

REGULARITY OF POINTS IN THE SPECTRUM OF A C^* -ALGEBRA

M.H. SHAH

ABSTRACT. The relationship between different notions of regularity for the points in the spectrum of a C^* -algebra is investigated. Under certain conditions on the points of the spectrum, the Fell regularity implies Glimm regularity and vice versa. A localized version of the Fell-Dixmier theorem on continuous trace of a C^* -algebra is described.

1. Introduction. Let A be a C^* -algebra, and let \widehat{A} be the spectrum of A , the space of all (equivalence classes of) irreducible representations of A . In [4, 4.5.3(iii)] and [5, Remark to Theorem 6] two notions of regularity of points in the spectrum \widehat{A} are described. A point $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ is said to be Fell-regular (or a Fell-point) if there exists an $a \in A^+$ (the set of positive elements of A) and a neighborhood V of π such that $\sigma(a)$ is a rank-one projection for all $\sigma \in V$. On the other hand, a point $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ is said to be Glimm-regular if, whenever (e, U) is a pair such that $e \in A$ and U is a neighborhood of π and (i) $\sigma(e)$ is a projection for all $\sigma \in U$, (ii) $\pi(e)$ is a rank-one projection, then there exists a neighborhood U_0 of π with $U_0 \subseteq U$ such that $\sigma(e)$ is rank-one for all $\sigma \in U_0$. A point π is said to be a separated point of \widehat{A} if for each $\sigma \in \widehat{A} \setminus \{\pi\}$ there exist disjoint open sets U_1 and U_2 such that $\pi \in U_1$ and $\sigma \in U_2$.

It is known [5, 6] that the notions agree if A is liminal with \widehat{A} Hausdorff. We investigate the relation between these notions for more general C^* -algebras. Of course, if $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ is Fell-regular, then, since $\pi(A)$ contains nonzero elements of the algebra of compact operators $K(H_\pi)$, we have $\pi(A) \supseteq K(H_\pi)$ [4, 4.1.10]. On the other hand, if $\pi(A) \cap K(H_\pi) = \{0\}$, then, although π cannot be Fell-regular, it is automatically Glimm-regular (by vacuous satisfaction). However, we prove that, if $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ is a separated point, then π is Fell-regular if and only if $\pi(A) \supseteq K(H_\pi)$ and π is Glimm-regular. We give examples to show that if π is not a separated point then (even if $\pi(A)$ contains the

2000 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 46L05, 46L30.
Received by the editors on February 8, 2005, and in revised form on May 17, 2005.

compacts) neither kind of regularity implies the other. We also give an example to show that π can be both Fell regular and Glimm regular without being a separated point.

From [4, 4.5.3, 4.5.4] we know that if A is a C^* -algebra with continuous trace, then A is liminal, \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and every point of \widehat{A} is Fell-regular; conversely, A is a C^* -algebra with continuous trace if \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and every point of \widehat{A} is Fell-regular. These latter conditions are known as the ‘‘Fell-Dixmier conditions for continuous trace of the C^* -algebra A .’’ In the final section we will prove a localized version of the Fell-Dixmier theorem.

The symbols $B(H)$ and $K(H)$ denote, respectively, the C^* -algebras of bounded linear and compact linear operators acting on a Hilbert space H with adjoint as involution and operator norm. A C^* -algebra A is said to be liminal if $\pi(A) = K(H_\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \widehat{A}$, where H_π is the Hilbert space for π . For the following definitions we refer the reader to [1]. If φ and ψ are pure states of a C^* -algebra A and p, q are their respective support projections in A^{**} , then the transition probability between φ and ψ is denoted by $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ and is defined by $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \varphi(q) = \psi(p)$. If φ and ψ are unitarily equivalent, there will be an irreducible representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ and unit vectors $\xi, \eta \in H$ such that for every $a \in A$ we have $\varphi(a) = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle$, and $\psi(a) = \langle \pi(a)\eta, \eta \rangle$. Hence, the transition probability between φ and ψ is given by $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = |\langle \xi, \eta \rangle|^2$. If φ and ψ are inequivalent (that is, their respective GNS irreducible representations are not unitarily equivalent), then $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = 0$. In Proposition 2.1 we shall use the subset $R(A)$ of $P(A) \times P(A)$ which is defined by $R(A) = \{(\varphi, \psi) : \varphi \text{ and } \psi \text{ are unitarily equivalent pure states of } A\}$.

2. The Fell and Glimm regular points. We give a short proof of the following result using a continuity property [2] for transition probabilities for pure states of the C^* -algebra A .

