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#### Abstract

In this paper we study perturbations of maps from a family of expanding entire functions from the Speiser class. Maps in this family, which we denoted by $\mathcal{H}$, have the form $f_{a}(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} e^{(j-k) z}$ where $0<k<n$ and $a=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ is a parameter. Using a known result of Eremenko and Lyubich about structural stability of such maps, perturbation theory (Kato-Rellich theorem) and research of Urbański and Zdunik on perturbations in the exponential family, we shall prove that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points in the Julia set having nonescaping orbits depends analytically on the parameter $a \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$.


1. Introduction. The long-term study of dynamical systems directed many authors work toward the investigation of the dynamics of families of mappings. The most popular examples of families of transcendental entire functions of finite singular type include the oneparameter exponential family $\left\{a e^{z}\right\}$, the one-parameter sine family $\{a \sin z\}$, with $a \in \mathbf{C}$ or the generalized 2-parameter cosine family $\left\{a e^{z}+b e^{-z}\right\}$ with $(a, b) \in \mathbf{C}^{2}$.

In this paper we continue our study of the dynamics of maps in the family $\mathcal{H}$ introduced in [4] and defined as follows. Let $n$ and $k$ be positive integers, let $a=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ be a vector and let $P_{a}$, $f_{a}$ be functions defined by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{a}(z) & =a_{n} z^{n}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0} \in \mathbf{C}[z], \\
f_{a}(z) & =\frac{P_{a}\left(e^{z}\right)}{e^{k z}}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} e^{(j-k) z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left\{f_{a}: 0<k<\operatorname{deg} P_{a} \text { and } \delta_{a}>0\right\}
$$

[^0]where
$$
\delta_{a}=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{dist}\left(J_{f_{a}}, \mathcal{P}_{f_{a}}\right)\right\}
$$
and $J_{f_{a}}$ is the Julia set of $f_{a}$. Recall that by
$$
\mathcal{P}_{f_{a}}=\overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f_{a}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Crit}\left(f_{a}\right)\right)}
$$
we denote the post-critical set of $f_{a} \in \mathcal{H}$ where $\operatorname{Crit}\left(f_{a}\right)=\left\{z: f_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right.$ $=0\}$ and
$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(J_{f_{a}}, \mathcal{P}_{f_{a}}\right)=\inf \left\{\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|: z_{1} \in J_{f_{a}}, z_{2} \in \mathcal{P}_{f_{a}}\right\}
$$

The family $\mathcal{H}$ is a subclass of the class Ent, the class of transcendental entire functions. Moreover, every function $f_{a}$ from $\mathcal{H}$ has only finitely many asymptotic and critical values which are sometimes called singular values. In other words, for $f \in E n t, \omega \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}$ is a singular value if $\omega$ is a singularity of $f^{-1}$, which means that $f: f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is not a regular covering map for any neighborhood $V$ of $\omega$. Therefore, if $\omega$ is a nonsingular value of $f$, then there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $\omega$ where every branch of $f^{-1}$ is well defined and is a conformal map of $V$. This fact is used extensively throughout the paper. The set of singular values we denote by $\operatorname{Sing}\left(f^{-1}\right)$.

The set

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{f \in E n t: \operatorname{Sing}\left(f^{-1}\right) \text { is a finite set }\right\}
$$

is usually called the class of finite singular type entire functions or, following Eremenko and Lyubich, the Speiser class, and has been studied repeatedly for many years; the reader is referred only to $[\mathbf{1 0}$, 12, 14]. Functions belonging to $\mathcal{S}$ have the property that their Fatou set contains no wandering domain and no Baker domain.

For any $f \in \mathcal{H} \subset S$, denote by $B$ an open disk containing $\operatorname{Sing}\left(f^{-1}\right)$, and let $B^{c}$ be the complement of $B$ in $\mathbf{C}$. Then any component T of $f^{-1}\left(B^{c}\right)$ is simply connected and its closure contains infinity. The map $f: T \rightarrow B^{c}$ is a universal covering, and $T$ is called an exponential tract. If $f$ satisfies some conditions, it was shown that the set of points whose orbits remain in $T$ is a Cantor bouquet, see [10].
1.1. An additional assumption. In view of the structural stability theorem of Eremenko and Lybich, we additionally assume that, if $f_{a} \in \mathcal{H}$ and if $z$ is a periodic point of $f_{a}$ of period $m$, then $\left|\left(f^{m}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| \neq 0$. For the sake of generality, we ask also the question about the possibility of proving the main result of this paper without this extra condition. Of course, such maps are not structurally stable, but what we actually need is an existence of quasiconformal conjugacy on some $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of the Julia set of them to all maps with close parameter. Then changing the proofs a bit we could obtain the main result of this paper, that is, the Hausdorff dimension depends analytically on the parameter for any member of "old" $\mathcal{H}$.

Observe that the assumption $0<k<\operatorname{deg} P_{a}$ implies that any map $f_{a} \in \mathcal{H}$ does not have a finite asymptotic value since $P_{a}(z) / z^{k}$ converges to infinity when $z$ approaches 0 or $\infty$. If this condition is not satisfied, then one of the limits is finite and it would be a finite asymptotic value of $f_{a}$. Even in this case, the main result of this paper can be established using the proofs from this article with minor changes provided that the maps do not have super-attracting points.
1.2. The quotient space. Let $b=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. Since the map $f_{b} \in \mathcal{H}$ is periodic with period $2 \pi i$, we consider its natural action on the quotient space $P=\mathbf{C} / \sim$ (the cylinder) where $z_{1} \sim z_{2}$ if, and only if, $z_{1}-z_{2}=2 k \pi i$ for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. If $\pi: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow P$ is the natural projection, then, since the map $\pi \circ f_{b}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow P$ is constant on equivalence classes of relation $\sim$, it induces a conformal map

$$
F_{b}: P \longrightarrow P
$$

The cylinder $P$ is endowed with Euclidean metric which will be denoted in what follows by the symbol $|w-z|$ for all $z, w \in P$. The Julia set of $F_{b}$ is defined to be

$$
J_{F_{b}}=\pi\left(J_{f_{b}}\right)
$$

Observe that

$$
F_{b}\left(J_{F_{b}}\right)=J_{F_{b}}=F_{b}^{-1}\left(J_{F_{b}}\right)
$$

1.3. Let $b=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. Note that the derivative $f_{b}^{(s)}(z)$, $s \geq 0$, of a map $f_{b} \in \mathcal{H}$ has the expression

$$
f_{b}^{(s)}(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{j}(j-k)^{s} e^{(j-k) z}
$$

for $z \in$ C. Also observe that the derivative with respect to the parameter $b \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ of this function has the form

$$
\frac{\partial f_{b}^{(s)}}{\partial b}(z)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(\partial f_{b}^{(s)} / \partial b_{0}\right)(z) \\
\left(\partial f_{b}^{(s)} / \partial b_{1}\right)(z) \\
\cdots \\
\left(\partial f_{b}^{(s)} / \partial b_{j}\right)(z) \\
\cdots \\
\left(\partial f_{b}^{(s)} / \partial b_{n}\right)(z)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
(-k)^{s} e^{-k z} \\
(1-k)^{s} e^{(1-k) z} \\
\cdots \\
(j-k)^{s} e^{(j-k) z} \\
\cdots \\
(n-k)^{s} e^{(n-k) z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial b}(z)\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} e^{2(j-k) \operatorname{Re} z} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial f_{b}^{\prime}}{\partial b}(z)\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(j-k)^{2} e^{2(j-k) \operatorname{Re} z} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ means the norm on $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ defined by the formula

$$
\left\|\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)\right\|=\sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}}
$$

for $\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$.
1.4. Remark. Let $b \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t>1$. By $f$ and $F$ we respectively denote $f_{b}$ and $F_{b}$. Then, for every $z \in J_{F}$, the lower and upper topological pressure is defined by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{P}_{z}(t)=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{x \in F^{-n}(z)}\left|\left(F^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|^{-t}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_{z}(n, t) \\
& \bar{P}_{z}(t)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{x \in F^{-n}(z)}\left|\left(F^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|^{-t}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_{z}(n, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{z}(n, t)=\sum_{x \in F^{-n}(z)}\left|\left(F^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|^{-t}$. It can be proved that these do not depend on the choice of $z \in J_{F}$ and that $\underline{P}(t)=$
$\bar{P}(t)=P(t)$. Moreover, the function $P(t)$ for $t>1$ is finite, convex, continuous, strictly decreasing and $\lim P(t)=-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For more explanations we refer the reader to our paper [4].

As we already said we study the set $J_{f_{b}}^{r}$ consisting of those points of $J_{f_{b}}$ that do not escape to infinity under positive iterates of $f_{b}$. If

$$
I_{\infty}\left(f_{b}\right)=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}: \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{n}(z)=\infty\right\}, \quad \text { then } \quad J_{f_{b}}^{r}=J_{f_{b}} \backslash I_{\infty}\left(f_{b}\right)
$$

and if

$$
I_{\infty}\left(F_{b}\right)=\left\{z \in P: \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F^{n}(z)=\infty\right\}, \quad \text { then } \quad J_{F_{b}}^{r}=J_{F_{b}} \backslash I_{\infty}\left(F_{b}\right)
$$

In [4] an important relation was proved between the pressure function $P(t)$ and the set $J_{f_{b}}^{r}$ called Bowen's formula, i.e., $\operatorname{HD}\left(J_{F_{b}}^{r}\right)$ is the unique zero of the function $t \mapsto P(t)$ for $t>1$.
1.5. Results. Using the methods of Thermodynamic Formalism (for more information about TF and its connection with dynamics, we refer the reader to $[\mathbf{2 1}, \mathbf{2 7}]$ ) we are able to prove that the Hausdorff dimension of the subset of the Julia set of such maps, consisting of the points for which the forward orbit does not escape to infinity, i.e., the set

$$
J_{f_{a}}^{r}=J_{f_{a}} \backslash I_{\infty}\left(f_{a}\right),
$$

where $I_{\infty}\left(f_{a}\right)=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}: \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{n}(z)=\infty\right\}$, depends realanalytically on the parameter $a \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$.

In order to do that we first study quasiconformal conjugacies in the family $\mathcal{H}$ and then we introduce well defined Perron-Frobenius operators associated with some special maps. The classical theorem of Hartogs will help us to prove the main tool of this paper (Theorem 3.10) which will allow us to prove Proposition 4.10 which shows that these Perron-Frobenius operators can be embedded into a family of operators which depend holomorphically on the parameter $a$ chosen from a designed open set $G \subset \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$.

