

## ALMOST COMPLETELY DECOMPOSABLE TORSION-FREE GROUPS

H. PAT GOETERS AND WILLIAM ULLERY

Recently, D. Arnold and C. Vinsonhaler were successful in developing a complete set of numerical invariants for certain pure subgroups and homomorphic images of completely decomposable abelian groups [4]. Their results extended work by F. Richman [8]. Let  $A_i$  be subgroups of the rationals,  $\mathbf{Q}$ , containing the integers,  $\mathbf{Z}$ . The groups that they consider are the strongly indecomposable groups of the form:  $A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n / \langle (1, 1, \dots, 1) \rangle_*$ ; or  $\text{Ker } \sigma$ , where  $\sigma : A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  is defined by  $\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum a_i$ .

In this paper we assume that  $A$  is almost completely decomposable (quasi-isomorphic to a finite direct sum of subgroups of  $\mathbf{Q}$ ), and give conditions on the types of the quasi-summands of  $A$  which characterize when every pure subgroup and/or every torsion-free homomorphic image of  $A$  is again almost completely decomposable. Our results generalize a theorem of M.C.R. Butler [6].

Throughout, all groups considered are torsion-free abelian. If  $n$  is a positive integer  $\geq 2$ , we write  $\bar{n}$  for the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Suppose for each  $i \in \bar{n}$ ,  $\tau_i$  is a type. If  $I \subseteq \bar{n}$  is nonempty, we write  $\tau^I$  (respectively,  $\tau_I$ ) for  $\sup\{\tau_i : i \in I\}$  (respectively,  $\inf\{\tau_i : i \in I\}$ ). If  $I = \{i, j\}$ , we often write  $\tau^{ij}$  or  $\tau_i \vee \tau_j$  (respectively,  $\tau_{ij}$  or  $\tau_i \wedge \tau_j$ ) for  $\tau^I$  (respectively,  $\tau_I$ ).

### 1. Almost completely decomposable homomorphic images.

We will assume that  $A = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n$  with  $\mathbf{Z} \leq A_i \leq \mathbf{Q}$ . Set  $G\langle A \rangle = A/X$ , where  $X$  is the pure subgroup generated by  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

Let  $f : A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n \rightarrow G\langle A \rangle$  be the natural quotient map. If  $K$  is corank-1 in  $G\langle A \rangle$  (i.e., if  $G\langle A \rangle/K$  is rank-1 and torsion-free), set  $\text{cosupp}(K) = \{i \in \bar{n} : f(A_i) \leq K\}$ . By [2, Theorem 1.4], there is a cobalanced embedding  $\delta : G\langle A \rangle \rightarrow \bigoplus\{G\langle A \rangle/K : K \text{ is corank-1 and } \text{cosupp}(K) \text{ is maximal with respect to inclusion}\}$ , where  $\delta$  is induced

---

Received by the editors on November 23, 1988, and in revised form on June 31, 1989.

by the quotient maps  $G\langle A \rangle \rightarrow G\langle A \rangle/K$ .

Suppose  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $K_{ij} \leq A$  is such that  $A/K_{ij} \cong A_i + A_j$ . Then  $\overline{K}_{ij} = K_{ij}/X$  is the unique corank-1 subgroup of  $G\langle A \rangle$  with maximal cosupp  $(\overline{K}_{ij}) = \bar{n} - \{i, j\}$ . Since  $G\langle A \rangle/\overline{K}_{ij} \cong A_i + A_j$ , the above discussion yields a cobalanced embedding  $\delta : G\langle A \rangle \rightarrow \oplus\{A^{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ , where  $A^{ij} = A_i + A_j$ . Moreover, if  $\pi_{ij} : \oplus\{A^{lm} : 1 \leq l < m \leq n\} \rightarrow A^{ij}$  is the projection map,  $\delta$  can be chosen so that  $\pi_{ij}\delta((a_1, \dots, a_n) + X) = a_i - a_j$ . With the above notation we can show

**Proposition 1.1.** *Suppose  $G\langle A \rangle$  has a rank-1 quasi-summand. Then, there exist distinct  $i, j \in \bar{n}$  and nonempty disjoint subsets  $I$  and  $J$  of  $\bar{n}$  such that  $I \cup J = \bar{n}$  and  $\tau^{ij} = \tau_I \vee \tau_J$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta : G\langle A \rangle \rightarrow \oplus\{A^{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} = D$  be the cobalanced embedding. If  $C$  is a rank-1 quasi-summand of  $G\langle A \rangle$ , then  $C$  is a quasi-summand of  $D$ , so  $C \cong A^{ij}$  for some  $i < j$ .

