

## A NOTE ON SOME UNCOMPLEMENTED SUBSPACES

ZHIDONG PAN

ABSTRACT. We show that nest algebras are, in general, not complemented as subspaces in the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on a given Hilbert space.

1. All the subspaces in this note are closed subspaces. One of the most useful features of Hilbert spaces is that every subspace in a Hilbert space is complemented. For Banach spaces, the situation is quite different. Let  $T$  be the unit circle and  $m$  the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $T$ . Let  $H = L^p(T, m)$  and  $K = H^p(T, m)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , be the usual Hardy spaces on the unit circle. It is known that  $K$  is complemented in  $H$  when  $1 < p < \infty$  and not complemented when  $p = 1$  or  $\infty$ . If we let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space,  $C(X)$  be the set of all continuous functions on  $X$  and  $A \subseteq C(X)$  a uniform algebra, it is not known whether or not  $A$  is always uncomplemented as a subspace of  $C(X)$ . Glicksberg [2], Pelczinsky [4] and Sidney [5] made some significant progress in this direction, but the general question still remains open. In this note we investigate the same problem for nest algebras, which many believe are a noncommutative analogue of Dirichlet algebras.

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $(BH)$  be the set of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . A nest  $\mathcal{N}$  is a totally ordered set of orthogonal projections. The corresponding nest algebra is

$$\text{Alg } \mathcal{N} = \{A \in B(H) \mid P^\perp AP = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$

If we let  $H = L^2(T, m)$ , where  $T$  denotes the unit circle with normalized Lebesgue measure  $m$ ,  $\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  denote the usual orthonormal base for  $L^2(T, m)$  (where  $e_n(z) = z^n$ ,  $z \in T$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ),  $P_n$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $H$  onto the subspace  $[e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $[:]$  denotes the closed linear span and  $\mathcal{N} = \{P_n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  is the set of bounded linear operators with lower triangular

---

Received by the editors on December 20, 1992.  
AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46.

Copyright ©1995 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

matrix representations with respect to  $\{e_n \mid n \in Z\}$ . Each function  $\phi \in L^\infty$  corresponds to a multiplication operator on  $H$ , which we denote  $L_\phi$ . Let  $H^\infty$  be the usual Hardy space on the unit circle,  $U = L_{e_1}$  the bilateral shift and  $M$  the set of multiplication operators, that is,  $M = \{L_\phi \mid \phi \in L^\infty(T, m)\}$ .

**Lemma 1.1** [1].  *$M$  is a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra of  $B(H)$  generated by  $U$ .*

*Remark 1.* For any maximal abelian subalgebra  $A$  of an algebra  $B$ ,  $A' = A$ . Thus  $M' = M$ .

**Lemma 1.2** [3]. *There is no bounded linear projection of  $L^\infty(T, m)$  onto  $H^\infty(T, m)$ .*

**Lemma 1.3.**  $\|L_\phi\| = \|\phi\|_\infty$  for all  $\phi \in L^\infty(T, m)$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{P_n\}$ ,  $n \in Z$  be the nest of  $H = L^2(T, m)$  as above and  $\phi \in L^\infty(T, m)$ , then  $L_\phi \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  if and only if  $\phi \in H^\infty(T, m)$ .*

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $H = L^2(T, m)$ ,  $P_n = [e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots]$ ,  $\mathcal{N} = \{P_n\}$ ,  $n \in Z$ . Then there is no bounded linear projection of  $B(H)$  onto  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose there existed such a projection  $P$ . Let  $N$  be the additive group of all positive integers and  $\Lambda$  a Banach limit on  $N$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is a state on  $l^\infty(N)$  with the following property: Given any  $(a_n) \in l^\infty(N)$ ,  $\Lambda((a_n)) = \Lambda((a_{n+1}))$ . We now define a new projection  $\tilde{P}$  in the following way:

For  $A \in B(H)$  define the operator  $\tilde{P}(A)$  as follows: for any  $x, y \in H$ ,

$$(\tilde{P}(A)x, y) = \Lambda((U^n P(U^{-n} A U^n) U^{-n} x, y)).$$

Thus, we have a map  $\tilde{P} : A \mapsto \tilde{P}(A)$ . It is routine to verify that  $\tilde{P}$  is a well-defined bounded linear map. We assert that

- (1)  $\tilde{P}(A) \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  for all  $A \in B(H)$ .

(2)  $\tilde{P}(A) = A$  for all  $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ .

(3)  $\tilde{P}(A) \in M$  for all  $A \in M$ .

