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#### Abstract

M. Krein proved in [9] that if $T$ is a continuous operator on a normed space leaving invariant an open cone, then its adjoint $T^{*}$ has an eigenvector. We present generalizations of this result as well as some applications to $C^{*}$ algebras, operators on $l_{1}$, operators with invariant sets, contractions on Banach lattices, the Invariant Subspace Problem, and von Neumann algebras.


1. Introduction. M. Krein proved in [9, Theorem 3.3] that if $T$ is a continuous operator on a normed space leaving invariant a nonempty open cone, then its adjoint $T^{*}$ has an eigenvector. Krein's result has an immediate application to the Invariant Subspace problem because of the following observation. If $T$ is a bounded operator on a Banach space and not a multiple of the identity, and $T^{*} f=\lambda f$, then the kernel of $f$ is a closed nontrivial subspace of codimension 1 which is invariant under $T$. Moreover, $\overline{\text { Range }(\lambda I-T)}$ is a closed nontrivial subspace which is proper (it is contained in the kernel of $f$ ) and hyperinvariant for $T$; that is, it is invariant under every operator commuting with $T$.

Several proofs and modifications of Krein's theorem appear in the literature, see, e.g. [3, Theorems 6.3 and 7.1] and [12, p. 315]. We prove yet another version of Krein's theorem: if $T$ is a positive operator on an ordered normed space in which the unit ball has a dominating point, then $T^{*}$ has a positive eigenvector. We deduce the original Krein's version of the theorem from this, as well as several applications and related results.

In particular, we show that if a bounded operator $T$ on a Banach space satisfies any of the following conditions, then $T^{*}$ has an eigenvector. Moreover, if the condition holds for a commutative family of operators, then the family of the adjoint operators has a common eigenvector.

[^0]- $T$ leaves invariant a cone with an interior point;
- $T$ is a positive operator on a unital $C^{*}$-algebra;
- $T$ is an operator on $l_{1}$ such that entries of its matrix satisfy $t_{k k} \pm t_{k j} \geq \sum_{i \neq k}\left|t_{i k} \pm t_{i j}\right|$ for some $k$ and for all $j \neq k$;
- $T$ leaves invariant a convex set whose interior is non-void and doesn't contain zero;
- $T$ is a contraction with a fixed point;
- $T$ is a positive contraction on a Banach lattice and $T e>e$ for some $e>0$.

We also show that under the last condition $T$ has a closed invariant order ideal. Finally, we prove a noncommutative version of this result for rearrangement invariant operator spaces arising from von Neumann algebras.

Throughout the paper $X$ denotes a real or complex normed space, $X^{*}$ the dual of $X, T$ a bounded linear operator on $X$, and $B_{X}$ the closed unit ball of $X$.

Definition 1. We call a subset $K$ of a normed space $X$ a cone if $K$ is closed under addition and nonnegative scalar multiplication, and there exists a nonzero vector $x \in K$ such that $-x \notin K$.

Our definition of a cone is a most general one. In the literature such objects are sometimes called wedges, while for a cone it is often assumed in addition that $x \in K$ implies $-x \notin K$ for every nonzero $x$. This additional condition ensures that the relation on $X$ defined via " $x \leq y$ if and only if $y-x \in K$ " is a linear order relation, and, vice versa, every linear order relation defines a cone satisfying this condition, namely, the cone $X_{+}$of all nonnegative elements. We will still use the symbol " $\leq$," even though in our case $x>0$ and $x<0$ may happen simultaneously. However, this does not create any problems, and, naturally, everything we do is still valid for the more restrictive definitions of a cone. See [9] for a discussion on definitions and properties of cones.

