

**WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES FOR
MAXIMAL CONVOLUTION-TYPE OPERATORS**

V. OLESEN

1. Introduction. The classical approach to the study of convergence of approximate identity operators has strong connections to the weighted norm inequalities satisfied by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. In particular, if $\phi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, $\|\phi\|_1 = 1$ and $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n}\phi(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$, then $\phi_\varepsilon * f \rightarrow f$ in L^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. Further, if the associated maximal operator

$$T^*f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |\phi_\varepsilon * f(x)|$$

is dominated by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, then $\phi_\varepsilon * f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for almost every x .

In this paper we study the convergence questions of more general convolution-type operators:

$$T_\delta f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \phi_\delta(x, t) f(t) d\nu(t).$$

Here ν is a measure on \mathbf{R}^n and $\{\phi_\delta : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+\}$, $\delta \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary collection of measurable functions. We give “weight” conditions on the measures μ, ν and specify the sequences $\delta_{i_x} \subset \Gamma$ to obtain pointwise and norm-convergence with respect to the measure μ of the sequence

$$\{T_{\delta_{i_x}} f(x)\}_{i \geq 1}.$$

In the Lebesgue measure case the proof of the convergence of $\{\phi_\varepsilon * f(x)\}$ for almost every x , as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, proceeds by first showing that this is the case for $f \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and then by proving that $\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |\phi_\varepsilon * f(x)| \leq c\|\phi\|_1 Mf(x)$, where Mf is the Hardy-Littlewood

Received by the editors on August 27, 1996, and in revised form on November 10, 1997.
1991 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B25, Secondary 42A85.

maximal function. The last inequality uses the facts that $\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_1 = \|\phi\|_1$ and the translation invariance of Lebesgue measure, something no longer available in general. Further, Mf has to be replaced by a maximal function that is more suitably adapted to our problem. Our approach will generalize a maximal operator introduced by Bagby [1] that involves “averages” over arbitrary sets instead of cubes or balls.

Section 2 introduces a class of general maximal operators and the associated Bagby type operators. Weighted weak-type norm inequalities for the new operators are obtained. Section 3 defines the Bagby maximal operator that we will use to obtain our convergence results. Both weak and strong-type norm inequalities are obtained for this operator. In Section 4 we introduce the convolution operators we wish to study and we examine the corresponding maximal convolution operator. In Section 5 pointwise convergence results are given and in Section 6 norm convergence is studied.

Throughout, we will assume that μ and ν are two nonnegative Borel measures on \mathbf{R}^n such that $0 < \mu(Q), \nu(Q) < \infty$ for every cube $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. \mathcal{B} will denote the σ -algebra of Borel measurable sets. All functions will be assumed Borel measurable and nonnegative. f_μ^* is the nonincreasing rearrangement of f with respect to the measure μ . $\|f\|_{p,q,\mu}$ denotes the Lorentz space “norm” of f :

$$\|f\|_{p,q,\mu} = \left(\frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} f_\mu^*(t)]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and, when $q = \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty,\mu} = \sup_{y>0} y [\mu\{f > y\}]^{1/p}.$$

Given nonnegative integer s , s' will denote the conjugate exponent to s defined by the equation $ss' = s + s'$.

2. Bagby's maximal function. Let $B_{r,x}$ denote the closed ball centered at x with radius $r > 0$. Consider the maximal function

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\mu(B_{r,x})^\sigma \nu(B_{r,x})^\rho} \int_{B_{r,x}} f(t) d\nu(t)$$

where $f \geq 0$, $0 < \sigma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$ and $\sigma + \rho = 1$.

Lemma 2.1. *If $1 \leq \sigma^{-1} < \infty$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \|Mf\|_{(1/\sigma), \infty, \mu} \leq C \|f\|_{(1/\sigma), \nu}.$$

Proof. If we set $\phi_{B_{r,x}} = \chi_{B_{r,x}} \nu(B_{r,x})^{-\rho} \mu(B_{r,x})^{-\sigma}$, then

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \int f \phi_{B_{r,x}} d\nu.$$

If $M^R f(x)$ is the above maximal function with the sup extended over all $r \leq R$ and if $E = \{M^R f > y\}$, then using the Besicovitch covering theorem, we can find $\{B_{r_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$, $r_j \leq R$, such that $E \subset \cup B_{r_j}$, $\sum \chi_{B_{r_j}} \leq c$, and

$$\int f \phi_{B_{r_j}} d\nu > y.$$

From this, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) &\leq \sum \mu(B_{r_j}) \leq \frac{1}{y^{1/\sigma}} \sum \mu(B_{r_j}) \left(\int_{B_{r_j}} f \phi_{B_{r_j}} d\nu \right)^{1/\sigma} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{y^{1/\sigma}} \sum \mu(B_{r_j}) \int_{B_{r_j}} f^{1/\sigma} d\nu \left(\int_{B_{r_j}} \phi_{B_{r_j}}^{1/\rho} d\nu \right)^{\rho/\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mu(B_{r_j}) \left(\int_{B_{r_j}} \phi_{B_{r_j}}^{1/\rho} d\nu \right)^{\rho/\sigma} = \mu(B_{r_j}) \left(\frac{\nu(B_{r_j})}{\nu(B_{r_j}) \mu(B_{r_j})^{\sigma/\rho}} \right)^{\rho/\sigma} = 1,$$

we obtain

$$\mu(E) \leq \frac{c}{y^{1/\sigma}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f^{1/\sigma} d\nu.$$

The constant c is independent of R and hence the result follows if we let $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark. When $\sigma = 0$, $Mf(x) = \sup_r \nu(B_{r,x})^{-1} \int_{B_{r,x}} f d\nu$, and this is weak (1,1) with respect to the single measure ν .

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $1 \leq r < \sigma^{-1} < \infty$. If $\mu \leq c\nu$, then*

$$\|Mf\|_{r,\infty,\mu} \leq C\|f\|_{r,\nu}.$$

Proof. We begin as in Lemma 2.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) &\leq \sum \mu(B_j) \leq \frac{1}{y^r} \sum \mu(B_j) \left(\int_{B_j} f \phi_{B_j} d\nu \right)^r \\ &\leq \frac{1}{y^r} \sum \mu(B_j) \left(\int_{B_j} f^r d\nu \right) \left(\int_{B_j} \phi_{B_j}^{r'} d\nu \right)^{r/r'} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B_j) \left(\int_{B_j} \phi_{B_j}^{r'} d\nu \right)^{r/r'} &= \mu(B_j) \left(\frac{\nu(B_j)}{\nu(B_j)^{r'\rho} \mu(B_j)^{r'\sigma}} \right)^{r/r'} \\ &= \frac{\mu(B_j)^{1-r\sigma}}{\nu(B_j)^{(r'\rho-1)r/r'}} \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Under the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (Mf)^r d\mu \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f^r d\nu$$

if $1 < r < \sigma^{-1}$. This follows from the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem.

