

THE MEASURE OF APPROXIMATION IN THE TWO DIMENSIONAL OPERATIONAL FIELD

DJURDJICA TAKAČI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present a measure of approximation for the approximate solution of equations with coefficients in the field $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ of operators of T. Ogata [4].

1. Operational calculus. Consider the algebraically closed subset \mathcal{K} of the field of Mikusinski operators \mathcal{F} with elements of the form

$$\sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} h_i l^{\alpha i - \beta},$$

where h_i are complex numbers for $i_0 > -\infty$, $i = i_0, i_0 + 1, \dots$, α and β are rational numbers, and $\alpha > 0$.

Let \mathcal{A} be the set of formal power series of a variable λ with coefficients in \mathcal{K} . With the usual addition and multiplication given by

$$PQ = \left\{ \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\rho=\mu+\nu} \frac{\nu! \mu!}{(\rho+1)!} p_{\nu} q_{\mu} \right) \lambda^{\rho+1} \right\},$$

where

$$P = \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p_{\nu} \lambda^{\nu} \right\}, \quad Q = \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} g_{\mu} \lambda^{\mu} \right\},$$

(with $p_{\mu}, q_{\nu} \in \mathcal{K}$ for $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots$) the ring \mathcal{A} forms an integral domain without a unit element. The field of operators $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ is the quotient field of ring \mathcal{A} .

In the field of Mikusinski operators, \mathcal{F} , let us denote, as usual, by l the integral operator, by s the differential operator (recall that $l = s^{-1}$), and in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ by L the integral operator and by S the differential operator (recall that $L = S^{-1}$).

Received by the editors on June 12, 1990, and in revised form on March 23, 1992.
This research was supported by Science Fund of Serbia, Grant Number 0401 A.

Copyright ©1993 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

We shall frequently use the following transformations

$$\frac{1}{(s-p)^n} = \left\{ \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{pt} \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(S-p)^n} = \left\{ \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{p\lambda} \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

The rational operator

$$R(S) = \frac{q_m S^m + q_{m-1} S^{m-1} + \dots + q_0}{p_n S^n + p_{n-1} S^{n-1} + \dots + p_0}$$

from $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ belongs to \mathcal{A} if $m < n$.

2. The approximate solution. Let us consider the linear partial differential equation with constant coefficients

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_{\mu,\nu} \frac{\partial^{\mu+\nu} x(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda^\mu \partial t^\nu} = f_1(\lambda, t), \quad t > 0, \lambda \geq 0,$$

with appropriate conditions

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial^{\mu+\nu} x(\lambda, 0)}{\partial \lambda^\mu \partial t^\nu} = \phi_{\mu,\nu}(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0, \mu = 0, \dots, m, \nu = 0, \dots, n-1,$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial^\mu x(0, t)}{\partial \lambda^\mu} = \psi_\mu(t), \quad t > 0, \mu = 0, \dots, m-1,$$

where $f_1(\lambda, t)$ is a given continuous function.

In the field of Mikusinski operators, \mathcal{F} , equation (1) with conditions (2) and (3) corresponds to the problem

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_{\mu,\nu} s^\nu x^{(\mu)}(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$$

$$(5) \quad x^{(\mu)}(0) = \psi_\mu, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, m-1,$$

where

$$(6) \quad f(\lambda) = \{f_1(\lambda, t)\} + \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} \alpha_{\mu,\nu} s^{\nu-\mu-1} \phi_{\mu,k}(\lambda)$$

(see [3]).

In the field $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ problem (4) and (5) corresponds to the equation

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_{\mu,\nu} s^{\nu} S^{\mu} X = \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} b_{\mu} S^{\mu} + F,$$

where $F = \{f(\lambda)\}$. The solution of the previous equation can be written as

$$(8) \quad X = \frac{\sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} b_{\mu} S^{\mu} + F}{P(S)}$$

where

$$P(S) = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_{\mu,\nu} s^{\nu} S^{\mu}$$

and the coefficients b_{μ} are of the form

$$b_{\mu} = a_{\mu+1} \psi_0 + \cdots + a_m \psi_{m-\mu-1}, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, m-1,$$

where $a_{\mu+1}, a_{\mu+2}, \dots, a_m$ are given by (12).