If  $B$  is a rank-1 pure subgroup of  $G\langle A \rangle$ , define  $\text{supp}(B) = \{lm : 1 \leq l < m \leq n \text{ and } \pi_{lm}\delta(B) \neq 0\}$  and  $\text{supp}(G\langle A \rangle) = \{\text{supp}(B) : B \text{ is a pure rank-1 subgroup of } G\langle A \rangle\}$ . Set  $S_\delta = \{S \in \text{supp}(G\langle A \rangle) : S \text{ is minimal with respect to inclusion}\}$ , say  $S_\delta = \{S_1, \dots, S_t\}$ . For each  $u$ ,  $1 \leq u \leq t$ , let  $G_u$  be the unique rank-1 pure subgroup of  $G\langle A \rangle$  with  $\text{supp}(G_u) = S_u$ . By [2, Theorem 1.2], there is a balanced surjection  $\phi : G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_t \rightarrow G\langle A \rangle$ .

Since  $C$  is projective with respect to  $G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_t \rightarrow G\langle A \rangle$ ,  $C \cong G_k$  for some  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq t$ . We will show  $\text{type}(G_k) = \tau_I \vee \tau_J$  for some  $I$  and  $J$ . Suppose  $0 \neq b = (b_1, \dots, b_r) + X \in G_k$ . Set  $I = \{i \in \bar{n} : b_i = b_1\}$  and  $J = \bar{n} - I$ . Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) + X$ , where  $a_i = 1$  if  $i \in I$  and 0 otherwise. Thus,  $\text{supp}(\langle a \rangle_*) \subseteq \text{supp} G_k$  so by minimality,  $\langle a \rangle_* = G_k$ . Moreover, since the embedding  $\delta : G\langle A \rangle \rightarrow \oplus\{A^{uv} : 1 \leq u < v \leq n\}$  is pure,  $\text{type} G_k = \text{type} \langle a \rangle_* = \inf\{\tau^{uv} : u \in I, v \in J\} = \tau_I \vee \tau_J$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

For  $I \subseteq \bar{n}$ , set  $A_I = \oplus\{A_i : i \in I\}$ . Let  $A \sim B$  denote the quasi-isomorphism of the finite rank groups  $A$  and  $B$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** *Suppose  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  where, for each  $i \in \bar{n}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z} \leq A_i \leq \mathbf{Q}$  and type  $(A_i) = \tau_i$ . Assume there exist distinct  $i_0, j_0 \in \bar{n}$  and a nontrivial partition  $\bar{n} = I \cup J$  such that  $i_0 \in I$  and  $\tau^{i_0 j_0} \leq \tau^{ij}$  for all  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ .*

- (a) *If  $j_0 \in J$ ,  $G\langle A \rangle \sim G\langle A_I \rangle \oplus G\langle A_J \rangle \oplus G\langle A_{\{i_0, j_0\}} \rangle$ .*
- (b) *If  $j_0 \in I$ ,  $G\langle A \rangle \sim G\langle A_I \rangle \oplus G\langle A_{J \cup \{j_0\}} \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* First, part (a) is Lemma 1 in [7]. As such, we shall omit the proof. To see how (b) follows from (a), we first observe that if  $\tau_i \geq \tau_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , then  $G\langle A \rangle \sim A_i \oplus G\langle A_{\bar{n} - \{i\}} \rangle$  by applying (a) with  $I = \{i\}$  and  $J = \bar{n} - \{i\}$ .

Now, set  $\tau_{n+1} = \tau_{j_0}$  and let  $A_{n+1} \leq \mathbf{Q}$  be a group of type  $\tau_{n+1}$  containing  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $I \cup (J \cup \{n+1\}) = \overline{n+1}$  is a nontrivial partition and  $\tau_{j_0} \vee \tau_{n+1} = \tau_{j_0} \leq \tau^{ij}$  for all  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J \cup \{n+1\}$ . Thus, by part (a),  $G\langle A_{\overline{n+1}} \rangle \sim G\langle A_I \rangle \oplus G\langle A_{J \cup \{n+1\}} \rangle \oplus G\langle A_{\{j_0, n+1\}} \rangle$ . Note  $G\langle A_{\{j_0, n+1\}} \rangle \cong A_{n+1}$  and  $G\langle A_{\overline{n+1}} \rangle \sim A_{n+1} \oplus G\langle A \rangle$  by our observation in the previous paragraph. Part (b) now follows.  $\square$

