

## A NON-COMMUTATIVE $n$ -NOMIAL FORMULA

CARLA FARSI

ABSTRACT. We state and prove a general  $n$ -nomial expansion formula for  $(u_1 + \cdots + u_n)^m$  in terms of degree  $m$  monomials in  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ , under the assumption  $u_j u_i = \rho_{ij} u_i u_j$  for all  $i < j$ .

**0. Introduction.** The following binomial expansion holds for variables  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , satisfying  $u_2 u_1 = \rho_{12} u_1 u_2$ ,  $\rho_{12} \in \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$(u_1 + u_2)^m = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k}_{\rho_{12}} u_1^k u_2^{m-k}, \quad m \in \mathbf{N},$$

where  $\binom{m}{k}_q = (q)_m / ((q)_k (q)_{m-k})$ ,  $(q)_k = (1 - q^k) \cdots (1 - q)$ ,  $(q)_0 = 1$ , for  $q \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $m, k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $m \geq k \geq 1$ . This result is usually attributed to Schützenberger [6]. However, it was published three years earlier by H.S.A. Potter. (See [4] for an excellent discussion.)

The Potter/Schützenberger  $q$ -multinomial coefficient is the generating function for sequences of  $n_1$  1's,  $n_2$  2's,  $\dots$ ,  $n_k$   $k$ 's by inversions. This combinatorial interpretation is sometimes attributed to Netto but certainly appears in [5]. Equivalent results about partitions were discovered by Cayley and Sylvester.

The main result of this note is a generalization of the Potter/Schützenberger binomial formula to the case of  $n$  variables  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ , under the assumption  $u_j u_i = \rho_{ij} u_i u_j$  for all  $i < j$ ,  $\rho_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$ . (See Theorems 1.10 and 2.7.) The coefficient  $\binom{m}{k}_{\rho_{12}}$  is replaced by

$$\prod_{k < j} \rho_{kj}^{a_k a_j - T_{k,r_k}} \prod_{k > j} \rho_{jk}^{T_{k,r_k}} \prod_{\substack{k < \ell \\ k, \ell \neq j}} \rho_{k\ell}^{(a_k - r_k) r_\ell} C(r_1, \dots, r_n; j).$$

For  $n = 3$  there is a concrete and simple description of  $C(r_1, r_2, r_3; j)$ , see Lemma 1.8. On the contrary for  $n \geq 4$   $n$ -nomial coefficients are quite complicated, cf. Definition 2.3 and Lemma 2.5.

---

Received by the editors on October 18, 2004, and in revised form on May 31, 2005.

In Section 1 we will derive the noncommutative  $n$ -nomial formula for  $n = 3$ , while we detail the general case in Section 2.

Throughout this note we will assume  $u_j u_i = \rho_{i,j} u_i u_j$  for all  $i < j$ , with  $\rho_{i,j} \in \mathbf{C}$ , and denote by  $\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  the coefficient of  $u_1^{a_1}, \dots, u_n^{a_n}$  in the expansion of  $(u_1 + \dots + u_n)^{a_1 + \dots + a_n}$ . The following lemma will be repeatedly used in the sequel.

**Lemma 0.1.** *We have*

$$\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i: a_i > 0} \left( \prod_{w < i} \rho_{w,i}^{a_w} \alpha(a - e_i) \right),$$

where  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n$ , and  $(e_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbf{Z}^n$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $(u_1 + \dots + u_n)^{a_1 + \dots + a_n} = (u_1 + \dots + u_n)(u_1 + \dots + u_n)^{a_1 + \dots + a_n - 1}$ . Now, by using induction,  $\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n) u_1^{a_1} \dots u_n^{a_n} = \sum_{i: a_i > 0} u_i \alpha(a - e_i) u_1^{a_1} \dots u_i^{a_i - 1} \dots u_n^{a_n}$ . Since  $u_j u_i = \rho_{ij} u_i u_j$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , the conclusion follows easily. Note also that  $\alpha(ke_j) = 1$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , for all  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ .  $\square$

**1. The three-dimensional case.** We will start by detailing two lemmas that will be useful in expressing  $\alpha(\ell, k, s)$  in terms of lower order coefficients of type  $\alpha(0, k - n, s - m)$ ,  $0 \leq n < k$ ,  $0 \leq m < s$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *We have, for all  $k, s \geq 1$*

$$\alpha(\ell, k, s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_{12}^j \alpha(j, k - 1, s) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_{13}^j \rho_{23}^k \alpha(j, k, s - 1) + \alpha(0, k, s).$$