Given a closed cone $K$ in a normed space $X$, we will call $X$ an ordered normed space with respect to the (semi)order relation determined by $K$. Notice that $K$ coincides with the cone $X_{+}$of all nonnegative elements
of $X$. A linear operator is said to be positive if $T\left(X_{+}\right) \subseteq X_{+}$. For $f \in X^{*}$ we write $f \geq 0$ or $f \in X_{+}^{*}$ if $f(x) \geq 0$ whenever $x \geq 0$. Clearly, $X_{+}^{*}$ is a $w^{*}$-closed cone in $X^{*}$. It can be easily verified that if $T$ is a positive bounded operator on $X$ then $T^{*}$ is a positive operator on $X^{*}$, that is, $T^{*}\left(X_{+}^{*}\right) \subseteq X_{+}^{*}$. It is known (see, e.g. [9]) that if $K$ is a closed cone, then $K$ and $-K$ can be (nonstrictly) separated by a continuous functional, or, equivalently, there exists a nontrivial positive functional in $X^{*}$.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $X$ is a real normed space and $e \in X$ with $\|e\|=1$. If $f \in X^{*}$ then $f(e)=\|f\|$ if and only if $f(x) \leq f(e)$ for all $x \in B_{X}$.

Proof. If $f(e)=\|f\|$, then $f(x) \leq|f(x)| \leq\|f\|\|x\|=f(e)$ whenever $\|x\|=1$. Conversely, suppose $f(x) \leq f(e)$ for all $x$ of norm one. Since $-f(x)=f(-x) \leq f(e)$, we have $|f(x)| \leq f(e)$, so that $\|f\| \leq f(e)$. Finally, $f(e)=|f(e)| \leq\|f\|$.

Definition 3. If $X$ is an ordered normed space and $e \in B_{X}$, we say that $e$ dominates the unit ball of $X$ if $x \leq e$ for all $x \in B_{X}$. We then write $B_{X} \leq e$.

In this case it follows immediately from Lemma 2 that every positive functional attains its norm on $e$. In the proof of the following theorem we use techniques developed in the proof of a special case of Krein's theorem in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}]$.

Theorem 4. Suppose that $X$ is an ordered real normed space and $e \in X$ such that $\|e\|=1$ and $B_{X} \leq e$. If $T$ is a positive operator on $X$ then $T^{*}$ has a positive eigenvector. Moreover, if $\Gamma$ is a commutative family of positive operators on $X$, then their adjoints have a common positive eigenvector.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}=\left\{f \in X_{+}^{*}: f(e)=1\right\}$. Since $\mathcal{S}=X_{+}^{*} \cap\left\{f \in X^{*}: f(e)=1\right\}$ then $\mathcal{S}$ is $w^{*}$-closed. Furthermore, if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then $\|f\|=f(e)=1$ by Lemma 2, so that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq B_{X}$, hence is $w^{*}$-compact. For $T \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$
we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{T}(f)=\frac{f+T^{*} f}{\left[f+T^{*} f\right](e)}=\frac{f+T^{*} f}{1+\left(T^{*} f\right)(e)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T^{*} \geq 0$ then $F_{T}(f) \geq 0$. Clearly, $\left[F_{T}(f)\right](e)=1$, so that $F_{T}(f) \in \mathcal{S}$; hence $F_{T}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

