

FUCHS' PROBLEM 43

H. PAT GOETERS

What is the relationship between the abelian groups A and C , if $\text{Ext}(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C, B)$ for every abelian group B ? This is problem 43 in [3]. In this note we give a complete solution to this problem when A, B and C are torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank. Our approach is to show that numerical invariants considered in [5] actually characterize the reduced finite rank torsion-free groups up to quasi-isomorphism.

This paper is essentially self-contained; however, the reader may wish to refer to [1, 3, and 4]. For $B \leq A$, we say that B is a quasi-summand of A if for some $n \neq 0$ and $A' \leq A$, $nA \leq B \oplus A' \leq A$, and A is called strongly indecomposable in case A has no nontrivial quasi-summands. If $C \cong B$ and $nA \leq B \leq A$, then A and C are called quasi-isomorphic. As usual, set $QA = Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ and regard $A \leq QA$.

Let $S_A(C)$ be the subgroup of C generated by $f(A)$ for all $f \in \text{Hom}(A, C)$. A subgroup B of C will be said to be full in C if $\langle B \rangle_* = C$ where $\langle B \rangle_*$ denotes the pure subgroup of C generated by B .

Below all groups are torsion-free. The quasi-endomorphism ring of A is $QE(A)$ where $E(A)$ is the endomorphism ring of A . By the well-known result of J. Reid, $QE(A)$ is left Artinian if and only if A is quasi-isomorphic to a finite direct sum $A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n$ with each A_i strongly indecomposable. Moreover, if $\alpha \in QE(A_i)$, then α is invertible or α is nilpotent [7]. The proof of the main theorem will rest upon the

Lemma. *Let A and C be torsion-free groups with left Artinian quasi-endomorphism rings. If $S_A(C)$ is full in C and $S_C(A)$ is full in A , then A and C have an isomorphic nonzero quasi-summand.*

Proof. Let $E = E(A)$ and let R denote the nilradical of E . For $J =$ Jacobson radical of QE , $R = J \cap E$, and since QE is left Artinian, J (hence R) is nilpotent. Call $N = \langle RA \rangle_*$ which is the pure subgroup

Received by the editors on September 15, 1988, and in revised form on February 28, 1989.

of A generated by $g(A)$ for all $g \in R$. If $R^n = 0$ and $R^{n-1} \neq 0$, then $R^{n-1}N = 0$ which proves that $A/N \neq 0$. Since A/N is torsion-free and $(S_C(A) + N)/N$ is full in A/N by the hypothesis, $S_C(A) \not\subseteq N$. This implies that there is an $f : C \rightarrow A$ with $f(C) \not\subseteq N$.

We may write $mC \leq C_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_k \leq C$ with each C_i strongly indecomposable and $m \neq 0$. It is easy to see that $mS_A(C) \leq S_A(C_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus S_A(C_k) \leq S_A(C)$. It must be that $f(S_A(C_1)) \not\subseteq N$ for some i . Otherwise, $\sum_{i=1}^k f(S_A(C_i)) \subseteq N$ which implies that $mf(S_A(C)) \subseteq N$, and since N is pure in A , $f(S_A(C)) \subseteq N$. But, for any $v \in C$, there is an $l > 0$ with $lv \in S_A(C)$ by the hypothesis, so that $f(lv) = lf(v) \in N$ which implies that $f(v) \in N$ by the purity of N . This contradicts $f(C) \not\subseteq N$. We may assume there is a map $g : A \rightarrow C_1$ such that $fg(A) \not\subseteq N$.

From the definition of N , $R \leq \text{Hom}(A, N)$, so that $fg \notin R$. Now E/R is a full subring of the semi-simple ring QE/J so there are $h, h' \in E$ such that for $e = (hf)(gh')$, e is not nilpotent mod R (QE/J is a direct product of matrix rings). Relabel hf and gh' as f and g , respectively, and restrict $f : C_1 \rightarrow A$.

We now have $gf \in \text{End}(C_1)$. By the previously mentioned results of J. Reid, and by virtue of the fact that C_1 is strongly indecomposable, either $\alpha = gf$ is invertible in $QE(C_1)$ or else α is nilpotent. If $(gf)^n = 0$, then $e^{n+1} = f(gf)^n g = 0$, a contradiction. So α must be invertible. Consequently, there is an integer $s \neq 0$ so that $s\alpha^{-1} \in E(C_1)$ and $s1_{C_1} = s\alpha^{-1}gf$. Call $g' = s\alpha^{-1}g$.