Finally, using perturbation theory (Kato-Rellich theorem) and our results from [4] where we proved mainly that $\operatorname{HD}\left(J_{F_{a}}^{r}\right)=h$ is the unique zero of the pressure function $t \rightarrow P(t)$ for $t>1$ and $a \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$, we get (Theorem 4.17) that the function $a \mapsto H D\left(J_{f_{a}}^{r}\right)$ is real-analytic.
2. Quasiconformal conjugacies in the family $\mathcal{H}$. In this section we present some analytic and geometric properties of the family $\mathcal{H}$. We follow the analysis from [25], which in turn follows the more elaborated descriptions from $[\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 8}]$. As in $[\mathbf{1 2}]$ every $f \in \mathcal{H} \subset S$ is viewed as an element of a finite dimensional complex analytic manifold $M_{f}=\mathcal{H} \subset S$. In the referred paper [12], various analytical and geometrical results are proved on $M_{f}$.

Since the domain of all functions from $\mathcal{H}$ is the noncompact complex plane, the most natural topology of $\mathcal{H}$ is the topology of uniform convergence on the compact subset of $\mathbf{C}$. Observe that this topology is equivalent to the Euclidean topology on $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ when we identify a parameter $a$ with the function $f_{a}$. Therefore, throughout this paper we sometimes write $a \in \mathcal{H}$ with the meaning that $f_{a} \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, whenever we say $b$ is close to $a$ we mean that $f_{b}$ is close to $f_{a}$ as well. We also say $b$ is sufficiently close to $a$ whenever we need $b$ to be chosen from a small open neighborhood of $a \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$, compare [12].

A sense-preserving homeomorphism $f$ of a domain $G$ is called quasiconformal if its maximal dilatation $Q(G) \geq 1$ is finite. If $Q(G) \leq Q<$ $\infty$, then $f$ will be called $Q$-quasiconformal, see [17, p. 16]. Following the terminology used in the conformal case, we also call a quasiconformal homeomorphism a quasiconformal mapping.

After this short introduction and the description of the topological structure of $\mathcal{H}$, we can formulate a lemma which follows from [12], see also [18].
2.1 Lemma. For $a \in \mathcal{H}, f_{a}$ is structurally stable, i.e., if $b$ is sufficiently close to $a$, then there exists a conjugating quasiconformal homeomorphism $h_{b}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$
f_{b} \circ h_{b}=h_{b} \circ f_{a} .
$$

Moreover, the map $b \mapsto h_{b}(z)$ is holomorphic for every $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and the mapping $(b, z) \mapsto h_{b}(z)$ is continuous. The quasiconformal constant converges to 1 as $b$ approaches $a$.

This is the moment when we need our extra condition since, if $f_{a}$ has a super-attracting periodic point, then $f_{a}$ is not structurally stable. This property of stability of the family $\mathcal{H}$ stated in the previous lemma is a
crucial fact. But we need to have some kind of control over the changes resulting from the action of the quasiconformal homeomorphism in a neighborhood of $a$. This is stated in Proposition 2.4. To obtain this result we need to provide some information about quasiconformal maps and give some properties of functions from $\mathcal{H}$.

Let $K, \alpha>0$. We say that a map $h: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is $(K, \alpha)$-Hölder continuous if

$$
\left|h\left(z_{1}\right)-h\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \leq K\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{\alpha}
$$

for all $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|<1$.
But what we are really interested in is the distortion of Euclidean distances under normalized $K$-quasiconformal maps, and we use the classical theorems of Koebe and Mori. For the proof of Koebe's theorems, the reader can see [11] and for the proof of Mori's theorem, see, for example, [17, p. 66].

Next we formulate two lemmas about functions from the family $\mathcal{H}$, and we generalize a useful result from [4]. The first one follows from 1.3 and brings essential information for understanding the dynamics of our maps. We also refer the reader to [4].
2.2. Lemma. For $a \in \mathcal{H}$ there exist positive numbers $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ and $r$ such that for all $b \in B(a, r)$ and for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|\operatorname{Re} z|>M_{3}$, the following inequalities hold.
(i) $M_{1} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)} \leq\left|f_{b}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq M_{2} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)}$,
(ii) $M_{1} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)} \leq\left|f_{b}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \leq M_{2} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)}$,
(iii) $M_{1} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)} \leq\left|\partial f_{b}^{\prime} / \partial b(z)\right| \leq M_{2} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)}$,
where

$$
q(z)= \begin{cases}k & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} z<0 \\ n-k & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} z>0\end{cases}
$$

Another important observation is that we can maintain the bounds from Lemma 2.2 when we apply the quasiconformal homeomorphism $h_{b}$ to the points of $J_{f_{a}}$. Note the parts (iii) and (iv) follow from the equalities (1.2) and (1.1).
2.3. Lemma. For $a \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ and $r$ such that for all $b \in B(a, r)$ and for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|\operatorname{Re} z|>M_{3}$, the following inequalities hold.
(i) $M_{1} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)} \leq\left|f_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)\right| \leq M_{2} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)}$,
(ii) $M_{1} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)} \leq\left|f_{b}^{\prime \prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)\right| \leq M_{2} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)}$,
(iii) $M_{1} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)} \leq\left|\partial f_{b}^{\prime} / \partial b\left(h_{b}(z)\right)\right| \leq M_{2} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)}$,
(iv) $M_{1} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)} \leq\left|\partial f_{b} / \partial b\left(h_{b}(z)\right)\right| \leq M_{2} e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| q(z)}$,
where

$$
q(z)= \begin{cases}k & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} z<0 \\ n-k & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} z>0\end{cases}
$$

Consequently, we can also generalize Proposition 2.2 from [4], and we obtain that for a fixed parameter $a \in \mathcal{H}$, a map $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is expanding on its Julia set uniformly over a small neighborhood $B(a, r) \subset \mathcal{H}$; more precisely, for every $a \in \mathcal{H}$ there exist $c>0, \gamma>1, r>0$ such that, for all $b \in B(a, r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(f_{b}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|>c \gamma^{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z \in J_{f_{b}}$.
We state now the principal result of this section.
2.4. Proposition. Fix $a \in \mathcal{H}$. For $b$ sufficiently close to $a$, we can choose $h_{b}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the quasiconformal conjugacy homeomorphism, such that the following three properties hold.
(i) $\sup _{z \in J_{f_{a}}}\left\{\left|\left(d h_{b} / d b\right)(z)\right|\right\}$ is bounded.
(ii) $h_{b}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is $(K(Q), 1 / Q)$-Hölder continuous, where $Q$ is quasiconformal constant of $h_{b}$, and $K:[1, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is increasing.
(iii) For every $z \in \mathbf{C}$ we have $h_{b}(z+2 \pi i)=h_{b}(z)+2 \pi i$. This shows that $h_{b}$ is well defined on the cylinder $P$.

Proof. Part (i). Let $f_{a}, f_{b}$ be as above. Also consider $J_{f_{b}}, J_{f_{a}}$ and $h_{b}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $|a-b|<\varepsilon$ for a small $\varepsilon>0$. We need to show that

$$
\sup _{z \in J_{f_{a}}, b \in B(a, \varepsilon)}\left|\frac{d h_{b}(z)}{d b}\right|<\infty
$$

By the conjugacy relation we have that $h_{b} \circ f_{a}(z)=f_{b} \circ h_{b}(z)$ for every $z \in \mathbf{C}$. Therefore, for every $n \geq 0$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{b}\left(f_{a}^{n}(z)\right)=f_{b}^{n}\left(h_{b}(z)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the function $f^{j}: \mathbf{C}^{n} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be defined by the formula

$$
f^{j}(b, z)=f_{b}^{j}(z)
$$

for $j \geq 0$, and let $z \in J_{f_{a}}$ be a periodic point with period $n \geq 1$, i.e, $f_{a}^{n}(z)=z$. Then by (2.2) we obtain that

$$
f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right)=h_{b}(z)
$$

for every $b \in B(a, \varepsilon)$. Differentiating the above relation with respect to the variable $b$, we get

$$
D_{1} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right)+D_{2} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right) \cdot \frac{d h_{b}}{d b}(z)=\frac{d h_{b}}{d b}(z)
$$

Since periodic points from the Julia set are not parabolic, this implies that

$$
\frac{d h_{b}}{d b}(z)=\frac{D_{1} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right)}{1-D_{2} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right)}
$$

From this and (2.1) it follows that, if the period $n$ of $z$ is large enough, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d h_{b}}{d b}(z)\right| \leq \frac{\left|D_{1} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right)\right|}{\left|D_{2} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right)\right|-1} \leq 2 \frac{\left|D_{1} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right)\right|}{\left|D_{2} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right)\right|} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w$ denote $h_{b}(z)$. Then, using the equality $f_{b}^{n}(w)=f_{b}\left(f_{b}^{n-1}(w)\right)$ (which is equivalent to $f^{n}(b, w)=f\left(b, f_{b}^{n-1}(w)\right)$ ) we can estimate $D_{1}$ in terms of $D_{2}$ as follows. First write

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1} f^{n}(b, w) & =D_{1}\left(f\left(b, f^{n-1}(b, w)\right)\right) \\
& =D_{1} f\left(b, f^{n-1}(b, w)\right)+D_{2} f\left(b, f^{n-1}(b, w)\right) \cdot D_{1} f^{n-1}(b, w)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, repeating these computations for $n, n-1, \ldots, 1,0$ and using the chain rule, we obtain

$$
D_{1} f^{n}(b, w)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_{2} f^{k}\left(b, f^{n-k}(b, w)\right) \cdot D_{1} f\left(b, f^{n-k-1}(w)\right)
$$