It can be easily verified that $F_{T}$ is $w^{*}$-to- $w^{*}$-continuous. Indeed, if $f_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} f$, then for all $x \in X$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[F_{T}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)\right](x)=\frac{f_{\alpha}(x)+\left(T^{*} f_{\alpha}\right)(x)}{1+\left(T^{*} f_{\alpha}\right)(e)} \rightarrow \frac{f(x)+\left(T^{*} f\right)(x)}{1+\left(T^{*} f\right)(e)}=\left[F_{T}(f)\right](x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $T^{*}$ is $w^{*}$-to- $w^{*}$-continuous.
By the Fixed Point theorem there exists $h \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $F_{T}(h)=h$, i.e., $\left(h+T^{*} h\right) /\left(1+\left(T^{*} h\right)(e)\right)=h$ so that $T^{*} h=\left(\left(T^{*} h\right)(e)\right) h$; hence, $h$ is an eigenvector of $T^{*}$.
Let $\Gamma$ be a commutative family of positive operators on $X$. For $T \in \Gamma$ denote $A_{T}$ the set of the fixed points of $F_{T}$ in $\mathcal{S}$. It can be easily verified that $f \in \mathcal{S}$ belongs to $A_{T}$ if and only if $f$ is an eigenvector of $T^{*}$. Clearly, $A_{T}$ is $w^{*}$-closed, hence $w^{*}$-compact. We claim that $\left\{A_{T}\right\}_{T \in \Gamma}$ has the finite intersection property; this would imply that it has nonempty intersection, and, therefore, the family $\left\{T^{*}\right\}_{T \in \Gamma}$ has a common eigenvector in $\mathcal{S}$. We prove the claim by induction on the size of the set. Suppose that $\bigcap_{T \in \Gamma_{0}} A_{T} \neq \varnothing$ for every $n$-element subset $\Gamma_{0} \subseteq \Gamma$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be an $n$-element subset of $\Gamma$ and $S \in \Gamma$, show that $\bigcap_{T \in \Gamma_{0} \cup\{S\}} A_{T} \neq \varnothing$. Pick $f \in \bigcap_{T \in \Gamma_{0}} A_{T}$; then for each $T$ in $\Gamma_{0}$ there exists $\lambda_{T} \geq 0$ such that $T^{*} f=\lambda_{T} f$. Let $C_{T}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda_{T} I-T^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}$, then $C_{T}$ is a convex $w^{*}$-closed subset of $A_{T}$, hence $w^{*}$-compact. It follows that $C=\bigcap_{T \in \Gamma_{0}} C_{T}$ is convex and $w^{*}$-compact. Furthermore, $C \neq \varnothing$ as $f \in C$. If $T \in \Gamma_{0}$ and $h \in C_{T}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} F_{S}(h)=\frac{T^{*} h+T^{*} S^{*} h}{1+\left(S^{*} h\right)(e)}=\frac{\lambda_{T} h+S^{*}\left(\lambda_{T} h\right)}{1+\left(S^{*} h\right)(e)}=\lambda_{T} F_{S}(h) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $F_{S}(h) \in C_{T}$. It follows that $F_{S}\left(C_{T}\right) \subseteq C_{T}$, so that $F_{S}(C) \subseteq C$. The Fixed Point theorem implies that $F_{S}$ has a fixed point in $C$; hence $A_{S} \cap C \neq \varnothing$. Since $C \subseteq \bigcap_{T \in \Gamma_{0}} A_{T}$, this proves the claim.

Theorem 5. If $T$ is a continuous operator on a real normed space, leaving invariant a cone with an interior point, then $T^{*}$ has a positive eigenvector. Moreover, a commutative collection of such operators has a common positive eigenvector.

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be a commutative family of bounded operators on $X, C$ a cone in $X$ such that $T(C) \subseteq C$ for each $T \in \Gamma$, and $e$ an interior point of $C$. Without loss of generality, $C$ is closed, $\|e\|>1$ and $e+B_{X} \subseteq C$. Let $C_{0}$ be the cone spanned by $e+B_{X}$, that is, $C_{0}=\{\alpha(e+x): \alpha \geq 0,\|x\| \leq 1\}$. Put $W=\left(C_{0}-e\right) \cap\left(e-C_{0}\right)$.
Note that $e+B_{X} \subseteq C_{0}$ so that $B_{X} \subset C_{0}-e$. Also, $B_{X}=-B_{X} \subseteq$ $e-C_{0}$, so that $B_{X} \subseteq W$. Furthermore, $W$ is bounded. Indeed, if $w \in W$ then $w=\alpha_{1}\left(e+x_{1}\right)-e=e-\alpha_{2}\left(e+x_{2}\right)$ for some $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}>0$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in B_{X}$. It follows that $\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{2} x_{2}=\left(2-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)\right) e$. Thus, $\left|2-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)\right|\|e\|=\left\|\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{2} x_{2}\right\| \leq \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$. If $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}>2$ then $\left(\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)-2\right)\|e\|-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) \leq 0$, so that $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \leq(2\|e\|) /(\|e\|-1)$. It follows that $\alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \leq \max \{2,(2\|e\|) /(\|e\|-1)\}$. Finally, since $\|w\| \leq \alpha_{1}(\|e\|+1)+\|e\|$, it follows that $W$ is bounded. Thus, $W$ is the unit ball of a norm, which is equivalent to the original norm of $X$. In the new norm, $e$ will be of norm one. Finally, $e$ dominates $W$ with respect to the order defined by $C$. Now apply Theorem 4.

Remark 6. One can easily see that Theorem 5 is equivalent to the original theorem of Krein. Indeed, if $T$ leaves invariant a nonempty open cone, then Theorem 5 states that $T^{*}$ has an eigenvector.