Call a finite list of (not necessarily distinct) types  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  *well-formed* if and only if, for every subset  $S \subseteq \bar{n}$  with  $|S| \geq 2$ , there exist distinct  $i, j \in S$  and a nontrivial partition  $I \cup J = S$  such that  $\tau^{ij} = \tau_I \vee \tau_J$ . For us, there are two important properties of well-formed lists which follow immediately from the definition. First, any subset of a well-formed list is well-formed. Second, we remark that if  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  is well-formed, and  $\tau_{n+1} = \tau_i$  for some  $i \in \bar{n}$ , then  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, \tau_{n+1}$  is well-formed. Consequently, the multiplicity of a given  $\tau_i$  is irrelevant when considering whether a given list is well-formed. The importance of well-formed lists is revealed by

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose  $A \sim A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ , where each  $A_i$  is a rank-1 group of type  $\tau_i$ . Then  $A/B$  is almost completely decomposable for all rank-1 pure subgroups  $B$  of  $A$  if and only if  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  is well-formed.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $\mathbf{Z} \leq A_i \leq \mathbf{Q}$  and that  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ . First suppose  $A/B$  is almost completely decomposable for all rank-1

pure  $B$ . If  $S \subseteq \bar{n}$  with  $|S| \geq 2$ , define  $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in A$  by  $b_i = 1$  if  $i \in S$  and  $b_i = 0$  if  $i \in \bar{n} - S$ . Then  $G\langle A_S \rangle$  is a direct summand of  $A/\langle b \rangle_*$  and hence almost completely decomposable. From Proposition 1.1, we conclude that there is a partition  $I \cup J$  of  $S$  and  $i, j \in S$  so that  $\tau^{ij} = \tau_I \vee \tau_J$ . Therefore,  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  is well-formed.

Conversely, suppose  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  is well-formed. If  $B = \langle (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \rangle_*$  is a rank-1 pure subgroup of  $A$ , set  $T = \{i \in \bar{n} : b_i = 0\}$  and  $U = \bar{n} - T$ . Then  $A/B \sim A_T \oplus G\langle A_U \rangle$ . Since the list of  $\tau_i$ 's with  $i \in U$  is well-formed, it suffices to show  $G\langle A \rangle$  is almost completely decomposable. We do this by induction on  $n = \text{rank } A$ . If  $n = 2$ , there is nothing to prove. So, we may assume  $n > 2$ . Since  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  is well-formed, there exist distinct  $i, j \in \bar{n}$  and a nontrivial partition  $\bar{n} = I \cup J$  such that  $\tau^{ij} = \tau_I \vee \tau_J$ . Without loss, we may assume  $i \in I$ . We consider two cases.

*Case 1.* If  $j \in J$ , Proposition 1.2(a) implies  $G\langle A \rangle \sim G\langle A_I \rangle \oplus G\langle A_J \rangle \oplus G\langle A_{\{i,j\}} \rangle$ . Since both  $|I| < n$  and  $|J| < n$  and since sublists of well-formed lists are well-formed, both  $G\langle A_I \rangle$  and  $G\langle A_J \rangle$  are almost completely decomposable by induction. Thus,  $G\langle A \rangle$  is almost completely decomposable.

*Case 2.* If  $j \in I$ , Proposition 1.2(b) implies  $G\langle A \rangle \sim G\langle A_I \rangle \oplus G\langle A_{J \cup \{j\}} \rangle$ . Then,  $|I| < n$  and  $|J \cup \{j\}| < n$  since  $i \in J \cup \{j\}$ . As in Case 1,  $G\langle A \rangle$  is again almost completely decomposable by induction.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.** *Suppose  $A \sim A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ , where each  $A_i$  is a rank-1 group of type  $\tau_i$ , and assume the list  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  is closed under supremums. Then, for every  $m \geq 1$ , any torsion-free homomorphic image of  $A^m$  is almost completely decomposable if and only if  $A/B$  is almost completely decomposable for all rank-1 pure subgroups  $B$  of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* The necessity is clear, and to show the sufficiency, we may assume  $\mathbf{Z} \leq A_i \leq \mathbf{Q}$  for each  $i \in \bar{n}$  and  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ . By the remark preceding Theorem 1.3, the types of the summands of