With $\partial$-notation, for $w=h_{b}(z)$, it looks like this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right) & =\frac{\partial f^{n}}{\partial b}(b, w) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(f_{b}^{k}\right)^{\prime}\left(f_{b}^{n-k}(w)\right) \frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial b}\left(f_{b}^{n-k-1}(w)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{D_{1} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right)}{D_{2} f^{n}\left(b, h_{b}(z)\right)} & =\frac{\left(\partial f^{n} / \partial b\right)(b, w)}{\left(f_{b}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left(\partial f_{b} / \partial b\right)\left(f_{b}^{n-k-1}(w)\right) \cdot\left(f_{b}^{k}\right)^{\prime}\left(f_{b}^{n-k}(w)\right)}{\left(f_{b}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left(\partial f_{b} / \partial b\right)\left(f_{b}^{n-k-1}(w)\right)}{\left(f_{b}^{n-k}\right)^{\prime}(w)}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left(\partial f_{b} / \partial b\right)\left(f_{b}^{n-k-1}(w)\right)}{\left(f_{b}^{\prime}\right)\left(f_{b}^{n-k-1}(w)\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(f_{b}^{n-k-1}\right)^{\prime}(w)}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we would like to show that

$$
\left|\frac{\left(\partial f_{b} / \partial b\right)\left(f_{b}^{n-k-1}(w)\right)}{\left(f_{b}^{\prime}\right)\left(f_{b}^{n-k-1}(w)\right)}\right|
$$

is uniformly (with respect to $b$ ) bounded from above. It is worth reminding that $f_{b}^{n-k-1}(w) \in J_{f_{b}}$ and both functions $\left(\partial f_{b} / \partial b\right)(\cdot)$ and $f_{b}^{\prime}(\cdot)$ are periodic with period $2 \pi i$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\left(\partial f_{b} / \partial b\right)(z)\right|}{\left|\left(f_{b}^{\prime}\right)(z)\right|} \leq C \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $b$ sufficiently close to $a$ and for $z \in J_{f_{b}} \cap\{z \in \mathbf{C}: \operatorname{Im} z \in[0,2 \pi]\}$. To do this we split the set $J_{f_{b}} \cap\{z \in \mathbf{C}: \operatorname{Im} z \in[0,2 \pi]\}$ into two sets, a compact one $\left\{z \in J_{f_{b}}: x \in\left[-M_{3}, M_{3}\right] \times[0,2 \pi]\right\}$ and its complement. By (2.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{\prime}=\sup \left\{\frac{\left|\left(\partial f_{b} / \partial b\right)(x)\right|}{\left|\left(f_{b}^{\prime}\right)(x)\right|}: b \in B(a, \varepsilon)\right. & , x \in J_{f_{b}} \\
& \left.x \in\left[-M_{3}, M_{3}\right] \times[0,2 \pi]\right\}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\varepsilon$ small enough. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2 (i) and (iii),

$$
\frac{\left|\left(\partial f_{b} / \partial b\right)(x)\right|}{\left|\left(f_{b}^{\prime}\right)(z)\right|} \leq \frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}}
$$

if $|\operatorname{Re} x| \geq M_{3}$. Therefore (2.5) is proved with $C=\max \left\{C^{\prime}, M_{2} / M_{1}\right\}$.
To finish the proof of part (i) note that, by (2.1), we can assume that $\varepsilon>0$ satisfies the condition

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{l} \frac{1}{\left|\left(f_{b}^{j}\right)^{\prime}(w)\right|} \leq \frac{1}{c(1-(1 / \gamma))}
$$

for all $n$ and $b \in B(a, \varepsilon)$. Then, putting (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) together, we get

$$
\sup _{\substack{z \in \mathrm{Per} \\ b \in B(a, \varepsilon)}}\left|\frac{d h_{b}}{d b}(z)\right|<\infty
$$

Hence, since $\overline{\mathrm{Per}}=J_{f_{a}}$ and since $b \mapsto h_{b}(z)$ is analytic, part (i) follows.

Part (ii). Obviously we want to use Mori's theorem and the result obtained before. Point (i) shows, in particular, that for small $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\substack{z \in J_{f a} \\ b \in B(a, \varepsilon)}}\left|z-h_{b}(z)\right|<1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then let $\varepsilon>0$ be small. Fix $x \in J_{f_{a}}$ and consider the open disk $D(x, 1)$ of radius 1 with center at $x$. Then $G_{b}=h_{b}(B(x, 1))$ is an open simply connected set for every $b \in B(a, \varepsilon)$. Let $R_{b}: D(0,1) \rightarrow G_{b}$ be the conformal representation (Riemann map) of $G_{b}$ such that $R(0)=h_{b}(x)$. Then the map

$$
g_{b}=R_{b}^{-1} \circ h_{b}: D(x, 1) \longrightarrow D(0,1)
$$

is a $Q$-quasiconformal homeomorphism between two disks of radius 1.
Now let $\chi_{x}$ be a path in $J_{F_{a}}$ which joins $x$ and infinity. The existence of such a path is a consequence of the fact that all Fatou components are simply connected, see e.g., [18, p. 90]. Let $\chi_{x}^{\omega} \subset \chi_{x} \cap \overline{D(x, 1)}$ be
an arc inside $B(x, 1)$ joining $x$ with a point on the boundary $\partial B(x, 1)$, call it $\omega$. Then $h_{b}\left(\chi_{x}^{\omega}\right)$ is an arc joining $h_{b}(x)$ and $h_{b}(\omega) \in \partial G_{b}$.
Note that there exists $z \in D(0,1)$ with $|z|=1 / 2$ and $y \in D(x, 1) \cap J_{F_{a}}$ such that $R_{b}(z)=h_{b}(y) \in J_{F_{b}}$ (or equivalently $g_{b}(y)=z$ ). From (2.6), for $|a-b|<\varepsilon$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{b}(z)-R_{b}(0)\right| & =\left|h_{b}(y)-h_{b}(x)\right| \\
& \leq\left|h_{b}(y)-y\right|+|y-x|+\left|x-h_{b}(x)\right| \\
& =\left|h_{b}(y)-h_{a}(y)\right|+|y-x|+\left|x-h_{b}(x)\right| \\
& \leq 2 \varepsilon \sup \left\{\left|\frac{\partial h_{b}}{\partial b}\right|: z \in J_{f_{a}}, b \in B(a, \varepsilon)\right\}+1 \\
& \leq 2 \varepsilon+1
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $R_{b}(D(0,1 / 2))$ does not contain the ball $D\left(h_{b}(x), 2 \varepsilon+1\right)$ since $R_{b}(D(0,1 / 2))$ does not contain any ball centered at $h_{b}(x)$ with radius greater than $\left|R_{b}(z)-h_{b}(x)\right|$. Then, using Koebe's distortion theorem, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{b}^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 4(2 \varepsilon+1) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, applying Mori's Theorem to the quasiconformal mapping $g_{b}$ and to points $z_{1}, z_{2} \in D(x, 1)$, we get

$$
\left|g_{b}\left(z_{1}\right)-g_{b}\left(z_{2}\right)\right|<16\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{1 / Q}
$$

If additionally $z_{1}, z_{2} \in B\left(x, 1 /(32)^{Q}\right)$, then, using Koebe's theorem with $K=K(1 / 2)$ for the function $R_{b}$ together with (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h_{b}\left(z_{1}\right)-h_{b}\left(z_{2}\right)\right| & =\mid R_{b}\left(g_{b}\left(z_{1}\right)-R_{b}\left(g_{b}\left(z_{2}\right)\right) \mid\right. \\
& \leq K\left|R^{\prime}(0)\right|\left|g_{b}(w)-g_{b}(z)\right| \leq 4 K(2 \varepsilon+1)|w-z|^{1 / Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above computations it follows that $h_{b}$ is $(4 K(2 \varepsilon+1), 1 /$ $Q)$-Hölder continuous on $1 /(32)^{Q}$-neighborhood of $J_{f_{a}}$. But note that there exists $r$, such that the $r /(32)^{Q}$-neighborhood of $J_{f_{a}}$ contains the whole plane $\mathbf{C}$. Therefore, considering the map $g_{b}^{r}(z)=g_{b}(r z)$ instead of $g_{b}$ (we have to increase the domain of $g_{b}$ to $D(x, r)$ ), we can repeat the computations to prove that $h_{b}$ is $(K(Q), 1 / Q)$-Hölder continuous on $\mathbf{C}$ for some $K(Q)$.

Part (iii). Consider the map $b \mapsto k_{z}(b)=h_{b}(z+2 \pi i)-h_{b}(z) \in \mathbf{C}$. Since $b \mapsto h_{b}(z)$ is continuous, the map $k_{z}$ is continuous as well. If $b \in B(a, \varepsilon)$ for some small $\varepsilon$, then as before we get from the conjugacy relation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{b}\left(h_{b}(z+2 \pi i)\right)=h_{b}\left(f_{b}(z+2 \pi i)\right)=h_{b}\left(f_{b}(z)\right)=f_{b}\left(h_{b}(z)\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for every $b \in B(a, \varepsilon)$, the set of possible values of $k_{z}$ is a discrete subset of $\mathbf{C}$ (in particular has a finite intersection with the stripe $\{z \in \mathbf{C}: \operatorname{Im} z \in[0,2 \pi)\})$. If $h_{b}(z+2 \pi i)$ and $h_{b}(z)$ are regular points of $f_{b}$, then, for $c$ sufficiently close to $b, h_{c}(z+2 \pi i)$ and $h_{c}(z)$ are regular points of $f_{c}$ and, if $k_{z}(b) \neq 2 \pi i$, then $k_{z}(c) \neq 2 \pi i$, and, if $k_{z}(b)=2 \pi i$, then $k_{z}(c)=2 \pi i$. Since $k_{z}(a)=2 \pi i$ for $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and since the set of critical points of $f_{a}$ is discrete, $k_{z}$ is the constant function $2 \pi i$. This finishes the proof.
3. The main tool. In this section we fix $a \in \mathcal{H}$ and we denote $f_{a}$, $F_{a}$ and $\delta_{a}$ respectively by $f, F$ and $\delta$. Let $\mathrm{CB}\left(J_{F}, \mathbf{C}\right)$ be the Banach space of all bounded continuous functions $g: J_{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. For $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and for $g \in \mathrm{CB}\left(J_{F}, \mathbf{C}\right)$ we denote by $v_{\alpha}(g)$ the $\alpha$-variation of the function $g$ which is

$$
\inf \left\{L \geq 0: \forall_{x, y \in J_{F}}|x-y| \leq \delta \Longrightarrow|g(x)-g(y)| \leq L|x-y|^{\alpha}\right\}
$$

Let

$$
\|g\|_{\alpha}=v_{\alpha}(g)+\|g\|_{\infty}
$$

and define

$$
H_{\alpha}=H_{\alpha}\left(J_{F}\right)=\left\{g \in \mathrm{CB}\left(J_{F}, \mathbf{C}\right):\|g\|_{\alpha}<\infty\right\} .
$$