Conversely, suppose that $T$ leaves invariant a cone with an interior point. Let $x$ be an interior point of the cone; then $f(x+T x) \geq f(x)>0$ for every positive functional $f \neq 0$, so that $x+T x$ is again an interior point of the cone. It follows that $I+T$ leaves invariant the interior of the cone, so that $(I+T)^{*}$ has an eigenvector by Krein's theorem. This yields the existence of an eigenvector for $T^{*}$.

Next, we discuss some applications of Theorems 4 and 5.

Recall that an element $e$ in a Banach lattice $E$ is called a strong unit if for every positive $x \in E$ there exists a natural number $n$ such
that $x \leq n e$. It is known (see [4, p. 188] for details) that a Banach lattice with a strong unit is an AM-space with unit up to an equivalent norm. But in an AM-space the unit dominates the unit ball. Therefore, Theorem 4 yields the following result.

Corollary 7. The adjoint of a positive operator on a Banach lattice with a strong unit has a positive eigenvector.

In particular, the adjoint of a positive operator on a $C(\Omega)$ space, where $\Omega$ is a compact Hausdorff space, has a positive eigenvector. A direct proof of this fact can also be found in [2].

The case of complex normed spaces can often be reduced to the real case as follows. Suppose that $X_{c}$ is a complexification of a real ordered normed space $X$, every element of $X_{c}$ can be written in the form $x+i y$ for some $x, y \in X$. If $T$ is a positive operator on $X$, then its complexification $T_{c}: X_{c} \rightarrow X_{c}$ defined by $T_{c}(x+i y)=T x+i T y$ will be referred to as a positive operator on $X_{c}$. Notice that $T$ coincides with the restriction of $T_{c}$ to $X$. Suppose that $T^{*} f=\lambda f$ for some $f \in X^{*}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, then we can extend $f$ to a continuous linear functional $f_{c}$ on $X_{c}$ via $f_{c}(x+i y)=f(x)+i f(y)$. Then $T_{c}^{*} f_{c}=\lambda f_{c}$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[T_{c}^{*} f_{c}\right](x+i y)=f_{c}\left(T_{c}(x+i y)\right)=f_{c}(T x+i T y)=f(T x)+i f(T y)} \\
=\left(T^{*} f\right)(x)+i\left(T^{*} f\right)(y)=\lambda f(x)+i \lambda f(y)=\lambda f_{c}(x+i y) \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, Theorems 4 and 5 are applicable to complex normed spaces.
For example, we can apply our technique to positive operators on $C^{*}$-algebras. A $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ can be viewed as the complexification of the real Banach space $\mathcal{A}_{\text {sa }}$ of its self-adjoint elements. Recall that a self-adjoint element $a$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is positive if $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbf{R}_{+}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ has unit $e$ and $x$ is a self-adjoint element of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\|x\| \leq 1$, then the Spectral Mapping theorem implies that $\sigma(e-x) \subseteq[0,2]$; hence $x \leq e$. It follows that $e$ dominates the unit ball of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{sa}}$. Theorem 4 immediately yields the following result.

Corollary 8. If $T$ is a positive operator on a unital $C^{*}$-algebra, then $T^{*}$ has a positive eigenvector.

Let $\left(e_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ denote the standard unit basis of $X=\ell_{1}$, while $\left(e_{i}^{*}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ stands for the dual basis of $X^{*}$. Recall that every bounded operator $T$ on $\ell_{1}$ can be written as an infinite matrix with entries $t_{i j}=\left\langle e_{i}^{*}, T e_{j}\right\rangle$.

Theorem 9. Suppose that $T$ is a bounded operator on $\ell_{1}$ with matrix $\left(t_{i j}\right)$, and suppose that there exists an index $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{k k} \pm t_{k j} \geq \sum_{i \neq k}\left|t_{i k} \pm t_{i j}\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $j \neq k$. Then $T^{*}$ has a positive eigenvector.

