

STRICTLY CYCLIC VECTORS FOR INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS OF LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

ROBERT A. BEKES

ABSTRACT. An induced representation of a locally compact group has a strictly cyclic vector only if the coset space is finite. A nonzero subrepresentation of a representation induced from a compact or normal subgroup has a strictly cyclic vector only if the coset space is compact.

Preliminaries. Throughout G is a separable locally compact group and H is a closed subgroup. Let ν be left Haar measure on G and assume that there exists an invariant measure μ on G/H , the left cosets. Let π be a continuous unitary representation of H on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\pi)$ and ϕ a function from G to $\mathcal{H}(\pi)$ such that $\phi(xh) = \pi(h^{-1})\phi(x)$ for all $x \in G$ and all $h \in H$. Since π is unitary, the function $x \rightarrow \|\phi(x)\|$ is constant on the left cosets of H . Therefore the space of weakly measurable functions $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\pi)$ satisfying

i) $\phi(xh) = \pi(h^{-1})\phi(x)$ for $x \in G$ and $h \in H$, and

ii) $\int_{G/H} \|\phi(x)\|^2 d\mu < \infty$

is a Hilbert space under the inner product $\langle \phi, \gamma \rangle = \int_{G/H} \langle \phi(x), \gamma(x) \rangle d\mu$. The induced representation π^G of G on this space, denoted by $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$, is defined by $\pi^G(s)\phi(x) = \phi(s^{-1}x)$. It follows that π^G is a continuous unitary representation of G , see [4].

Main results. For $f \in L_1(G)$ define the operator $\pi^G(f)$ on $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ by $\int_G f(x)\pi^G(x) d\nu$, where this integral is taken in the weak sense. Then $\|\pi^G(f)\| \leq \|f\|$. Therefore the map π^G defines a continuous representation of the Banach *-algebra $L_1(G)$ on $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$. Fix $\phi \in \mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ and define the map T_ϕ from $L_1(G)$ to $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ by $T_\phi f = \pi^G(f)\phi$. Then $\|T_\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|$. Let T_ϕ^* denote the adjoint map. Then $T_\phi^* : \mathcal{H}(\pi^G) \rightarrow L_\infty(G)$ and $\|T_\phi^*\| \leq \|\phi\|$.

Received by the editors on January 29, 1993.
1991 AMS *Subject Classification*. Primary 22D30, Secondary 46H15.

Copyright ©1994 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Lemma 1.

For $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ and $s \in G$, $[T_\phi^* \gamma](s) = \int_{G/H} \langle \phi(s^{-1}x), \gamma(x) \rangle d\mu$.

Proof. Let $f \in L_1(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(s)[T_\phi^* \gamma](s) d\mu &= \int_{G/H} \langle [T_\phi f](x), \gamma(x) \rangle d\nu \\ &= \int_{G/H} \left\{ \int_G f(s) \langle \phi(s^{-1}x), \gamma(x) \rangle d\mu \right\} d\nu \\ &= \int_G f(s) \int_{G/H} \langle \phi(s^{-1}x), \gamma(x) \rangle d\nu d\mu. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{M} be a closed π^G invariant subspace of $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$. A vector $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$ is called strictly cyclic for \mathcal{M} if $\pi^G(L_1(G))\phi = \mathcal{M}$. When this is the case, T_ϕ is an open map from $L_1(G)$ to \mathcal{M} . It follows from [3, II, 4.18b] that T_ϕ^* is a bicontinuous isomorphism from \mathcal{M}^* , the dual of \mathcal{M} , onto the polar of the kernel of T_ϕ in $L_\infty(G)$, see [3]. For $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$, let $\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{M}^*}$ denote the norm of γ as a functional on \mathcal{M} .

Let $s \in G$, denote by \bar{s} the projection of s onto G/H . For $B \subseteq G$ denote by \bar{B} the projection of B onto G/H and by χ_B the characteristic function of the set B . Let $\tau : G/H \rightarrow G$ be a Borel cross-section.

Lemma 2. *Suppose H is open. If $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ has a strictly cyclic vector, then G/H is finite.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ be a strictly cyclic vector. By the open mapping theorem there exists $C > 0$ such that whenever $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ with $\|\gamma\| \leq 1$ there exists $f \in L_1(G)$ with $\|f\| \leq C$ such that $T_\phi f = \gamma$. Choose $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$, with support KH , K compact, such that $\|\phi_0 - \phi\| < 1/(2C)$. Then with γ and f as above we get $\|T_{\phi_0} f - \gamma\| = \|T_{\phi_0} f - T_\phi f\| \leq \|\phi_0 - \phi\| \|f\|_1 < 1/2$. This shows, by [1, 1.2], that the map T_{ϕ_0} is surjective. Therefore, there exists $A > 0$ such that $\|\gamma\| \leq A \|T_{\phi_0}^* \gamma\|$ for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$.