We will use inequality (2.1) to obtain weighted weak-type norm inequalities for the following Bagby maximal function.

Definition. For any numbers $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq \infty$ with $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + 1/p_3 \leq 1$, define the Bagby set function

$$m(E, x) = \sup_{r>0} \{ \mu(B_{r,x})^{1/p_1} \nu(B_{r,x})^{1/p_2} \nu(E \setminus B_{r,x})^{1/p_3} \}$$

where $E \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Further, define

$$Af(x) = \sup \frac{1}{m(E, x)} \int_E f \, d\nu,$$

where the sup is taken over all sets $E \in \mathcal{B}$ with $0 < \nu(E) < \infty$.

Throughout, we shall assume that Af is measurable, and we denote by \mathcal{C} the collection of all measures ν that satisfy the continuity conditions: $\nu(B_{rx}) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, $\nu(B_{rx})$ is continuous in r for every x .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$. Given $1 < p_1, p_3 < \infty$, $1 < p_2 \leq \infty$ with $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + 1/p_3 \leq 1$,*

$$\|Af\|_{p_1, \infty, \mu} \leq C \|f\|_{\zeta, 1, \nu},$$

where $\zeta = (1 - (1/p_2) - (1/p_3))^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $\gamma = s'(p_3 - s')^{-1}$ where s is chosen so that $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + s'/p_3 = 1$. Further, let $\sigma = p_3(p_1 p_3 - p_1 s')^{-1}$ and $\rho = p_3(p_2 p_3 - p_2 s')^{-1}$. Note that $\sigma + \rho = 1$. For each $E \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\nu(E) > 0$, $\tau > 0$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, define

$$r(E, \tau, x) = \sup \left\{ r : \tau^\gamma \geq \frac{\mu(B_{rx})^{\sigma-1/p_1} \nu(B_{rx})^{\rho-1/p_2}}{\nu(E \setminus B_{rx})^{1/p_3}} \right\}.$$

Then $r' < r(E, \tau, x) < r''$ gives

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\mu(B_{r',x})^{\sigma-1/p_1} \nu(B_{r',x})^{\rho-1/p_2}}{\nu(E \setminus B_{r',x})^{1/p_3}} \leq \tau^\gamma \leq \frac{\mu(B_{r'',x})^{\sigma-1/p_1} \nu(B_{r'',x})^{\rho-1/p_2}}{\nu(E \setminus B_{r'',x})^{1/p_3}}.$$

By the continuity properties of ν , for any $0 < \tau < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m(E, x)} \int_E f \, d\nu &\leq \frac{1}{m(E, x)} \int_{B_{r(E, \tau, x)}, x} f \, d\nu \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{m(E, x)} \int_{E \setminus B_{r(E, \tau, x)}, x} f \, d\nu \\ &= \lim_{r' \uparrow r(E, \tau, x)} \left(\frac{1}{m(E, x)} \int_{B_{r'}, x} f \, d\nu \right) \\ &\quad + \lim_{r'' \downarrow r(E, \tau, x)} \left(\frac{1}{m(E, x)} \int_{E \setminus B_{r''}, x} f \, d\nu \right) \\ &= A + B. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate A , use the first half of expression (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad \frac{1}{m(E, x)} \int_{B_{r', x}} f \, d\nu &\leq \frac{\mu(B_{r', x})^\sigma \nu(B_{r', x})^\rho}{m(E, x)} Mf(x) \\
 &\leq \frac{\mu(B_{r', x})^{\sigma-1/p_1} \nu(B_{r', x})^{\rho-1/p_2}}{\nu(E \setminus B_{r', x})^{1/p_3}} Mf(x) \\
 &\leq \tau^\gamma Mf(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

The second half of (2.2) implies that, for $r'' > r(E, \tau, x)$,

$$\tau^{-1} \geq \frac{\nu(E \setminus B_{r'', x})^{(1/s') - (1/p_3)}}{\mu(B_{r'', x})^{1/p_1} \nu(B_{r'', x})^{1/p_2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad \frac{1}{m(E, x)} \int_{E \setminus B_{r'', x}} f \, d\nu &\leq \frac{1}{m(E, x)} \|f\|_{s, \nu} \nu(E \setminus B_{r'', x})^{1/s'} \\
 &\leq \frac{\nu(E \setminus B_{r'', x})^{(1/s') - (1/p_3)}}{\mu(B_{r'', x})^{(1/p_1)} \nu(B_{r'', x})^{1/p_2}} \|f\|_{s, \nu} \\
 &\leq \tau^{-1} \|f\|_{s, \nu}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4) we have that, for any $0 < \tau < \infty$,

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{m(E, x)} \int_E f \, d\nu \leq \tau^\gamma Mf(x) + \tau^{-1} \|f\|_{s, \nu}.$$

Taking $\tau = c_\gamma Mf(x)^{-1/(\gamma+1)} \|f\|_{s, \nu}^{1/(\gamma+1)}$ so that the right side of the above inequality is minimal gives

$$(2.6) \quad Af(x) \leq c_\gamma Mf(x)^{1/(\gamma+1)} \|f\|_{s, \nu}^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad \mu\{x : Af(x) > y\} &\leq \mu\left\{x : Mf(x) > \frac{y^{\gamma+1}}{c_\gamma \|f\|_{s, \nu}^\gamma}\right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{C_\sigma}{y^{(\gamma+1)/\sigma}} \|f\|_{s, \nu}^{\gamma/\sigma} \|f\|_{1/\sigma, \nu}^{1/\sigma} \\
 &\leq \frac{C_\sigma}{y^{p_1}} \|f\|_{s, \nu}^{\gamma/\sigma} \|f\|_{1/\sigma, \nu}^{1/\sigma}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $f = \chi_B \in L^s(\nu)$ for any set B with $\nu(B) < \infty$ gives

$$\mu\{x : Af(x) > y\} \leq \frac{C_\sigma}{y^{p_1}} \nu(B)^{(s'p_1 + sp_3)/sp_3}.$$

That is,

$$\|A\chi_B\|_{p_1, \infty, \mu} \leq C_\sigma^{p_1} \nu(B)^\zeta.$$

Since A is sublinear, we may apply the proof of Theorem 3.13 [10, p. 195] to get the desired result.

Remarks. Following the proof of the previous theorem, we use the notation $\sigma = p_3(p_1p_3 - p_1s')^{-1}$ where necessary.