Proposition 2.1. *Let A be C^* -algebra with spectrum \widehat{A} . Let $\pi \in \widehat{A}$. Suppose π is Fell-regular. Then π a separated point of \widehat{A} implies π is Glimm regular.*

Proof. Suppose π is a separated point of \widehat{A} . Suppose π is not Glimm-regular. Then, there exist an $e \in A$, a neighborhood U of π in \widehat{A} , and a net (π_α) in U convergent to π such that (i) $\pi(e)$ is a rank-one projection, (ii) $\sigma(e)$ is a projection for all $\sigma \in U$, (iii) $\text{rank}(\pi_\alpha(e)) \geq 2$. By (iii) choose, for each α , orthogonal unit vectors $\xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \in \pi_\alpha(e)H_{\pi_\alpha}$, and define $\varphi_\alpha = \langle \pi_\alpha(\cdot)\xi_\alpha, \xi_\alpha \rangle$, $\psi_\alpha = \langle \pi_\alpha(\cdot)\eta_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle$. Clearly, $\varphi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha \in P(A)$, the set of pure states of A with relative w^* -topology. Choose a unit vector $\xi \in \pi(e)H_\pi$, and define $\varphi = \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi, \xi \rangle \in P(A)$. We will show that $\varphi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$. Let $a \in A$. Since $\pi(e)$ is a one-dimensional projection, $\pi(eae) = \varphi(a)\pi(e)$. Since π is a separated point of \widehat{A} , the map $\sigma \rightarrow \|\sigma(a)\|$ is continuous at π for all $a \in A$ [4, 3.9.4(a)]. Therefore, $|\pi_\alpha(eae - \varphi(a)e)| \leq \|\pi_\alpha(eae - \varphi(a)e)\| = 0$. Now, since $|\varphi_\alpha(a) - \varphi(a)| = |\varphi_\alpha(eae - \varphi(a)e)| \leq \|\pi_\alpha(eae - \varphi(a)e)\| \rightarrow 0$, we get $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$. Similarly, $\psi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$. Since π is Fell-regular by [2, Theorem 4.2 ((ii) \rightarrow (i))] the transition probability map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: R(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, given by $(f, g) \mapsto \langle f, g \rangle$ is continuous at (φ, φ) . Therefore, $(\varphi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \rightarrow (\varphi, \varphi)$ implies $\langle \varphi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle$. But $\langle \varphi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha \rangle = |\langle \xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle|^2 = 0$ (since $\xi_\alpha \perp \eta_\alpha$), whereas $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle = |\langle \xi, \xi \rangle|^2 = 1$, a contradiction to the continuity of the map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: R(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ at (φ, φ) . Thus, π is Glimm-regular. \square

An alternative, but more lengthy, proof of Proposition 2.1 can be given by developing the methods of [5] in a more general setting.

Proposition 2.2. *Let A be a C*-algebra with spectrum \widehat{A} . Suppose π is a separated point and a Glimm-regular point of \widehat{A} such that $\pi(A) \supseteq K(H_\pi)$. Then π is Fell-regular.*

Proof. Let E be a rank-one projection in $K(H_\pi)$. Then, since $\pi(A) \supseteq K(H_\pi)$, there exists an $a \in A$ such that $\pi(a) = E$. Let $b = a^*a \geq 0$. Then $\pi(b) = E$ and since $\|\pi(b)\| \leq \|b\|$ so, in particular, $\|b\| \geq 1$. Therefore, $\text{Sp}(b) \cap [1, \infty) \neq \emptyset$, where $\text{Sp}(b)$ is the spectrum of b . Define $g: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \in [0, 1] \\ 1 & \text{if } t \in (1, \infty); \end{cases}$$

then g is continuous on $\text{Sp}(b)$. Define $c = g(b) \geq 0$. Then $\pi(c) = E$ and $\|c\| = 1$. Since π is a separated point of \widehat{A} , the mapping