*Proof.* By induction on  $\ell + k + s$ . For

$$\ell = 0 : \alpha(0, k, s) = \alpha(0, k, s)$$

$$\ell = 1 : \alpha(1, k, s) = \alpha(0, k, s) + \rho_{12} \alpha(1, k - 1, s) + \rho_{13} \rho_{23}^k \alpha(1, k, s - 1)$$

Hence Lemma 1.1 is true for  $\ell \leq 1$ . Now suppose the formula is true for  $\alpha(\ell, k, s)$ . We will compute  $\alpha(\ell + 1, k, s)$  by using Lemma 0.1.

$$\alpha(\ell + 1, k, s) = \alpha(\ell, k, s) + \rho_{12}^{(\ell+1)} \alpha(\ell + 1, k - 1, s) + \rho_{13}^{\ell+1} \rho_{23}^k \alpha(\ell + 1, k, s - 1).$$

By using the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_{12}^j \alpha(j, k-1, s) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_{13}^j \rho_{23}^k \alpha(j, k, s-1) + \alpha(0, k, s) \\ &\quad + \rho_{12}^{(\ell+1)} \alpha(\ell+1, k-1, s) + \rho_{13}^{\ell+1} \rho_{23}^k \alpha(\ell+1, k, s-1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1} \rho_{12}^j \alpha(j, k-1, s) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1} \rho_{13}^j \rho_{23}^k \alpha(j, k, s-1) + \alpha(0, k, s). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 1.1 twice, we obtain

**Lemma 1.2.** *For  $k, s \geq 2$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\ell, k, s) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{t=1}^j \rho_{12}^{j+t} \alpha(t, k-2, s) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{t=1}^j \rho_{13}^{j+t} \rho_{23}^{2k} \alpha(t, k, s-2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{t=1}^j \rho_{12}^j \rho_{13}^t \rho_{23}^{k-1} (1 + \rho_{13}^{j-t} \rho_{23} \rho_{12}^{-(j-t)}) \alpha(t, k-1, s-1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} [\rho_{12}^j \alpha(0, k-1, s) + \rho_{13}^j \rho_{23}^k \alpha(0, k, s-1)] + \alpha(0, k, s) \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 1.3.* Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2 (and further applications of them) allow us to compute the coefficients of  $\alpha(0, k-n, s-m)$ ,  $0 \leq n < s, 0 \leq m < s$ , in the expansion of  $\alpha(\ell, k, s)$ , cf. Theorem 1.10. For example, we have the following terms with associated coefficients ( $k, s \geq 3$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Term: } &\alpha(0, k-1, s), \quad \text{Coefficient: } \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_{12}^j; \\ \text{Term: } &\alpha(0, k-2, s), \quad \text{Coefficient: } \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{t=1}^j \rho_{12}^{j+t}; \\ \text{Term: } &\alpha(0, k-1, s-1), \\ \text{Coefficient: } &\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{t=1}^j \rho_{12}^j \rho_{13}^t \rho_{23}^{k-1} (1 + \rho_{13}^{j-t} \rho_{23} \rho_{12}^{-(j-t)}). \end{aligned}$$

In analogy with Lemma 1.1 and 1.2, one can compute the coefficients of  $\alpha(\ell-n, 0, s-m)$ ,  $0 \leq n < \ell$ ,  $0 \leq m < s$ , in the expansion of  $\alpha(\ell, k, s)$ . We will omit the proofs for brevity's sake.

**Lemma 1.4.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\ell, k, s) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha(\ell-1, j, s) \rho_{12}^{\ell(k-j)} + \sum_{j=1}^k \rho_{13}^{\ell} \rho_{23}^j \rho_{12}^{\ell(k-j)} \alpha(\ell, j, s-1) \\ &\quad + \rho_{12}^{\ell k} \alpha(\ell, 0, s), \quad \forall \ell, s \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.5.** *For  $s, t \geq 2$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\ell, k, s) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{t=1}^j \rho_{12}^{\ell(k-t)-(j-t)} \alpha(\ell-2, t, s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{t=1}^j \rho_{12}^{\ell(k-t)-(j-t)} \rho_{13}^{\ell-1} \rho_{23}^t (1 + \rho_{12}^{j-t} \rho_{13} \rho_{23}^{j-t}) \\ &\quad \quad \quad \times \alpha(\ell-1, t, s-1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{t=1}^j \rho_{12}^{\ell(k-t)} \rho_{13}^{2\ell} \rho_{23}^{j+t} \alpha(\ell, t, s-2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k \rho_{12}^{\ell k-j} [\alpha(\ell-1, 0, s) + \rho_{12}^j \rho_{13}^{\ell} \rho_{23}^j \alpha(\ell, 0, s-1)] \\ &\quad + \rho_{12}^{\ell k} \alpha(\ell, 0, s). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the following two lemmas will give expressions for the coefficients of  $\alpha(\ell-n, k-m, 0)$  in the expansion of  $\alpha(\ell, k, s)$ ,  $0 \leq n < \ell$ ,  $0 \leq m < k$ .