Then the set $H_{\alpha}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ is a Banach space and $H_{\alpha}$ is a dense subset of $\mathrm{CB}\left(J_{F}, \mathbf{C}\right)$.
3.1. Observe that it follows immediately from [4, Proposition 2.2] $L>0$ and $0<\beta<1$ exist such that, for every $n \geq 0$, every $v \in J_{F}$ and every $z \in B\left(F^{n}(v), \delta\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq L \beta^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{v}^{-n}$ is a branch of $F^{-n}$ such that $F_{v}^{-n}\left(F^{n}(v)\right)=v$.
3.2. We say a continuous function $\phi: J_{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is dynamically Hölder with an exponent $\alpha>0$ if there exists $c_{\phi}>0$ such that

$$
\left|\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(y)\right)-\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(x)\right)\right| \leq c_{\phi}\left|\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(x)\right)\right||y-x|^{\alpha}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$, all $x, y \in J_{F}$ with $|x-y| \leq \delta$ and all $v \in F^{-n}(x)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}(z)=\phi(z) \phi(F(z)) \cdots \phi\left(F^{n-1}(z)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that a continuous function $\phi: J_{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is summable if

$$
\sup _{z \in J_{F}}\left\{\sum_{v \in F^{-1}(z)}\left\|\phi \circ F_{v}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}\right\}<\infty
$$

Next define
$H_{\alpha}^{s}=\left\{\phi: J_{F} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}: \phi\right.$ is a Hölder continuous summable function $\}$.
If the function $\phi \in H_{\alpha}^{s}$, then the formula

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\phi} g(z)=\sum_{x \in F^{-1}(z)} \phi(x) g(x)
$$

defines a bounded operator $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}: \mathrm{CB}\left(J_{F}, \mathbf{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{CB}\left(J_{F}, \mathbf{C}\right)$ called the Perron-Frobenius operator associated with the function $\phi$.

Observe now that (see [25, Lemma 4.1]) if we let $\phi: J_{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a summable dynamically Hölder function with an exponent $\alpha>0$, then

$$
\mathrm{£}_{\phi}\left(H_{\alpha}\right) \subset H_{\alpha}
$$

and then, if $\phi\left(J_{F}\right) \subset[0, \infty)$ and $\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\{\left\|\mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{n}(\mathbf{1})\right\|_{\infty}\right\}<\infty$, there exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{n} g\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|g\|_{\alpha}+c_{1}\|g\|_{\infty} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$ large enough and for every $g \in H_{\alpha}$.
3.3. We say (see also [25]) that a summable dynamically Hölder potential $\phi: J_{F} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ satisfies the $Q$-condition if

$$
Q_{\phi}=\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\{\left\|\mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{n}(\mathbf{1})\right\|_{\infty}\right\}<\infty
$$

and we say that $\phi$ is rapidly decreasing if

$$
\lim _{|\operatorname{Re} z| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{1})(z)=0
$$

As in [25, Lemma 4.2] we also observe that if we let $\phi: J_{F} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a rapidly decreasing summable dynamically Hölder potential satisfying the $Q$-condition and, if $B$ is a bounded subset of $\left(H_{\alpha},\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}\right)$, then $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}(B)$ is a pre-compact subset of the space $\left(\mathrm{CB}\left(J_{F}, \mathbf{C}\right),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$.

Combining all the above results we observe that the assumptions of Ionescu-Tulcea and Marinescu's ergodic theorem [15, Theorem 1.5] are satisfied for Banach spaces $H_{\alpha}$ and for the bounded operator $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}: \mathrm{CB} \rightarrow \mathrm{CB}$. All this shows the following theorem, see $[\mathbf{2 5}$, Theorem 4.3].
3.4. Theorem. If $\phi: J_{F} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a rapidly decreasing summable dynamically Hölder potential satisfying the $Q$-condition, then there exist $p \in \mathbf{N}$ numbers $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{p} \in S_{1}=\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|=1\}$, finitely dimensional bounded operators $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{p}: H_{\alpha} \rightarrow H_{\alpha}$ and an operator $S: H_{\alpha} \rightarrow H_{\alpha}$ such that, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_{i}^{n} Q_{i}+S^{n}, \\
Q_{i}^{2}=Q_{i}, \quad Q_{i} \circ Q_{j}=0, \quad(i=j), \quad Q_{i} \circ S=S \circ Q_{i}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left\|S^{n}\right\|_{\alpha} \leq C \xi^{n}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ and some constant $\xi \in(0,1)$. In particular all numbers $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{p}$ are isolated eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}: H_{\alpha} \rightarrow$ $H_{\alpha}$, and this operator is quasicompact.
3.5. Lemma. (i) The function $\phi_{1}(z)=-t \log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|$ is 1-Hölder (Lipshitz).
(ii) The function $\phi_{2}(z)=\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t}$ is 1-Hölder.

Proof. Observe that $\phi_{2}(z)=e^{\phi_{1}(z)}$. To prove (i) we use Koebe's distortion theorem. Let $|z-w|<\delta$ and $|z-w|=\eta 2 \delta$. Then

$$
\frac{(1-\eta)^{3}}{(1+\eta)^{3}} \leq \frac{\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(w)\right|} \leq \frac{(1+\eta)^{3}}{(1-\eta)^{3}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
|-t \log | F_{a}^{\prime}(z)|+t \log | F_{a}^{\prime}(w)| | & =|t| \cdot\left|\log \frac{\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(w)\right|}\right| \\
& \leq|t|(3 \log (1+\eta)-3 \log (1-\eta)) \\
& \leq|t| \frac{9}{2 \delta}|z-w|
\end{aligned}
$$

since, for $\eta \in(0,1 / 2), \log (1+\eta) \leq \eta$ and $\log (1-\eta)<2 \eta$. This finishes the proof of part (i).

To get (ii), first observe that if $\operatorname{Re} t \geq 0$

$$
\left|\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\Delta_{a}^{\mathrm{Re} t}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{a}=\min \left(1 / 2, \inf \left\{\left|f_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|: \operatorname{dist}\left(z, \operatorname{Crit}\left(f_{a}\right)\right)>\delta\right\}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, note that there exists $M_{t}>0$ such that, if $|x| \leq 2|t| \log \left(1 / \Delta_{a}\right)$, then

$$
\left|e^{x}-1\right| \leq M_{t}|x|
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t}-\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(w)\right|^{-t}\right| & =\left|e^{-t \log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|}-e^{-t \log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(w)\right|}\right| \\
& =\left|e^{-t \log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(w)\right|}\right| \cdot\left|e^{-t \log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|+t \log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(w)\right|}-1\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Delta_{a}^{\operatorname{Re} t}} M_{t} \frac{9}{2 \delta}|z-w| .
\end{aligned}
$$

3.6. Let $\phi_{t}:=\phi_{2}$ be the function from the previous lemma. Since

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\phi_{t}} g(z)=\sum_{x \in F^{-1}(z)}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t} g(z)
$$

the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}$ from [4] can be defined by the formula

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}=\alpha_{t}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{t}}
$$

where $\alpha_{t}=e^{P(t)}$ and $P(t)$ is the topological pressure from Remark 1.4. Moreover, in [4] (see also [25]) it was proved that, for $t>1$, there exists a unique ( $\alpha, t$ )-conformal measure and $\alpha=\alpha_{t}$. Moreover, the measure is a fixed point of the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}^{*}$ dual to $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}$. Next we shall prove more properties of the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}=\alpha_{t}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{t}}$.
3.7. Lemma. If $\operatorname{Re} t>1$, then $\phi_{3}(z):=e^{-P(t)} \phi_{t}(z)=$ $e^{-P(t)}\left|\left(F_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t}$ is a rapidly decreasing summable dynamically Hölder function satisfying the $Q$-condition. Therefore the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\phi_{3}}=\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}$ satisfies Theorem 3.4.

Proof. Since $\left|\left(F_{a}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right| \cdot\left|F_{a}^{\omega}\left(F_{a}(z)\right)\right| \cdots\left|F_{a}^{\prime}\left(F_{a}^{n-1}(z)\right)\right|$, then $\phi_{n}(z)$ for the potential $\left|\left(F_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t}$ is equal to $\left|\left(F_{a}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t}$. Therefore,

$$
\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(y)\right)=\left|\left(F_{a}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(y)\right)\right|^{-t}=\left|\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right|^{t}
$$

Using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|-t \log |\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(x)|+t \log |\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(y) \| \leq|t| \frac{9}{2 \delta}|y-x| . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\left.\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|^{t} & -\left|\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right|^{t} \mid \\
& =\left|e^{t \log \left|\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right|}\right| \cdot\left|e^{t \log \left|\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|-t \log \left|\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right|}-1\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right|^{\operatorname{Re} t} M_{t}^{\prime}|x-y|
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $M_{t}^{\prime}$. Observe that, from the estimation above and Proposition 3.1 from [4], it follows that $\phi_{3}(z)$ is a rapidly decreasing summable dynamically Hölder function satisfying the $Q$-condition. -
3.8. Corollary. If $t>1$, then 1 is an isolated simple eigenvalue of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}: H_{\alpha} \rightarrow H_{\alpha}$ and the eigenspace of the eigenvalue 1 is generated by the nowhere vanishing function $\psi_{t} \in H_{\alpha}$ such that

$$
\int \psi_{t} d m_{t}=1
$$

and

$$
\lim _{|\operatorname{Re} z| \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{t}(z)=0
$$

Moreover, if $t>1$, then the measure $\mu=\mu_{t}=\psi_{t} m_{t}$ is $F$-invariant, ergodic and equivalent to $m_{t}$. In particular, $\mu\left(J_{F}^{r}\right)=1$.

