

## PULLBACKS OF BANACH BUNDLES

J.W. KITCHEN AND D.A. ROBBINS

ABSTRACT. Let  $S$  and  $T$  be compact Hausdorff spaces,  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  a continuous map, and  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  a Banach bundle. The bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$  which is the pullback to  $S$  of  $\rho$  via  $\alpha$ , has fibers given by  $E_p = F_{\alpha(p)}$  for  $p \in S$ . The present paper investigates some properties, such as norm continuity and Hausdorffness, which the bundle  $\pi$  inherits from  $\rho$  via the map  $\alpha$ . The main result presents a relation between the section spaces  $\Gamma(\rho)$  and  $\Gamma(\pi)$  via inductive tensor products.

This paper continues the study of Banach bundles which has been pursued by the authors in previous papers [2, 3, 4, 5, 6], to which the reader is referred for notations, definitions, and general information. This time the focus is upon pullback bundles. If  $\pi : F \rightarrow T$  is a bundle of Banach spaces, and if  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is a continuous map, then there is a bundle of Banach spaces  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$ , called the *pullback* of the given bundle by  $\alpha$ , with the following properties

- 1) if  $p \in S$ , then  $E_p = \pi^{-1}(\{p\})$ , the stalk in the pullback bundle over  $p$ , is an isomorphic copy of  $F_{\alpha(p)} = \rho^{-1}(\{\alpha(p)\})$ ;
- 2) If  $\sigma$  is any section in  $\Gamma(\rho)$ , then its pullback by  $\alpha$ , namely  $\alpha^*(\sigma) = \sigma \circ \alpha : S \rightarrow E$  is a section in  $\Gamma(\pi)$ . (See Kitchen and Robbins [3] for a discussion of pullbacks.)

Our results are basically of three sorts. First, we investigate properties which the pullback bundle inherits from the given bundle. (If, for instance, the bundle  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  is norm continuous, is the pullback bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$  also norm continuous, is the pullback bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$  also norm continuous?) The main result (Theorem 5) presents a relation between the section spaces  $\Gamma(\rho)$  and  $\Gamma(\pi)$  via inductive tensor products. The substance of the theorem is summarized by the equation

$$C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho) \cong \Gamma(\pi),$$

---

Received by the editors on November 3, 1988, and in revised form on February 10, 1989.

1990 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 46H25, 46M05.

*Keywords and phrases*. Banach bundle, pullback, section spaces, inductive tensor products.

whose exact meaning (together with necessary hypotheses) is explained later. This formula for  $\Gamma(\pi)$ , the pullback section space, is then exploited in the final theorem, which says that each element  $\omega$  in  $\text{Hom}_T(\Gamma(\rho), C(T))$ , the internal dual of the section space  $\Gamma(\rho)$ , can be pulled back by  $\alpha$  in a natural way to yield an element  $\alpha^*(\omega)$  in  $\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma(\pi), C(S))$ , the internal dual for the section space of the pullback bundle.

For some of the results, compactness of the base spaces  $S$  and  $T$  is essential. As a matter of convenience, we shall assume throughout that  $S$  and  $T$  are compact Hausdorff spaces, even though these hypotheses are sometimes excessively strong. We begin, then, by making the following standing assumptions which will remain in force throughout the entire paper

- 1)  $S$  and  $T$  are compact Hausdorff spaces;
- 2)  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  is a bundle of Banach spaces;
- 3)  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is a continuous map. (Sometimes it will be further assumed that the map is surjective or open.)
- 4)  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$  is the pullback of the bundle  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  by  $\alpha$ .

We shall also continue to use the notation introduced two paragraphs earlier for pullbacks. If  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ , then  $\alpha^*(\sigma) = \sigma \circ \alpha$  is its pullback by  $\alpha$ ;  $\alpha^*(\sigma)$  then belongs to the space  $\Gamma(\pi)$ . Similarly, if  $g \in C(T)$ , we define  $\alpha^*(g)$  to be  $g \circ \alpha$ , the pullback of  $g$  by  $\alpha$ ; clearly,  $\alpha^*(g)$  belongs to  $C(S)$ . The space  $\Gamma(\rho)$  is a  $C(T)$ -module, while  $\Gamma(\pi)$  is a  $C(S)$ -module. Additionally, the space  $\Gamma(\pi)$  is a  $C(T)$ -module by pullback, the module multiplication being defined by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot \sigma &= (g \circ \alpha)\sigma \quad (\text{pointwise product}) \\ &= \alpha^*(g)\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $g \in C(T)$  and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$ . When  $\Gamma(\rho)$  and  $\Gamma(\pi)$  are viewed as  $C(T)$ -modules, then the pullback map  $\alpha^* : \Gamma(\rho) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)$  is a module homomorphism, that is, it is a contractive (of norm one or less) linear map which is  $C(T)$ -linear ( $\alpha^*(g\sigma) = g \cdot \alpha^*(\sigma)$  for all  $g \in C(T)$  and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ ). If  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is surjective, the map  $\alpha^* : \Gamma(\rho) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)$  is an isometry, and, while the map is rarely surjective,  $\{\alpha^*(\sigma) : \sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)\}$  is a full set of sections of the pullback bundle. (*Proof.* Let  $x$  be any vector in the stalk  $E_p = F_{\alpha(p)}$ . Then there exists a section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$