**Lemma 1.6.** For  $\ell, k \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\ell, k, s) &= \sum_{j=1}^s \rho_{13}^{\ell(s-j)} \rho_{23}^{k(s-j)} \alpha(\ell - 1, k, j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^s \rho_{12}^{\ell} \rho_{13}^{\ell(s-j)} \rho_{23}^{k(s-j)} \alpha(\ell, k - 1, j) + \rho_{13}^{\ell s} \rho_{23}^{k s} \alpha(\ell, k, 0). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.7.** For  $\ell, k \geq 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\ell, k, s) &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{t=1}^j [\rho_{13}^{\ell(s-t)-(j-t)} \rho_{23}^{k(s-t)} \alpha(\ell - 2, k, t) \\ &\quad + \rho_{12}^{2\ell} \rho_{13}^{\ell(s-t)} \rho_{23}^{k(s-t)-(j-t)} \alpha(\ell, k - 2, t)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{t=1}^j \rho_{12}^{\ell-1} \rho_{13}^{\ell(s-t)-(j-t)} \rho_{23}^{k(s-t)} (1 + \rho_{13}^{j-t} \rho_{12} \rho_{23}^{-(j-t)}) \\ &\quad \quad \quad \times \alpha(\ell - 1, k - 1, t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^s [\rho_{13}^{\ell s-j} \rho_{23}^{k s} \alpha(\ell - 1, k, 0) + \rho_{12}^{\ell} \rho_{13}^{\ell s} \rho_{23}^{k s-j} \alpha(\ell, k - 1, 0)] \\ &\quad + \rho_{13}^{\ell s} \rho_{23}^{k s} \alpha(\ell, k, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma will be used in the proof of the noncommutative 3-nomial formula.

**Lemma 1.8.** For  $N = 1, 2, 3$ , let  $N_1 = \min\{1, 2, 3\} - \{N\}$ ,  $N_2 = \max\{1, 2, 3\} - \{N\}$  and  $\rho = \rho_{N_1 N} \rho_{N N_2}$ . For  $n, m \geq 0$ , and  $z_1, \dots, z_n; w_1, \dots, w_m \in \mathbf{N}$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} C^{z_1, \dots, z_n; w_1, \dots, w_m}(n, m; N) &= 1 + \sum_{r=1, \dots, \min(n, m)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \in \{1, \dots, m\}}} \rho^{(z_{\alpha_1} + \dots + z_{\alpha_r}) - (w_{\beta_1} + \dots + w_{\beta_r})} \rho_{N_1 N_2}^{nr + \sum_{j=1}^r (\beta_j - \alpha_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

(We will suppress the superscript  $z_1, \dots, z_n; w_1, \dots, w_m$  whenever it is clear from the context which variables we are using.) Then, for  $i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_m \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have

$$C^{i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_m}(n, m; N) = C^{i_2, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_m}(n-1, m; N) + \rho_{N_1 N_2}^n \rho^{(i_1 - j_1)} C^{i_2, \dots, i_n; j_1; j_2, \dots, j_m}(n, m-1; N).$$

*Proof.* The terms in  $C(n, m; N)$  not containing  $i_1$  add up exactly to  $C(n-1, m; N)$ . Now consider a term  $S$  in  $C(n, m; N)$  of the form

$$S = \rho^{(i_1 + i_{\alpha_2} + \dots + i_{\alpha_r}) - (j_{\beta_1} + \dots + j_{\beta_r})} \rho_{N_1 N_2}^{nr + \sum_{j=2}^r (\beta_j - \alpha_j) + (\beta_1 - 1)} = \rho_{N_1 N_2}^n \rho^{(i_1 - j_1)} \rho^{(i_{\alpha_2} + \dots + i_{\alpha_r} + j_1) - (j_{\beta_1} + \dots + j_{\beta_r})} \times \rho_{N_1 N_2}^{n(r-1) + \sum_{j=2}^r (\beta_j - \alpha_j) + (\beta_1 - 1)}.$$

The term  $\tilde{S}$  in  $C(n, m-1; N)$  that corresponds to  $S$  is given by

$$\tilde{S} = \rho^{(i_{\alpha_2} + \dots + i_{\alpha_r} + j_1) - (j_{\beta_1} + \dots + j_{\beta_r})} \rho_{N_1 N_2}^{nr + \sum_{j=2}^r (\beta_j - 1 - (\alpha_j - 1)) + (\beta_1 - 1 - n)} = \rho^{(i_{\alpha_2} + \dots + i_{\alpha_r} + j_1) - (j_{\beta_1} + \dots + j_{\beta_r})} \rho_{N_1 N_2}^{n(r-1) + \sum_{j=2}^r (\beta_j - \alpha_j) + (\beta_1 - 1)}.$$