Note that  $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  if and only if  $(Ae_i, e_j) = 0$  for all  $j < i$ ,  $i, j \in Z$ . Hence, it is easy to see that if  $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ , then  $U^{-n}AU^n \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  for all  $n \in Z$ . Since  $P(U^{-n}AU^n) \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ , we have  $U^n P(U^{-n}AU^n)U^{-n} \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  for all  $A \in B(H)$ . Hence,  $(\tilde{P}(A)e_i, e_j) = \Lambda((U^n P(U^{-n}AU^n)U^{-n}e_i, e_j)) = 0$  for all  $j < i$ ,  $i, j \in Z$ , which implies that  $\tilde{P}(A) \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  for all  $A \in B(H)$ . Therefore, (1) is proved.

To prove (2), note that for all  $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ ,  $U^{-n}AU^n \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ ; thus,  $P(U^{-n}AU^n) = U^{-n}AU^n$ . From this, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{P}(A)x, y) &= \Lambda((U^n P(U^{-n}AU^n)U^{-n}x, y)) = \Lambda((U^n U^{-n}AU^n U^{-n}x, y)) \\ &= \Lambda((Ax, y)) = (Ax, y), \quad \forall x, y \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $\tilde{P}(A) = A$  for all  $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ , as we desired.

We now prove (3). For all  $A \in M$ , by Lemma 1.1 and Remark 1,  $A \in M = M'$ . Thus  $A$  commutes with  $U$  (and therefore with  $U^{-1}$ ), which implies  $U^{-n}AU^n = A$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{P}(A)x, y) &= \Lambda((U^n P(U^{-n}AU^n)U^{-n}x, y)) = \Lambda((U^n P(A)U^{-n}x, y)) \\ &= \Lambda((U^{n+1}P(A)U^{-(n+1)}x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in H. \end{aligned}$$

(The last equality follows from the property that  $\Lambda(a_n) = \Lambda(a_{n+1})$ , for all  $((a_n)) \in l^\infty(N)$ .) Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda((U^{n+1}P(A)U^{-(n+1)}x, y)) &= \Lambda((U^n P(A)U^{-n}U^{-1}x, U^{-1}y)) \\ &= (\tilde{P}(A)U^{-1}x, U^{-1}y) \\ &= (U\tilde{P}(A)U^{-1}x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in H. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $(\tilde{P}(A)x, y) = (U\tilde{P}(A)U^{-1}x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . Thus,  $\tilde{P}(A) = U\tilde{P}(A)U^{-1}$  and so  $\tilde{P}(A)$  commutes with  $U$  and  $U^{-1}$ . Since  $U$  and  $U^{-1}$  generate  $M$  in the weak operator topology,  $\tilde{P}(A) \in M' = M$ , which completes the proof of (3).

Now we could define a bounded linear projection  $\hat{P}$  of  $L^\infty(T, m)$  onto  $H^\infty(T, m)$ , for all  $f \in L^\infty(T, m)$ :

$$L_f \in M, \quad \text{hence } \tilde{P}(L_f) \in M \cap \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}.$$

By Lemma 1.4,  $\tilde{P}(L_f) = L_h$ , for some  $h \in H^\infty(T, m)$ . Let  $\hat{P}(f) = h$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\hat{P}$  is a well-defined linear operator. To see the boundedness of  $\hat{P}$ , observe that

$$\|\hat{P}(f)\|_\infty = \|h\|_\infty = \|L_h\| = \|\tilde{P}L_f\| \leq \|\tilde{P}\| \|L_f\| = \|\tilde{P}\| \|f\|_\infty.$$

(Here  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the uniform operator norm, and the second and the last equalities follow from Lemma 1.3.)

Hence,  $\hat{P}$  would be a bounded linear operator from  $L^\infty(T, m)$  into  $H^\infty(T, m)$ . Furthermore, if  $h \in H^\infty(T, m)$ , then  $L_h \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  (by Lemma 1.4). Therefore,  $\tilde{P}(L_h) = L_h$ , which gives us  $\hat{P}(h) = h$ . Thus  $\hat{P}$  would be a bounded linear projection of  $L^\infty(T, m)$  onto  $H^\infty(T, m)$ , which contradicts Lemma 1.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $H$  be any Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{N}$  an arbitrary nest with the corresponding nest algebra  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ , and suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  contains infinitely many orthogonal projections. Then there is no bounded linear projection of  $B(H)$  onto  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* This general case can be easily reduced to the special case above; we omit the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank Prof. Stuart J. Sidney for fruitful discussions.

## REFERENCES

1. R.G. Douglas, *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
2. I. Glicksberg, *Some uncomplemented function algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1964), 121–137.
3. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1962.
4. A. Pelczynski, *Banach spaces of analytic functions and absolutely summing operators*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. Math., No. 30, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977.

5. S.J. Sidney, *Uniform algebras and projections*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **91** (1984), 381–382.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAGINAW VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY CENTER, MICHIGAN, 48710