such that  $\sigma(\alpha(p)) = x$ . Then  $\{\alpha^*(\sigma)\}(p) = \sigma(\alpha(p)) = x$ . Thus, every element of the fiber space  $E$  lies on a section of the form  $\alpha^*(\sigma)$ . So, by definition,  $\{\alpha^*(\sigma) : \sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)\}$  is a full set of sections for the pullback bundle.) The space  $C(S)$  can also be viewed as a  $C(T)$ -module, where

$$g \cdot f = \alpha^*(g)f = (g \circ \alpha)f$$

for all  $g \in C(T)$  and  $f \in C(S)$ .

All of the assertions in the previous paragraph are easily verified and well-known.

In addition to the given bundle  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  and its pullback bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$ , a third bundle will enter our discussions, namely, the canonical bundle for  $\Gamma(\pi)$  as a  $C(T)$ -module. We shall denote this bundle by  $\xi : G \rightarrow T$ . We begin with a description of this canonical bundle and the associated Gelfand morphism  $\wedge : \Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma(\xi)$ . As a preliminary, we observe that the map  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  yields a partition of  $S$  into closed subsets. For each  $q \in T$ , we define  $S_q$  to be the set  $\alpha^{-1}(\{q\})$ . To assure that the sets  $S_q$  are all nonempty, we assume that the map  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is surjective. Then, for each  $q \in T$ ,  $S_q$  is a closed (and compact) subset of  $S$ , and  $\{S_q : q \in T\}$  is a partition of  $S$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Assume that the map  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is not only continuous but surjective. Then, for each  $q \in T$ , the stalk  $G_q = \xi^{-1}(\{q\})$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $C(S_q, F_q)$ , the space of all continuous maps from  $S_q$  to  $F_q$ . If  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$ , then its Gelfand representation  $\sigma^\wedge$  is described by*

$$\sigma^\wedge(q) = \sigma|_{S_q} = \text{the restriction of } \sigma \text{ to } S_q,$$

for all  $q \in T$  (where we use the natural identification of  $G_q$  with  $C(S_q, F_q)$ ). The Gelfand morphism  $\wedge : \Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma(\xi)$  is a  $C(T)$ -linear isometric isomorphism.

*Proof.* It is easily checked that  $\Gamma(\pi)$  is a  $C(T)$ -locally convex  $C(T)$ -module, and thus  $\wedge : \Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma(\xi)$  is an isometric isomorphism.

We now verify that  $G_q \cong C(S_q, F_q)$ . Note that, in doing so, we will also show that the restriction of the pullback bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$  to sets of the form  $\alpha^{-1}(\{q\})$  ( $q \in T$ ) is trivial, with fibers  $F_q$ .

Fix  $q \in T$ . Given  $\tau \in \Gamma(\pi)$ , set  $\phi(\tau) = \tau|_{S_q}$ . If  $p \in S_q$ , then  $\tau(p) \in E_p = F_{\alpha(p)} = F_q$ . Thus,  $\phi(\tau)$  is a continuous map from  $S_q$  to  $F_q$ , that is,

$$\phi(\tau) \in C(S_q, F_q).$$

Clearly,  $\|\phi(\tau)\| \leq \|\tau\|$ . Thus,  $\phi : \Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow C(S_q, F_q)$  is a norm-decreasing map. If  $f \in C(S_q, F_q)$ , then, according to the Tietze extension theorem for sections (see Kitchen and Robbins [2]) the local section  $f : S_q \rightarrow E$  can be extended without increase of norm to a section  $\sigma : S \rightarrow E$  in  $\Gamma(\pi)$ . Then  $\phi(\sigma) = \sigma|_{S_q} = f$ . Thus,  $\phi : \Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow C(S_q, F_q)$  is a surjective quotient map. It follows that  $\phi$  induces an isometric isomorphism  $\tilde{\phi} : \Gamma(\pi)/\ker \phi \rightarrow C(S_q, F_q)$ . Now  $\ker \phi$  consists of those sections in  $\Gamma(\pi)$  which vanish on  $S_q$ . More importantly,  $\ker \phi = I_q \cdot \Gamma(\pi)$ , where  $I_q = \{f \in C(T) : f(q) = 0\}$  is the maximal ideal in  $C(T)$  corresponding to  $q$  and  $I_q \cdot \Gamma(\pi)$  is the closed linear span of the set  $\{g \cdot \sigma : q \in I_q, \sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)\}$ .