$\sigma \rightarrow \|\sigma(x)\|$ is continuous at π for each $x \in A$ [4, 3.9.4 (a)]. Also, $\|\pi(c^2 - c)\| = 0$. Hence, there exists a neighborhood U of π in \widehat{A} such that $\|\sigma(c^2 - c)\| < 3/16$ for all $\sigma \in U$. It follows from this that for $\sigma \in U$, $\text{Sp}(\sigma(c)) \subseteq [0, 1/4] \cup [3/4, 1]$. Define $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [0, 1/4] \\ 2t - 1/2 & \text{if } t \in [1/4, 3/4] \\ 1 & \text{if } t \in [3/4, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then f is continuous. Define $e = f(c)$. If $\sigma \in U$, then by definition of f , $\text{Sp}(\sigma(e)) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$. Since $\sigma(e) \geq 0$, it follows that $\sigma(e)$ is a projection for all $\sigma \in U$, and $\pi(e) = \pi(f(c)) = E$. But, by assumption, π is Glimm-regular; therefore, there exists a neighborhood V of π with $V \subseteq U$ such that $\sigma(e)$ is one-dimensional for all $\sigma \in V$. So π is Fell-regular. \square

By combining Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 we obtain the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with spectrum \widehat{A} . Let $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ be a separated point of \widehat{A} . Then the following are equivalent: (1) π is Fell-regular; (2) $\pi(A) \supseteq K(H_\pi)$ and π is Glimm-regular.*

It follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 that if A is liminal and \widehat{A} is Hausdorff, then the notions of Fell regularity and Glimm regularity coincide for elements of \widehat{A} (see [5, page 60] and [6, page 74]).

Remark 2.1. If $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ and $\pi(A) \not\supseteq K(H_\pi)$, then $\pi(A) \cap K(H_\pi) = \{0\}$ [4, 4.1.10] and so π is Glimm-regular (by vacuous satisfaction) but not Fell-regular. However, even if we assume $\pi(A) \supseteq K(H_\pi)$, then neither kind of regularity implies the other in the absence of the hypothesis that π is a separated point. In Kaplansky's example [4, 4.7.19], the one-dimensional representation λ (and also μ) is a nonseparated Fell-point that is not Glimm-regular (consider the pair (e, U) where $e = 1$ and $U = \widehat{A}$). On the other hand, in the example in [3, page 443], the C^* -algebra A has a one-dimensional representation λ with the property that if $g \in A$ and $\lambda(g) = 1$ then there is no neighborhood V of λ in \widehat{A} such that $\sigma(g)$ is a projection for all $\sigma \in V$. Thus, λ is Glimm-regular

(vacuously) and not Fell-regular (in fact the upper multiplicity $M_U(\lambda)$ is 2). By Theorem 2.1, λ cannot be a separated point of \widehat{A} . Indeed, the construction in [3, page 443] shows that for each $t \in (0, 1)$ there is a one-dimensional representation λ_t which cannot be separated from λ by disjoint open sets.

We now give an example to show that π can be both Fell-regular and Glimm-regular without being a separated point.

Example 2.1. Let $X = I \times I$ where $I = [0, 1]$. Define $A = \{f \in C(X, M_2) : f(0, 0) = \text{diag}(\lambda(f), 0), f(0, 1) = \text{diag}(\lambda(f), \mu(f)), f(0, t) = \text{diag}(\lambda(f), \mu_t(f)) \text{ for all } t \in (0, 1)\}$, where $\lambda(f), \mu(f), \mu_t(f) \in \mathbf{C}$.

With pointwise operations and sup-norm A is a C^* -subalgebra of $C(X, M_2)$. Let $(x, y) \in X$. Define $\pi_{(x, y)} : A \rightarrow M_2(\mathbf{C})$ by $\pi_{(x, y)}(f) = f(x, y)$. One can check that

$$\widehat{A} = \{\pi_{(x, y)} : 0 < x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1\} \cup \{\mu_t : 0 < t < 1\} \cup \{\lambda, \mu\}.$$

We show that λ is Fell-regular. Define $h : X \rightarrow M_2(\mathbf{C})$ by

$$h(x, y) = \text{diag}(1, 0) \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in X.$$

Let $J = \overline{AhA}$. Then, clearly, J is a norm-closed two sided ideal of A . Let

$$V = \widehat{A} \setminus (\{\mu_t : t \in (0, 1)\} \cup \{\mu\}) = \{\sigma \in \widehat{A} : \sigma(J) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

Then V is an open neighborhood of λ in \widehat{A} . Since $\sigma(h) = \text{diag}(1, 0)$ for all $\sigma \in V \setminus \{\lambda\}$ and $\lambda(h) = 1$, therefore λ is a Fell-point of \widehat{A} .