$$A^m = (A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n) \oplus \dots \oplus (A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n)$$

are a well-formed list. Theorem 1.3 implies all rank  $mn - 1$  torsion-free quotients of  $A^m$  are almost completely decomposable. By applying the pure embedding  $\delta$  described at the beginning of this section, we conclude that the types of the rank-1 quasi-summands of a rank  $mn - 1$  torsion-free homomorphic image of  $A^m$  are of the form  $\tau_i$  or  $\tau^{ij}$ ,  $i, j \in \bar{n}$ . Since  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  is closed under supremums and since sublists of well-formed lists are well-formed, the result follows by induction.  $\square$

**2. The dual problem and a theorem of Butler.** The results of section 1 all have duals but we intend only to present the duals to Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4. A list of types  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  is called *co-well-formed* if for every  $S \subseteq \bar{n}$  with  $|S| \geq 2$  there are distinct  $i, j \in S$  and a nontrivial partition  $I \cup J = S$  satisfying  $\tau_{ij} = \tau^I \wedge \tau^J$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume  $A \sim A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  with each  $A_i$  rank-1 of type  $\tau_i$ . Then, every corank-1 subgroup of  $A$  is almost completely decomposable if and only if  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  is co-well-formed.*

*Proof.* Using the duality developed in [5], there is an almost completely decomposable group  $B \sim B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n$  with type  $(B_i) = \sigma_i$  such that every corank-1 subgroup of  $A$  is almost completely decomposable if and only if  $B/X$  is almost completely decomposable for each rank-1 pure subgroup  $X$  of  $B$ . Moreover, the correspondence  $\sigma_i \rightarrow \tau_i$  extends to a lattice anti-isomorphism between the lattice of types generated by  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  and the lattice generated by  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ . Therefore, the theorem follows from Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Suppose  $A \sim A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  with each  $A_i$  a rank-1 group of type  $\tau_i$ . If  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  is closed under infimums, then for each  $m \geq 1$ , every pure subgroup of  $A^m$  is almost completely decomposable if and only if every corank-1 subgroup of  $A$  is almost completely decomposable.*

Suppose  $L$  is a finite sublattice of the lattice of all types. If  $\alpha, \beta \in L$  with  $\alpha < \beta$  and there is no  $\tau$  in  $L$  with  $\alpha < \tau < \beta$ , then  $\beta$  is called a *cover* of  $\alpha$  in  $L$ . If every element of  $L$  has at most two covers in  $L$ , we say  $L$  satisfies *Butler's condition*. In [6] it is proved that the finite lattice  $L$  satisfies Butler's condition if and only if every Butler

group (i.e., a torsion-free homomorphic image or a pure subgroup of a completely decomposable group) with typeset contained in  $L$  is almost completely decomposable. The connection between Butler's theorem and our results is revealed by

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $L$  be a finite lattice of types and suppose  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  is a list with each  $\tau_i \in L$ .*

- (a) *If  $L$  satisfies Butler's condition, then  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  is well-formed.*
- (b) *If  $T = \{\tau : \tau = \tau_i \text{ for some } i\}$  is a lattice, the following statements are equivalent:*
  - (i)  *$T$  satisfies Butler's condition.*
  - (ii)  *$\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  is well-formed.*
  - (iii)  *$\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  is co-well-formed.*

*Proof.* To prove (a) suppose  $n \geq 3$  and set  $\tau'_i = \tau_i \vee \inf\{\tau_j : j \neq i\}$  for each  $i \in \bar{n}$ . Suppose  $\tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n$  are pairwise incomparable and let  $L'$  be the sublattice of  $L$  they generate. Let  $\tau$  be a cover for  $\tau'_1 \wedge \tau'_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \tau'_n$  in  $L'$ . Set  $S = \{\alpha \in L' : \alpha \geq \tau\}$  and  $S^* = L' - S$ . Since  $L'$  also satisfies Butler's condition, a routine lattice-theoretic argument shows that  $S^*$  is a linearly ordered sublattice of  $L'$ . Thus, there exists a unique  $i$  with  $\tau'_i \in S^*$ . Say  $\tau'_i \in S^*$ . Therefore,  $\tau'_1 \leq \tau'_1 \vee \tau \leq \tau'_1 \vee (\tau'_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \tau'_n) = \tau'_1$ . Consequently,  $\tau'_1 \notin S^*$ , a contradiction. We conclude that  $\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n$  are not pairwise incomparable. Therefore, we may assume  $\tau'_1 \geq \tau'_2$ .