Proof. Without loss of generality $k=1$. Let $K$ be the cone spanned by $e_{1}+B_{X}$. It is easy to see that $K$ is spanned by the set $\left\{e_{1} \pm e_{i}\right\}_{i=2}^{\infty}$. We claim that $K=\left\{\left(x_{i}\right)\left|x_{1} \geq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\right| x_{i} \mid\right\}$. Indeed, it is easy to see that the later set is closed under addition and positive scalar multiplication; hence it is a cone. Furthermore, it contains $e_{1} \pm e_{i}$ for each $i \geq 2$, so that it contains $K$. Finally, if a nonzero sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)$ satisfies $x_{1} \geq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\left|x_{i}\right|$ then $x / x_{1}-e_{1} \in B_{X}$, so that $\left(x_{i}\right)$ is contained in $K$. Clearly, $e_{1}$ dominates the unit ball of $X$ with respect to the order induced by $K$. The condition $t_{11} \pm t_{1 j} \geq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\left|t_{i 1} \pm t_{i j}\right|$ means that

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(e_{1} \pm e_{j}\right) & =T e_{1} \pm T e_{j}=(\text { the } 1 \text { st column of } T) \\
& \pm(\text { the } j \text {-th column of } T) \in K \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $j \geq 2$; it follows that $T(K) \subseteq K$. Theorem 4 finishes the proof.

Example 10. Let $K$ be as in the preceding proof, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all operators on $\ell_{1}$ preserving $K$. Clearly, the adjoint of every operator in $\mathcal{C}$ has an eigenvector. By construction, $\mathcal{C}$ is itself a cone and a multiplicative semi-group in $\mathcal{L}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed in the strong operator topology (and, being a convex set, it is also closed in the weak operator topology). Finally, we claim that $\mathcal{C}$ has nonempty interior with respect to the norm topology of $\mathcal{L}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$. For example, put $S=\left(s_{i j}\right)$ such that $s_{i j}$ equals 1 if $i=j=1$ and 0 otherwise. We claim that $S$ is an interior point of $\mathcal{C}$. Indeed, suppose that $R=\left(r_{i j}\right)$ such that $\|R\|<1 / 5$, and let $T=S+R$. Show that
$T \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|r_{i j}\right|=\left\|R e_{j}\right\|<1 / 5$ for every $j \geq 1$. It follows that $t_{11} \pm t_{1 j}=1+r_{11} \pm r_{1 j} \geq 1-1 / 5-1 / 5=3 / 5$ for every $j>1$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\left|t_{i 1} \pm t_{i j}\right|=\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\left|r_{i 1} \pm r_{i j}\right| \leq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\left|r_{i 1}\right|+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\left|r_{i j}\right|<\frac{2}{5} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $T$ satisfies (5) and, therefore, $T \in \mathcal{C}$.

Corollary 11. If $T$ is an operator on a real Banach space leaving invariant a convex set whose interior is nonvoid and doesn't contain zero, then $T^{*}$ has an eigenvector. Moreover, a commutative collection of such operators has a common eigenvector.

Proof. Apply Theorem 5 to the cone generated by the invariant set. $\square$

Krein's theorem gives a natural insight and provides a simple solution to Exercise 7.5.10 of [7], even though at first glance the statement seems to have no connection to order structures.

Proposition 12. [7, Exercise 7.5.10]. If $\|T\|=1$ and $T$ has a nonzero fixed point, then $T^{*}$ has an eigenvector.

Proof. Suppose that $\|T\|=1$ and $T e=e$ for some $e$ of norm one. Then the set $e+B_{X}$ is invariant under $T$ and so is the cone generated by this set. Clearly, this cone is proper and has a nonvoid interior. Now apply Theorem 5.

This approach can be generalized as follows.

Definition 13. If $X$ is an ordered normed space, we say that it has monotone norm if $0 \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq\|y\|$.