The inclusion  $I_q \cdot \Gamma(\pi) \subset \ker \phi$  is trivial. (Since  $\ker \phi$  is a closed subspace, it suffices to show that  $\ker \phi$  contains  $g \cdot \sigma$ , if  $g \in I_q$  and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$ ). For all  $p \in S_q$ , however,  $\alpha(p) = q$ , so

$$(g \cdot \sigma)(p) = \{(g \circ \alpha)\sigma\}(p) = g(\alpha(p))\sigma(p) = 0 \cdot \sigma(p) = 0.$$

Thus,  $g \cdot \sigma$  vanishes on  $S_q$ , which means that  $g \cdot \sigma \in \ker \phi$ . To establish the reverse inclusion, we use approximate identities for  $I_q$ . For each open neighborhood  $V$  of  $q$ , we select a continuous function  $g_V$  in  $I_q$  such that  $g_V$  maps  $T$  into the interval  $[0, 1]$  and  $g_V = 1$  off  $V$ . If  $\sigma \in \ker \phi$ , then the net

$$\{g_V \cdot \sigma : V \text{ is a neighborhood of } q\}$$

converges (in the norm topology of  $\Gamma(\pi)$ ) to  $\sigma$ , thereby showing that  $\sigma \in I_q \cdot \Gamma(\pi)$ . (*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. The set

$$U = \{p \in S : \|\sigma(p)\| < \varepsilon\}$$

is open (since  $\|\sigma(\cdot)\| : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is upper semicontinuous) and contains  $S_q$ . Thus,  $S \setminus U$  is closed and compact. The set  $\alpha(S \setminus U)$  is therefore compact, and  $q \notin \alpha(S \setminus U)$ . Thus,  $T \setminus \alpha(S \setminus U)$  is an open neighborhood of  $q$ . If  $V$  is an open neighborhood of  $q$  which is contained in  $T \setminus \alpha(S \setminus U)$ , then  $\|(g_V \cdot \sigma)(p) - \sigma(p)\| < \varepsilon$  for every  $p \in S$ . For, if  $p \in U$ , then

$$\|(g_V \cdot \sigma)(p) - \sigma(p)\| = (1 - g_V(p))\|\sigma(p)\| < 1 \cdot \varepsilon = \varepsilon,$$

while, if  $p \notin U$ , then  $\alpha(p) \notin V$ , so

$$(g_V \cdot \sigma)(p) - \sigma(p) = g_V(\alpha(p))\sigma(p) - \sigma(p) = \sigma(p) - \sigma(p) = 0.$$

Thus,  $\|g_V \cdot \sigma - \sigma\| < \varepsilon$ . This proves that the net  $\{g_V \cdot \sigma\}$  converges to  $\sigma$ .)

Now, by definition,  $G_q = \Gamma(\pi)/I_q \cdot \Gamma(\pi) = \Gamma(\pi)/\ker \phi$ , and

$$\sigma^\wedge = \sigma + I_q \cdot \Gamma(\pi).$$

Hence,  $\tilde{\phi} : G_q \rightarrow C(S_q, F_q)$  is an isometric isomorphism, and under this natural identification of  $G_q$  with  $C(S_q, F_q)$ ,  $\sigma^\wedge(q) = \sigma|_{S_q}$ , by which we really mean

$$\tilde{\phi}(\sigma^\wedge(q)) = \tilde{\phi}(\sigma + \ker \phi) = \phi(\sigma) = \sigma|_{S_q}. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose that  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is surjective. If we view  $C(S)$  as a  $C(T)$ -module, then for each point  $q \in T$  the stalk above  $q$  in the canonical bundle for  $C(S)$  can be identified with the space  $S_q$  in such a way that*

$$f^\wedge(q) = f|_{S_q}.$$

*Proof.* We can view  $C(S)$  as the section space of the canonical bundle  $p : S \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow S$ , where  $S \times \mathbf{C}$  has the product topology and  $p$  is a projection onto the first coordinate.  $\square$

We first consider the Hausdorff condition.

**Proposition 3.** *If the given bundle  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  is Hausdorff, then the pullback bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$  is also Hausdorff. If  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  is Hausdorff, and if the map  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is surjective and open, then the canonical bundle  $\xi : G \rightarrow T$  is also Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* If  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  is Hausdorff, then, by definition, the fiber space  $F$  is Hausdorff. Hence,  $F \times S$ , with the product topology, is Hausdorff. Now,  $E$  can be regarded as a subset of  $F \times S$ , namely,

$$E = \{(x, p) \in F \times S : \pi(x) = \alpha(p)\},$$

and thus  $E$  is Hausdorff.

Let us now suppose that the bundles  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  and  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$  are Hausdorff and that the map  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is both open and surjective. To show that  $\xi : G \rightarrow T$  is Hausdorff, it suffices to show that the nonzero vectors in the fiber space  $G$  form an open set. (See Gierz [1, Proposition 16.4].) Consider a nonzero element of  $G$ , say

$$\sigma^\wedge(q) = \sigma|_{S_q} \neq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$  and  $q \in T$ . Thus,  $\sigma(p) \neq 0$  for some  $p \in S_q$ . Because the bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$  is Hausdorff, there is a neighborhood of  $\sigma(p)$ , say

$$\mathcal{U} = \{x \in E : \pi(x) \in V, \|x - \sigma(\pi(x))\| < \varepsilon\}$$

where  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ , such that  $\mathcal{U}$  consists entirely of nonzero vectors in  $E$ . Since  $\alpha$  is open,  $\alpha(V)$  is a neighborhood of  $q = \alpha(p)$ . Consider, now, the following neighborhood of  $\sigma^\wedge(q)$  in  $G$ :

$$\mathcal{V} = \{y \in G : \xi(y) \in \alpha(V), \|y - \sigma^\wedge(\xi(y))\| < \varepsilon\}.$$