For each  $i$ , select  $\mathbf{Z} \leq A_i \leq \mathbf{Q}$  and set  $A'_i = A_i + \cap\{A_j : j \neq i\}$ . Then  $\text{type}(A'_i) = \tau'_i$ . Since  $\tau'_1 \vee \tau'_2 \leq \tau'_1 \vee \tau'_j$  for  $2 \leq j \leq n$ , Proposition 1.2(a) implies  $G' = G\langle A'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A'_n \rangle$  has a rank-1 quasi-summand. Since  $G' \cong G\langle A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n \rangle$ , Proposition 1.1 completes the proof of (a).

To show that (ii) implies (i) in (b), suppose  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$  are all distinct covers of  $\tau_4$ . Let  $\mathbf{Z} \leq A_i \leq \mathbf{Q}$  have type  $\tau_i$ . Then  $G\langle A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus A_3 \rangle$  is strongly indecomposable (see [7, Proposition 3]), a contradiction.

For (iii) implies (ii), let  $G$  be a torsion-free image of a direct sum of rank-1 groups with types belonging to  $T$ . Then  $G$  is a pure subgroup of an almost completely decomposable group with the types of the summands belonging to  $T$  [1, Proposition 4.2], so  $G$  is almost

completely decomposable and (ii) holds. Finally, in case  $T$  is a lattice, it is well known that any pure subgroup of  $\bigoplus A_i^k$  (with type  $A_i = \tau_i$  and  $k \geq 1$ ) is an epimorphic image of  $\bigoplus A_i^m$  for some  $m$ . Thus (ii) implies (iii) is clear.  $\square$

We conclude with two examples. They illustrate that the conditions well-formed and co-well-formed are independent if the list of types  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  is not assumed to form a lattice.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $p, q$  and  $r$  be distinct prime numbers and set  $A_1 = \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $A_2 = \mathbf{Z}[1/p]$ ,  $A_3 = \mathbf{Z}[1/q]$ ,  $A_4 = \mathbf{Z}[1/r]$  and  $\tau_i = \text{type}(A_i)$ . It is easily verified that  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4$  is co-well-formed but not well-formed. Therefore, if  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_4$ , every corank-1 subgroup of  $A$  is almost completely decomposable. However, there exists a torsion-free quotient of rank 3 which is not almost completely decomposable. In fact,  $A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_4 / \langle (0, 1, 1, 1) \rangle_*$  has a strongly indecomposable quasi-summand of rank 2.

**Example 2.5.** Again let  $p, q$  and  $r$  be distinct primes and set  $A_1 = \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $A_2 = \mathbf{Z}_p$ ,  $A_3 = \mathbf{Z}_q$  and  $A_4 = \mathbf{Z}_r$ , where the prime subscripts denote the respective localizations. If  $\tau_i = \text{type}(A_i)$ , note  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4$  is well-formed but not co-well-formed. In this case, if  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_4$ ,  $G\langle A \rangle$  is almost completely decomposable, yet  $\text{Ker } \sigma$ , where  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  is defined by  $\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum a_i$ , is strongly indecomposable.

## REFERENCES

1. D. Arnold, *Finite rank torsion free abelian groups and rings*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Math. **931**, 1982.
2. D. Arnold and C. Visonhale, *Pure subgroups of finite rank completely decomposable groups II*, in *Abelian group theory*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Math. **1006** (1983), 97–143.
3. ———, *Representing graphs for a class of torsion-free abelian groups*, in *Abelian group theory*, Gordon and Breach, London (1987), 309–332.
4. ———, *Invariants for a class of torsion-free abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), 293–300.
5. ———, *Duality and invariant for Butler groups*, Pacific J. Math. **148** (1991), 1–10.

6. M.C.R. Butler, *A class of torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **15** (1965), 680–698.

7. H.P. Goeters and W. Ullery, *Homomorphic images of completely decomposable finite rank torsion-free groups*, J. Algebra **140** (1991), 1–11.

8. F. Richman, *An extension of the theory of completely decomposable torsion-free abelian groups*, Trans. Amer. math. Soc. **279** (1983), 175–185.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AL 36849-5307