Hence  $S = \rho_{N_1 N_2}^n \rho^{(i_1 - j_1)} \tilde{S}$ , which proves the lemma.  $\square$

*Example 1.9.* If  $N = 3$ , we have  $\rho = \rho_{13} \rho_{32}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} C^{i_1 i_2; j_1 j_2}(2, 2; 3) &= 1 + \rho^{i_2 - j_1} \rho_{12} + \rho^{i_1 - j_1} \rho_{12}^2 + \rho^{i_2 - j_2} \rho_{12}^2 \\ &\quad + \rho^{i_1 - j_2} \rho_{12}^3 + \rho^{(i_1 + i_2) - (j_1 + j_2)} \rho_{12}^4, \\ C^{i_2; j_1 j_2}(1, 2; 3) &= 1 + \rho^{i_2 - j_1} \rho_{12} + \rho^{i_2 - j_2} \rho_{12}^2, \\ C^{i_2 j_1; j_2}(2, 1; 3) &= 1 + \rho^{j_1 - j_2} \rho_{12} + \rho^{i_2 - j_2} \rho_{12}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $C(2, 2; 3) = C(1, 2; 3) + \rho_{12}^2 \rho^{i_1 - j_1} C(2, 1; 3)$ . We can now formulate our noncommutative trinomial theorem. In the formula below,  $C$  is computed with respect to the standard variables  $i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_m$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** For  $\ell, k, s > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\ell, k, s) = & \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq k-1 \\ 0 \leq m \leq s-1}} \sum_{T(\ell; n, m)} \rho_{12}^{I_n} \rho_{13}^{J_m} \rho_{23}^{m(k-n)} \\ & \times C(n, m; 1) \binom{(k-n) + (s-m)}{k-n}_{2,3} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq \ell-1 \\ 0 \leq m \leq s-1}} \sum_{T(k; n, m)} \rho_{12}^{\ell k - I_n} \rho_{13}^{m(\ell-n)} \rho_{23}^{J_m} \\ & \times C(n, m; 2) \binom{(\ell-n) + (s-m)}{\ell-n}_{1,3} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq \ell-1 \\ 0 \leq m \leq k-1}} \sum_{T(s; n, m)} \rho_{12}^{m(\ell-n)} \rho_{13}^{\ell s - I_n} \rho_{23}^{ks - J_m} \\ & \times C(n, m; 3) \binom{(\ell-n) + (k-m)}{\ell-n}_{1,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we defined  $I_0 = J_0 = 0$ ,  $I_n = i_1 + \dots + i_n$ , for  $n > 0$ ,  $J_m = j_1 + \dots + j_m$ , for  $m > 0$ , and

$$\sum_{T(k; n, m)} = \sum_{i_1=1}^k \sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{i_{n-1}} \sum_{j_1=1}^{i_n} \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1} \dots \sum_{j_m=1}^{j_{m-1}}, \quad \text{for } n > 0, m \geq 0,$$

together with

$$\sum_{T(k; n, m)} = \sum_{j_1=1}^k \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1} \dots \sum_{j_m=1}^{j_{m-1}}, \quad \text{for } n = 0.$$

We also set, for brevity's sake,  $\binom{r}{s}_{i,j} = \binom{r}{s}_{\rho_{ij}}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $i < j$ .

*Remark 1.11.* We have, cf. [2],

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(0, k-n, s-m) &= \binom{(k-n) + (s-m)}{k-n}_{2,3} \\ \alpha(\ell-n, 0, s-m) &= \binom{(\ell-n) + (s-m)}{\ell-n}_{1,3} \\ \alpha(\ell-n, k-m, 0) &= \binom{(\ell-n) + (k-m)}{\ell-n}_{1,2} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We will prove the theorem by induction on  $\ell + k + s = M \geq 3$ . If  $M = 3$ , we have, by Lemma 0.1,

$$\alpha(1, 1, 1) = \alpha(0, 1, 1) + \rho_{12}\alpha(1, 0, 1) + \rho_{13}\rho_{23}\alpha(1, 1, 0).$$

Hence the theorem is true for  $M = 3$ . For the induction step, assuming the theorem is true for  $\alpha(\ell, k, s)$ , we will show it for  $\alpha(\ell + 1, k, s)$ , and similar computations show it for  $\alpha(\ell, k + 1, s)$  and  $\alpha(\ell, k, s + 1)$  as well.