It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{V}$  does not contain a zero vector. Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\mathcal{V}$  contains the zero vector in stalk  $G_{q'}$ , where  $q' \in \alpha(V)$ . Then, since the zero vector is assumed to belong to  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\|\sigma^\wedge(q')\| < \varepsilon$ , which means that  $\|\sigma(p)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $p \in S_{q'}$ . In particular,  $\|\sigma(p)\| < \varepsilon$  holds for all  $p \in S_{q'} \cap V$ . But this means that  $\mathcal{U}$  contains the zero vector in each stalk  $E_p$  where  $p \in S_{q'} \cap V$ , and this is a contradiction.  $\square$

We next consider norm continuity.

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose that the given bundle  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  is norm continuous. Then the pullback bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$  is norm continuous. If, in addition, the map  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is open and surjective, then the canonical bundle  $\xi : G \rightarrow T$  is also norm continuous.*

*Proof.* Consider a point  $x_0$  in the fiber space  $E$ . We must show that the norm function  $\|\cdot\| : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Set  $p_0 = \pi(x_0)$ . Then  $x_0 \in E_{p_0} = F_{\alpha(p_0)}$ . Choose a section

$\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$  such that  $\sigma(\alpha(p_0)) = x_0$ . Since  $\|\sigma(\cdot)\|$  is continuous at  $\alpha(p_0)$ , there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $\alpha(p_0)$  such that

$$\left| \|\sigma(q)\| - \|\sigma(\alpha(p_0))\| \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

whenever  $q \in V$ . Since  $\alpha$  is continuous, there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p_0$  such that

$$p \in U \text{ implies } \alpha(p) \in V.$$

Consider the following neighborhood of  $x_0$ :

$$\mathcal{U} = \{x \in E : \pi(x) \in U, \|x - \{\alpha^*(\sigma)\}(\pi(x))\| < \varepsilon/2\}.$$

Suppose that  $x \in \mathcal{U}$ . Setting  $p = \pi(x)$ , it follows that  $p \in U$ , so  $\alpha(p) \in V$ . Also,

$$\|x - \sigma(\alpha(p))\| = \|x - \{\alpha^*(\sigma)\}(\pi(x))\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and

$$\left| \|\sigma(\alpha(p))\| - \|\sigma(\alpha(p_0))\| \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| - \|x_0\| &\leq \| \|x\| - \|\sigma(\alpha(p))\| \| + \| \|\sigma(\alpha(p))\| - \|x_0\| \| \\ &\leq \| \|x - \sigma(\alpha(p))\| \| + \| \|\sigma(\alpha(p))\| - \|\sigma(\alpha(p_0))\| \| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the norm function  $\|\cdot\| : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

Let us assume now that the map  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is open and surjective. To show that the canonical bundle  $\xi : G \rightarrow T$  is norm continuous, it suffices to show that  $\|\sigma^\wedge(\cdot)\|$  is a continuous function on  $T$  for each  $\sigma$  in  $\Gamma(\pi)$ . Set  $f(p) = \|\sigma(p)\|$  for each  $p \in S$ . Since the pullback bundle is norm continuous,  $f$  belongs to  $C(S)$ . Also, for all  $q \in T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma^\wedge(q)\| &= \|\sigma|_{S_q}\| = \sup\{\|\sigma(p)\| : p \in S_q\} \\ &= \sup\{|f(p)| : p \in S_q\} = \|f|_{S_q}\| = \|f^\wedge(q)\|, \end{aligned}$$

where we view  $C(S)$  as a  $C(T)$ -module. Now the canonical bundle for  $C(S)$  as a  $C(T)$ -module is norm continuous (see Seda [8] and Kitchen

and Robbins [3]). Thus,  $\|\sigma^\wedge(q)\| = \|f^\wedge(q)\|$  varies continuously with  $q$ , and this completes the proof.  $\square$

We turn now to the formula for  $\Gamma(\pi)$  as an inductive tensor product of the spaces  $\Gamma(p)$ ,  $C(S)$ , and  $C(T)$ .

**Theorem 5.**

$$\Gamma(\pi) \cong C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho).$$

*By this we mean that there is an isometric isomorphism  $\phi : (C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho))/K \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)$ , where  $K$  is the closed linear span in  $C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho)$  of elements of the form*

$$(g \cdot f) \otimes \sigma - f \otimes (g\sigma),$$

where  $g \in C(T)$ ,  $f \in C(S)$ , and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ . Moreover,

$$\phi(f \otimes \sigma + K) = f \alpha^*(\sigma)$$

for all  $f \in C(S)$  and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ .

*Proof.* The notation  $C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho)$  comes from an analogous situation involving projective tensor products. If  $M$  and  $N$  are Banach modules over a Banach algebra  $A$ , then  $M \otimes_A N$  is defined to be the quotient space of  $M \hat{\otimes} N$  modulo the closed linear span of elements of the form

$$(ax) \otimes y - x \otimes (ay),$$

where  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in M$ , and  $y \in N$ .