(i) In the proof of Theorem 2.3, $s = 1/\sigma$ implies that Af is of weak-type $(1/\sigma, p_1)$, i.e.,

$$\mu\{x : Af(x) > y\} \leq \frac{C}{y^{p_1}} \|f\|_{1/\sigma, \nu}^{p_1}.$$

To see this, (2.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\{x : Af(x) > y\} &\leq \frac{C}{y^{p_1}} \|f\|_{1/\sigma, \nu}^{(\gamma+1)/\sigma} \\ &= \frac{C}{y^{p_1}} \|f\|_{1/\sigma, \nu}^{p_1}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Under the above conditions, if $\mu \leq c\nu$ for some constant c , p_1, p_2, p_3 are such that $1 < p_2, p_3 < \infty$ and $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + 1/p_3 + p_2/p_1p_3 < 1$, then we can deduce that

$$\|Af\|_{q_1, \mu} \leq C_{\sigma, \gamma} \|f\|_{q_2, \nu}$$

where $q_1 = \gamma(1 - 1/p_1 - 1/p_2 - 1/p_3)^{-1}$ and $q_2 = (1 - 1/p_1 - 1/p_2)(1 - 1/p_1 - 1/p_2 - 1/p_3)^{-1}$.

To see this, use the fact that $1 < s < \sigma^{-1}$ and Mf is strong (r, r) for any $1 < r < \sigma^{-1}$, by Lemma 2.2. Then, by (2.6),

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Af^{s(\gamma+1)} d\mu \leq C \|f\|_{s, \nu}^{s\gamma} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Mf^s d\mu \leq C \|f\|_{s, \nu}^{s(\gamma+1)}.$$

Calculating out the appropriate exponents gives the result.

(iii) If $\mu = \nu =$ Lebesgue measure, $p_2 = \infty$ and $p_3 = p'_1$, then A corresponds to Bagby's original maximal function [1]. He showed that Af is lower semi-continuous and that A need not be weak (p_1, p_1) .

(iv) If $p_3 = \infty$, Af can be replaced by

$$Mf(x) = \sup \mu(B)^{-1/p_1} \nu(B)^{-1/p'_1} \int_B f \, d\nu$$

where the sup is taken over all balls B centered at x . This operator is weak-type (p_1, p_1) by Lemma 2.1.

With a slight modification, the proof of Theorem 2.3 yields certain strong-type norm inequalities for Af . Recall that, for $f \geq 0$,

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\mu(B_{r,x})^\sigma \nu(B_{r,x})^\rho} \int_{B_{r,x}} f(t) \, d\nu(t)$$

where $\sigma = p_3(p_1 p_3 - p_1 s')^{-1}$, $\rho = p_3(p_2 p_3 - p_2 s')^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.4. *If Mf satisfies the weighted strong-type norm inequality*

$$(2.8) \quad \|Mf\|_{p,\mu} \leq B \|f\|_{p,\nu}$$

for all $f \in L^p(\nu)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$, $1 < p < \infty$, then for $r = pp_3(p_3 - p')^{-1}$,

$$(2.9) \quad \|Af\|_{r,\mu} \leq C_{p,p_3} \|f\|_{p,\nu}.$$

Specifically, $C_{p,p_3} = B^{1/r} [p'/(p_3 - p')]^{-p'/p_3}$.

Proof. Proceeding as in Theorem 2.3 with $s = p$ and $\gamma = p'/(p_3 - p')$, we choose $\tau = \gamma^{-1/(\gamma+1)} Mf(x)^{-1/(\gamma+1)} \|f\|_{p,\nu}^{-1/(\gamma+1)}$ so that the right side of inequality (2.5) is minimized. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Af(x) &\leq \gamma^{-\gamma/(\gamma+1)} Mf(x)^{1/(\gamma+1)} \|f\|_{p,\nu}^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)} \\ &= \left(\frac{p'}{p_3 - p'} \right)^{p'/p_3} Mf(x)^{1-p'/p_3} \|f\|_{p,\nu}^{p'/p_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.8),

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Af(x)^r d\mu(x) \leq B \left(\frac{p'}{p_3 - p'} \right)^{-pp'/(p_3 - p')} \|f\|_{p,\nu}^r,$$

and inequality (2.9) follows immediately.

Question. We note that $r \uparrow \infty$ as $p_3 \downarrow p'$. Similarly, $r \downarrow p$ as $p_3 \uparrow \infty$. Do these facts have any interesting consequences? For example, can we extract an $r = p$ result?

3. Convolution-type operators. Let $\{\phi_\delta : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+\}$, $\delta \in \Gamma$, be a collection of Borel-measurable functions. For $f \geq 0$, we now study operators of the form

$$T_\delta f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(t) \phi_\delta(x, t) d\nu(t)$$

for $f \geq 0$. We define the *essential least radial majorant* of ϕ_δ about x as

$$\tilde{\phi}_\delta(x, t) = \sup_{|\tau-x| \geq |t-x|} \phi_\delta(x, \tau).$$

Let E_{xy}^δ and \tilde{E}_{xy}^δ represent the level sets of height y for $\phi_\delta(x, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_\delta(x, \cdot)$, respectively. That is,

$$(3.1) \quad E_{xy}^\delta = \{t : \phi_\delta(x, t) > y\}, \quad \tilde{E}_{xy}^\delta = \{t : \tilde{\phi}_\delta(x, t) > y\}.$$

$M(x)$ is the function

$$M(x) = \sup_\delta \int_0^\infty m(E_{xy}^\delta, x) dy.$$

We define the maximal convolution operator with respect to the ϕ_δ 's as

$$T^* f(x) = \sup_\delta T_\delta f(x).$$

Lemma 3.1. *If $1 \leq p_3 < \infty$, $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + 1/p_3 \leq 1$ and $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + s'/p_3 = 1$,*

$$T^* f(x) \leq Af(x)M(x).$$

Further, define

$$\tilde{M}(x) = \sup_{\delta} \int_0^{\infty} \mu(\tilde{E}_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/p_1} \nu(\tilde{E}_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/p_2} \nu(E_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/p_3} dy,$$

$$M_{\mu}(x) = \sup_{\delta} \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{\delta}(x, t) d\mu(t),$$

and $M_{\nu}(x)$ is defined similar to $M_{\mu}(x)$. If, for each x , there is a $0 < R(x) < \infty$ so that $\text{supp } \phi_{\delta}(x, \cdot) \subset B_{R(x), x}$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Af(x)M(x) &\leq Af(x)\tilde{M}(x) \\ &\leq Af(x)M_{\mu}(x)^{1/p_1} M_{\nu}(x)^{1/p_2} \cdot \sup_{\delta} \|\phi_{\delta}(x, \cdot)\|_{s', 1, \nu}^{s'/p_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $E_x^{\delta} = \{(t, y) : \phi_{\delta}(x, t) > y\}$, writing $\phi_{\delta}(x, t) = \int_0^{\infty} \chi_{E_x^{\delta}}(t, y) dy$ gives

$$T_{\delta}f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_{E_{xy}^{\delta}} f(t) d\nu(t) dy \leq Af(x) \int_0^{\infty} m(E_{xy}^{\delta}, x) dy$$

which proves the first inequality.