First compute  $\alpha(\ell + 1, k, s)$  by using Lemma 0.1,

$$\alpha(\ell + 1, k, s) = \alpha(\ell, k, s) + \rho_{12}^{\ell+1}\alpha(\ell + 1, k - 1, s) + \rho_{13}^{\ell+1}\rho_{23}^k\alpha(\ell + 1, k, s - 1).$$

Using the induction hypothesis express  $\alpha(\ell + 1, k, s)$  in terms of lower weight  $\alpha$ 's. Then a straightforward computation by using Lemma 1.8 ends the proof of Theorem 1.10.  $\square$

We can also express the coefficients of (1) in a slightly different form, by using the method illustrated above.

**Proposition 1.12.** *If  $k, \ell > 0, k + \ell = m$ , we have (notation as in Theorem 1.10)*

$$\binom{m}{k}_{1,2} = \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j_1=1}^k \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_t=1}^{j_{t-1}} \rho_{12}^{J_t} + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\ell} \sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \cdots \sum_{i_t=1}^{i_{t-1}} \rho_{12}^{\ell - I_t}.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$\beta(k, \ell) = \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j_1=1}^k \sum_{j_2=1}^{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_t=1}^{j_{t-1}} \rho_{12}^{J_t} + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\ell} \sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \cdots \sum_{i_t=1}^{i_{t-1}} \rho_{12}^{\ell - I_t}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\beta(k + 1, \ell) = \beta(k, \ell) + \rho_{12}^{k+1}\beta(k + 1, \ell - 1).$$

Moreover,

$$\binom{m + 1}{k + 1}_{1,2} = \binom{m}{k}_{1,2} + \rho_{12}^{k+1} \binom{m}{k + 1}_{1,2}.$$

Now we can use induction on  $m = k + \ell$  to show that Proposition 1.12 holds.  $\square$

**2. The  $n$ -dimensional case ( $n \geq 3$ ).** We will start by deriving analogues of Lemmas 1.1, 1.4 and 1.6.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and for all  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a) &= \prod_{k < j} \rho_{kj}^{a_k a_j} \alpha(a - a_j e_j) \\ &+ \sum_{t=1}^{a_j} \left( \sum_{\substack{i: a_i > 0 \\ i \neq j}} \prod_{k < j} \rho_{kj}^{a_k(a_j-t)} \prod_{\substack{k < i \\ k \neq j}} \rho_{ki}^{a_k} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j > i \\ \rho_{ji}^t & \text{if } j < i \end{cases} \right) \\ &\quad \times \alpha(a + (t - a_j)e_j - e_i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , is the canonical basis for  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* The proof is a straightforward generalization of the proofs of Lemmas 1.1, 1.4 and 1.6.  $\square$

We now need a higher-dimensional version of Lemma 1.8. For simplicity's sake, we will only detail the case  $j = 1$ .

*Definition 2.2 ( $j = 1$ ).* A path  $\mathcal{P}$  from  $a - a_1 e_1 = (0, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  to  $a - a_1 e_1 - \sum_{k=2}^n r_k e_k = (0, a_2 - r_2, \dots, a_n - r_n)$ ,  $0 \leq r_t \leq a_t - 1$ , is any ordered string  $\mathcal{P} = \{i_k\}_{k=1, \dots, r_2 + \dots + r_n}$ ,  $i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , such that  $i_k = t$  for exactly  $r_t$  distinct values  $k(t, 1) < \dots < k(t, r_t)$  of  $k, t = 2, \dots, n$ . We will say that the path  $\mathcal{S}$

$$\mathcal{S} = \{i_k = 2, \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, r_2; \dots; i_k = n, \text{ for } k = r_2 + \dots + r_{n-1}, \dots, r_2 + \dots + r_{n-1} + r_n\}$$

is the standard path from  $a - a_1 e_1$  to  $a - a_1 e_1 - \sum_{k=2}^n r_k e_k$ . We will also call  $t_{sw}, s = 2, \dots, n, w = 1, \dots, r_s$ , the standard variables associated

