (3b) \\ \Psi &= CcR & (2) \\ a &= R & (5) \\ * R &= CP_1 Q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &= CcCP_1Q \\ b &= CCcCP_1QP_1 \\ \Phi &= CCP_1QCCCcCP_1QP_1Q \sim CCpqCCCrCpqpq\end{aligned}$$

Ex. 6  $\lambda ab . a(\lambda cd . c(bd))$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= CaCbQ & (1) \\ a &= C\Psi Q & (6b) \\ \Psi &= CcCdR & (2) \\ c &= CP_1R & (4b) \\ b &= CdP_1 & (3a) \\ * \Psi &= CCP_1RCdR \\ a &= CCCP_1RCdRQ \\ \Phi &= CCCCP_1RCdRQCCdP_1Q \sim CCCCqrCprCpqs\end{aligned}$$

Ex. 7  $\lambda abc . b(abc)$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= CaCbCcQ & (1) \\ b &= CP_1Q & (6b) \\ a &= CbP_2 & (3a) \\ P_2 &= CcP_1 & (3c) \\ *a &= CCP_1QCcP_1 \\ \Phi &= CCCP_1QCcP_1CCP_1QCcQ \sim CCCpqCrpCCpqcCrq\end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Curry, Haskell B., and Robert Feys, *Combinatory Logic*, Vol. I, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1958).
- [2] Meredith, C. A., and A. N. Prior, "Notes on the axiomatics of the propositional calculus," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. IV (1963), pp. 171-181.
- [3] Church, Alonzo, *The Calculi of Lambda-Conversion*, Princeton University Press (1941).

*RCA Corporation*  
Dayton, New Jersey