Further, assume that, for each x , $R(x)$ exists as stated. We define

$$r(y) = r_x^{\delta}(y) = \sup\{r : \nu(E_{xy}^{\delta} \setminus B_{r,x}) > 0\} \leq R(x) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B_{r,x})^{1/p_1} \nu(B_{r,x})^{1/p_2} \nu(E_{xy}^{\delta} \setminus B_{r,x})^{1/p_3} \\ \leq \mu(B_{r(y),x})^{1/p_1} \nu(B_{r(y),x})^{1/p_2} \nu(E_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/p_3} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} m(E_{xy}^{\delta}, x) dy &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \mu(B_{r(y),x})^{1/p_1} \nu(B_{r(y),x})^{1/p_2} \nu(E_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/p_3} dy \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \mu(\tilde{E}_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/p_1} \nu(\tilde{E}_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/p_2} \nu(E_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/p_3} dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{\infty} \mu(\tilde{E}_{xy}^{\delta}) dy \right)^{1/p_1} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \nu(\tilde{E}_{xy}^{\delta}) dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_0^{\infty} \nu(E_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/s'} dy \right)^{s'/p_3} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{\phi}_{\delta}(x, t) d\mu(t) \right)^{1/p_1} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{\phi}_{\delta}(x, t) d\nu(t) \right)^{1/p_2} \\ &\quad \cdot \|\phi_{\delta}(x, \cdot)\|_{s', 1, \nu}^{s'/p_3}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain the chain of inequalities (3.2).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 \leq p_1, p_3 < \infty$, $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + 1/p_3 \leq 1$. If $M(x) < \infty$ for μ -almost every x and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$, then there are sets $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset \dots$, $\mu(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \cup A_j) = 0$, such that*

$$\|\chi_{A_j} T^* f\|_{p_1, \infty, \mu} \leq C_j \|f\|_{\zeta, 1, \nu}$$

where $\zeta = (1 - (1/p_2) - (1/p_3))^{-1}$. C_j depends only on A_j, p_1, p_2 and p_3 .

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $T^* f(x) \leq Af(x)M(x)$. Letting $A_j = \{x : M(x) \leq j\}$ and using Theorem 2.3 gives the result.

If $p_2 = \infty$, we get the following

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$. If $1 \leq p_1, p_3 < \infty$ are such that $1/p_1 + 1/p_3 \leq 1$ and*

$$\sup_{\delta} \int_0^{\infty} \mu(\tilde{E}_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/p_1} \nu(E_{xy}^{\delta})^{1/p_3} dy = K(x) < \infty,$$

μ -almost every x ,

then

$$\|\chi_{A_j} T^* f\|_{p_1, \infty, \mu} \leq C_j \|f\|_{p'_3, 1, \nu}$$

where $A_j = \{x : K(x) \leq j\}$.

Remarks. (i) If $p_3 = \infty$, we can use the fact $\phi_{\delta}(x, t) \leq \tilde{\phi}_{\delta}(x, t)$ to deduce that

$$(3.3) \quad T^* f(x) \leq Mf(x)M_{\mu}(x)^{1/p_1} M_{\nu}(x)^{1/p'_1}$$

where $Mf(x) = \sup \mu(B)^{-1/p_1} \nu(B)^{-1/p'_1} \int_B f d\nu$, the sup being taken over all balls B centered at x . The proof of Theorem 3.2 can be altered to produce

$$\|\chi_{A_j} T^* f\|_{p_1, \infty, \mu} \leq C_{jp_1} \|f\|_{p_1, \nu}$$

where $A_j = \{x : M_{\mu}(x) \leq j, M_{\nu}(x) \leq j\}$.

(ii) Condition (3.3) of the previous remark is related to the A_p classes. If $d\mu = u dx$, $d\nu = v^{1-p'} dx$, $\phi = \chi_{B_{1,0}}$, $\phi_\varepsilon(x, t) = \varepsilon^{-n}\phi(\varepsilon^{-1}(x - t))$, then

$$T^*(f v^{p'-1})(x) = c_n M_{HL} f(x)$$

where $M_{HL} f(x)$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. If (u, v) satisfies the following “weak A_p ” condition

$$\sup_{r>0} \left(\frac{1}{|B_{rx}|} \int_{B_{rx}} u dt \right) \left(\frac{1}{|B_{rx}|} \int_{B_{rx}} v^{1-p'} dt \right)^{p-1} < \infty, \quad \text{a.e. } x,$$

then weak-type norm inequalities can be obtained for $M_{HL} f(x)$ restricted to the increasing sets A_j ; by the previous remark,

$$u\{x \in A_j : M_{HL} f(x) > y\} \leq C_j y^{-p} \int f^p v dt.$$

(iii) Let α_j be a sequence of positive real numbers with $\alpha_j \uparrow \infty$. Let I_j be a sequence of disjoint intervals in \mathbf{R}^1 such that if $u_0 = \sum \alpha_j \chi_{I_j}$, then $M_{HL} u_0(x) = \alpha_j$ for $x \in I_j$. If $v \equiv 1$, $(u_0 + v, v) \in \text{weak } A_2 \setminus A_2$.

The classical approach to the subject of approximate identities, as discussed in [8] or [11], for example, requires that the least decreasing radial majorant of the kernel function be integrable. We will show now that Corollary 3.3 allows us to replace $\|\tilde{\phi}\|_1 < \infty$ by the weaker condition

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^\infty |\{x : \tilde{\phi}(x) > y\}|^{1/p_0} |\{x : \phi(x) > y\}|^{1/p'_0} dy < \infty$$

for some $1 < p_0 < \infty$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\phi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ be in $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. If $T_\delta f(x) = \phi_\delta * f(x)$, $\delta > 0$, $\phi_\delta(t) = \delta^{-n}\phi(\delta^{-1}t)$, and $T^* f(x) = \sup_{\delta>0} \phi_\delta * f(x)$, then (3.4) implies*

- (a) $|\{x : T^* f(x) > y\}| \leq (c/y^{p_0}) \|f\|_{p_0,1}^{p_0}$,
- (b) $\|T^* f\|_p \leq c_p \|f\|_p$, $p_0 < p \leq \infty$.

Proof. The sets $\tilde{E}_{xy}^\delta, E_{xy}^\delta$ are as in (3.1) with $\phi_\delta(x, t) = \phi_\delta(x - t)$. Then, for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty |\tilde{E}_{xy}^\delta|^{1/p_0} |E_{xy}^\delta|^{1/p'_0} dy = (3.4).$$

Hence, $A_j = \mathbf{R}^n$ and Corollary 3.3 gives (a); (b) follows by interpolation.