For $K = \text{Ker } g'$ and $A' = f(C_1)$ any $a \in A$ satisfies $sa = sa - f(g'(a)) + f(g'(a))$, and because $sa - f(g'(a)) \in K$, $sA \leq A' \oplus K \leq A$. Since f is a monomorphism, $A' \cong C_1$. \square

For the remainder of the paper, assume A and C have finite rank. The p -rank of A , $r_p(A)$, is the Z/pZ -dimension of A/pA . Since $A/pA = Z_{(p)} \otimes_Z A$, if $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is pure exact, then $0 \rightarrow Z_{(p)} \otimes A \rightarrow Z_{(p)} \otimes B \rightarrow Z_{(p)} \otimes C \rightarrow 0$ is exact so $r_p(B) = r_p(A) + r_p(C)$. Also, $r_p(A) = 0$ for all p if and only if A is divisible. Let $\mu(A, C)$ denote the maximum rank of a strongly indecomposable quasi-summand of $A \oplus C$. By the Krull-Schmidt theorem, $\mu(A, C) = \max\{\mu(A, 0), \mu(C, 0)\}$. A reduced group is a group which contains no copies of Q .

Theorem. *Let A and C be reduced torsion-free groups of finite rank. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) A is quasi-isomorphic to C .
- (b) $r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(C, B))$ for all p and finite rank groups B .
- (c) $r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(C, B))$ for all p and all B of rank $\leq \mu(A, C)$.

Proof. (a) \rightarrow (b) Since, in this case, $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(C, B)$, and r_p is a quasi-isomorphism invariant (Theorem 0.2 in [1]), (b) follows.

(b) \rightarrow (c) Clear.

(c) \rightarrow (a) We will show that $S_A(C)$ is full in C ; (a) will follow by the lemma and induction.

Let C_1 be a pure strongly indecomposable quasi-summand of C and $S_1 = \langle S_A(C_1) \rangle_*$. To simplify the argument, assume C_1 is a summand of C . Consider

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, S_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, C_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}(A, C_1/S_1)$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, S_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, C_1) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Hom}(C, C_1/S_1).$$

By the definition of $S_A(C_1)$, $\text{Im } \alpha = 0$. By the hypothesis, $r_p(\text{Hom}(C, C_1)) - r_p(\text{Hom}(C, S_1)) = r_p(\text{Im } \beta) = r_p(\text{Im } \alpha) = 0$ for all p , so $\text{Im } \beta$ is divisible.

Write $C = C_1 \oplus K$. Then $\text{Ker } \beta = \text{Hom}(C_1, S_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(K, S_1)$ is a pure subgroup of $\text{Hom}(C, C_1) = \text{Hom}(C_1, C_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(K, C_1)$ with a divisible cokernel. Hence, $\text{Hom}(C_1, C_1)/\text{Hom}(C_1, S_1)$ is a summand of a divisible group and is therefore divisible.

Let R_1 denote the nilradical of $\text{End}(C_1)$ and $N_1 = \langle R_1 C_1 \rangle_* \leq C_1$. As in the lemma, $N_1 \neq C_1$. By the theorem of J. Reid, any endomorphism of C_1 is either in R_1 or else is a monomorphism. Hence, $R_1 = \text{Hom}(C_1, N_1)$. By Reid's theorem, if $\text{Hom}(C_1, S_1) \not\subseteq \text{Hom}(C_1, N_1) = R_1$, then there is a monomorphism $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_1$ with $\text{Im } f \leq S_1$. In this case, $\text{rank } C_1 = \text{rank } S_1$ implies $S_1 = C_1$ since S_1 is pure in C_1 . We will show that $\text{Hom}(C_1, S_1) \subseteq R_1$ is not possible.

Suppose $I = \text{Hom}(C_1, S_1) \subseteq \text{Hom}(C_1, N_1) = R_1$. From above, $\text{End}(C_1)/I$ is divisible, so $\text{End}(C_1)/R_1$ is divisible. The Beaumont-Pierce principal theorem asserts that $\text{End}(C_1)/R_1$ is a (group) quasi-summand of $\text{End}(C_1)$ [2, Theorem 1.4]. But $\text{End}(C_1)$ is reduced so $I \not\subseteq R_1$.