We show that λ is Glimm-regular. For each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$V_\varepsilon = \{\lambda\} \cup \{\pi_{(x, y)} : 0 < x < \varepsilon, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$$

is an open neighborhood of λ , corresponding to the closed two-sided ideal of A consisting of all functions f which vanish on $[\varepsilon, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and satisfy $\mu(f) = \mu_t(f) = 0$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that (e, U) is a pair such that $e \in A$, U is a neighborhood of λ in \widehat{A} , $\sigma(e)$ is a projection for

all $\sigma \in U$ and $\lambda(e) = 1$. An elementary compactness argument shows that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $V_\varepsilon \subseteq U$. The function

$$(x, y) \longrightarrow \text{tr}(e(x, y))$$

is a continuous, integer-valued function on the connected set $\{(0, 0)\} \cup ((0, \varepsilon) \times [0, 1])$ and takes the value 1 at $(0, 0)$. It follows that $\pi_{(x, y)}(e)$ has rank one for all $\pi_{(x, y)} \in V_\varepsilon$. Thus, λ is Glimm-regular.

Finally, we show that λ is not a separated point of \widehat{A} . We will construct a net in \widehat{A} and show that it converges to both λ and μ . Let V be some open neighborhood of λ . Therefore, there exist a closed two sided ideal K of A such that $\widehat{K} = V$. Since $\lambda(K) \neq \{0\}$ there exists an $f \in K$ such that $\lambda(f) \neq 0$. Therefore, $f(0, 1) = \text{diag}(\lambda(f), \mu(f)) \neq 0$. Now, since f is continuous, therefore $f(x, 1-x) \rightarrow f(0, 1)$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$; that is, $\pi_{(x, 1-x)}(f) \rightarrow \text{diag}(\lambda(f), \mu(f))$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$. So there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that $\pi_{(x, 1-x)}(f) \neq 0$, for all $x \in (0, \delta)$, that is, $\pi_{(x, 1-x)} \in V$, for all $x \in (0, \delta)$ and, therefore, $\pi_{(x, 1-x)} \rightarrow \mu$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$. Following the above lines with λ replaced by μ we will get $\pi_{(x, 1-x)} \rightarrow \mu$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$; thus, we get $\pi_{(x, 1-x)} \rightarrow \lambda, \mu$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$. Since $\ker \lambda \not\subseteq \ker \mu$, λ is not a separated point of \widehat{A} . This completes our example.

3. Fell-Dixmier conditions for continuous trace of a C^* -algebra. The following result is a local version of the Fell-Dixmier theorem (see the introduction). The proof uses some classical methods from [4] and also a more recent lower semi-continuity result from [2].

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with spectrum \widehat{A} . Let $\pi \in \widehat{A}$. Then the following are equivalent: (1) π is a Fell-point, and π is a separated point; (2) there exists a two sided ideal J of A such that (i) $\ker \pi$ is strictly contained in \overline{J} (norm closure of J), (ii) for each $a \in J^+$, $\text{tr} \pi(a) < \infty$ and the map $\sigma \mapsto \text{tr} \sigma(a)$ is continuous at π .*

Proof. ((1) \Rightarrow (2)). Define $S = \{a \in A^+ : \sigma \mapsto \text{tr} \sigma(a) \text{ is finite and continuous at } \pi\}$. Clearly, $S + S \subseteq S$ and if $x \in A$ satisfies $xx^* \in S$, then, since $\text{tr} \sigma(xx^*) = \text{tr} \sigma(x^*x)$, for all $\sigma \in \widehat{A}$, $x^*x \in S$. Let $x \in S$ and $y \in A^+$ be such that $y \leq x$. Note that $\text{tr} \pi(y) \leq \text{tr} \pi(x) < \infty$. Let (π_α) be a net in \widehat{A} such that $\pi_\alpha \rightarrow \pi$. Then, by [4, 3.5.9], $\lim_\alpha \inf \text{tr} \pi_\alpha(y) \geq$

$\text{tr } \pi(y) \geq 0$, and $\lim_{\alpha} \inf \text{tr } \pi_{\alpha}(x - y) \geq \text{tr } \pi(x) - \text{tr } \pi(y) \geq 0$. Or

$$-\limsup_{\alpha} (-\text{tr } \pi_{\alpha}(x) + \text{tr } \pi_{\alpha}(y)) \geq \text{tr } \pi(x) - \text{tr } \pi(y).$$

Since $\sigma \mapsto \text{tr } \pi(x)$ is continuous at π , we get

$$-(\text{tr } \pi(x)) - \limsup_{\alpha} (\text{tr } \pi_{\alpha}(y)) \geq \text{tr } \pi(x) - \text{tr } \pi(y).$$

Since $\text{tr } \pi(x) < \infty$, we can cancel it out on both sides and get

$$-\limsup_{\alpha} (\text{tr } \pi_{\alpha}(y)) \geq -\text{tr } \pi(y), \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \leq \limsup_{\alpha} (\text{tr } \pi_{\alpha}(y)) \leq \text{tr } \pi(y).$$

Thus, $\lim_{\alpha} \text{tr } \pi_{\alpha}(y) = \text{tr } \pi(y) < \infty$, and hence $y \in S$. Let $J = \lim(S)$. Then by [4, 4.5.1 (c) (ii)], J is a two sided ideal of A such that $J^+ = S$.