Remark. A result similar to Corollary 3.4 can be proved by denominating T^*f by the p th power Hardy-Littlewood maximal function

$$M_p f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \left(\frac{1}{|B_{rx}|} \int_{B_{rx}} f^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proceeding as in Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\varepsilon * f(x) &= \int \phi_\varepsilon(x-t)f(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\{t:\phi_\varepsilon(x-t)>y\}} f(t) dt dy \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |\{\tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon > y\}|^{1/p} |\{\phi_\varepsilon > y\}|^{1/p'} dy \cdot M_\phi f(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_\phi f(x) &= \sup_{\varepsilon, y} \frac{1}{|\{\tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon > y\}|^{1/p} |\{\phi_\varepsilon > y\}|^{1/p'}} \int_{\{\phi_\varepsilon > y\}} f(t) dt \\ &\leq \sup \frac{1}{|\{\tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon > y\}|^{1/p}} \left(\int_{\{\phi_\varepsilon > y\}} f^p(t) dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sup \left(\frac{1}{|\{\tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon > y\}|} \int_{\{\tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon > y\}} f^p(t) dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq M_p f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since M_p is weak-type (p, p) , so is T^* .

We will now show that $\tilde{\phi} \in L^1$ fails rather markedly to be a necessary condition for a norm inequality of T^*f . We do this for $n = 1$.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that $\phi_0 \geq 0$ is a nonnegative decreasing function supported in $[0, 1]$ such that $\phi_0(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $\phi_0(1) = 0$. There is an $E \subset [0, 1]$ such that if $\phi(t) = \chi_E(t)\phi_0(t)$ and $\phi_\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon^{-1}\phi(\varepsilon^{-1}t)$, then*

- (a) $\phi \in L^1$,
 (b) $L_y = |\{t : \tilde{\phi}(t) > y\}| \cdot |\{t : \phi_0(t) > y\}|^{-1} \rightarrow 1$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$,
 (c) $\|T^*f\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p$ for all $p > 1$, where $T^*f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \phi_\varepsilon * f(x)$.

Proof. Find $0 < \theta_n < \infty$ so that

- (i) $\sum_n \phi_0(1/n)\theta_n < \infty$,
 (ii) $\{I_n = [n^{-1}, n^{-1} + \theta_n]\}_{n \geq 2}$ is a disjoint collection,
 (iii) $0 < \theta_n \leq e^{-\phi_0(1/n)} - e^{-\phi_0(1/(n+1))}$.

Let $E = \cup_{n \geq 2} I_n$. We prove each point in the statement separately.

(a) $\int_0^1 \phi(t) dt = \sum_{n \geq 2} \int_{1/n}^{n^{-1} + \theta_n} \phi_0(t) dt \leq \sum \phi_0(n^{-1})\theta_n$. Using (i) above gives the desired result.

(b) For fixed y , find n so that $\phi_0(1/n) \leq y < \phi_0(1/(n+1))$. Then, since $\tilde{\phi}(t) \leq \phi_0(t)$ almost everywhere,

$$L_y = \frac{|\{t : \tilde{\phi}(t) > y\}|}{|\{t : \phi_0(t) > y\}|} \leq \frac{|\{t : \phi_0(t) > y\}|}{|\{t : \phi_0(t) > y\}|} = 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} L_y &= \frac{|\{t : \tilde{\phi}(t) > y\}|}{|\{t : \phi_0(t) > y\}|} \geq \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{|\{t : \phi_0(t) > y\}|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\{t : \phi_0(t) > y\}|} \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{1}{n} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|\{t : \phi_0(t) > y\}|} \frac{n}{n+1} |\{t : \phi_0(t) > y\}| \\ &= \frac{n}{n+1} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $L_y \rightarrow 1$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$.

(c) Let $1 < p_0 < \infty$ be given. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, define $\tilde{E}_y^\varepsilon = \{t : \tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon(t) > y\}$ and $E_y^\varepsilon = \{t : \phi_\varepsilon(t) > y\}$. Put $\tilde{M}_\varepsilon = \int_0^\infty |\tilde{E}_y^\varepsilon|^{1/p_0} |E_y^\varepsilon|^{1/p_0} dy$. For each y , find n so that $\phi_0(1/(n+1)) > \varepsilon y \geq \phi_0(1/n)$. Then

$$(3.5) \quad |\tilde{E}_y^\varepsilon| = \varepsilon |\{\tau : \tilde{\phi}(\tau) > \varepsilon y\}| \leq \varepsilon |\{\tau : \phi_0(\tau) > \varepsilon y\}| \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |E_y^\varepsilon| &= \varepsilon |\{\tau : \phi(\tau) > \varepsilon y\}| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{j \geq n+1} \theta_j \\
 (3.6) \quad &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{j \geq n+1} (e^{-\phi_0(1/j)} - e^{-\phi_0(1/(j+1))}) \\
 &= \varepsilon e^{-\phi_0(1/(n+1))} \leq \varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon y}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, writing $\tilde{M}_\varepsilon = \int_0^\infty |\tilde{E}_y^\varepsilon|^{1/p_0} |E_y^\varepsilon|^{1/p'_0} dy = \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} + \int_{1/\varepsilon}^\infty = A_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon$ allows us to make the following estimates using (3.5) and (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_\varepsilon &= \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} |\tilde{E}_y^\varepsilon|^{1/p_0} |E_y^\varepsilon|^{1/p'_0} dy \\
 &\leq \varepsilon^{1/p_0} \varepsilon^{1/p'_0} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} |\{\tau : \phi(\tau) > \varepsilon y\}|^{1/p'_0} dy \\
 &= \int_0^1 |\{\tau : \phi(\tau) > y\}|^{1/p'_0} dy \leq \|\phi\|_1^{1/p'_0}, \\
 B_\varepsilon &= \int_{1/\varepsilon}^\infty |\tilde{E}_y^\varepsilon|^{1/p_0} |E_y^\varepsilon|^{1/p'_0} dy \\
 &\leq \varepsilon^{1/p_0} \varepsilon^{1/p'_0} \int_{1/\varepsilon}^\infty e^{-\varepsilon y/p'_0} dy \\
 &= \int_1^\infty e^{-y/p'_0} dy = p'_0 e^{-1/p'_0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, for large enough j , the sets A_j appearing in Corollary 3.3 equal **R**. Hence, $\|T^*f\|_{p_0, \infty} \leq C\|f\|_{p_0, 1}$. Since the above argument holds for any $1 < p_0 < \infty$, interpolation gives the result.

Remark. Under the conditions of the theorem, we see that T^* is an operator that is strong (p, p) for every $1 < p < \infty$ but not necessarily weak $(1, 1)$. This follows directly from Theorem 10.4.1 or Theorem 10.4.5 in [3].