Theorem 14. Suppose that $T$ is a positive operator on an ordered normed space with monotone norm such that $\|T\|=1$ and $T e \geq e$ for some $e>0$. Then $T^{*}$ has a positive eigenvector.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume $\|e\|=1$. Since the norm is monotone, we have $\left(e+X_{+}\right) \cap B_{X}^{\circ}=\varnothing$, where $B_{X}^{\circ}$ stands for the open unit ball of $X$. Hence, $X_{+} \cap\left(B_{X}^{\circ}-e\right)=\varnothing$, so that the two sets can be separated by a positive functional $f$. Then $f$ is nonnegative on $e-B_{X}$. Let $K$ be the closed cone generated by $X_{+}$and $e-B_{X}$. Since $f$ is nonnegative on $K$, it is, indeed, a proper cone.
If $x \in B_{X}$ then $e-x \in K$, so that $e$ dominates $B_{X}$ in the (semi)order induced on $X$ by $K$. It is given that $T\left(X_{+}\right) \subseteq X_{+} \subseteq K$. Furthermore, if $x \in B_{X}$ then $T x \in B_{X}$, and we have $T(e-x)=(T e-e)+(e-T x) \in$ $X_{+}+\left(e-B_{X}\right) \subseteq K$, so that $T\left(e-B_{X}\right) \subseteq K$. It follows that $T(K) \subseteq K$. Now apply Theorem 4 to the order induced by $K$.

Notice that the condition $\|T\|=1$ in Proposition 12 cannot be dropped. Indeed, for any $\alpha>1$, let $T$ be $\alpha$ times the left shift on $\ell_{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$, that is, $T\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right)=\left(\alpha x_{2}, \alpha x_{3}, \ldots\right)$. Then $\|T\|=\alpha$ and $\left(1, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-2}, \ldots\right)$ is a fixed point of $T$. Nevertheless, $T^{*}$ clearly has no eigenvectors.

It follows immediately that under the hypothesis of Theorem 14 the operator $T$ has an invariant subspace of codimension one. In fact, we will show that if $T e>e$ then there is a closed face of the positive cone of $X$ which is invariant under $T$. Recall that $E \subset X_{+}\left(X_{+}\right.$is the positive cone of $X$ ) is called a face of $X_{+}$if $E$ is itself a closed cone, and, for $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X_{+}, x_{1}+x_{2} \in E$ implies $x_{1}, x_{2} \in E$. One can easily see that a closed cone $E \subset X_{+}$is a face of $X_{+}$if and only if it is hereditary, that is, $x \in E$ whenever $0 \leq x \leq y$ and $y \in E$.

Theorem 15. Suppose that $X$ is an ordered normed space with monotone norm and $T$ is a positive operator on $X$ such that $\|T\|=1$ and $T e>e$ for some $e>0$. Then there exists a nontrivial closed face $E$ of the positive cone of $X$ which is invariant under T. Moreover, if $X$ is a Banach lattice, then $E-E$ is closed nontrivial ideal in $X$, invariant under $T$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, $\|e\|=1$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left\{x \geq 0: \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}}(\|e+\alpha x\|-1) / \alpha=0\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $x \in E$ and $0 \leq y \leq x$ then $y \in E$. Note also that $E$ is nontrivial as the positive vector $T e-e \in E$ because, for $\alpha \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =\|e\| \leq\|e+\alpha(T e-e)\| \leq\|(1-\alpha) e+\alpha T e\|  \tag{9}\\
& \leq(1-\alpha)\|e\|+\alpha\|T e\|=1
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, $E$ is $T$-invariant. Indeed, suppose $\alpha>0$ and $x \in E$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|e+\alpha T x\| \leq\|T e+\alpha T x\| \leq\|e+\alpha x\| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}}(\|e+\alpha T x\|-1) / \alpha \leq \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}}(\|e+\alpha x\|-1) / \alpha=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $E$ is a cone. Indeed, if $x, y \in E$, then $c x \in E$ for $c>0$, and
(12) $\|e+\alpha(x+y) / 2\|-1 \leq \frac{1}{2}((\|e+\alpha x\|-1)+(\|e+\alpha y\|-1))=o(\alpha)$
as $\alpha$ approaches 0 . Thus, $x+y \in E$.
To show that $E$ is closed, suppose $x_{i}$ is a sequence of positive elements in $E$, converging to $x$ in norm. We shall show that $x \in E$. Fix $\varepsilon>0$. It suffices to prove that, whenever $\alpha>0$ is sufficiently small, the inequality $\|e+\alpha x\| \leq 1+\varepsilon \alpha$ is satisfied. Find $i$ for which $\left\|x-x_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon / 2$. There exists $\alpha_{0}$ such that $\left\|e+\alpha x_{i}\right\| \leq 1+\varepsilon \alpha / 2$ whenever $0<\alpha<\alpha_{0}$. Thus, for $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|e+\alpha x\| \leq\left\|e+\alpha x_{i}\right\|+\alpha\left\|x-x_{i}\right\| \leq(1+\varepsilon \alpha / 2)+\varepsilon \alpha / 2=1+\varepsilon \alpha . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, $e \notin E$; hence $E$ is a nontrivial face of the positive cone of $X$.
Next, suppose that $X$ is a Banach lattice, and put $F=E-E$. Clearly, $F$ is an order ideal, that is, $F$ is a linear subspace such that $x \in F$ and $|y| \leq|x|$ imply $y \in F$. Show that $F$ is closed. Suppose $z \in \bar{F}$, and
$\left(x_{i}\right),\left(y_{i}\right)$ are sequences in $E$ such that $\lim _{i}\left\|z-\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)\right\|=0$. Then $\lim _{i}\left\|z_{+}-\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)_{+}\right\|=0$. Let $a_{i}=\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)_{+} \wedge z_{+}$. By the above, $\lim _{i}\left\|a_{i}-z_{+}\right\|=0$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq a_{i} \leq\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)_{+} \leq\left|x_{i}\right|+\left|y_{i}\right| \in E \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $a_{i} \in E$. But $E$ is closed, thus $z_{+} \in E$. Similarly, $z_{-} \in E$, and therefore, $z \in F$.