Proof. We have first that

$$
\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}^{n}(\mathbf{1})\right\|_{\alpha} \leq C_{1}
$$

for some $C_{1}>0$ and every $n \geq 0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}^{k}(\mathbf{1})\right\|_{\alpha}=\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}^{k}(\mathbf{1})\right)\right\|_{\alpha} \leq C_{1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \geq 1$. Then it follows from subsection 3.3 that there exists a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ such that the sequence

$$
\left\{\frac{1}{n_{j}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{j}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}^{k}(\mathbf{1})\right\}_{j \geq 1}
$$

converges in $\mathrm{CB}\left(J_{F}, \mathbf{C}\right)$ to a function $\psi_{t}: J_{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Then, since by (3.6) $\left\|\psi_{t}\right\|_{\alpha} \leq C_{1}$, we get that $\psi_{t} \in H_{\alpha}$. Moreover, by [4, Proposition 7.1], $m_{t}$ is a fixed point of the dual operator $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}^{*}$. Therefore, for every $j \geq 0$,

$$
\int \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}^{j}(\mathbf{1}) d m_{t}=1
$$

and consequently

$$
\int \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}^{j}(\mathbf{1}) d m_{t}=1
$$

Applying Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem together with the fact that the function $\phi$ from the previous lemma has the $Q$-property, we obtain the equality

$$
\int \psi_{t} d m_{t}=1
$$

Moreover, it follows then from [4, Proposition 7.1] that $\psi_{t}>0$ throughout $J_{F}$. Since $\psi_{t}=\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t} \psi_{t}$ and since $\mathcal{L}_{t} \psi_{t}(z) \leq \mathcal{L}_{t} \mathbf{1}(z)_{\infty}\left\|\psi_{t}\right\|_{\infty}$ and

$$
\lim _{|\operatorname{Re} z| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}_{t} \mathbf{1}(z)=0
$$

it follows that

$$
\lim _{|\operatorname{Re} z| \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{t}(z)=0
$$

The fact that 1 is an isolated eigenvalue of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}$ follows from Theorem 3.3. The last statement of the corollary follows from above and [4, Proposition 4.1].

Therefore, it remains to prove that the isolated eigenvalue 1 is simple, i.e., the eigenspace of 1 is one-dimensional. Let $g=g_{1}+i g_{2} \in H_{\alpha}$, where $g_{1}, g_{2} \in H_{\alpha}$ are real-valued be such that

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}(g)=g
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{1}+i g_{2}=g=\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}\left(g_{1}+i g_{2}\right)=\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}\left(g_{1}\right)+i \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}\left(g_{2}\right) \\
\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}\left(g_{l}\right)=g_{l}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $l=1,2$. We shall prove that both $g_{l}$ are equal to $\lambda_{l} \psi_{t}$ for some $\lambda_{l} \in \mathbf{R}$. So let us assume that $g_{l} \neq \lambda \psi_{t}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq \mathbf{1}-\frac{g_{l}}{\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{\infty}} \\
0 \leq \frac{1}{n_{j}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{j}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}^{k}\left(\mathbf{1}-\frac{g_{l}}{\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{\infty}}\right)=\frac{1}{n_{j}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{j}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}^{k}(\mathbf{1})-\frac{g_{l}}{\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{\infty}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, the function

$$
h=\frac{\psi_{t}-\left(g /\|g\|_{\infty}\right)}{\int \psi_{t}-\left(g /\|g\|_{\infty}\right) d m_{t}}
$$

is a well-defined nonzero nonnegative function which is a fixed point of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{t}$ and

$$
\int h d m_{t}=1
$$

But this gives us that $h=\psi_{t}$ since we know that $m_{t}$ is ergodic. Then the required contradiction follows.
3.9. Next we observe that, following [25], if we let $G$ be a domain in $\mathbf{C}$ and $\left\{\Phi_{b}: J_{F_{a}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}\right\}_{b \in G}$ be a family of continuous summable functions such that, for every $z \in J_{F_{a}}$ the function $G \ni b \mapsto \Phi_{b}(z) \in \mathbf{C}$ is holomorphic and such that the map $G \ni b \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\Phi_{b}} \in L\left(H_{\alpha}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \longmapsto \mathcal{L}_{\Phi_{b}} \in L\left(H_{\alpha}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is holomorphic on $G$.
This important remark on the analyticity of the Perron-Frobenius operators allows us to formulate the next theorem. For a proof, the reader can consult [25]. We only mention that the extension from the one-dimensional case to the multi-dimensional case (what we really need, since our parameter space is the $n+1$-dimensional complex space $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ ) is possible due to the well-known Hartogs' theorem.
3.10. Theorem (The main tool). Suppose that $G$ is an open connected subset of $\mathbf{C}^{n}, n \geq 1$, and $\Phi_{b}: J_{F_{a}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, b \in G$, is a family of mappings such that
(i) for every $b \in G, \Phi_{b} \in H_{\alpha}^{s}$,
(ii) for every $b \in G, \Phi_{b}$ is dynamically Hölder,
(iii) $G \ni b \mapsto \Phi_{b} \in H_{\alpha}$ is continuous,
(iv) family $\left\{c_{\Phi_{b}}\right\}_{b \in G}$ is bounded,
(v) the function $b \mapsto \Phi_{b}(z) \in \mathbf{C}, b \in G$ is holomorphic for every $z \in J_{F_{a}}$,
(vi) for every $d \in G$ there exists $r>0$ and there exists $c \in G$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{\left|\frac{\Phi_{b}}{\Phi_{c}}(z)\right|: b \in \overline{B(d, r)}, z \in \mathbf{C}\right\}<\infty
$$

Then the function $b \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\Phi_{b}} \in L\left(H_{\alpha}\right), b \in G$, is holomorphic.
4. The main result. In this section we prove the main result of this paper. For a parameter $b \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ and a map $f=f_{b} \in \mathcal{H}$, we show
that the function $b \mapsto \mathrm{HD}\left(J_{f_{b}}^{r}\right)$ is real-analytic. This ultimate goal is established in Theorem 4.17. But first we need to prove that, for $t>1$, the function $a \mapsto P_{a}(t)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{H}$. To obtain this we need the following lemma. We separate it because we shall use it one more time later.
4.1. Lemma. For every $a \in \mathcal{H}$ and for every $\epsilon>1$, there exists $r>0$ such that, for $b \in B(a, r)$ and for $z \in J_{f_{a}}$,

$$
\left|\frac{f_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}-1\right|<\epsilon
$$

Proof. First note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{f_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}-1\right| & =\left|\frac{f_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)-f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}\right|  \tag{4.1}\\
& \leq\left|\frac{f_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)-f_{a}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}\right|+\left|\frac{f_{a}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)-f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Next we split the proof into two cases.

Case 1. Assume that $|\operatorname{Re} z| \leq M_{3}+1$, where $M_{3}$ is the constant from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Observe that there exists $M_{5}<\infty$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{\sum_{j=0}^{n}(j-k)^{2} e^{2(j-k) \operatorname{Re} z}:|\operatorname{Re} z| \leq M_{3}+2\right\} \leq M_{5}^{2}
$$

If $b$ is close to $a$, then $\left|\operatorname{Re} h_{b}(z)\right| \leq M_{3}+2$. Therefore, by (1.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{f_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)-f_{a}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{\delta_{a}} \sup \left\{\left\|\frac{\partial f_{b}^{\prime}}{\partial b}(z)\right\|:|a-b|<r\right\}|b-a| \\
& \leq \frac{M_{5}}{\delta_{a}}|b-a|
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe also that there exists $M_{6}<\infty$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{\left|f_{a}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right|:|\operatorname{Re} z| \leq M_{3}+2\right\} \leq M_{6}
$$

Then

$$
\left|\frac{f_{a}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)-f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{M_{6}}{\delta_{a}}\left|h_{b}(z)-z\right| \leq \frac{M_{6}}{\delta_{a}}\left|\frac{\partial h_{b}}{\partial b}(z)\right||b-a|
$$

Since, by Proposition 2.4, $\left|\left(\partial h_{b} / \partial b\right)(z)\right|$ is bounded in a small neighborhood of $a$, we have that (4.1) can be as small as we want.

Case 2. Assume that $|\operatorname{Re} z|>M_{3}+1$. Then, similarly to Case 1 but using Lemma 2.3 instead of estimations by $M_{5}, M_{6}$ and $\delta_{a}$, we get

$$
\left|\frac{f_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)-f_{a}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}}|b-a|,
$$

and

$$
\left|\frac{f_{a}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)-f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}}\left|\frac{\partial h_{b}}{\partial b}(z)\right||b-a|
$$

Therefore, again, if $b$ is close to $a$, then (4.1) can be as small as we want for $b$ sufficiently close to $a$.
4.2. Lemma. For all $t>1$ the function $a \mapsto P_{a}(t), a \in \mathcal{H}$, is continuous.

Proof. Fix $a \in \mathcal{H}$ and, for this $a, r>0$ from Lemma 4.1. Next, take any $z \in J_{F_{a}}$ and $n \geq 1$ and $x \in F_{a}^{-n}(z)$. First note that, by Proposition 2.4 (iii), $h_{b}$, which conjugates $f_{b}$ and $f_{a}$, conjugates also $F_{b}$ and $F_{a}$. Moreover, we have $h_{b}\left(F_{a}^{-n}(z)\right)=F_{b}^{-n}(z)$ and for every $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ and every $x \in F_{a}^{-n}(z)$ we have $h_{b} \circ f_{a}^{i}(x)=f_{b}^{i} \circ h_{b}(x)$. Now we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|\left(F_{b}^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(x)\right)\right|}{\left|\left(F_{a}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|} & =\frac{\left|\left(f_{b}^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(x)\right)\right|}{\left|\left(f_{a}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|}=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left|f_{b}^{\prime}\left(f_{b}^{i}\left(h_{b}(x)\right)\right)\right|}{\left|f_{a}^{\prime}\left(f_{a}^{i}(x)\right)\right|} \\
& =\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left|f_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}\left(f_{a}^{i}(x)\right)\right)\right|}{\left|f_{a}^{\prime}\left(f_{a}^{i}(x)\right)\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.1, for every $\gamma>1$, there exists $0<r_{1}<r$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\gamma^{n}}<\frac{\left|\left(F_{b}^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(x)\right)\right|}{\left|\left(F_{a}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|}<\gamma^{n}
$$

Since $h_{b}: F_{a}^{-n}(z) \rightarrow F_{b}^{-n}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)$ is a bijection, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\gamma^{t n}}<\frac{\sum_{x \in F_{b}^{-n}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)}\left|\left(F_{b}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|^{-t}}{\sum_{x \in F_{a}^{-n}(z)}\left|\left(F_{a}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|^{-t}}<\gamma^{t n}
$$

Hence, taking the logarithm and dividing the last inequality by $n$, we get

$$
-t \log \gamma<P_{b}(t)-P_{a}(t)<t \log \gamma
$$

for all $b \in B\left(a, r_{1}\right)$ and we are done.
4.3. Let $a \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $r_{1}>0$ be such a real number that, for every $b \in B\left(a, r_{1}\right)$, there exists a quasiconformal map $h_{b}$ conjugating $f_{a}$ and $f_{b}$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\alpha\left(r_{1}\right)=\inf \left\{\frac{1}{Q(b)}: b \in B\left(a, r_{1}\right)\right\}>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence of such an $r_{1}$ follows from Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.4. Therefore, for $b \in B\left(a, r_{1}\right)$ and $t>1$, we can define a function $\phi_{(\cdot)}(b, t): J_{F_{a}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by the formula

$$
\phi_{z}(b, t)=\left|F_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)\right|^{-t} .
$$

4.4. Lemma. If $\operatorname{Re} t>1$, then the functions

$$
\phi_{4}(z)=-t \log \left|F_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{z}(b, t)=\left|F_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)\right|^{-t}
$$

are $\alpha$-Hölder, where $\alpha$ is the constant from (4.2).