Since H is open and closed, G/H is discrete. Normalize μ so that $\mu(\bar{s}) = 1$ for all $s \in G$. Now suppose that G/H is infinite. Let \bar{K} be

the projection of K on G/H . Then \overline{K} is compact and hence finite. Let $M = \sup \|\phi_0(x)\|$ and $\sqrt{N} > AM\mu(\overline{K})$. Choose $s_1, \dots, s_N \in G$ such that the \bar{s}_i are distinct. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(\pi)$, $\|\alpha\| = 1$. Then the functions $\zeta_i(x) = \chi_{s_i H}(x)\pi(x^{-1}\tau(\bar{x}))\alpha$ belong to $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ and are orthogonal. Therefore $\|\sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_i\| = \sqrt{N}$.

For $s \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ T_{\phi_0}^* \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_i \right\}(s) \right| &\leq \int_{G/H} \sum_{i=1}^N |\langle \phi_0(s^{-1}x), \zeta_i(x) \rangle| d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{G/H} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\phi_0(s^{-1}x)\| \|\zeta_i(x)\| d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \|\phi_0(s^{-1}s_i)\| \mu(\bar{s}_i) \\ &\leq M\mu(\overline{K}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|T_{\phi_0}^* \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_i\| \leq M\mu(\overline{K})$ contradicting $\|\sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_i\| \leq A\|T_{\phi_0}^* \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_i\|$. Therefore, G/H must be finite. \square

Theorem 3. *If $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ has a strictly cyclic vector, then G/H is finite.*

Proof. Let ϕ_0 be as in Lemma 2. Suppose G/H is not discrete. Then there exists a Borel subset $B \subseteq G$ such that $0 < \mu(\overline{B}) < [AM]^{-2}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(\pi)$, $\|\alpha\| = 1$, and let $\zeta(x) = \chi_{BH}(x)\pi(x^{-1}\tau(\bar{x}))\alpha$. It follows that $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ and $\|\zeta\| = \sqrt{\mu(\overline{B})}$.

Now let $s \in G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\{T_{\phi_0}^* \zeta\}(s)| &\leq \int_{G/H} |\langle \phi_0(s^{-1}x), \zeta(x) \rangle| d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{G/H} \|\phi_0(s^{-1}x)\| \|\zeta(x)\| d\mu \\ &= \int_{G/H} \|\phi_0(s^{-1}x)\| \|\chi_{BH}(x)\| d\mu \\ &\leq M\mu(\overline{B}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|T_{\phi_0}^* \zeta\| \leq M\mu(\overline{B})$. But $\|\zeta\| \leq A\|T_{\phi_0}^* \zeta\|$ implies $\sqrt{\mu(\overline{B})} \leq AM\mu(\overline{B})$, contradicting the choice of B . Therefore, G/H is discrete and so, by Lemma 2, is finite. \square

Now we consider subrepresentations of induced representations from compact or normal subgroups. In all that follows H will always be a compact or normal subgroup. Let 1 denote the identity of G .

Lemma 4. *Let K_1 and K_2 be compact subsets of G and suppose that G/H is not compact. Then for any positive integer N there exists $s_1, \dots, s_N \in G$ such that*

- i) $s_1 K_1 H, \dots, s_N K_1 H$ are disjoint
- ii) $s_1 K_2 H, \dots, s_N K_2 H$ are disjoint
- iii) $s_i K_1 H \cap s_j K_2 H = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$

and

- iv) $s_1 K_1 H K_2^{-1}, \dots, s_N K_1 H K_2^{-1}$ are disjoint.

Proof. Let $K = \{1\} \cup K_1 \cup K_2$. Then K is compact. If H is compact, then so is $KHK^{-1}KHK^{-1}$. If H is normal, then $KHK^{-1}KHK^{-1} = KK^{-1}KK^{-1}H$ whose projection on G/H is compact. Therefore in both cases the projection of $KHK^{-1}KHK^{-1}$ on G/H is compact. We choose the s_i inductively: having chosen s_1, \dots, s_j so that $s_1 KHK^{-1}, \dots, s_j KHK^{-1}$ are disjoint pick $s_{j+1} \in G \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^j s_i KHK^{-1}KHK^{-1}$, which is nonempty since the projection of $\bigcup_{i=1}^j s_i KHK^{-1}KHK^{-1}$ onto G/H is compact. If $s_{j+1} KHK^{-1} \cap s_m KHK^{-1} \neq \emptyset$ for some $m \leq j$, then $s_{j+1} \in s_m KHK^{-1}KHK^{-1}$, violating the choice of s_{j+1} . Therefore, $s_1 KHK^{-1}, \dots, s_{j+1} KHK^{-1}$ are disjoint. Since $1 \in K$, the lemma follows. \square

Theorem 5. *If a nonzero subrepresentation of π^G has a strictly cyclic vector, then G/H must be compact.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{M} be a nonzero closed G invariant subspace of $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\pi^G(L_1(G))\phi = \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists $A > 0$ such

that $\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{M}^*} \leq A\|T_\phi^*\gamma\|$ for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^*$, the dual space of \mathcal{M} .