It is known [5] that the solutions w_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$, of equation $P(S) = 0$, have the form

$$(9) \quad w_j = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{i,j} l^{i\alpha_j - \beta_j},$$

(in fact, they are the solutions of the characteristic equation of the equation (4)). In (9), $l \in \mathcal{F}$ and $c_{i,j}$ are complex numbers, $j = 1, \dots, m$. The approximate solution of equation $P(S) = 0$ can be treated in the form

$$(10) \quad w_{j,n} = \sum_{i=0}^n c_{i,j} l^{i\alpha_j - \beta_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Since \mathcal{K} is an algebraically closed set, we can decompose

$$(11) \quad P(S) = a_m \prod_{j=1}^m (S - w_j),$$

where $w_j, j = 1, \dots, m$, has the form (9) and

$$(12) \quad a_\mu = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_{\mu,\nu} s^\nu, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

In this paper we shall consider only such equations of type (1) with conditions (2) and (3) where $w_j, j = 1, \dots, m$, are simple solutions of equation $P(S) = 0$. Also, we shall suppose that $\beta_j \leq -1$ in (9).

If F has the form

$$(13) \quad F = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{d_i}{S - \alpha_i},$$

where $d_i \in \mathcal{K}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, then the approximate solution in the space $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ is constructed in [6] as

$$(14) \quad X_n = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{S - w_{j,n}} \cdot \frac{1}{P'(w_{j,n})} \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1} b_\mu w_{j,n}^\mu + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{d_i}{w_{j,n} - \alpha_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{d_i}{P(\alpha_i)(S - \alpha_i)}.$$

If

$$(15) \quad F = \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{d_i}{S^i},$$

where $d_i \in \mathcal{K}$, and $p \in \mathbf{N}$, then the approximate solution constructed in [7] has the form

$$(16) \quad X_n = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{P'(w_{j,n})} \left(\frac{1}{S - w_{j,n}} \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} b_\mu w_{j,n}^\mu + \sum_{i=1}^p d_i \frac{1}{w_{j,n}^i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^p (-d_i) \sum_{\rho=1}^i \frac{1}{S^\rho w_{j,n}^{i-\rho+1}} \right).$$

3. The error of approximation. By using the results of T. Boehme [1] and J. Burzyk [2] connected with topological convergences, the error of approximation in papers [5, 6, 7] was estimated in the field of Mikusinski operators.

In this paper we shall estimate the error of approximation in the space $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore, let us consider the functional

$$(17) \quad A_Q(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{i^*i,1/i}(X)}{1 + B_{i^*i,1/i}(X)} e^{-i^2 e^{i^2}}, \quad X \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}),$$

where

$$(18) \quad B_{T^*T,\varepsilon} = \inf \{ \|H\|_{T^*T}; X = H/G; \|G\|_{T^*T} < 1; \|Ll - lLG\|_{T^*T} < \varepsilon \}$$

and

$$H_{T^*T} = \int_0^T \int_0^T |h(\lambda, t)| d\lambda dt.$$

One easily shows

Lemma 1. *The operators*

$$g_k = \{F_k(\lambda, t)\}, \quad \lambda \geq 0, t \geq 0$$

in the space $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$, which correspond to the function

$$F_k(\lambda, t) = k^4 \exp(-k^2 t - k^2 \lambda), \quad \lambda \geq 0, t \geq 0,$$

satisfy the conditions

$$\|g_k\|_{k^*k} \leq 1; \quad \|lG - lG_k\|_{k^*k} < 1/k.$$

Proposition 1. *If X and X_n are given by relations (8) and (14), respectively, then it holds that*

$$B_{T^*T,1/T}(X - X_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \Gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{(n+1)\alpha_j - \beta_j}{2} + 1 \right) k_{1,j}^1 e^{k_{2,j}^2} e^{k_{3,j}^3} T,$$

where $k_{1,j}^1, k_{2,j}^2$ and $k_{3,j}^3$ are constants not depending on T nor λ .

Proof. Let us suppose that the first part of (14) can be written as

$$X_{1,n} = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{S - w_{j,n}} \cdot \frac{1}{P'(w_{j,n})} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} b_{\mu} w_{j,n}^{\mu}$$

and the corresponding part of the exact solution as

$$X_1 = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{S - w_j} \cdot \frac{1}{P'(w_j)} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} b_{\mu} w_j^{\mu}.$$

Then, by using relation (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{T^*T,1/T}(X_1 - X_{1,n}) \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \Gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{(n+1)\alpha_j - \beta_j}{2} + 1 \right) r_{1,j}^1 e^{r_{2,j}^2} e^{r_{3,j}^3 T}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_{1,j}^1, r_{2,j}^2$ and $r_{3,j}^3$ are constants and α_j and β_j appear in (9).