To show the existence of the isomorphism  $\phi$ , we begin by observing that  $C(S)$  can be regarded as the section space of the trivial bundle  $\gamma : S \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow S$ , where  $S \times \mathbf{C}$  has the product topology and  $\gamma$  is coordinate projection. Consequently, the space  $C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho) = \Gamma(\gamma) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\Gamma(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho)$ , and the natural isomorphism  $\theta : C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho) \rightarrow \Gamma(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho)$  assigns to any product  $f \otimes \sigma$  the pointwise tensor product  $f \odot \sigma$  given by

$$(f \odot \sigma)(p, q) = f(p) \otimes \sigma(q)$$



for all  $p \in S$  and  $q \in T$ . (See Kitchen and Robbins [2].) Now  $f(p) \otimes \sigma(q)$  belongs to the stalk  $\gamma^{-1}(\{p\}) \hat{\otimes} F_q = \mathbf{C} \hat{\otimes} F_q$ . The latter product is naturally isomorphic to  $F_q$ , with a tensor product  $\lambda \otimes y$  corresponding to the scalar multiple  $\lambda y$ . Consequently, in the product bundle  $\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho$  the stalk above the point  $(p, q)$  in  $S \times T$  can be regarded as being  $F_q$ , and, so regarded, our isometric isomorphism  $\theta : C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho) \rightarrow \Gamma(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho)$  is characterized by the equation

$$\{\theta(f \otimes \sigma)\}(p, q) = f(p)\sigma(q)$$

for all  $f \in C(S)$ ,  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ ,  $p \in S$ , and  $q \in T$ .

We consider next the map  $\omega$  which assigns to each section  $\tau$  in  $\Gamma(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho)$  its restriction to the set

$$G_\alpha = \{(p, \alpha(p)) : p \in S\},$$

the graph of  $\alpha$ . The set  $G_\alpha$  is a closed subset of  $S \times T$  and the restriction map  $\omega$  is then a quotient map, which means that it induces an isometry  $\tilde{\omega}$  on the quotient space  $\Gamma(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho)/L$ , where  $L$  is the kernel of  $\omega$ . (The fact that  $\omega$  is a quotient map can best be argued by the application of the Tietze extension theorem for sections.) Obviously, the kernel of  $\omega$  is the set of all sections in  $\Gamma(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho)$  which vanish on  $G_\alpha$ . We will show a bit later that  $L$  is also the image of  $K$  under the map  $\theta$ .

Note that the composed map  $\omega \circ \theta$  carries a tensor product  $f \otimes \sigma$  (with  $f \in C(S)$  and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ ) onto the section  $\tau$  given by

$$\tau(p, \alpha(p)) = f(p)\sigma(\alpha(p)) = \{f\alpha^*(\sigma)\}(p).$$

Thus,  $\tau$  is a section of the pullback bundle, only, instead of writing  $\tau(p, \alpha(p))$ , we now write  $\tau(p)$ , and we have

$$(\omega \circ \theta)(f \otimes \sigma) = \tau = f\alpha^*(\sigma).$$

The maps which we have considered can be exhibited in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \Gamma(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho)/L \\
 & \searrow \omega \circ \theta & \downarrow \omega & \swarrow \tilde{\omega} & \\
 & & \Gamma(\pi) & & 
 \end{array}$$

Now, sections of the form  $(\omega \circ \theta)(f \otimes \sigma) = f\alpha^*(\sigma)$  are full in the pullback bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$ , and their closed linear span, call it  $W$ , is clearly a  $C(S)$ -submodule of  $\Gamma(\pi)$ . By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem for bundles (see Gierz [1]),  $W = \Gamma(\pi)$ , which means that the range of  $\omega \circ \theta$  is dense in  $\Gamma(\pi)$ . Clearly, the functions  $\omega \circ \theta$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\tilde{\omega}$  all have the same range. Since, however,  $\tilde{\omega}$  is an isometry, it follows that the common range of  $\omega \circ \theta$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\tilde{\omega}$  must be all of  $\Gamma(\pi)$ . In other words, the maps  $\omega \circ \theta$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\tilde{\omega}$  are surjective.

Since  $\omega \circ \theta : C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)$  is a surjective quotient map, it induces an isometric isomorphism

$$\phi : (C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho)) / \ker(\omega \circ \theta) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi).$$

Clearly,  $\ker(\omega \circ \theta) = \theta^{-1}(L)$ , so to complete the proof, we must show that  $\theta^{-1}(L) = K$ , or equivalently that  $L = \theta(K)$ .

Since  $\theta$  is a linear isometry,  $\theta(K)$  is the closed linear span in  $\Gamma(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho)$  of sections of the form

$$\tau = (g \cdot f) \odot \sigma - f \odot (g\sigma)$$

where  $g \in C(T)$ ,  $f \in C(S)$ , and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ . Note that the section  $\tau$  vanishes on  $G_\alpha$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(p, \alpha(p)) &= (g \cdot f)(p) \odot \sigma(\alpha(p)) - f(p) \odot (g\sigma)(\alpha(p)) \\ &= g(\alpha(p))f(p)\sigma(\alpha(p)) - f(p)g(\alpha(p))\sigma(\alpha(p)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $p \in S$ . Hence,  $\theta(K) \subset L$ .

