

NORMING SETS AND COMPACTNESS

B. CASCALES AND G. VERA

ABSTRACT. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and B a norming subset of the closed unit ball B_{X^*} of the dual space X^* . It is proved that if (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is sequentially compact then the convex hull of the norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact subsets of X are $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact (Moreover, when (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is angelic the norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively countably compact subsets of X are $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact). As a consequence, if B is assumed to be a boundary of B_{X^*} (i.e. for every $x \in X$ there exists $e^* \in B$ such that $e^*(x) = \|x\|$) then the norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact subsets of X are relatively weakly compact.

This note addresses the study of some aspects of the compact subsets of Banach spaces X endowed with topologies coarser than their weak topologies. It is well known that for a given Banach space X the classical theorems of Krein-Smulian (about the compactness of the closed convex hull of compact sets), Eberlein-Grothendieck (about the coincidence between relatively countably compact and relatively compact sets) and Eberlein-Smulian (about the coincidence between relatively countably compact, relatively compact and relatively sequentially compact sets) are true for any locally convex topology between the weak and the norm topology of X . Our aim here is to show that, under some general assumptions on the dual unit ball B_{X^*} of X^* , the previous theorems are still true for some topologies in X of the kind $\sigma(X, B)$, where B is any norming subset of B_{X^*} .

Our notation is standard: $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ will be a real Banach space, X^* its dual and B_X , respectively B_{X^*} , the unit ball of X , respectively of X^* . A subset B of the dual unit ball B_{X^*} is said to be norming, respectively a boundary for B_{X^*} , if $\|x\| = \sup\{|x^*(x)| : x^* \in B\}$ for

Received by the editors on July 7, 1993.

This paper has been partially supported by the DGICYT PS88-0083 and PS88-0114.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B26, 46B22, 46G10. Secondary 46A50.

Key words and phrases. Pointwise compactness, Krein-Smulian theorem, Eberlein-Smulian theorem, weak compactness, boundaries, norming sets.

Copyright ©1995 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

every $x \in X$, respectively if for any $x \in X$ there exists $e^* \in B$ such that $e^*(x) = \|x\|$.

Given a compact Hausdorff space Y and a Radon probability μ on Y , we will write $M_\mu(Y)$ to denote the space of μ -measurable real-valued functions on Y . In the next theorem we shall use some of Talagrand's results concerning stable subsets of $M_\mu(Y)$, [17] (see also [6]). Stable subsets of $M_\mu(Y)$ are reasonable pointwise compact subsets which in a sense are "small." If \mathcal{F} is a uniformly bounded stable subset of $M_\mu(Y)$, then the identity $i : (\mathcal{F}, t_p(Y)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{L^1(\mu)})$ is continuous ($t_p(Y)$ denotes the topology of pointwise convergence on Y), [17, 9.5.3]. This last result is the key to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a Banach space and B a norming subset of B_{X^*} . If the closed dual unit ball (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is sequentially compact and H is a norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact subset of X , then $\overline{\text{co}(H)}^{\sigma(X, B)}$ is $\sigma(X, B)$ -compact.*

Proof. Consider $Y := \overline{H}^{\sigma(X, B)}$ endowed with the topology induced by $\sigma(X, B)$. We have to prove that every Radon probability μ on the compact space Y has a barycenter x_μ in X . After doing this, standard arguments will allow us to conclude the proof.

Take a Radon probability μ on Y and define $Z := \{x^*|_Y : x^* \in \text{co}(B)\}$ and $\mathcal{F} := \{x^*|_Y : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$. Z is a uniformly bounded subset of the space of continuous functions $C(Y)$ on Y and has the property that every sequence in it has a pointwise convergent subsequence. The last implies that Z is a topologically stable subset of $C(Y)$, [17, 14.1.7] and so it is a stable subset of $M_\mu(Y)$, [17, 14.1.7]. On the other hand, since B norming, the convex hull $\text{co}(B)$ of B is weak^* dense in B_{X^*} and so we obtain that $\overline{Z}^{t_p(Y)} = \{x^*|_Y : x^* \in B_{X^*}\} (= \mathcal{F})$. Since the pointwise closure of stable subsets of $M_\mu(Y)$ is stable, we get that \mathcal{F} is a stable subset of $M_\mu(Y)$. Using the above mentioned Talagrand's theorem, [17, 9.5.3] we obtain the continuity of the map

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{X^*}, \text{weak}^*) &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{L^1(\mu)}) \\ x^* &\longrightarrow x^*|_Y \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the restriction to B_{X^*} of the linear functional $T_\mu : X^* \rightarrow$