to the standard path  $\mathcal{S}$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} t'_1 &= t_{21}, \dots, t'_{r_2} = t_{2r_2}, t'_{r_2+1} = t_{31}, \dots, t'_{r_2+\dots+r_{n-1}} \\ &= t_{n-1r_{n-1}}, t'_{r_2+\dots+r_{n-1}+1} = t_{n1}, \dots, t'_{r_2+\dots+r_n} = t_{nr_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now define  $E(\mathcal{P})$  by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathcal{P}) &= \left[ \rho_{23}^{\sum_{w=1}^{r_3} a_{2,k(3,w)}^{\mathcal{P}}} \left( \rho_{24}^{\sum_{w=1}^{r_4} a_{2,k(4,w)}^{\mathcal{P}}} \rho_{34}^{\sum_{w=1}^{r_4} a_{3,k(4,w)}^{\mathcal{P}}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots \left( \rho_{2n}^{\sum_{w=1}^{r_n} a_{2,k(n,w)}^{\mathcal{P}}} \dots \rho_{n-1n}^{\sum_{w=1}^{r_n} a_{n-1,k(n,w)}^{\mathcal{P}}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad \left( \rho_{12}^{\sum_{w=1}^{r_2} t'_{k(2,w)}} \dots \rho_{1n}^{\sum_{w=1}^{r_n} t'_{k(n,w)}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we set  $a_{s,k(\ell,w)}^{\mathcal{P}}$  equal to  $a_s - q_w$ , where  $q_w$  is the number of indices less than  $k(\ell, w)$  such that  $i_k = s$ ,  $w = 1, \dots, r_\ell$ .

Now, for  $s, \ell = 2, \dots, n$ , the minimum value ( $= (a_s - r_s)r_\ell$ ) of

$$\sum_{w=1}^{r_\ell} a_{s,k(\ell,w)}^{\mathcal{P}}$$

is attained on the paths  $\mathcal{Q}$  with  $i_k = \ell$  for  $k = (r_2 + \dots + r_n) - r_\ell + 1, \dots, (r_2 + \dots + r_\ell + \dots + r_n)$ . Hence, for any path  $\mathcal{P}$  from  $a - a_1e_1$  to  $a - a_1e_1 - \sum_{k=2}^n r_k e_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathcal{P}) &= \prod_{1 < s < \ell} \rho_{s\ell}^{(a_s - r_s)r_\ell} \prod_{1 < s < \ell} \rho_{s\ell}^{\sum_{w=1}^{r_\ell} (a_{s,k(\ell,w)}^{\mathcal{P}} - (a_s - r_s))} \\ &\quad \prod_{\ell=2}^n \rho_{1\ell}^{t_{\ell 1} + \dots + t_{\ell r_\ell}} \prod_{\ell=2}^n \rho_{1\ell}^{\sum_{w=1}^{r_\ell} (t'_{k(\ell,w)} - t_{\ell w})}. \end{aligned}$$

*Definition 2.3* ( $j = 1$ ). Define  $C^{t_{21}, \dots, t_{2r_2}; t_{31}, \dots, t_{3r_3}; \dots; t_{n1}, \dots, t_{nr_n}} \times (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n; 1)$  with respect to the standard variables  $t_{21}, \dots, t_{2r_2}; t_{31}, \dots, t_{3r_3}; \dots; t_{n1}, \dots, t_{nr_n}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} &C^{t_{21}, \dots, t_{2r_2}; t_{31}, \dots, t_{3r_3}; \dots; t_{n1}, \dots, t_{nr_n}}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n; 1) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\text{all paths } \mathcal{P} \\ \text{from } a - a_1e_1 \text{ to} \\ a - a_1e_1 - \sum_{k=2}^n r_k e_k}} \prod_{1 < s < \ell} \rho_{s\ell}^{\sum_{w=1}^{\ell} (a_{s,k(\ell,w)}^{\mathcal{P}} - (a_s - r_s))} \prod_{\ell=2}^n \rho_{1\ell}^{\sum_{w=1}^{r_\ell} (t'_{k(\ell,w)} - t_{\ell w})}. \end{aligned}$$

(We will drop the superscript when no confusion can arise.)