We show first that $\ker \pi$ is contained in \overline{J} . Let $\sigma \in \widehat{A}$ and suppose $\ker \pi$ is not contained in $\ker \sigma$. It is enough to show that \overline{J} is not contained in $\ker \sigma$. Since π is a separated point of \widehat{A} , there exist disjoint open sets V_1, V_2 in \widehat{A} such that $\pi \in V_1, \sigma \in V_2$. There exists a closed two-sided ideal K of A such that $\widehat{K} = V_2$. Since $\sigma(K) \neq \{0\}$, there exists $k \in K^+$ such that $\sigma(k) \neq 0$, whereas $\theta(k) = 0$ for all $\theta \in V_1$. Now, since, $\text{tr } \theta(k) = 0$ for all $\theta \in V_1$, the map $\theta \mapsto \text{tr } \theta(k)$ is finite and continuous at π . Thus, $k \in S \subseteq \overline{J}$. Since $\sigma(k) \neq 0, k \notin \ker \sigma$ and hence \overline{J} is not contained in $\ker \sigma$. Thus, $\ker \pi$ is not contained in $\ker \sigma$ implies that \overline{J} is not contained in $\ker \sigma$. Or, equivalently, $\overline{J} \subseteq \ker \sigma \rightarrow \ker \pi \subseteq \ker \sigma$. This shows that $\ker \pi \subseteq \overline{J}$.

Secondly, we show that $\ker \pi \neq \overline{J}$, that is, \overline{J} strictly contains $\ker \pi$. Since π is a Fell-point, there exist an $e \in A^+$ and an open neighborhood V of π in \widehat{A} such that $\sigma(e)$ is a rank-1 projection for all $\sigma \in V$. Now as $\text{tr } \sigma(e) = 1$ for all $\sigma \in V$, so the map $\sigma \mapsto \text{tr } \sigma(e)$ is finite and continuous at π . Therefore, $e \in S \subseteq J \subseteq \overline{J}$. Since $\pi(e) \neq 0, e \notin \ker \pi$ and therefore $\ker \pi \neq \overline{J}$. Thus, $\ker \pi \subset \overline{J}$.

((2) \Rightarrow (1)). Since $\ker \pi \subset \overline{J}$, there exists $a \in J^+$ such that $\pi(a) \neq 0$. Therefore by [4, 4.4.2 (ii)], π is a Fell-point. Now suppose that $\pi_0 \in \widehat{A} \setminus \{\pi\}$ and that (π_{α}) is a net in \widehat{A} such that $\pi_{\alpha} \rightarrow \pi, \pi_0$. Let $x \in J^+$. Then, by [2, Theorem 2.4], $\lim_{\alpha} \inf \text{tr } \pi_{\alpha}(x) \geq \text{tr } \pi_0(x) + \text{tr } \pi(x)$. But the map $\sigma \mapsto \text{tr } \sigma(x)$ is finite and continuous at π ; therefore, $\lim_{\alpha} \inf \text{tr } \pi_{\alpha}(x) = \lim_{\alpha} \text{tr } \pi_{\alpha}(x) = \text{tr } \pi(x)$. Hence,

$\text{tr } \pi(x) \geq \text{tr } \pi_0(x) + \text{tr } \pi(x)$. Since $\text{tr } \pi(x)$ is finite and $x \geq 0$, we obtain $\pi_0(x) = 0$. Since $x \in J^+$ is arbitrary, we get $\pi_0(J^+) = \{0\}$. By linearity and continuity of π_0 , we get, respectively, $\pi_0(J) = \{0\}$ and $\pi_0(\overline{J}) = \{0\}$. Thus, $\overline{J} \subseteq \ker \pi_0$ and hence $\ker \pi \subset \ker \pi_0$. That is, $\pi_0 \in \overline{\{\pi\}}$. This shows that π is a separated point of \widehat{A} . \square

Remark 3.1. If π satisfies the equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.1 and if $\ker \pi$ is a maximal closed two-sided ideal of A , then, of course, J is dense in A . However, $\ker \pi$ need not be maximal. Indeed, let $A = B(H)$ for an infinite dimensional Hilbert space H , and let π be the identity representation. Since $\{\pi\}$ is open and dense in \widehat{A} , π is a Fell-point and a separated point. The construction in the proof of Theorem 3.1 leads to J being the ideal of trace-class operators.