Proof. Since, by Proposition 2.4 (ii), we know that $h_{b}$ is $(K(Q),(1 / Q))$ Hölder, the lemma follows from Lemma 3.5.
4.5. Then by $\mathcal{L}_{b, t}^{0}$ we denote the Perron-Frobenius operator associated with $\phi_{z}(b, t)=\left|F_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)\right|^{-t}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{b, t}^{0} g(z)=\sum_{x \in F_{a}^{-1}(z)} \phi_{z}(b, t) g(x)
$$

for $g \in \mathrm{CB}\left(J_{F}, \mathbf{C}\right)$.
4.6. Embedding of $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ into $\mathbf{C}^{2 n+3}$. We would like to apply Theorem 3.10, but the direct extension of $\phi_{z}$ onto an open subset of $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{C}$ is not a holomorphic function of $b$ and $t$. For this reason we shall embed $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ into $\mathbf{C}^{2 n+3}$ in some special way described below and then extend $\phi_{z}$.

Let $b=\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. Write $b_{j}=b_{j}^{1}+i b_{j}^{2}$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, n$ where $i$ is the imaginary unit. Then $e_{1}: \mathbf{C}^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}$ is the embedding defined by the following formula

$$
e_{1}(b)=\left(b_{0}^{1}, b_{0}^{2}, b_{1}^{1}, b_{1}^{2}, \ldots, b_{n}^{1}, b_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

and $e: \mathbf{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2 n+3}$ is the embedding defined as $e(b, t)=$ $\left(e_{1}(b), t\right)$.
4.7. Sketch of the extension. We shall extend the function

$$
\phi_{z} \circ e^{-1}: e\left(B\left(a, r_{1}\right) \times(1, \infty)\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}
$$

where $e^{-1}$ is the left inverse of $e$ and $r_{1}>0$ is the constant from subsection 4.3. We cannot do this directly. But observe that

$$
\phi_{z}(b, t)=\left|F_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)\right|^{-t}=\exp \left\{-t\left(\log \left|\psi_{z}(b)\right|+\log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|\right)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\psi_{z}(b)=\frac{F_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)}{F_{a}^{\prime}(z)}
$$

Then, if we have defined $\log$ (a branch of $\exp ^{-1}$ ) on some neighborhood of $\psi_{z}(a)$, then

$$
\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z}(b)=\log \left|\psi_{z}(b)\right|
$$

Therefore, if we can extend holomorphically $\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z} \circ e_{1}^{-1}$ (where $e_{1}^{-1}$ is the left inverse of $e_{1}$ ), we are done. However, since by Lemma 4.1 there exists $r_{2}>0$ such that, for $b \in B\left(a, r_{2}\right)$ and for $z \in J_{F_{a}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{z}(b)-1\right|<\frac{1}{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the holomorphic function

$$
\log \psi_{z}: B\left(a, r_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}
$$

is well defined, where Log is that branch of $\exp ^{-1}$ which satisfies the condition $\log 1=0$.

Before we start with the extension of $\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z} \circ e_{1}^{-1}$, note that by (4.3) there exists $M_{7}<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\log \psi_{z}(b)\right| \leq M_{7} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $b \in B\left(a, r_{2}\right)$ and $z \in J_{F_{a}}$.
4.8. Extension of $\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z} \circ e_{1}^{-1}$. The function $\log \psi_{z}$ : $B_{\mathbf{C}^{n+1}}\left(a, r_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is analytic. Then
(4.5) $\log \psi_{z}(b)=\sum_{\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{n+1}} c_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}}(z)\left(a_{0}-b_{0}\right)^{i_{0}} \ldots,\left(a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{i_{n}}$.

From Cauchy's estimates and (4.4), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}}(z)\right| \leq \frac{M_{7}}{r_{2}^{i_{0}+\ldots+i_{n}}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $b_{j}=b_{j}^{1}+i b_{j}^{2}, a_{j}=a_{j}^{1}+i a_{j}^{2}$ where $j=0, \ldots, n$ and $i$ is the imaginary unit. Note that

$$
e_{1}(b)=\left(b_{0}^{1}, b_{0}^{2}, b_{1}^{1}, b_{1}^{2}, \ldots, b_{n}^{1}, b_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

Since (4.5) can be written as

$$
\sum_{\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{n+1}} c_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}}(z) \prod_{j=0}^{n}\left(\left(a_{j}^{1}-b_{j}^{1}\right)+i\left(a_{j}^{2}-b_{j}^{2}\right)\right)^{i_{j}}
$$

we have
(4.7) $\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z} \circ e_{1}^{-1}\left(b^{\prime}\right)$

$$
=\sum_{\left(k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{2 n+1}} c_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(z) \prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(a_{l}^{\prime}-b_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}}^{\prime}(z)= & \operatorname{Re}\left(c_{k_{0}+k_{1}, \ldots, k_{2 n}+k_{2 n+1}}(z) i^{k_{1}+k_{3}+\cdots+k_{2 n+1}}\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
& \cdot\binom{k_{0}+k_{1}}{k_{0}}\binom{k_{2}+k_{3}}{k_{2}} \ldots,\binom{k_{2 n}+k_{2 n+1}}{k_{2 n}}
\end{align*}
$$

and $a^{\prime}=\left(a_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{2 n+1}^{\prime}\right)=e_{1}(a), b^{\prime}=\left(b_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{2 n+1}^{\prime}\right)=e_{1}(b)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|c_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}}^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq\left|c_{k_{0}+k_{1}, \ldots, k_{2 n}+k_{2 n+1}}(z)\right| 2^{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{2 n+1}} \\
& \leq M_{7}\left(\frac{2}{r_{2}}\right)^{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{2 n+1}} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, let $a^{\prime}$ be as before, i.e., $a^{\prime}=\left(a_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{2 n+1}^{\prime}\right)=e_{1}(a)$, and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b^{\prime} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}}\left(a^{\prime}, r_{3}\right)=\left\{b^{\prime}=\left(b_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{2 n+1}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}:\right. \\
& \left.\max _{l=0, \ldots, 2 n+1}\left|a_{l}^{\prime}-b_{l}^{\prime}\right|<r_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{3}=r_{2} / 4$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}}^{\prime}(z) \prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(a_{l}^{\prime}-b_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}\right| \leq M_{7}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{2 n+1}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z} \circ e_{1}^{-1}$ can be holomorphically extended to the functions $\Psi_{z}: \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}}\left(e_{1}(a), r_{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by the

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{z}\left(b^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\left(k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{2 n+1}} c_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}}^{\prime}(z) \prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(a_{l}^{\prime}-b_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Psi_{z}\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq M_{7} \sum_{\left(k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{2 n+2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{2 n+2}} \leq M_{7} 2^{2 n+2} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $b^{\prime} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}}\left(e_{1}(a), r_{3}\right)$.
4.9. Conclusion of the process. Finally we have the extension of $\phi_{z} \circ e^{-1}: e\left(B\left(a, r_{1}\right) \times(1, \infty)\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. This is the function

$$
\Phi_{z}: \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}}\left(e_{1}(a), r_{3}\right) \times B_{\mathbf{C}}\left(t_{0}, \rho\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}
$$

(where $\rho=t_{0}-1$ ) defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{z}\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)=\exp \left\{-t\left(\Psi_{z}\left(b^{\prime}\right)+\log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|\right)\right\} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.10. Proposition. Fix $a \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t_{0}>1$. Then there exist $r_{4}$ and @ such that, for

$$
\left(b^{\prime}, t\right) \in G_{3}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}}\left(e_{1}(a), r_{4}\right) \times B\left(t_{0}, \varrho\right),
$$

the Perron-Frobenius operator $\mathcal{L}_{\Phi_{\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)}}$ for the function

$$
\Phi_{\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)}(z)=\Phi_{z}\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)
$$

is well defined. Moreover, let $\mathcal{L}$ be the function $G_{3} \rightarrow L\left(H_{\alpha}\right)$, where $\alpha=\alpha\left(r_{4}\right)$ comes from (4.2), defined by the formula

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)\right)=\mathcal{L}_{\Phi_{\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)}}
$$

Then $\mathcal{L}$ is holomorphic.

Proof. To prove the proposition it is enough to check the conditions from Theorem 3.10.

Condition (v). This is satisfied from the construction (4.6)-(4.8).

Condition (i). First, we prove that the function $\Phi_{\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)}(z)$ is summable. From (4.12) it follows that
$\left|\Phi_{\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)}(z)\right|=\exp \left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(-t \Psi_{z}\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\}\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-\operatorname{Re} t} \leq e^{M_{7} 2^{2 n+2}|t|}\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-\operatorname{Re} t}$.
Since in Lemma 3.7 we proved that the function $\phi_{2}(z)=\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-\operatorname{Re} t}$ is summable, it follows that $\Phi_{\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)}$ is summable.