It now suffices to show that  $\theta(K)$  is dense in  $L$ , and we do so with the Stone-Weierstrass theorem for bundles.

Clearly,  $L$  is a closed  $C(S \times T)$ -locally convex submodule of  $T(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho)$ . Hence,  $L$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\Gamma(\beta)$ , where  $\beta : H \rightarrow S \times T$  is the canonical bundle for  $L$ . It is easily argued that the stalks of the canonical bundle are described (to within isomorphism) by

$$H_{(p,q)} = \begin{cases} \theta, & \text{if } q = \alpha(p) \\ F_q, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and for each  $\sigma \in L$  the Gelfand representation  $\sigma^\wedge$  is given by

$$\sigma^\wedge(p, q) = \sigma(p, q)$$

for all  $(p, q)$  in  $S \times T$ .

As for  $\theta(K)$ , we can first verify that it is a  $C(S \times T)$ -submodule. This requires a linearity and density argument. We know that finite sums of functions of the form  $f \odot g$ , where  $f \in C(S)$  and  $g \in C(T)$ , are dense in  $C(S \times T)$ . Since  $\theta(K)$  is a closed subspace, it suffices to show that  $\theta(K)$  contains all section of the form

$$\tau = (f \odot g)\{(h \cdot k) \odot \sigma - k \odot (h\sigma)\}$$

where  $f$  and  $k$  belong to  $C(S)$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  belong to  $C(T)$ , and  $\sigma$  belongs to  $\Gamma(\rho)$ . When  $\tau$  is evaluated at a point  $(p, q)$  in  $S \times T$ , the following results

$$f(p)g(q)h(\alpha(p))k(p)\sigma(q) - f(p)g(q)k(p)h(q)\sigma(q),$$

which is equal to the section

$$(h \cdot fk) \odot (g\sigma) - (fk) \odot ((gh)\sigma)$$

evaluated at  $(p, q)$ . Clearly,  $\theta(K)$  contains  $\tau$ .

To verify that  $\theta(K) = L$ , it suffices, according to the bundle version of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, to show that  $\theta(K)$  is stalkwise dense in the canonical bundle  $\beta : H \rightarrow S \times T$  for  $L$ . Let  $(p, q) \in S \times T$ . If  $q = \alpha(p)$ , then the stalk  $H_{(p,q)}$  is zero-dimensional, so there is nothing to prove. Suppose that  $q \neq \alpha(p)$ . Let  $\sigma(q)$  be an arbitrary element in  $H_{(p,q)} = F_q$ , where  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ . Let  $f \in C(S)$  have the constant value 1, and let  $g \in C(T)$  be such that  $g(\alpha(p)) = 1$  and  $g(q) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(g \cdot f) \odot \sigma - f \odot (g\sigma)\}(p, q) \\ &= g(\alpha(p))f(p)\sigma(q) - f(p)g(q)\sigma(q) = \sigma(q). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\theta(K)$  is stalkwise dense in the canonical bundle for  $L$ . Hence, by the bundle version of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem,  $\theta(K) = L$ .

Finally, we observe that our isometric isomorphism behaves in the manner advertised:

$$\phi(f \otimes \sigma + K) = (\omega \circ \theta)(f \otimes \sigma) = f\alpha^*(\sigma)$$

for all  $f$  in  $C(S)$  and  $\sigma$  in  $\Gamma(\rho)$ .  $\square$

There is an addendum to the Theorem which further justifies the notation

$$C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho) \cong \Gamma(\pi).$$

If  $M$  and  $N$  are Banach modules over a Banach algebra  $A$ , then, as we observed at the beginning of the proof,  $M \otimes_A N$  is the space  $M \hat{\otimes} N / W$ , where  $W$  is the closed linear span of elements of the form

$$(ax) \otimes y - x \otimes (ay)$$

where  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in M$ , and  $y \in N$ . An important feature of the Banach space  $M \otimes_A N$  is that there is a natural way in which it is an  $A$ -module: if we denote by  $x \otimes_A y$  the coset  $x \otimes y + W$ , as is customary, then the action of  $A$  on  $M \otimes_A N$  is characterized by the identity

$$a(x \otimes_A y) = (ax) \otimes_A y = x \otimes_A (ay).$$

See, for example, [7].

**Proposition 6.** (Addendum to Theorem 5) *Given the data of Theorem 5, let us denote by  $f \otimes_T \sigma$  the coset  $f \otimes \sigma + K$  for  $f \in C(S)$  and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ . Then there is a unique way in which  $C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho)$  can be made into a  $C(T)$ -module so that the identity*

$$(*) \quad g \cdot (f \otimes_T \sigma) = (g \cdot f) \otimes_T \sigma = f \otimes_T (g\sigma)$$

*holds for all  $f \in C(S)$ ,  $g \in C(T)$ , and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ . Moreover, with this module multiplication, the isometric isomorphism  $\phi : C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)$  is  $C(T)$ -linear. (Also,  $\phi$  is characterized by the equation  $\phi(f \otimes_T \sigma) = f \alpha^*(\sigma)$ .)*

*Proof.* Because of the definition of  $K$  it is clear that  $(g \cdot f) \otimes_T \sigma = (g \cdot f) \otimes \sigma + K = f \otimes (g\sigma) + K = f \otimes_T (g\sigma)$ . Moreover, if there is a module multiplication on  $C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho)$  for which  $(*)$  holds, it must be unique since  $C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho)$  is the closed linear span of elements of the form  $f \otimes_T \sigma$ .