*Example 2.4.*

1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^{t_2 1; t_3 1; t_4 1}(0, 1, 1, 1; 1) &= 1 + \rho_{34} \rho_{13}^{t_4 1 - t_3 1} \rho_{14}^{t_3 1 - t_4 1} + \rho_{23} \rho_{12}^{t_3 1 - t_2 1} \rho_{13}^{t_2 1 - t_3 1} \\
 &\quad + \rho_{23} \rho_{24} \rho_{34} \rho_{12}^{t_4 1 - t_2 1} \rho_{14}^{t_2 1 - t_4 1} \\
 &\quad + \rho_{23} \rho_{24} \rho_{12}^{t_4 1 - t_2 1} \rho_{13}^{t_2 1 - t_3 1} \rho_{14}^{t_3 1 - t_4 1} \\
 &\quad + \rho_{24} \rho_{34} \rho_{12}^{t_3 1 - t_2 1} \rho_{13}^{t_4 1 - t_4 1} \rho_{14}^{t_2 1 - t_4 1 - t_3 1}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^{t_2 1; t_3 1, t_3 2; t_4 1}(0, 1, 2, 1; 1) &= 1 + \rho_{23} \rho_{[2,3]}^{t_2 1 t_3 1} + \rho_{23}^2 \rho_{[2,3]}^{t_2 1 t_3 2} \\
 &\quad + \rho_{34} \rho_{[3,4]}^{t_3 2 t_4 1} + \rho_{34}^2 \rho_{[3,4]}^{t_3 1 t_4 1} \\
 &\quad + \rho_{23} \rho_{34} \rho_{[2,3,4,3]}^{t_2 1 t_3 1 t_4 1 t_3 2} \\
 &\quad + \rho_{23} \rho_{24} \rho_{34} \rho_{[2,3,4,3]}^{t_2 1 t_3 2 t_4 1 t_3 1} \\
 &\quad + \rho_{23}^2 \rho_{24} \rho_{[2,4,3]}^{t_2 1 t_4 1 t_3 2} \\
 &\quad + \rho_{23}^2 \rho_{24} \rho_{34} \rho_{[2,4,3]}^{t_2 1 t_4 1 t_3 1} + \rho_{24} \rho_{34}^2 \rho_{[2,3,4]}^{t_2 1 t_3 1 t_4 1} \\
 &\quad + \rho_{24} \rho_{34}^2 \rho_{23} \rho_{[2,3,4]}^{t_2 1 t_3 2 t_4 1} + \rho_{24} \rho_{34}^2 \rho_{23}^2 \rho_{[2,4]}^{t_2 1 t_4 1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho_{[i,j]}^{t_i k t_j \ell} = \rho_{i1}^{t_i k - t_j \ell} \rho_{j1}^{t_j \ell - t_i k}$  and  $\rho_{[i,j,r]}^{t_i k t_j \ell t_r s} = \rho_{i1}^{t_i k - t_j \ell} \rho_{j1}^{t_j \ell - t_r s} \times \rho_{r1}^{t_r s - t_i k}$ , cf. Lemma 2.5.

The following lemma, used in the proof of Theorem 2.7, describes an addition law for the  $C(r_1, \dots, r_n; 1)$ 's.

**Lemma 2.5.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &C^{t_2 1, \dots, t_2 r_2; t_3 1, \dots, t_3 r_3; \dots; t_n 1, \dots, t_n r_n}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n; 1) \\
 &= C^{t_2 2, \dots, t_2 r_2; t_3 1, \dots, t_3 r_3; \dots; t_n 1, \dots, t_n r_n}(r_1, r_2 - 1, r_3, \dots, r_n; 1) \\
 &\quad + \rho_{23}^{r_2} \rho_{[2,3]}^{t_2 1 t_3 1} C^{t_2 2, \dots, t_2 r_2, t_3 1; \dots; t_n 1, \dots, t_n r_n}(r_1, r_2, r_3 - 1, \dots, r_n; 1) + \dots \\
 &\quad + \rho_{2s}^{r_2} \rho_{3s}^{r_3} \dots \rho_{s-1s}^{r_{s-1}} \rho_{[2,3, \dots, s]}^{t_2 1 t_3 1 \dots t_s 1} C^{t_2 2, \dots, t_2 r_2, t_3 i; \dots; t_s 2, \dots, t_s r_s; \dots; t_n 1, \dots, t_n r_n} \\
 &\quad \times (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s - 1, \dots, r_n; 1) + \rho_{2n}^{r_2} \rho_{3n}^{r_3} \dots \rho_{n-1n}^{r_{n-1}} \rho_{[2,3, \dots, n]}^{t_2 1 t_3 1 \dots t_n 1} \\
 &\quad \times C^{t_2 2, \dots, t_2 r_2, t_3 1; \dots; t_n 2, \dots, t_n r_n}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n - 1; 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho_{[2,3,\dots,s]}^{t_2 1 t_3 1, \dots, t_s 1} = \rho_{12}^{t_3 1 - t_2 1} \rho_{13}^{t_4 1 - t_3 1} \dots \rho_{1s}^{t_2 1 - t_s 1}$$

*Proof.* Straightforward computation.  $\square$

As can be seen from Example 2.4, formulas quickly become very complicated, even in dimension 4. However, it is in principle possible to compute  $C(r_1, \dots, r_n; 1)$ , for any  $(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ , by using the addition formula in Lemma 2.5.