Next, we shall prove that the function $\Phi_{\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)}$ is Hölder. First we shall show that for the function $z \mapsto \Psi_{(z)}\left(b^{\prime}\right)$. To do this we start with $b \in B\left(a, r_{2}\right)$. Using the proof of Lemma 3.5 (i) and Proposition (2.4) (ii), we can get that
$\left|\Psi_{z}\left(e_{1}(b)\right)-\Psi_{w}\left(e_{1}(b)\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z}(b)-\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{w}(b)\right| \leq M_{100}|z-w|^{\alpha}$
for some constant $M_{100}$. Next we prove that the extension is Hölder by showing that the coefficients of the extension are Hölder. To get this we have to take a look at the function $\log \psi_{(\cdot)}$. From estimation of the arg in Koebe's theorem, we can find a constant $M_{101}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\arg \psi_{z}(b)-\arg \psi_{w}(b)\right| & =\left|\arg \frac{\psi_{z}(b)}{\psi_{w}(b)}\right| \leq\left|\arg \frac{F_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)}{F_{b}^{\prime}\left(h_{b}(w)\right)}\right|+\left|\arg \frac{F_{a}^{\prime}(z)}{F_{a}^{\prime}(w)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{6}{2 \delta}|z-w|+\frac{6}{2 \delta}\left|h_{b}(z)-h_{b}(w)\right| \\
& \leq M_{101}|z-w|^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\log \psi_{z}(b)-\log \psi_{w}(b)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z}(b)-\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{w}(b)\right|+\left|\arg \psi_{z}(b)-\arg \psi_{w}(b)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(M_{100}+M_{101}\right)|z-w|^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by (4.5) and Cauchy's estimation,

$$
\left|c_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}}(z)-c_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}}(w)\right| \leq \frac{M_{100}+M_{101}}{r_{2}^{i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}}}|z-w|^{\alpha}
$$

Hence, by (4.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid c_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}}^{\prime}(z)- & c_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}}^{\prime}(w) \mid  \tag{4.14}\\
& \leq\left(M_{100}+M_{101}\right)\left(\frac{2}{r_{2}}\right)^{k_{0}+\cdots+k_{2 n+1}}|z-w|^{\alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for $b^{\prime} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}}\left(a^{\prime}, r_{3}\right), r_{3}=r_{2} / 4$,

$$
\left|\Psi_{z}\left(b^{\prime}\right)-\Psi_{w}\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left(M_{100}+M_{101}\right) 2^{2 n+2}|z-w|^{\alpha} .
$$

Next, as in the proof of Lemma 3.5 (ii), observe that $M_{9}$ exists such that, if

$$
|x| \leq\left(\left(M_{23}+M_{24}\right) 2^{2 n+2}+2 \log \frac{1}{\Delta_{a}}\right)|t|
$$

then

$$
\left|e^{x}-1\right| \leq M_{9}|x|
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid \Phi_{z}\left(b^{\prime},\right.  \tag{4.15}\\
& \quad \leq)-\Phi_{w}\left(b^{\prime}, t\right) \mid \\
& \quad \leq\left|e^{-t\left(\Psi_{z}\left(b^{\prime}\right)+\log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|\right)}\right| \\
& \quad \cdot M_{9}(t)|t|\left(\left(M_{23}+M_{24}\right) 2^{2 n+2}|z-w|^{\alpha}+\frac{9}{2 \delta_{a}}|z-w|\right) \\
& \quad \leq M_{11}(t) \cdot|z-w|^{\alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

for come constant $M_{11}$.

Conditions (ii) and (iv). Now we check conditions (ii) and (iv), i.e., we show that $\Phi_{b, t}$ is dynamically Hölder, with exponent $\alpha$, for $(b, t)$ in some neighborhood $G \subset \mathbf{C}^{2 n+3}$ of $\left(e_{1}(a), t_{0}\right)$ and with constants $c_{\phi_{(b, t)}}$ uniformly bounded (in $G$ ). Denote

$$
\varphi(z)=-t\left(\Psi_{z}(b)+\log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|\right)
$$

and

$$
\phi(z)=\Phi_{z}(b, t)=e^{\varphi(z)}
$$

where $(b, t) \in G=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}}\left(a, r_{3}\right) \times B\left(t_{0}, \varrho\right)$ for some $t_{0}$ with $\operatorname{Re} t_{0}>1$ and $\rho=t_{0}-1$. Then we need to show that, for every $n \geq 1$, every $x, y \in J_{F_{a}}$ such that $|x-y| \leq \delta$, every $v \in F^{-n}(x)$ and

$$
\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(z)\right)=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi\left(F^{k}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(z)\right)\right)=e^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi\left(F^{k}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(z)\right)\right)}
$$

we have

$$
\left|\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(y)\right)-\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(x)\right)\right| \leq c_{\phi}\left|\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(x)\right)\right||y-x|^{\alpha}
$$

where $c_{\phi}$ is uniformly bounded in $G$.
By (3.5) and (4.15) we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid \varphi(z)-\varphi(w) \mid  \tag{4.16}\\
& \leq\left(\left|t_{0}\right|+\rho\right)\left(\left|\Psi_{z}(b)-\Psi_{w}(b)\right|+|\log | F_{a}^{\prime}(z)|-\log | F_{a}^{\prime}(w)| |\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(\left|t_{0}\right|+\rho\right)\left(\left(M_{23}+M_{24}\right) 2^{2 n+2}|z-w|^{\alpha}+\frac{9}{2 \delta}|z-w|\right) \\
& \quad \leq M_{15}\left(t_{0}+\rho\right)|z-w|^{\alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $z, w \in J_{F_{a}}$ such that $|z-w| \leq \delta$ and some constant $M_{15}$. Then it follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi\left(F^{k}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(z)\right)\right)- & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi\left(F^{k}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(w)\right)\right) \mid \\
& \leq M_{102} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|F^{k}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(z)\right)-F^{k}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(z)\right)\right|^{\alpha} \\
& \leq M_{13}|z-w|^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $M_{102}$ and $M_{13}$. Therefore putting

$$
M_{14}=\sup \left\{\left|\frac{e^{z}-1}{z}\right|:|z| \leq M_{13}\right\}<\infty,
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}\right)(z)-\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(w)\right)\right| \leq M_{13} M_{14}\left|\phi_{n}\left(F_{v}^{-n}(z)\right)\right||z-w|^{\alpha} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the functions $\phi$ are dynamically Hölder and we can see that the constants $c_{\phi}$ are uniformly bounded. So the assumptions (ii) and (iv) of the Main Tool are verified.

Condition (iii). Now let $G=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}}\left(e_{1}(a), r_{4}\right) \times B\left(t_{0}, \rho\right) \subset \mathbf{C}^{2 n+3}$ where $r_{4}=r_{2} / 16$. We have to show that

$$
G \ni(b, t) \longmapsto \Phi_{z}(b, t) \in H_{\alpha}\left(J_{F_{a}}\right)
$$

is a continuous function. Since

$$
\Phi_{z}(b, t)=e^{-t \Psi_{z}(b)}\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t}
$$

we can do this by proving that the following two functions are continuous in each of the variables $b$ and $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (b, t) \longmapsto e^{-t \Psi_{z}(b)} \\
& (b, t) \longmapsto\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t}
\end{aligned}
$$

because both these functions are in $H_{\alpha}$ which is a Banach algebra.

The function $(b, t) \mapsto e^{-t \Psi_{z}(b)}$ is continuous in the variable $t$ as a function $\mathbf{R} \mapsto H_{\alpha}$ where $b$ is fixed since $\|t g\|_{\alpha}=|t|\|g\|_{\alpha}$, and then

$$
\left\|-t_{1} \Psi_{(\cdot)}(b)+t_{2} \Psi_{(\cdot)}(b)\right\|_{\alpha}=\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|\left\|\Psi_{(\cdot)}(b)\right\|_{\alpha}<M_{103}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|
$$

for some constant $M_{103}$. For continuity with respect with the variable $b$, we recall that

$$
\left\|\Psi_{(\cdot)}(b)-\Psi_{(\cdot)}(c)\right\|_{\alpha}=v_{\alpha}\left(\Psi_{(\cdot)}(b)-\Psi_{(\cdot)}(c)\right)+\left\|\Psi_{(\cdot)} \cdot(b)-\Psi_{(\cdot)}(c)\right\|_{\infty}
$$

where $b=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{2 n+1}\right), c=\left(c_{0}, \ldots, b_{2 n+1}\right)$. Then, by (4.14), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(\Psi_{w}(b)-\Psi_{w}(c)\right)-\left(\Psi_{z}(b)-\Psi_{z}(c)\right)\right|  \tag{4.18}\\
& =\mid \sum_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}}\left(c_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}^{\prime}}(w)-c_{k_{0}, \cdots, k_{2 n+1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(z)\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(b_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}-\prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(c_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}\right) \mid \\
& \leq \sum_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}}\left(M_{100}+M_{101}\right)\left(\frac{2}{r_{2}}\right)^{k_{0}+\cdots+k_{2 n+1}}|z-w|^{\alpha} \\
& \quad\left|\left|\prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(b_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}-\prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(c_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}\right| .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $a^{\prime}=\left(a_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{2 n+1}^{\prime}\right)=e_{1}(a)$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(b_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}-\prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(c_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}\right| \\
& =\mid \sum_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(b_{0}-a_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{0}} \cdots\left(b_{l-1}-a_{l-1}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l-1}}\left(\left(b_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}-\left(c_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}\right) \\
& \quad\left(c_{l+1}-a_{l+1}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l+1}} \cdots\left(c_{2 n+1}-a_{2 n+1}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{2 n+1}} \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, since

$$
\left(b_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}-\left(c_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}=\sum_{j=0}^{k_{l}-1}\left(b_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{j}\left(b_{l}-c_{l}\right)\left(c_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}-j-2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(b_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}}- & \prod_{l=0}^{2 n+1}\left(c_{l}-a_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{l}} \mid \\
& \leq\left(k_{0}+\ldots+k_{2 n+1}\right) \frac{16}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{r_{2}}{16}\right)^{k_{0}+\cdots+k_{2 n+1}}|b-c|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by (4.18),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid\left(\Psi_{w}(b)-\Psi_{w}(c)\right)- & \left(\Psi_{z}(b)-\Psi_{z}(c)\right) \mid \\
\leq & \frac{16}{r_{2}}\left(M_{100}+M_{101}\right)|b-c| \cdot|z-w|^{\alpha} \\
& \cdot \sum_{k_{0}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}}\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{k_{0}+\cdots+k_{2 n+1}}\left(k_{0}+\cdots+k_{2 n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, this shows that

$$
v_{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z}(b)-\operatorname{Re} \log \psi_{z}(c)\right) \leq M_{15}|b-c|
$$

for some constant $M_{15}>0$. Similarly,

$$
\left\|\Psi_{z}(b)-\Psi_{z}(c)\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{16}|a-b|
$$

for some $M_{16}>0$. Therefore,

$$
\left\|\Psi_{z}(b)-\Psi_{z}(c)\right\|_{\alpha} \leq\left(M_{14}+M_{15}\right)|a-b|
$$

and the continuity is proven because using the same arguments which led to (4.17), we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{-t \Psi_{(\cdot)}(b)}-e^{-t \Psi_{(\cdot)}(c)}\right\|_{\alpha} & \leq\left\|e^{-t \Psi_{(\cdot)}(b)+t \Psi_{(\cdot)}(c)}-1\right\|_{\alpha}\left\|e^{-t \Psi_{(\cdot)}(c)}\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq M_{17}|b-c|
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $M_{17}$.
To prove the continuity of

$$
(b, t) \longmapsto\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t} \in H_{\alpha},
$$

we first observe that continuity in the variable $b$ is clear simply because the function is constant as a function of $b$. To get the continuity in the variable $t$, we can for example proceed like before i.e., writing

$$
\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-t}=e^{-t \log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|}
$$

and first estimating

$$
\left\|-t_{1} \log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(\cdot)\right|+t_{2} \log \left|F_{a}^{\prime}(\cdot) \|\right|_{\alpha}\right.
$$