R given by $T_\mu(x^*) := \int_Y x^*|_Y d\mu$ is weak* continuous. Now the Grothendieck completeness theorem, [12, Section 21.9.4], applies to conclude the existence of an element x_μ in X such that $T_\mu(x^*) = x^*(x_\mu)$ for every x^* in X^* . This x_μ is the barycenter of μ that we are looking for. The map $\mu \rightarrow x_\mu$ from the $\sigma(C(Y)^*, C(Y))$ compact convex set $P(Y)$ of all Radon probabilities on Y into X is $\sigma(C(Y)^*, C(Y)) - \sigma(X, B)$ -continuous and its range is a $\sigma(X, B)$ -compact convex set which contains Y , so the proof is concluded. \square

If (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is assumed to be angelic (a topological space Y is said to be angelic, [7], if the closure of every relatively countably compact subset A of Y is compact and consists precisely of the limits of sequences from A) the proof of the previous theorem can be simplified and provides a stronger result. For doing this we will need some results about measures on topological spaces. Given a topological space Y , $C_b(Y)$ is the Banach space of bounded continuous real valued functions on Y endowed with the supremum norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ and $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ is the dual space $(C_b(Y), \| \cdot \|_\infty)^*$, for which we adopt the Alexandroff representation as the space of finite, finitely-additive zero-set regular Baire measures on Y , [18].

Theorem 2. *Let X be a Banach space and B a norming subset of B_{X^*} . If the closed dual unit ball (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is angelic and H is a norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively countably compact subset of X , then $\overline{\text{co}(H)}^{\sigma(X, B)}$ is $\sigma(X, B)$ -compact. Therefore, the norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively countably compact subsets of X are $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact.*

Proof. Consider $Y := \overline{H}^{\sigma(X, B)}$ endowed with the topology induced by $\sigma(X, B)$. Now we will state that every Baire probability μ on Y has a barycenter x_μ in X .

Since H is $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively countably compact, every $\sigma(X, B)$ -continuous real function on Y is bounded, which means that Y is a pseudocompact space. For pseudocompact spaces Y , the space $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ is made up of countably additive measures defined on the Baire σ -field of Y , [8]. Take a Baire probability μ on Y . Since B

norming, the convex hull $\text{co}(B)$ of B is weak* dense in B_{X^*} and so the angelicity of (B_{X^*}, weak^*) allows us to ensure that for every $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ there is a sequence in $\text{co}(B)$ that converges to x^* for the weak* topology. Therefore, for every $x^* \in X^*$ the function $x^*|_Y$ is μ -integrable and we can consider the linear functional $T_\mu : X^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by $T_\mu(x^*) := \int_Y x^*|_Y d\mu$. The Lebesgue convergence theorem gives us that the restriction $T_\mu|_{B_{X^*}}$ is weak*-sequentially continuous which implies that it is weak* continuous since (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is an angelic compact space. Now we follow the lines of the proof of the previous theorem but considering the map $\mu \rightarrow x_\mu$ from the $\sigma(\mathcal{M}(Y), C_b(Y))$ -compact convex subset $\mathcal{P}(Y)$ of all Baire probabilities on Y into X . \square

A particular class of angelic compact spaces are the Corson compact: a compact space K is said to be Corson compact if it is (homeomorphic to) a compact subset of \mathbf{R}^Γ (for some set Γ) such that for every $x = (x(\gamma))$ in K the set $\{\gamma : x(\gamma) \neq 0\}$ is countable, [3]. Assuming that (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is Corson we can complete the previous theorem in the following

Corollary 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space and B a norming subset of B_{X^*} . If the closed dual unit ball (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is Corson compact and H is any norm bounded subset of X , then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) H is $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively countably compact in X .
- (ii) H is $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively sequentially compact in X .
- (iii) H is $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact in X .

Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (iii) follows from Theorem 2. Since (ii) \Rightarrow i) is obvious, it remains to prove that (iii) \Rightarrow ii).

Assume that H is $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact, and consider $K = \overline{H}^{\sigma(X, B)}$ as a compact subset of the space of continuous functions on (B_{X^*}, weak^*) , $C(B_{X^*}, \text{weak}^*)$, provided with the topology of pointwise convergence on B . The separable subsets of (B_{X^*}, weak^*) are metrizable, because (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is Corson, and so an application of Theorem 4.3 of [14] gives us that K is a Radon-Nikodym compact space (see [14]

for the definition). Now Corollary 5.4 of [14] can be applied to obtain that K is sequentially compact and the proof is concluded. \square

Let us remark that many Banach spaces enjoy the properties required in the previous theorems. The class of Banach spaces having weak* sequentially compact dual unit ball contains the weakly countably determined Banach spaces, [16] and the weak Asplund Banach spaces, [5, p. 239]. In fact, the weakly countably determined Banach spaces have Corson dual unit ball.