4. Pointwise convergence. With each x in some subset $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, we associate a sequence $\{\delta_{ix}\}_{i \geq 1} \subset \Gamma$, and we ask the question: given measures μ and ν , when do we get μ -almost everywhere convergence of the sequence $T_{\delta_{ix}}f(x)$ for appropriate $f \geq 0$?

For each function $h : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, define its exceptional set for convergence as

$$E_{h\nu} = \{x \in E : \overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{\delta_{ix}} h(x) - \underline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{\delta_{ix}} h(x) > 0\}.$$

Since $E_{h\nu}$ need not be measurable, we will make use of μ^* , the outer measure induced by μ .

Theorem 4.1. *Assume $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + s'/p_3 = 1$, $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3$, $s \leq \infty$, and let $\zeta = (1 - (1/p_2) - (1/p_3))^{-1}$. Let $f \geq 0$ in $L(\zeta, 1, \nu)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda < \mu^*(E_{f\nu})$ be given. Then if $M(x) < \infty$, μ -almost every x and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a $g = g_{\varepsilon, \lambda, f, \nu} \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\lambda \leq \mu^*(E_{g\nu}) + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. With $A_j = \{x : m(x) \leq j\}$ as in Theorem 3.2, let

$$E_{fj} = \{x \in E \cap A_j : \overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) - \underline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) > 1/j\}.$$

Then $E_{f1} \subset E_{f2} \subset \dots$.

We claim now that there is a j_0 such that $\lambda < \mu^*(E_{fj_0})$. If, for every j , $\mu^*(E_{fj}) \leq \lambda$, then $\mu^*(\cup E_{fj}) \leq \lambda$ and hence $\mu^*(E_{f\nu}) - \mu^*(\cup E_{fj}) > 0$. Since $\mu^*(E_{f\nu}) \leq \mu^*(\cup E_{fj}) + \mu^*(E_{f\nu} \setminus \cup E_{fj})$ and since $\mu^*(\cup E_{fj}) \leq \lambda < \infty$, we have $\mu^*(E_{f\nu} \setminus \cup E_{fj}) > 0$. However, $E_{f\nu} \setminus \cup E_{fj} \subset \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \cup A_j$ and $\mu(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \cup A_j) = 0$.

For every $g \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$,

$$E_{fj_0} \subset \{x \in E \cap A_{j_0} : 2T^*(f - g)(x) > (2j_0)^{-1}\} \cup E_{g\nu}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(E_{fj_0}) &\leq \mu\{x \in A_{j_0} : A|f - g|(x) > (4j_0)^{-2}\} + \mu^*(E_{g\nu}) \\ &\leq (4j_0^2)^{p_1} C \|f - g\|_{\zeta, 1, \nu}^{p_1} + \mu^*(E_{g\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is dense in $L(\zeta, 1, \nu)$, we choose g appropriately to give the result.

Theorem 4.1 allows us to attain the μ -almost everywhere convergence result for the appropriately defined sequences $\{\delta_{i_x}\}$.

Definition. We call a sequence $\{\delta_{i_x}\} \subset \Gamma$ a (ν, x) -sequence, provided

- (i) $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \phi_{\delta_{i_x}}(x, t) d\nu(t)$ converges as $i \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) $\int_{|x-t| \geq \eta} \phi_{\delta_{i_x}}(x, t) d\nu(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\eta > 0$.

Further, define the existence set E :

$$E = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \exists (\nu, x)\text{-sequence } \{\delta_{i_x}\}\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. Assume $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + s'/p_3 = 1$, $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3$, $s \leq \infty$. Let $\{\phi_\delta : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+\}$, $\delta \in \Gamma$ be a collection of Borel measurable functions. Assume that $M(x) < \infty$ for μ -almost every x and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, for $f \in L(\zeta, 1, \nu)$, the sequence $\{T_{\delta_{i_x}} f(x)\}_{i \geq 1}$ converges for μ -almost every $x \in E$.

Proof. We will show that, for $g \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $x \in E$, $T_{\delta_{i_x}} g(x) \rightarrow L(x)g(x)$ where $L(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int \phi_{\delta_{i_x}}(x, t) d\nu(t)$. Theorem 4.1 then proves the result.

By the definition of (ν, x) -sequence, for each $x \in E$,

$$\int_{|x-t| < 1} \phi_{\delta_{i_x}}(x, t) d\nu(t) \longrightarrow L(x) \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Fix $x \in E$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{N}$ so that $i \geq N_\varepsilon$ implies

$$\left| \int_{|x-t| < 1} \phi_{\delta_{i_x}}(x, t) d\nu(t) - L(x) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x-t| < 1} \phi_{\delta_{i_x}}(x, t) d\nu(t) \\ & \leq \max_{i=1, \dots, N_\varepsilon} \left\{ \int_{|x-t| < 1} \phi_{\delta_{i_x}}(x, t) d\nu(t), L(x) + \varepsilon \right\} = \mathcal{M}_x(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Specifically taking $\varepsilon = 1$,

$$\int_{|x-t|<1} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t) \leq \mathcal{M}_x(1) < \infty, \quad \forall i.$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ be given. Find $1 > \eta > 0$ so that $|x - t| < \eta \Rightarrow |g(x) - g(t)| < \varepsilon$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\delta_{ix}}g(x) - L(x)g(x)| &\leq \varepsilon \int_{|x-t|<\eta} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x-t|\geq\eta} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t)|g(t) - g(x)| d\nu(t) \\ &\quad + |g(x)| \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t) - L(x) \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \mathcal{M}_x(1) + 2\|g\|_{\infty, \nu} \int_{|x-t|\geq\eta} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t) \\ &\quad + |g(x)| \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t) - L(x) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the definition of a (ν, x) -sequence finishes the proof.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 shows that, for $g \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $T_{\delta_{ix}}g(x) \rightarrow L(x)g(x)$ where $L(x) = \lim \int \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t)$. We now show that, in general, the limit of the sequence $\{T_{\delta_{ix}}f(x)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is $L(x)f(x)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that $M(x) < \infty$, μ -almost everywhere, and that*

$$L(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t)$$

is measurable. Then, for $f \in L(\zeta, 1, \nu)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\zeta = (1 - (1/p_2) - (1/p_3))^{-1}$,

$$T_{\delta_{ix}}f(x) \rightarrow L(x)f(x) \quad \mu\text{-almost every } x \in E.$$

Proof. Case 1. Take $f \in L(\zeta, 1, \mu + \nu)$. Then

$$(4.1) \quad T_{\delta_{ix}}f(x) \rightarrow L(x)f(x) \quad \mu\text{-almost every } x \in E.$$