Corollary 3.1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with spectrum \widehat{A} . Let $\pi \in \widehat{A}$, and let $\pi(A) = K(H_\pi)$. Then the following are equivalent: (1) π is a Fell point, and π is a separated point, (2) there exists a dense two-sided ideal J of A such that, for each $a \in J^+$, $\text{tr } \pi(a) < \infty$ and the map $\sigma \mapsto \text{tr } \sigma(a)$ is continuous at π .*

Proof. ((1) \Rightarrow (2)). Suppose π is a Fell-point and a separated point of \widehat{A} . Then, by Theorem 3.1, there exist a two-sided ideal J of A such that $\ker \pi \subset \overline{J}$ and, for each $a \in J^+$, the map $\sigma \mapsto \text{tr } \sigma(a)$ is finite and continuous at π . But $\pi(A) = K(H_\pi)$ implies that $\ker \pi$ is a maximal ideal of A , therefore $\overline{J} = A$.

((2) \Rightarrow (1)). Since $\overline{J} = A$, $\ker \pi \subset \overline{J}$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, π is a Fell-point and a separated point of \widehat{A} . \square

In Example 3.8 of [2] A is a C^* -algebra for which every $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ is a Fell point yet both the separated points and the nonseparated points form dense subsets in \widehat{A} . In the following corollary, we show how the Fell-Dixmier theorem can be obtained from our local version.

Corollary 3.2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with spectrum \widehat{A} . Then the following are equivalent: (1) \widehat{A} is Hausdorff and every point of \widehat{A} is Fell-regular, (2) A is a C^* -algebra with continuous trace.*

Proof. ((2) \Rightarrow (1)). By (2), A is liminal. By Corollary 3.1, every point $\pi \in \hat{A}$ is Fell-regular and a separated point. Since \hat{A} is liminal, it follows from [4, 4.2.5] that \hat{A} is a T_1 space, and hence \hat{A} is Hausdorff (since every $\pi \in \hat{A}$ is a separated point).

((1) \Rightarrow (2)). Let $\pi \in \hat{A}$. Since π is a Fell-point, $\pi(A) \supseteq K(H_\pi)$. But \hat{A} is Hausdorff and so $\pi(A) = K(H_\pi)$. By Corollary 3.1, there is a dense two-sided ideal J_π (associated with π) of A such that for each $a \in J_\pi^+$, $\text{tr } \pi(a) < \infty$ and the map $\sigma \mapsto \text{tr } \sigma(a)$ is continuous at π . Let J be the Pedersen ideal of A . Then $J \subseteq J_\pi$. Thus, for each $a \in J^+$, $\text{tr } \pi(a) < \infty$ and the map $\sigma \mapsto \text{tr } \sigma(a)$ is continuous at π . Since $\pi \in \hat{A}$ is arbitrary, the map $\sigma \mapsto \text{tr } \sigma(a)$ is continuous on \hat{A} , and hence A has continuous trace. \square

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank Professor R.J. Archbold, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Aberdeen (UK), for many useful suggestions and improvements on this work. The author is also grateful to the referee for suggesting a simplification in part of the original argument in Example 2.1.

REFERENCES

1. R.J. Archbold, *Limits of pure states*, Proc. Edinburgh Math Soc. **32** (1989), 249–254.
2. R.J. Archbold and D.W.B. Somerset, *Transition probabilities and trace functions for C*-algebras*, Math. Scand. **73** (1993), 81–111.
3. R.J. Archbold, D.W.B. Somerset and J.S. Spielberg, *Upper multiplicity and bounded trace ideals in C*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **146** (1997), 430–463.
4. J. Dixmier, *C*-algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
5. J. Glimm, *Type I C*-algebras*, Annals Math. **73** (1961), 572–612.
6. J.P. Sproston, *Derivations and pure states*, Glasgow Math. J. **18** (1977), 73–77.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LAHORE UNIVERSITY OF MANAGEMENT SCIENCES (LUMS), 54792-LAHORE, PAKISTAN
Email address: mshah@lums.edu.pk, masjood2001@yahoo.com