The previous results can be used to study the following question formulated by Godefroy in [9]. Let X be a Banach space, B a boundary for B_{X^*} and H a norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -compact subset of X . Is H weakly compact? That this question has a positive answer when X does not contain l^1 or when B is the set formed by the extreme points $\text{Ext} B_{X^*}$ of B_{X^*} has been stated by G. Godefroy [9], and J. Bourgain and M. Talagrand [1], respectively. We also refer to [4, Problem I.1.2] where this open question is recalled and annotated. Theorems 1 and 2 enable us to prove the following:

Corollary 2.2. *Let X be a Banach space and B a boundary for B_{X^*} .*

- (i) *If (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is sequentially compact, then the norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact subsets of X are relatively weakly compact.*
- (ii) *If (B_{X^*}, weak^*) is angelic, then the norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively countably compact subsets of X are relatively weakly compact.*

Proof. i) If H is a norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact subset of X , the closed convex hull of H , $\overline{\text{co}(H)}^{\sigma(X, B)}$, is $\sigma(X, B)$ -compact after Theorem 1. Now the theorem in [7, p. 99] tells us that $\overline{\text{co}(H)}^{\sigma(X, B)}$ is weakly compact and the proof is done. The proof of ii) can be done in the same way as the proof of i) but using Theorem 2 instead of Theorem 1. \square

Remark 1. When $B = \text{Ext} B_{X^*}$, the above mentioned Bourgain-Talagrand's result, [1], about the weak compactness of the norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively countably compact subsets of a Banach space X , has been used by C. Stegall, [15], to give the following

extension of a well known result of Namioka:

Theorem (C. Stegall, [15]). *Suppose T is a Čech-complete space and $f : T \rightarrow X$ is a function into the Banach space X such that for any x^* in B we have that $x^* \circ f$ is continuous. Then f is norm-continuous at each point of a dense G_δ subset T_0 of T .*

Let us finish pointing out that using Corollary 2.2 instead of Bourgain-Talagrand's theorem, Stegall's proof of the previous theorem works for the case of a general boundary B when the dual unit ball B_{X^*} is assumed to be angelic.

Remark 2. Take a fixed norm bounded $\sigma(X, B)$ -relatively compact subset H of a Banach space X . In order to prove that $\overline{\text{co}}(H)^{\sigma(X, B)}$ is $\sigma(X, B)$ -compact it is enough to assume that H satisfies the following condition:

$P(B)$: For every sequence (x_n^*) in B there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k}^*)$ such that $(x_{n_k}^*(h))$ converges for every h in H .

This local property of H can be used to extend Theorem 1 without assuming that B_{X^*} is sequentially compact. In the paper [2] we give several sufficient conditions to ensure that a given H has property $P(B)$ and show that subsets having this property have a behavior analogous to the weak* compact subsets of dual Banach spaces Z^* for which Z does not contain l^1 . Applications to spaces of vector-valued Bochner integrable functions as well as to spaces of countably additive measures are also included in [2].

REFERENCES

1. J. Bourgain and M. Talagrand, *Compacité extrémale*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 68–70.
2. B. Cascales and G. Vera, *Topologies weaker than the weak topology of a Banach space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **182** (1994), 41–68.
3. H.H. Corson, *Normality in subsets of product spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 137–141.
4. R. Deville, G. Godefroy and V. Zizler, *Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces*, Pitman Monographs Surveys Pure Appl. Math. **64**, 1993.

5. J. Diestel, *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
6. M. van Dulst, *Characterizations of Banach spaces not containing ℓ^1* , Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, Amsterdam, 1989.
7. K. Floret, *Weakly Compact Sets*, LNM **801**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
8. I. Glicksberg, *The representation of functionals by integrals*, Duke Math. J. **19** (1980), 253–261.
9. G. Godefroy, *Boundaries of a convex set and interpolation sets*, Math. Ann. **277** (1987), 173–184.
10. J.E. Jayne, I. Namioka and C.A. Rogers, *Topological properties of Banach spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **66** (1993), 651–672.
11. J.E. Jayne, J. Orihuela, A.J. Pallarés and G. Vera, *σ -fragmentability of multivalued maps and selection theorems*, J. Funct. Anal. **117** (1993), 243–273.
12. G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
13. I. Namioka, *Separate continuity and joint continuity*, Pacific. J. Math. **51** (1974), 515–531.
14. ———, *Radon-Nikodým compact spaces and fragmentability*, Mathematika **34** (1989), 258–281.
15. C. Stegall, *Generalizations of a theorem of Namioka*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1974), 515–531.
16. M. Talagrand, *Espaces de Banach faiblement K -analytiques*, Ann. Math. **110** (1979), 407–438.
17. ———, *Pettis integral and measure theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **307**, 1984.
18. S. Varadarajan, *Measures on topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **48** (1965), 161–228.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, 30.100 ESPINARDO, MURCIA, SPAIN