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#### Abstract

For each integer $n \geq 0, r_{2}(n)\left[t_{2}(n)\right]$ denotes the number of representations of $n$ by sums of two squares (two triangular numbers). Similarities and differences of the two functions $r_{2}$ and $t_{2}$ are described, with the major contribution being an apparently new recursive determination of $t_{2}$.


1. Introduction. We begin with a definition.

Definition 1.1. As usual, $\mathbf{P}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}, \mathbf{N}:=\mathbf{P} \cup\{0\}$ and $\mathbf{Z}:=\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2}(n) & :=\left|\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2} \mid n=x^{2}+y^{2}\right\}\right| \\
t_{2}(n) & :=\left|\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{N}^{2} \mid n=x(x+1) / 2+y(y+1) / 2\right\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Also for each $n \in \mathbf{P}$ and each $i \in\{1,3\}$,

$$
d_{i}(n):=\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \equiv i(\bmod 4)}} 1 .
$$

That the functions $r_{2}$ and $t_{2}$ are closely related is revealed by the next two theorems and their obvious corollary.

Theorem 1.2 (Jacobi). For each $n \in \mathbf{P}$,

$$
r_{2}(n)=4\left\{d_{1}(n)-d_{3}(n)\right\} .
$$

(Of course, $r_{2}(0)=1$.)
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Theorem 1.3. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
t_{2}(n)=d_{1}(4 n+1)-d_{3}(4 n+1)
$$

Corollary 1.4. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
r_{2}(4 n+1)=4 t_{2}(n)
$$

These results belong to multiplicative number theory in the sense that evaluation of $d_{1}(n)-d_{3}(n), n \in \mathbf{P}$, entails factorization of $n$ and subsequent appeal to the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. In [1, pp. 213-214], the author derived the following additive recursive determination of the function $r_{2}$.

Theorem 1.5. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k \geq 0}(-1)^{k(k+1) / 2} r_{2}(n-k(k+1) / 2)  \tag{1.1}\\
&= \begin{cases}(-1)^{m(m+3) / 2}(2 m+1) & \text { if } n=m(m+1) / 2 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Put $r_{2}(x):=0$, whenever $x<0$.

The major objective of this note is to show that the function $t_{2}$ also has an additive recursive determination. This is accomplished by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$,
$t_{2}(n)+2 \sum_{k \geq 1}(-1)^{k} t_{2}\left(n-k^{2}\right)= \begin{cases}(-1)^{m}(2 m+1) & \text { if } n=m(m+1), \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}$
Put $t_{2}(x):=0$ whenever $x<0$.

Proof of this result is supplied in Section 2. For a proof of Jacobi's Theorem 1.2, see [3, pp. 241-243], and for proof of Theorem 1.3, see [2, pp. 175-176].
2. Proof of Theorem 1.6. Our proof is based on the following three identities, each of which is valid for all complex numbers $x$ such that $|x|<1$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{2 n}\right)\left(1-x^{2 n-1}\right)^{2}=1+2 \sum_{1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} x^{k^{2}}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \prod_{1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{2 n}\right)\left(1-x^{2 n-1}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{0}^{\infty} x^{n(n+1) / 2}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \prod_{1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{n}\right)^{3}=\sum_{0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}(2 k+1) x^{k(k+1) / 2} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Identities (2.1) and (2.2) are due to Gauss, while (2.3) is due to Jacobi. For proofs of all of them, see [3, pp. 282-285]. In passing we observe that the square of the right-hand side of (2.2) generates the sequence $t_{2}(n), n \in \mathbf{N}$.
We square (2.2) and multiply the resulting identity by (2.1) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m}(2 m+1) x^{m(m+1)} & =\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{2 n}\right)^{3} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_{2}(j) x^{j}\left\{1+2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} x^{k^{2}}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{2}(n) x^{n}+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{n} \sum_{k \geq 1}(-1)^{k} t_{2}\left(n-k^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(In the first step we effected the substitution $x \rightarrow x^{2}$ in (2.3).) Now, equating coefficients of $x^{n}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, we prove our theorem.

Recall that a rectangular number is one of the form $m(m+1), m \in \mathbf{N}$. Our next result is then an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.6.

Corollary 2.1. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}, t_{2}(n)$ is odd if and only if $n$ is a rectangular number.

Of course, this result can be established directly. If (i) $n \in \mathbf{N}$ is a rectangular number, so that $n=m(m+1)$, for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$, then
$n=m(m+1) / 2+m(m+1) / 2$. And all other pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbf{N}^{2}$, if any, satisfy the condition $(x, y) \neq(y, x)$. Accordingly, these pairs are paired as $(x, y),(y, x)$ to yield two distinct representations of $n$ :

$$
n=x(x+1) / 2+y(y+1) / 2, \quad n=y(y+1) / 2+x(x+1) / 2 .
$$

Clearly the count of $(m, m),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(y_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right),\left(y_{r}, x_{r}\right)$ is odd. If (ii) $n \in \mathbf{N}$ is not a rectangular number, then all pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbf{N}^{2}$, possibly 0 in number, satisfy the condition $(x, y) \neq(y, x)$. In any case, the count of these $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(y_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right),\left(y_{r}, x_{r}\right)$ is even.
The following brief table is compiled solely on the strength of Theorem 1.6.

TABLE 2.1.

| $n$ | $t_{2}(n)$ | $n$ | $t_{2}(n)$ | $n$ | $t_{2}(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 26 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 27 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 28 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 29 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 1 |
| 5 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 31 | 4 |
| 6 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 32 | 0 |
| 7 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 33 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 34 | 2 |
| 9 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 35 | 0 |
| 10 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 36 | 4 |
| 11 | 2 | 24 | 2 | 37 | 2 |
| 12 | 1 | 25 | 2 | 38 | 2 |

Concluding remarks. We began this discussion by observing the close relation between the functions $r_{2}: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ and $t_{2}: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$. This is vividly demonstrated by Corollary 1.4. However, we should point out that the two functions differ markedly with respect to parity of
their values. To be sure, the function $r_{2}$ has exactly one odd value (i.e., $r_{2}(0)=1$ and $r_{2}(n) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, for each $\left.n \in \mathbf{P}\right)$, while $t_{2}$ has infinitely many odd values and infinitely many even values. (Corollary 2.1 gives a precise statement.)
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