To show the existence of the module multiplication on  $C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho)$ , we simply transplant the  $C(T)$ -module multiplication from  $\Gamma(\pi)$  by the isomorphism  $\phi$ : if  $g \in C(T)$  and if  $\zeta \in C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho)$  we define

$$g \cdot \zeta = \phi^{-1}(g \cdot \phi(\zeta)).$$

This immediately makes  $C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho)$  a  $C(T)$ -module, and it makes  $\phi$   $C(T)$ -linear. Moreover,  $(*)$  is satisfied, since

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot (f \otimes_T \sigma) &= \phi^{-1}(g \cdot \phi(f \otimes_T \sigma)) = \phi^{-1}(g \cdot (f \alpha^*(\sigma))) \\ &= \phi^{-1}(\alpha^*(g) f \alpha^*(\sigma)) = \phi^{-1}(f \alpha^*(g\sigma)) \\ &= \phi^{-1}(\phi(f \otimes_T (g\sigma))) = f \otimes_T (g\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $g \in C(T)$ ,  $f \in C(S)$ , and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ .  $\square$

We shall now exploit Theorem 5 to show that there is a natural way of embedding the “internal dual” of the given bundle in the internal dual of the pullback bundle.

**Theorem 7.** *There is a natural norm-decreasing map*

$$\alpha^* : \text{Hom}_T(\Gamma(\rho), C(T)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\Gamma(\pi), C(S))$$

such that

$$\alpha^*(\omega)(f \alpha^*(\sigma)) = f \alpha^*(\omega(\sigma))$$

for all  $\omega \in \text{Hom}_T(\Gamma(\rho), C(T))$ ,  $f \in C(S)$ , and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ . If  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is surjective, then the pullback map  $\alpha^* : \text{Hom}_T(\Gamma(\rho), C(T)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\Gamma(\pi), C(S))$  above is an isometry.

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in \text{Hom}_T(\Gamma(\rho), C(T))$ . We define a map  $\omega' : C(S) \times \Gamma(\rho) \rightarrow C(S)$  by

$$\omega'(f, \sigma) = f \alpha^*(\omega(\sigma)).$$

It is easily verified that  $\omega'$  is bilinear. Hence, we get an induced map  $\omega' : C(S) \otimes \Gamma(\rho) \rightarrow C(S)$  such that

$$\omega'(f \otimes \sigma) = f \alpha^*(\omega(\sigma)).$$

Now, the algebraic tensor product  $C(S) \otimes \Gamma(\rho)$  is a dense subspace of  $C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho)$ . To show that  $\omega'$  can be extended to a bounded linear map on  $C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho)$  it suffices for  $\omega'$  to be bounded on  $C(S) \otimes \Gamma(\rho)$  with respect to the inductive tensor product norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\wedge}$ . Consider an arbitrary element of  $C(S) \otimes \Gamma(\rho)$ , say  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \sigma_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega'(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \sigma_i)\| &= \|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \alpha^*(\omega(\sigma_i))\| \\ &= \sup\{|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(p)\{\omega(\sigma_i)\}(\alpha(p))| : p \in S\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(p)\{\omega(\sigma_i)\}(q)| : (p, q) \in S \times T\} \\ &= \|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \odot \omega(\sigma_i)\| = \|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \omega(\sigma_i)\|_{\wedge} \\ &\leq \|\omega\| \|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \sigma_i\|_{\wedge}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\|\cdot\|_{\wedge}$  is a uniform cross-norm. Hence,  $\omega'$  can be uniquely extended to a bounded linear map  $\omega' : C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho) \rightarrow C(S)$ ; moreover,  $\|\omega'\| \leq \|\omega\|$ .

We observe that  $K \subset \ker \omega'$ . Since  $\ker \omega'$  is a closed subspace, it suffices to show that  $\ker \omega'$  contains all elements of the form

$$(g \cdot f) \otimes \sigma - f \otimes (g\sigma),$$

where  $g \in C(T)$ ,  $f \in C(S)$ , and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'((g \cdot f) \otimes \sigma) - f \otimes (g\sigma) &= (g \cdot f) \alpha^*(\omega(\sigma)) - f \alpha^*(\omega(g\sigma)) \\ &= \alpha^*(g) f \alpha^*(\omega(\sigma)) - f \alpha^*(g\omega(\sigma)) \\ &\quad (\text{since } \omega \text{ is } C(T)\text{-linear}) \\ &= \alpha^*(g) f \alpha^*(\omega(\sigma)) - f \alpha^*(g) \alpha^*(\omega(\sigma)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K \subset \ker \omega'$ , there is a unique linear map  $\tilde{\omega} : C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho) / K \rightarrow C(S)$  such that

$$\tilde{\omega}(f \otimes_T \sigma) = f \alpha^*(\omega(\sigma)).$$

Moreover,  $\|\tilde{\omega}\| = \|\omega'\| \leq \|\omega\|$ . The above displayed equation closely resembles the equation

$$\phi(f \otimes_T \sigma) = f \alpha^*(\sigma)$$

which characterized the natural isometric isomorphism

$$\phi : C(S) \hat{\otimes}_{C(T)} \Gamma(\rho) = C(S) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\rho) / K \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi).$$