To see this, define $A_j^* = \{x \in A_j \cap E : |L(x)| \leq j\}$ where $A_j = \{x : M(x) \leq j\}$ as in Theorem 3.2. For $x \in A_j^*$ and any $g \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) - L(x)f(x)| &\leq T_{\delta_{ix}} |f - g|(x) + |T_{\delta_{ix}} g(x) - L(x)g(x)| \\ &\quad + |L(x)| |g(x) - f(x)| \\ &\leq jA(|f - g|)(x) + |T_{\delta_{ix}} g(x) - L(x)g(x)| \\ &\quad + j|g(x) - f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of Theorem 4.2,

$$\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) - L(x)f(x) \leq jA(|f - g|)(x) + j|g(x) - f(x)|.$$

Define $E_j = \{x \in A_j^* : \overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} |T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) - L(x)f(x)| > j^{-1}\}$. We will show that $\mu(E_j) = 0$ for each j which proves (4.1). Note that $E_j \subset E_{j+1} \subset \dots$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E_j) &\leq \mu\{x \in A_j^* : A(|f - g|)(x) > (2j^2)^{-1}\} \\ &\quad + \mu\{x \in A_j^* : |g(x) - f(x)| > (2j^2)^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.3,

$$\mu\{x \in A_j^* : A(|f - g|)(x) > (2j^2)^{-1}\} \leq C(2j^2)^{p_1} \|f - g\|_{\zeta, 1, \nu}^{p_1}$$

which can be made as small as we please by choosing g appropriately since $C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is dense in $L(\zeta, 1, \nu)$. Similarly, by Chebychev's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\{x \in A_j^* \cap B : |g(x) - f(x)| > (2j)^{-1}\} \\ \leq (2j)^\zeta \int |f - g|^\zeta d\mu \leq (2j)^\zeta \|f - g\|_{\zeta, 1, \nu}^\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $f \in L(\zeta, 1, \nu)$, $f = 0$ on $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, implies that

$$T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \mu\text{-almost every } x \in E.$$

To prove this, take any compact set $K \subset E$ and show that $T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) \rightarrow 0$ for μ -almost every $x \in K$. Let $G = \mathbf{R}^n \setminus K$, and let g be any arbitrary function in $C_c(G)$. For $x \in K$,

$$|T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x)| \leq |T_{\delta_{ix}}(f - g)(x)| + |T_{\delta_{ix}} g(x)|.$$

Since $g = 0$ on K , $T_{\delta_{ix}}g(x) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore,

$$\overline{\lim} |T_{\delta_{ix}}f(x)| \leq \overline{\lim} |T_{\delta_{ix}}(f-g)(x)|.$$

If A_j^* is defined as in Case 1, we can argue as before to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\{x \in K \cap A_j^* : \overline{\lim} |T_{\delta_{ix}}f(x)| > j^{-1}\} &\leq (c_j \cdot j)^\zeta \int_G |f-g| d\nu \\ &\leq (c_j \cdot j)^\zeta \|f-g\|_{\zeta,1,\nu}^\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. In general, for $f \in L(\zeta, 1, \nu)$, it suffices to show that $T_{\delta_{ix}}f(x) \rightarrow L(x)f(x)$ for μ -almost every $x \in B_k$ where

$$B_k = \{x : L(x) \leq j, f(x) \leq j, |x| \leq j\}.$$

To do this, let $f_k = f\chi_{B_k}$ and $\tilde{f}_k = f\chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus B_k}$. Then, for μ -almost every $x \in B_k$, Case 1 gives

$$T_{\delta_{ix}}f_k(x) \rightarrow L(x)f_k(x) = L(x)f(x).$$

By Case 2,

$$T_{\delta_{ix}}\tilde{f}_k(x) \rightarrow 0.$$

5. Norm convergence. In the previous section μ -almost everywhere convergence was obtained as a consequence of the weighted weak-type norm inequalities satisfied by Af . This section will use Theorem 2.4 to study the norm convergence properties of convolution operators. We will therefore require conditions under which Mf satisfies strong-type norm inequalities

$$(5.1) \quad \|Mf\|_{p,\mu} \leq C\|f\|_{p,\nu}.$$

Simple examples show that (5.1) is not always satisfied. For instance, let $\nu =$ Lebesgue measure and $\mu = \nu + \delta_0$ where δ_0 is the Dirac delta concentrated at 0. Take any sequence $\{f_n\}$ in L^p with $f_n(0) \uparrow \infty$ and $\|f_n\|_p = 1$. Inequality (5.1) implies

$$\int Mf_n(x)^p dx + f_n(0) \leq C,$$

which is clearly false.

However, as a consequence of the following rearrangement inequality of Leckband and Neugebauer [6], we can find a suitable condition on the measures μ and ν for (5.1) to hold.

Theorem 5.1. *If, for each ball B in \mathbf{R}^n , there is a Borel measurable function $\phi_B : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\text{supp } \phi_B \subset B$, then the maximal function*

$$\mathcal{M}f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \phi_{B_{rx}} f \, d\nu$$

satisfies the rearrangement inequality

$$(\mathcal{M}f)_\mu^*(\xi) \leq A \int_0^\infty \Phi(t) f_\nu^*(t\xi) \, dt.$$

A depends only on the dimension n and

$$\Phi(t) = \Phi_{\mu,\nu}(t) = \sup_B \{ \mu(B) \phi_{B,\nu}^*(\mu(B)t) \}.$$

By Minkowski's integral inequality,

$$\|\mathcal{M}f\|_{p,\mu} \leq C \|f\|_{p,\nu}$$

whenever $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$. Therefore, a suitable condition on the measures μ and ν for (5.1) to hold is

$$\Phi(t) = \sup_{\mathcal{A}_t} [\mu(B)\nu(B)^{-1}]^{p_3/(p_2 p_3 - p_2 p')} \in L(p', 1)$$

where \mathcal{A}_t is the collection of balls B with $\nu(B) \geq t\mu(B)$. When $\mu = \nu$, for example, the condition is satisfied for every $p > 1$.

We shall assume that, if $\{\delta_{ix}\} \subset \Gamma$ is a (ν, x) -sequence for μ -almost every $x \in E$, then, for each i , $T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x)$ is measurable as a function of x on E .

Theorem 5.2. *Assume $M(x) < \infty$ μ -almost everywhere and $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$, $1 < p' < p_3$. Let $A_j = \{x \in E : M(x) \leq j\}$. Then, for every $f \in L^p(\nu)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$, $1 < p < \infty$,*

$$\{\chi_{A_j} T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x)\}$$

converges in $L^r(\mu)$ for $r = pp_3(p_3 - p')^{-1}$.