Similarly, we can estimate the other two parts of relation (14) (of course, compared with its exact representation). Let us remark that $r_{1,j}^1 \leq k_{1,j}^1$, $r_{2,j}^2 \leq k_{2,j}^2$, $r_{3,j}^3 \leq k_{3,j}^3$ and that these constants do not depend on variable λ . \square

Also, one can prove

Proposition 2. *If X and X_n are given by (8) and (16), respectively, then it holds that*

$$B_{T^*T,1/k}(X - X_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \Gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{(n+1)\alpha_j - \beta_j}{2} + 1 \right) T \gamma_{1,j} e^{\gamma_{2,j}} e^{\gamma_{3,j} T}$$

where $\gamma_{1,j}, \gamma_{2,j}, \gamma_{3,j}$ are constants.

Proposition 3. *If X and X_n are given by (8) and (16), respectively, then it holds that*

$$A_Q(X - X_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \Gamma \left(\frac{(n+1)\alpha_j - \beta_j}{2} + 1 \right) R_j,$$

where

$$R_j = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k_{1,j} i e^{k_{2,j} e^{k_{3,j} i}}}{e^{-i^2 e^{i^2}}},$$

where $k_{1,j}, k_{2,j}$ and $k_{3,j}$ are equal to $k_{1,j}^1, k_{2,j}^2$ and $k_{3,j}^3$ respectively, or to $\gamma_{1,j}, \gamma_{2,j}$ and $\gamma_{3,j}$, respectively.

Let us remark that, as n increases, $A_Q(X - X_n)$ approaches zero so $A_Q(X - X_n)$ can be taken as the error of approximation in the space $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ if the approximate solution is given by (14) or (16).

4. An example. Consider the differential equation [4]

$$\frac{\partial^4 x(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda^2 \partial t^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^3 x(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda^2 \partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 x(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda^2} = x(\lambda, t) - 4e^\lambda;$$

$$\lambda > 0, t \geq 0;$$

with conditions

$$x_{\lambda\lambda}(\lambda, 0) = e^\lambda, \quad x_{\lambda\lambda t}(\lambda, 0) - 2x_{\lambda\lambda}(\lambda, 0) = \lambda,$$

$$x(0, t) = 1 + 2t, \quad x_\lambda(0, t) = 2t.$$

In the field $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$ the corresponding equation has the form

$$(s-1)^2 S^2 X - X = (s-1)^2 ((l+2l^2)S + 2l^2) + \frac{s-4l}{S-1} + \frac{1}{S^2},$$

and the exact solution has the form

$$X = \frac{l(s-1)^2}{2(S-1/(s-1))} - \frac{l(s-1)^2}{2(S+1/(s-1))} + \frac{l+2l^2}{S-1} - \frac{1}{S^2}.$$

In this case we have $P(S) = (s-1)^2 S^2 - 1$, and the solutions of equation $P(S) = 0$ are

$$w_1 = \frac{1}{s-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} l^{i+1} = \{e^t\}, \quad w_2 = -\frac{1}{s-1} = -\{e^t\}.$$

If we consider the approximate solution of equation $P(S) = 0$ with

$$w_{1,n} = \sum_{i=0}^n l^{i+1}; \quad w_{2,n} = - \sum_{i=0}^n l^{i+1}$$

($\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$; $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = -1$), then the approximate solution is

$$X_n = \frac{l(s-1)^2}{2} \cdot \left(S - \sum_{i=0}^n l^{i+1} \right)^{-1} - \frac{l(s-1)^2}{2} \cdot \left(S + \sum_{i=0}^n l^{i+1} \right)^{-1} + \frac{l+2l^2}{S-1} - \frac{1}{S^2}.$$

And the error of approximation is

$$A_Q(X - X_n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma((n+2)/2+1)} R,$$

where

$$R = 4(e^{e^4} + 1/(e^4 - 1)).$$

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank the referee for useful remarks.

REFERENCES

1. T. Boehme, *The Mikusinski operators as a topological space*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 55–66.
2. J. Burzyk, *On convergences in the Mikusinski operational calculus*, Stud. Math. **75** (1983), 313–333.
3. J. Mikusinski and T. Boehme, *Operational calculus*, 2nd Edition, Vol. II., Pergamon Press, Warszawa, 1987.
4. T. Ogata, *Operational calculus of two variables*, Kodai Mth. **5** (1982), 266–282.
5. E. Pap and Dj. Takači, *Estimations for the solutions of operator linear differential equation*, Proceed. GFCA-87 Plen. Publ. Comp., 267–277.
6. Dj. Takači, *The approximate solution of a partial differential equation II* ZAMM **69** (1989), 150–152.
7. ———, *The approximate solution of a partial differential equation*, Generalized functions and convergence, Memor. Vol. for Prof. J. Mikusinski, World Scientific, Singapore (1990), 241–250.