Suppose G/H is not compact. Choose $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$, $\|\gamma\| = 1$, such that $\langle \phi, \gamma \rangle > 0$ and γ is supported in K_1H where K_1 is compact in G . By taking a scalar multiple of ϕ , if necessary, we may assume that $\langle \phi, \gamma \rangle = 1$.

Let N be a positive integer. Choose $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$ such that $\|\phi - \phi_0\| < 1/(N\sqrt{N})$ and whose support is contained in K_2H where K_2 is compact in G . Let K_1 and K_2 be as above and choose s_1, \dots, s_N by Lemma 4. Then

1. $\pi^G(s_i)\gamma$ are orthogonal in $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$
2. $\pi^G(s_i)\phi_0$ are orthogonal in $\mathcal{H}(\pi^G)$
3. $\langle \pi^G(s_j)\phi_0, \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \rangle = 0$ for $j \neq i$
4. for any $s \in G$, $\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0, \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \rangle \neq 0$ implies $\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0, \pi^G(s_j)\gamma \rangle = 0$ for all $j \neq i$.

The first three assertions follow directly from i), ii) and iii) of Lemma 4. Now suppose that $\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0, \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0, \pi^G(s_j)\gamma \rangle \neq 0$ where $i \neq j$. Then $sK_2H \cap s_iK_1H \neq \emptyset$ and $sK_2H \cap s_jK_1H \neq \emptyset$. And so $s_iK_1HK_2^{-1} \cap s_jK_1HK_2^{-1} \neq \emptyset$, contradicting the choice of s_1, \dots, s_N . Therefore, assertion 4 holds.

By assertion 1, $\|\sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma\| = \sqrt{N}$. Now fix $s \in G$. By assertion 4 above, there exists k such that $|\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0, \pi^G(s_k)\gamma \rangle| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0, \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \rangle|$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| T_\phi^* \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \right|(s) &\leq \left| \left\langle \pi^G(s)\phi - \pi^G(s)\phi_0, \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \right\rangle \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \left\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0, \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \|\phi - \phi_0\|\sqrt{N} + \left| \left\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0, \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} + |\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0, \pi^G(s_k)\gamma \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} + |\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0 - \pi^G(s)\phi, \pi^G(s_k)\gamma \rangle| \\ &\quad + |\langle \pi^G(s)\phi, \pi^G(s_k)\gamma \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{N} + |\langle \pi^G(s)\phi_0 - \pi^G(s)\phi, \pi^G(s_k)\gamma \rangle| \\
&\quad + |\langle \pi^G(s_k^{-1}s)\phi, \gamma \rangle| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{N} + \|\phi - \phi_0\| + \|T_\phi^*\gamma\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N\sqrt{N}} + \|T_\phi^*\gamma\|.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|T_\phi^* \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma\|$ is uniformly bounded as a function of N . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi \right\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi - \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi_0 \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi_0 \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \sqrt{N}\|\phi_0\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \sqrt{N} \left\{ \|\phi\| + \frac{1}{N\sqrt{N}} \right\} \\
&\leq 2\sqrt{N}\|\phi\| \quad \text{for } N \text{ sufficiently large.}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \right\|_{\mathcal{M}^*} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi \right\|} \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi, \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \right\rangle \right| \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}\|\phi\|} \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi_0, \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \right\rangle \right| \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}\|\phi\|} \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi - \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi_0, \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \right\rangle \right| \\
&= \frac{N}{2\sqrt{N}\|\phi\|} |\langle \phi_0, \gamma \rangle| \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}\|\phi\|} \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi - \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\phi_0, \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma \right\rangle \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\|\phi\|} \left\{ |\langle \phi, \gamma \rangle| - \frac{1}{N\sqrt{N}} \right\} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}\|\phi\|} N \|\phi - \phi_0\| \sqrt{N} \\ &\geq \frac{\sqrt{N}}{4\|\phi\|} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}\|\phi\|}. \end{aligned}$$

And so $\|\sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma\|_{\mathcal{M}^*} \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ while $\| [T_\phi^* \sum_{i=1}^N \pi^G(s_i)\gamma] \|$ remains bounded. This contradicts the choice of A . Therefore G/H must be compact. \square

Let $\mathcal{H}(\pi)$ be one dimensional and $H = \{1\}$ in Theorem 5. Then the following is Corollary 2.1 of [2].

Corollary 6. *If a nonzero subrepresentation of the left regular representation has a strictly cyclic vector, then G is compact.*

REFERENCES

1. W. Bade and P.C. Curtis, *Embedding theorems for commutative Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), 391–409.
2. R. Bekes, *The range of convolution operators*, Pacific J. Math. **110** (1984), 257–271.
3. N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Part 1, Interscience, New York, 1958.
4. H. Reiter, *Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups*, Oxford University Press, London, 1968.

SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SANTA CLARA, CA 95053