*Definition 2.6.* Define  $T(k; (r_1, \dots, r_n); j)$ ,  $r_1, \dots, r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, \dots, r_n \in \mathbf{N}$  (there is no  $r_j$ ),  $k, n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , by

$$T(k; (r_1, \dots, r_n); j) = \sum_{t_{11}=1}^k \sum_{t_{12}=1}^{t_{11}} \dots \sum_{t_{1r_1}=1}^{t_{1r_1-1}} \sum_{t_{21}=1}^{t_{1r_1}} \dots \sum_{t_{j-1}r_{j-1}=1}^{t_{j-1}r_{j-1}-1} \sum_{t_{j+11}=1}^{t_{j-1}r_{j-1}} \dots \sum_{t_{nr_{n-1}}=1}^{t_{nr_{n-1}}}$$

$\sum_{t_{nr_n}=1}^{t_{nr_{n-1}}}$ ,  $j \neq 1, n$ ,

$$T(k; (r_1, \dots, r_n); j) = \sum_{t_{21}=1}^k \sum_{t_{22}=1}^{t_{21}} \dots \sum_{t_{2r_2}=1}^{t_{2,r_2-1}} \sum_{t_{31}=1}^{t_{2r_2}} \dots \sum_{t_{nr_n}=1}^{t_{nr_{n-1}}}, \quad j = 1,$$

$$T(k; (r_1, \dots, r_n); j) = \sum_{t_{11}=1}^k \sum_{t_{12}=1}^{t_{11}} \dots \sum_{t_{1r_1}=1}^{t_{1r_1-1}} \sum_{t_{21}=1}^{t_{1r_1}} \dots \sum_{t_{n-1}r_{n-1}=1}^{t_{n-1}r_{n-1}-1}, \quad j = n.$$

Also define, for  $s = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $s \neq j$ ,  $T_{s,0} = 0$  and  $T_{s,r_s} = \sum_{j_1=1}^{r_s} t_{sj_1}$ ,  $r_s > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.7** (Non-commutative  $n$ -nomial formula). *We have, for  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n$ ,  $a_j > 0$ , for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$*

$$\alpha(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\substack{0 \leq r_t \leq a_t - 1 \\ t=1, \dots, n, t \neq j}} \sum_{T(a_j; (r_1, \dots, r_n); j)} \prod_{k < j} \rho_{kj}^{a_k a_j - T_{k, r_k}} \prod_{k > j} \rho_{jk}^{T_{k, r_k}} \prod_{\substack{k < \ell \\ k, \ell \neq j}} \rho_{k\ell}^{(a_k - r_k) r_\ell} C(r_1, \dots, r_n; j) \alpha \left( \sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \neq j}}^n (a_t - r_t) e_t \right).$$

*Proof.* We will proceed by induction on  $M = \sum_{t=1}^n a_t$ . If  $M = n$ ,  $a_t = 1$ , for all  $t$ , and by Lemma 0.1

$$\alpha(1, 1, \dots, 1) = \sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{k < j} \rho_{kj} \alpha((1, \dots, 1) - e_j).$$

Hence, the result is true for  $M = n$ .

For the induction step, it is enough to show that our formula holds for  $\alpha(a + e_1)$ , provided it holds for  $\alpha(a)$ . (Analogously it can be shown that it also holds for  $\alpha(a + e_t)$ ,  $t = 2, \dots, n$ .)

The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.10. It involves the induction hypothesis, Lemma 0.1, a change of variables and Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

In the case  $\rho_{ij} = \rho_j$ , for all  $i < j$ , the noncommutative  $n$ -nomial formula simplifies to an  $n$  variable generalization of Pot-ter/Schützenberger’s theorem.

*Example 2.8.* For any  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have

$$(u_1 + \dots + u_n)^m = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_n = m} C(k_1, \dots, k_n) u_1^{k_1} \dots u_n^{k_n}$$

where

$$C(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \binom{k_1}{k_1}_{\rho_1} \binom{k_1 + k_2}{k_2}_{\rho_2} \binom{k_1 + k_2 + k_3}{k_3}_{\rho_3} \cdots \binom{k_1 + \cdots + k_n}{k_n}_{\rho_n}, \quad \rho_j \in \mathbf{C}.$$

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank the referee for providing very interesting historical facts and references, many of which we have incorporated in the introduction.

#### REFERENCES

1. G.E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Reprint of the 1976 original, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
2. M.-D. Choi, G.A. Elliott and N. Yui, *Gauss polynomials and the rotation algebra*, *Inv. Math.* **99** (1990), 225–246.
3. G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, *Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
4. O. Holtz, V. Mehrmann and H. Schneider, *Potter, Wielandt, and Drazin on the matrix equation  $AB = \omega BA$ : New answers to old questions*, *Math. Monthly* (October 2004), 655–667.
5. P.A. MacMahon, *MacMahon collected papers*, *George Andrews Ed.*, MIT Press, Boston, 1986.
6. M.-P. Schützenberger, *Une Interprétation de Certaines Solutions de l'Equation Fonctionnelle  $F(x + y) = F(x)F(y)$* , *Acad. Sci. Paris* **236** (1953), 352–353.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER CO  
80309-0395

**Email address:** [Carla.Farsi@colorado.edu](mailto:Carla.Farsi@colorado.edu)