If $mC \leq C_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_k \leq C$ for strongly indecomposable groups C_i and some $m \neq 0$, then $\langle S_A(C_i) \rangle_* = C_i$ implies $\langle S_A(C) \rangle_* = C$. Therefore, $S_A(C)$ is full in C and by the symmetry $S_C(A)$ is full in A .

From the lemma, A and C have an isomorphic quasi-summand. If A is quasi-isomorphic to $G \oplus A'$ and C is quasi-isomorphic to $G \oplus C'$ with $G \neq 0$, then $r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(G, B)) + r_p(\text{Hom}(A', B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(G, B)) + r_p(\text{Hom}(C', B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(C, B))$ for all p and B of rank $\leq \mu(A, C)$. Clearly, $\mu(A', 0) \leq \mu(A, 0)$ and $\mu(C', 0) \leq \mu(C, 0)$, so that $r_p(\text{Hom}(A', B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(C', B))$ for all p and all B of rank $\leq \max\{\mu(A', 0), \mu(C', 0)\} = \mu(A', C')$. The result follows by induction on rank A . \square

Recall that the outer type of A , $OT(A)$, is the supremum of the types of the rank-1 quotients of A . If $OT(A) = \text{type } Q$, then $\text{Ext}(A \oplus Q, B) \cong \text{Ext}(A, B)$ for all B [9, Theorem 2.3].

Corollary. *Let A and C be torsion-free of finite rank. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{Ext}(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C, B)$ for all torsion-free groups B of finite rank.
- (b) $A = F \oplus A' \oplus D$ and $C = F' \oplus C' \oplus D'$ with A' quasi-isomorphic to C' , F and F' free, D and D' divisible, and the restriction that $OT(A) = OT(C)$.

Proof. (a) \rightarrow (b) Write $A = F \oplus A' \oplus D$ and $C = F' \oplus C' \oplus D'$ with F and F' free, D and D' divisible, and A' and C' reduced with $\text{Hom}(A', Z) = \text{Hom}(C', Z) = 0$. By Theorem 1,3 in [5], $OT(A) = OT(C)$ and $r_p(\text{Hom}(A', B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(C', B))$ for all p and B . By our theorem, A' is quasi-isomorphic to C' .

(b) \rightarrow (a) Clearly, $\text{Ext}(A', B) \cong \text{Ext}(C', B)$ for every B . If $OT(A) = OT(C) = \text{type } Q$, then $\text{Ext}(A' \oplus D, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C' \oplus D', B)$ for all B

by Theorems 2 and 3 in [9]. Otherwise, $D = D' = 0$. In either case, $\text{Ext}(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C, B)$ for all B . \square

As indicated by the referee, a similar problem was considered in [6]. Although their paper has a more general setting, in our context they show that two extension functors on the category of abelian groups, $\text{Ext}(A, \cdot)$ and $\text{Ext}(C, \cdot)$ are naturally equivalent if and only if $A \oplus F \cong C \oplus F'$ for some free groups F and F' . They impose no restrictions on A and C although they do require the isomorphism $\text{Ext}(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C, B)$ to be natural.

Acknowledgments. The author expresses thanks to Professors W. Wickless and C. Vinsonhaler for their helpful comments concerning the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. D. Arnold, *Finite rank torsion-free groups and rings*, Springer-Verlag, LNM 931, New York, 1982.
2. R.A. Beaumont and R.S. Pierce, *Torsion-free rings*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics **5**, No. 1 (March 1961) 61–98.
3. L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian groups*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1970.
4. ———, *Infinite Abelian groups*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1973.
5. H. Pat Goeters, *When do two groups always have isomorphic extension groups?*, Rocky Mountain J. Mathematics, to appear.
6. P.J. Hilton and D. Rees, *Natural maps of extension functors and a theorem of R.G. Swan*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **57** (1961), 489–502.
7. J.D. Reid, *On the ring of quasi-endomorphisms of a torsion-free group*, in *Topics in Abelian Groups*, Scott, Foresman and Company, 1963.
8. W.J. Wickless, *Projective classes of torsion-free Abelian groups*, II, Acta Mathematica Hungarica **44** (1984), 13–20.
9. R.B. Warfield, *Extensions of torsion-free Abelian groups of finite rank*, Archiv der Mathematik **23** (1972), 145–150.