We now define  $\alpha^*(\omega) : \Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow C(S)$  by  $\alpha^*(\omega) = \tilde{\omega} \circ \phi^{-1}$ . Then  $\|\alpha^*(\omega)\| = \|\tilde{\omega}\| \leq \|\omega\|$ ; moreover, for all  $f \in C(S)$  and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\rho)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\alpha^*(\omega)\}(f\alpha^*(\sigma)) &= (\tilde{\omega} \circ \phi^{-1})(\phi(f \otimes_T \sigma)) \\ &= \tilde{\omega}(f \otimes_T \sigma) = f\alpha^*(\omega(\sigma)). \end{aligned}$$

From the latter equation, it follows that  $\alpha^*(\omega)$  is  $C(S)$ -linear. Thus,  $\alpha^*(\omega)$  belongs to  $\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma(\pi), C(S))$ .

Finally, if the map  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  is surjective, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha^*(\omega)\| &= \sup\{\|\alpha^*(\omega)(\sigma)\| : \sigma \in \Gamma(\pi), \|\sigma\| = 1\} \\ &\geq \sup\{\|\alpha^*(\omega)(\alpha^*(\tau))\| : \tau \in \Gamma(\rho), \|\tau\| = 1\} \\ &\quad (\text{recall that } \alpha^* : \Gamma(\rho) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi) \text{ is an isometry} \\ &\quad \text{since } \alpha : S \rightarrow T \text{ is surjective}) \\ &= \sup\{\|\alpha^*(\omega(\tau))\| : \tau \in \Gamma(\rho), \|\tau\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\omega(\tau)\| : \tau \in \Gamma(\rho), \|\tau\| = 1\} \\ &= \|\omega\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|\alpha^*(\omega)\| = \|\omega\|$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

For the sake of completeness, we give an example to show that the hypothesis in Propositions 3 and 4 that the map  $\alpha$  be open is necessary, and that the map  $\alpha^*$  of Theorem 7 need not be surjective.

**Example 8.** Let  $S = [0, 1]$ , let  $T = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$  be the unit circle in the complex plane, and define  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  by  $\alpha(p) = \exp(2\pi ip)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is evidently a continuous, but not open, surjection. If  $\rho : F \rightarrow T$  is the trivial bundle, then  $\Gamma(\rho) = C(T)$ . The pullback  $\pi : E \rightarrow S$  is also the trivial bundle, and  $\Gamma(\pi) = C(S)$ . The canonical bundle  $\xi : G \rightarrow T$  of  $\Gamma(\pi) = C(S)$  as a  $C(T)$ -module has fibers

$$G_q = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}, & \text{if } q \neq 1 \\ \mathbf{C}^2, & \text{if } q = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\xi$  is not Hausdorff (because the function  $\dim : T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , given by  $\dim(q) = \text{dimension of } G_q$ , is not lower semicontinuous; see Gierz [1, Theorem 18.3]) and hence not norm continuous, even though, of course,  $\rho$  satisfies both of these conditions.

We also have  $\text{Hom}_S(\Gamma(\pi), C(S)) \cong C(S)$  and  $\text{Hom}_T(\Gamma(\rho), C(T)) \cong C(T)$ . It can be verified that the map  $\alpha^* : \text{Hom}_T(\Gamma(\rho), C(T)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\Gamma(\pi), C(S))$  of Theorem 7 is the ordinary isometric embedding of  $C(T)$  into  $C(S)$  via  $\alpha$ . In particular,  $\alpha^*(f)(0) = \alpha^*(f)(1)$  for each  $f \in C(T)$ , so that  $\alpha^*$  is not surjective.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Gierz, *Bundles of topological vector spaces and their duality*, Lecture Notes in Math. **955**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
2. J.W. Kitchen and D.A. Robbins, *Tensor products of Banach bundles*, Pacific J. Math. **94** (1981), 151–169.
3. ———, *Gelfand representation of Banach modules*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **203** (1982) (1983).
4. ———, *Sectional representation of Banach modules*, Pacific J. Math. **109** (1983), 135–156.
5. ———, *Internal functionals and bundle duals*, International J. Math. and Math. Sci. **7** (1984), 59–65.
6. ———, *Linear algebra in the category of  $C(X)$ -locally convex modules*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **19** (1989), 433–480.
7. M.A. Rieffel, *Induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and locally compact groups*, J. Functional Analysis **1** (1967), 443–491.
8. A. Seda, *Banach bundles of continuous functions and an integral representation theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **270** (1982), 327–332.

DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27706

TRINITY COLLEGE, HARTFORD, CT 06106