

## EFFECTIVE EXTENDABILITY AND FIXED POINTS

THOMAS H. PAYNE

Let  $\alpha$  be any sequence and let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$  be a standard enumeration of the partial recursive functions. A p.r.f.  $\delta$  is said to be a *fixed-point algorithm* for  $\alpha$  if and only if  $\delta(n)$  is an  $\alpha$ -fixed point for  $\varphi_n$  (i.e.,  $n \in \text{Dom } \delta$  and  $\alpha(\delta(n)) = \alpha(\varphi_n(\delta(n)))$  whenever  $\varphi_n$  is total).  $\alpha$  has the *effective fixed-point property* if and only if  $\alpha$  has a total fixed-point algorithm. The purpose of this paper is to show that the effective fixed-point property is more properly viewed as an extendability property since:

- (1)  $\alpha$  has the e.f.p.p. if and only if every partial recursive function  $\psi$  has a total recursive  $\alpha$ -extension  $f$  (i.e.,  $\alpha(f(n)) = \alpha(\psi(n))$  for all  $n \in \text{Dom } \psi$ ).
- (2) There is a sequence having a fixed-point algorithm but not the e.f.p.p. (Hence totalness of the fixed-point algorithm is crucial to the e.f.p.p.)
- (3) If there is a total recursive function  $f$  such that  $f(x)$  is an  $\alpha$ -fixed point of  $\varphi_x$  whenever  $\varphi_x$  is total and constant, then  $\alpha$  has the e.f.p.p. (Hence the fixed points are somewhat incidental to the e.f.p.p. since every sequence has a nontotal algorithm which finds fixed points for constant functions, for example,  $\lambda x[\varphi_x(1)]$ .)

*Proof of 1.* See [3], Lemma 1.1.

*Proof of 2.* We let  $\alpha$  be the canonical sequence of equivalence classes associated with the equivalence relation  $\approx$  constructed below. Along with  $\approx$  we construct a partial recursive function  $\psi$  having no total recursive  $\alpha$ -extension. Thus  $\alpha$  lacks the e.f.p.p. by (1).

Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  be a recursive sequence of disjoint infinite recursive sets. Members of  $T_x$  are called *test values* for  $\varphi_x$ . Let  $f$  be a one to one recursive enumeration of  $\{\langle x, y \rangle \mid y \in \text{Dom } \varphi_x\}$ . We suppose that  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$  are being constructed in stages so that  $\varphi_x(y)$  becomes defined at stage  $f^{-1}(\langle x, y \rangle)$  and at this stage we perform the following three steps in the construction of  $\approx$  and  $\psi$ :

(Step 1) If  $\varphi_x$  does not already have an  $\alpha$ -fixed point we give it one by letting  $y \approx \varphi_x(y)$  provided that we do not thereby cause the violation of a prohibition of order  $x$  or less.

(Step 2) If  $y$  is a test value of  $\varphi_x$  and  $\varphi_x$  agrees, modulo  $\approx$ , with  $\psi$

*Received December 2, 1972*

wherever both are currently defined, then we force them to disagree at  $y$  by letting  $\psi(y)$  be the smallest number not currently equivalent to  $\varphi_x(y)$  under  $\approx$  and place a prohibition of order  $x$  against the situation that  $\varphi_x(y) \approx \psi(y)$ .

(Step 3) Make sure there are  $x$  distinct numbers whose equivalence (pair wise) is prohibited by a currently unviolated prohibition of order  $x$ , adding new prohibitions when and only when necessary.

Notice that a prohibition of order  $x$  is violated only when a predecessor of  $\varphi_x$  in the sequence  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$  acquires an  $\alpha$ -fixed point—a situation which can occur only a finite number of times. Thus step 2 insures that no total  $\varphi_x$  can be an  $\alpha$  extension of  $\psi$ , and step 3 guarantees that  $\approx$  has an infinite number of equivalence classes. It follows that every total  $\varphi_x$  eventually gets an  $\alpha$ -fixed point since  $y$  is prevented from becoming a fixed point of  $\varphi_x$  only if  $y \approx z$  for some  $z$  involved in a prohibition of order  $x$  or less. But there are only finitely many such prohibitions while there are infinitely many  $\approx$ -equivalence classes. Obviously this construction can be done so that  $\psi$  is partial recursive and a fixed-point algorithm can be found for  $\alpha$ . Q.E.D.

*Proof of 3.* Let  $f$  be a recursive function such that  $f(n)$  is an  $\alpha$ -fixed point of  $\varphi_n$  whenever  $\varphi_n$  is total and constant. Using the recursion theorem we obtain a number  $m$  such that

$$\varphi_m = \lambda n [f(g(n, m))]$$

where  $g$  is any total recursive function such that

$$\varphi_{g(n, m)} = \lambda x [\varphi_n(\varphi_m(n))].$$

Now if  $\varphi_n$  is total we have that

$$\alpha(\varphi_m(n)) = \alpha(f(g(n, m))) = \alpha(\varphi_{g(n, m)}(f(g(n, m)))) = \alpha(\varphi_n(\varphi_m(n))).$$

Thus  $\varphi_m$  is a total fixed-point algorithm for  $\alpha$ . Q.E.D.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Mal'cev, A. I., "Totally enumerated sets," *Algebra i Logika Seminar*, vol. 2 (1963), 4-29 (Russian) MR 34 #1179.
- [2] Mal'cev, A. I., "On the theory of computable families of objects," *Algebra i Logika Seminar*, vol. 3 (1964), MR 34 #48.
- [3] Payne, T. H., "Sequences having an effective fixed point property." To appear in *Transactions of American Mathematical Society*.
- [4] Ritter, W. E., "Notation systems and an effective fixed point property," *Proceedings of American Mathematical Society*, vol. 17 (1966), pp. 390-395, MR 21 #2585.

*University of California at Riverside  
Riverside, California*