Proof. Since $M(x) < \infty$ μ -almost everywhere, $\chi_{A_j} T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) \rightarrow L(x)f(x)$, μ -almost everywhere. Also,

$$|\chi_{A_j} T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) - L(x)f(x)|^r \leq 2^r T^* f(x)^r.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and inequality (2.9) of Theorem 2.4, we may apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

The question of $L^1(\mu)$ convergence is more complicated. It does not appear that we can appeal to any of our pointwise convergence results since none apply to $L^1(\mu)$ functions. Define the nested sequence of sets A_j^* as

$$A_j^* = \left\{ x \in E : \sup_i \int \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t) \leq j \right\}.$$

Since $L(x) < \infty$ on E by definition, $\mu(E \setminus \cup A_j^*) = 0$. For each pair of nonnegative integers i and j , we define

$$H_{ij}(t) = \int_{A_j^*} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\mu(x).$$

Theorem 5.3. *If $\|H_{ij}\|_{\infty, \nu} \leq c_j < \infty$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, then, for every $f \in L^1(\mu + \nu)$,*

$$T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) \rightarrow L(x)f(x) \quad \text{in } L^1(A_j^*, \mu).$$

Proof. Case 1. Assume $f \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Let $F_0 = \text{supp } f$, $F_k = \{x : \text{dist}(x, F_0) \leq k\}$, $M = \sup f(x)$. Since each F_k is compact, $\mu(F_k) + \nu(F_k) < \infty$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given.

Find k so large that

$$P_0 = \int_{A_j^* \setminus F_k} T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) d\mu(x) < \varepsilon/6.$$

To do this, consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_j^* \setminus F_0} T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) d\mu(x) &= \int_{A_j^* \setminus F_0} \int_{F_0} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) f(t) d\nu(t) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int_{F_0} f(t) \int_{A_j^*} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\mu(x) d\nu(t) \\ &\leq M \cdot c_j \cdot \nu(F_0) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The finiteness of this integral together with the fact that $F_k \uparrow \mathbf{R}^n$ as $k \uparrow \infty$ provides the existence of such a k .

Next, choose $\eta > 0$ so that $|f(x) - f(t)| < \varepsilon[6j\mu(F_k)]^{-1}$ whenever $|x - t| \leq \eta$. Define the sets S_m and T_m as

$$\begin{aligned} S_m &= \left\{ x \in E : \int_{|x-t|>\eta} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t) < \varepsilon[12M\mu(F_k)]^{-1}, i \geq m \right\}, \\ T_m &= \left\{ x \in E : \left| \int \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t) - L(x) \right| < \varepsilon[6M\mu(F_k)]^{-1}, i \geq m \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \int_{A_j^* \cap F_k \cap S_m} \int_{|x-t|>\eta} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) f(t) d\nu(t) d\mu(x), \\ P_2 &= \int_{A_j^* \cap F_k \setminus S_m} \int_{|x-t|>\eta} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) f(t) d\nu(t) d\mu(x), \\ P_3 &= \int_{A_j^* \cap F_k} \int_{|x-t|\leq\eta} \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) f(t) d\nu(t) d\mu(x), \\ P_4 &= \int_{A_j^* \cap F_k \cap T_m} f(x) \left| \int \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t) - L(x) \right| d\mu(x), \\ P_5 &= \int_{A_j^* \cap F_k \setminus T_m} f(x) \left| \int \phi_{\delta_{ix}}(x, t) d\nu(t) - L(x) \right| d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_{A_j^*} |T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) - L(x)f(x)| d\mu(x) \leq P_0 + P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5.$$

By the definition of S_m , $P_1 \leq \varepsilon/6$. $P_2 \leq 2 \cdot M \cdot j \mu(F_k \setminus S_m)$ which can be made less than $\varepsilon/6$ since $\mu(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \cup S_m) = 0$. $P_3 \leq \varepsilon/6$ by the definition of η . $P_4 \leq \varepsilon/6$ by the definition of T_m , and, since $\mu(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \cup T_m) = 0$, P_5 can be made less than $\varepsilon/6$.

Case 2. Assume now that $f \in L^1(\mu + \nu)$. For any $g \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_j^*} |T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) - L(x)f(x)| d\mu(x) &\leq \int_{A_j^*} \int \phi_{\delta_{ix}} |f(t) - g(t)| d\nu(t) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{A_j^*} |T_{\delta_{ix}} g(x) - L(x)g(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{A_j^*} L(x)|g(x) - f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &= Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $Q_3 \leq j \int |f - g| d\nu$. Fubini's theorem and the uniform boundedness condition on the H_{ij} 's gives $Q_1 \leq c_j \int |f - g| d\mu$. Both of these terms can be made as small as we like by choosing g appropriately. Q_2 is controlled by Case 1.

Simple examples show that, in general, the L^1 convergence result cannot be extended to functions in $L^1(\nu)$. Let $\{\beta_n\}$, $n \geq 0$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers with $\sum \beta_n \leq 1$. Define

$$v(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_i \chi_{(i, i+1]}(t) + \sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_i \chi_{(-i-1, -i]}(t),$$

and let δ_0 represent the Dirac delta measure concentrated at 0. Define the measure ν and μ by $d\nu(t) = v(t) dt + \delta_0$ and $\mu =$ Lebesgue measure. Put $\phi_i(x, t) = i^{-1} \chi_{(x, x+i)}(t)$. Clearly, $\int \phi_i(x, t) d\nu(t) \rightarrow L(x) \equiv 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and, as such, $\delta_{ix} = i$ is a (ν, x) -sequence for each x . Also, it is clear that $A_j^* = \mathbf{R}$ for $j \geq 3$. Therefore, for $j \geq 3$,

$$\int_{A_j^*} \phi_i(x, t) d\mu(x) = i^{-1} \int_{t-i}^t dx = 1.$$

For $f(t) \equiv 1 \in L^1(\nu) \setminus L^1(\mu)$ and $j \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_j^*} |T_{\delta_{ix}} f(x) - L(x)f(x)| d\mu(x) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \phi_i(x, t) d\nu(t) dx \\ &\geq \int_{-i}^0 \phi_i(x, 0) dx \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. R. Bagby, *Strengthened maximal functions and pointwise convergence in \mathbf{R}^n* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **11** (1970), 243–260.
2. D. Cruz-Uribe, C.J. Neugebauer and V. Olesen, *Norm inequalities for the minimal and maximal operator, and differentiation of the integral*, preprint.
3. M. De Guzman, *Real variable methods in Fourier analysis*, North-Holland, New York, 1981.
4. J. García-Cuerva and J.L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland Math. Studies, **116** (1985).
5. R.A. Hunt, *On $L(p, q)$ spaces*, Enseign. Math. **12** (1966), 249–276.
6. M.A. Leckband and C.J. Neugebauer, *A general maximal operator and the A_p -condition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983), 821–831.
7. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
8. E.M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
9. ———, *Harmonic analysis, real variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1993.
10. E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1971.
11. R.L. Wheeden and A. Zygmund, *Measure and integral*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1977.

SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCE, THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, ASHBURN, VA 20147-2604
E-mail address: olesen@seas.gwu.edu