Condition (vi). Let $\left(d, t_{d}\right) \in B_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+3}}\left(\left(e_{1}(a), t_{0}\right), \min \left\{r_{3} / 4, \rho\right\}\right)$. Let $\gamma$ be such a real number that

$$
B_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+3}}\left(\left(d, t_{d}\right), 2 \gamma\right) \subset B_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+3}}\left(\left(e_{1}(a), t_{0}\right), \min \left\{r_{3} / 4, \rho\right\}\right),
$$

and let $t_{c}$ be an arbitrary point from the interval $\left(1, \operatorname{Re}\left(t_{b}-\gamma\right)\right)$. Now, let $\left(b, t_{b}\right) \in B_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+3}}\left(\left(d, t_{d}\right), \gamma\right)$. Then, by (4.12) we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|e^{t_{c}\left(\Psi_{z}\left(e_{1}(a)\right)-\Psi_{z}(b)\right)}\right| & \leq e^{t_{c} 2^{2 n+3} M_{7}} \\
\left|e^{\left(t_{c}-t_{b}\right) \Psi_{z}(b)}\right| & \leq e^{\rho M_{7} 2^{2 n+2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\Phi_{b, t_{b}}(z)}{\Phi_{e_{1}(a), t_{c}}(z)}\right| & \leq \frac{e^{-t_{b} \Psi_{z}(b)}}{e^{-t_{c} \Psi_{z}\left(e_{1}(a)\right)}}\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{-\left(t_{b}-t_{c}\right)} \\
& \leq\left|e^{t_{c}\left(\Psi_{z}\left(e_{1}(a)\right)-\Psi_{z}(b)\right)}\right|\left|e^{\left(t_{c}-t_{b}\right) \Psi_{z}(b)}\right|\left|F_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{\operatorname{Re}\left(t_{c}-t_{b}\right)} \\
& \leq e^{\left(t_{c}+\rho\right) 2^{2 n+3} M_{22}} \Delta_{a}^{-\rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta_{a}$ is defined by the formula (3.4) and is a strictly positive real number.
4.11. Now we shall invoke the following perturbation theorem, see [22, Theorem XII. 8 and Problem 8, p. 70].
4.12. Kato-Rellich theorem. Let $H$ be a complex Banach space, $L(H)$ the Banach space of bounded operators on $H, G$ an open region in $\mathbf{C}^{m}$ for some $m \geq 1$ and

$$
G \ni b \longmapsto \mathcal{L}_{b} \in L(H)
$$

a holomorphic function. If $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ with $a \in G$ has a simple eigenvalue $\alpha_{a}$ which is an isolated point of the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ with the associated
eigenvector $g_{a}$, then for every $\varepsilon>0$ small enough there exists $\delta>0$ such that, if $|b-a|<\delta$, then the operator $\mathcal{L}_{b}$ has a simple eigenvalue $\alpha_{b}$ and eigenvector $g_{b}$ with the properties
(i) the functions $b \mapsto \alpha_{b}$ and $b \mapsto g_{b}$ are holomorphic on $B_{\mathbf{C}^{m}}(a, \delta)$,
(ii) if $|b-a|<\delta$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{spectrum}\left(\mathcal{L}_{b}\right) \bigcap B\left(\alpha_{a}, \varepsilon\right)=\left\{\alpha_{b}\right\} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.13. Kato-Rellich theorem works. Fix $b=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in$ $B_{\mathbf{C}^{n+1}}\left(a, r_{3} / 4\right)$ and $t \in\left(t_{0}-\varrho, t_{0}+\varrho\right)$. It follows from Corollary 3.8 that $e^{P_{b}(t)}$ is a simple isolated eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{b, t}$. Note also that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{b, t}^{0}=\mathcal{L}\left(e_{1}(b), t\right)
$$

and, if $b \neq a$, then $\mathcal{L}_{b, t} \neq \mathcal{L}_{b, t}^{0}$. But

$$
\mathcal{L}_{a, t}=\mathcal{L}_{a, t}^{0}=\mathcal{L}\left(e_{1}(a), t\right)
$$

Therefore, $e^{P_{a}\left(t_{0}\right)}$ is a simple isolated eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}\left(e_{1}(a), t_{0}\right)$. Then it follows from Kato-Rellich that, for every $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, there exist $\delta_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{2}>0$ such that, for $\left(b^{\prime}, t\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{2 n+3}$ such that $\left|b^{\prime}-e_{1}(a)\right|<\delta_{1}$ and $\left|t-t_{0}\right|<\delta_{2}, \mathcal{L}\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)$ has a simple eigenvalue $\alpha_{\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)}$ with the properties
(i) the function $\left(b^{\prime}, t\right) \mapsto \alpha_{\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)}$ is holomorphic on $B_{\mathbf{C}^{2 n+2}}\left(e_{1}(a), \delta_{1}\right) \times$ $B_{\mathbf{C}}\left(t_{0}, \delta_{2}\right)$,
(ii) if $\left|b^{\prime}-e_{1}(a)\right|<\delta_{1}$ and $\left|t-t_{0}\right|<\delta_{2}$, then

$$
\operatorname{spectrum}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)\right) \bigcap B\left(e^{P_{a}\left(t_{0}\right)}, \varepsilon\right)=\left\{\alpha_{\left(b^{\prime}, t\right)}\right\}
$$

So next we shall prove that $\alpha_{\left(e_{1}(b), t\right)}=e^{P_{b}(t)}$ for $b \in B\left(a, \delta_{1}\right)$.
4.14. Diagram. We claim that $b \in B_{\mathbf{C}^{n+1}}\left(a, r_{3} / 4\right)$; the following diagram is commutative

where $T_{b}(g)=g \circ h_{b}$. Since $T_{b}$ is linear and continuous, $T_{b}$ is bounded. Moreover, since $h_{b}$ is Hölder, $T_{b}\left(H_{1}\left(J_{F_{b}}\right)\right) \subset H_{\alpha}\left(J_{F_{a}}\right)$. To prove the claim, observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{L}\left(e_{1}(b), t\right) \circ T_{b}\right)(g)(z) & =\sum_{x \in F_{a}^{-1}(z)}\left|\left(F_{b}^{\prime} \circ h_{b}\right)(x)\right|^{-t} g\left(h_{b}(z)\right), \\
\left(T_{b} \circ \mathcal{L}_{b, t}\right)(g)(z) & =\mathcal{L}_{b, t}\left(g\left(h_{b}(z)\right)\right)=\sum_{x \in F_{b}^{-1}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)}\left|\left(F_{b}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|^{-t} g(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F_{a}$ and $F_{b}$ are conjugated by the homeomorphism $h_{b}$, see Proposition 2.4 (iii),

$$
F_{b}^{-1}\left(h_{b}(z)\right)=\left\{h_{b}(y): y \in F_{a}^{-1}(z)\right\} .
$$

This finishes the proof of the claim.
4.15. We prove that $\alpha_{\left(e_{1}(b), t\right)}=e^{P_{b}(t)}$. Let $g_{b, t} \in H_{1}\left(J_{F_{b}}\right)$ be an eigenvector that is associated to the eigenvalue $e^{P_{b}(t)}$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{b, t}$, see Corollary 3.8. From diagram 4.14 it follows that

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(e_{1}(b), t\right)\left(g_{b, t} \circ h_{b}\right)=e^{P_{b}(t)}\left(g_{b, t} \circ h_{b}\right)
$$

Therefore, $\alpha_{\left(e_{1}(b), t\right)}$ and $e^{P_{b}(t)}$ are eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}\left(e_{1}(b), t\right)$. Since we have $\alpha_{\left(e_{1}(a), t_{0}\right)}=e^{P_{a}\left(t_{0}\right)}$ and since $e^{P_{b}(t)}$ is continuous for $(b, t)$ close to $\left(a, t_{0}\right)$ (see Lemma 4.2 and [4, Proposition 3.1]), we have that

$$
e^{P_{b}(t)} \in B\left(e^{P_{a}\left(t_{0}\right)}, \varepsilon\right)
$$

Then from (4.19) we have the equality $\alpha_{\left(e_{1}(b), t\right)}=e^{P_{b}(t)}$ and the following corollary.
4.16. Corollary. The function $(b, t) \mapsto P_{b}(t)$ is real-analytic in some neighborhood of $\left(a, t_{0}\right)$ in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} \times(1, \infty)$.
4.17. Theorem. The Hausdorff dimension of $\mathrm{HD}\left(J_{f_{a}}^{r}\right)$ is realanalytic with respect to $a \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$.

Proof. To prove that

$$
a \longmapsto \mathrm{HD}\left(J_{F_{b}}^{r}\right)
$$

with $a \in \mathcal{H}$ real analytic, it suffices to show that the solution of the equation

$$
P_{b}(t)=0
$$

(which by [4, Theorem C] is some $t>1$ equal to $\operatorname{HD}\left(J_{F_{b}}^{r}\right)$ ) is realanalytic for every $b$ in some neighborhood of $a$. Since the function $P_{b}:(1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is real-analytic (Corollary 4.16), convex, strictly decreasing [4, Proposition 3.1], and

$$
\frac{\partial P_{b}(t)}{\partial t} \neq 0
$$

the theorem follows from the implicit function theorem.
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