Finally we prove that $F$ is nontrivial. More precisely, we show that $e \notin F$. Indeed, suppose there exist $x, y \in E$ such that $\|e-(x-y)\| \leq$ $1 / 3$. Then $(x-y)_{+} \leq|x|+|y| \in E$, so $(x-y)_{+} \in E$.
Pick $\alpha>0$ for which $\left\|e+\alpha(x-y)_{+}\right\| \leq 1+\alpha / 3$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
1+\alpha & =\|e+\alpha e\|=\left\|e+\alpha(x-y)_{+}+\alpha\left(e-(x-y)_{+}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|e+\alpha(x-y)_{+}\right\|+\alpha\left\|e-(x-y)_{+}\right\|  \tag{15}\\
& \leq 1+\frac{\alpha}{3}+\alpha\|e-(x-y)\|=1+\frac{2 \alpha}{3}
\end{align*}
$$

a contradiction.

Similar results hold for rearrangement invariant operator spaces, arising from von Neumann algebras. For the benefit of the reader, we give a brief introduction into this natural noncommutative generalization of Banach lattices.

Suppose $N$ is a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space $H$, equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace $\tau$. Following [11], we say that a closed, densely defined linear operator $x$ on $H$ is affiliated with $N$ if $u^{*} x u=x$ for every unitary $u \in N^{\prime}$ (the commutant of $N$ ). An operator $x$ is called $\tau$-measurable if, for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a (self-adjoint) projection $p \in N$ such that $p(H) \subset D(x)$ and $\tau(1-p)<\varepsilon(1$ is the identity in $N$ ). The set of all $\tau$-measurable operators is denoted by $\tilde{N}$.

Following [8], we introduce for $x \in \tilde{N}$ the generalized eigenvalue function $\mu(\cdot, x):[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(t, x)=\inf \left\{s \geq 0: \tau\left(\chi_{(s, \infty)}(|x|)\right) \leq t\right\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently (see [8]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(t, x)=\inf \{\|x p\|: p \in N \text { a projection, } \tau(1-p) \leq t\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [6], we call a linear manifold $G \subset \tilde{N}$, equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, a (normed) rearrangement invariant operator space, (r.i.o.s., for short), if whenever $x \in G, y \in \tilde{N}$, and $\mu(t, y) \leq \mu(t, x)$ for every $t$, then $y \in G$ and $\|y\| \leq\|x\| . E$ is called symmetric if, in addition, $\|y\| \leq\|x\|$ whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} \mu(t, y) d t \leq \int_{0}^{a} \mu(t, x) d t \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $a>0$.
To underscore the connections between r.i.o.s. and Banach lattices, consider the commutative case of $N=L_{\infty}(I)$, where $I$ is an interval $(0, a), a \in(0, \infty]$. By Proposition 2.a. 8 of $[\mathbf{1 0}]$, any r.i.o.s. $G$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(I) \cap L_{\infty}(I) \subset G \subset L_{1}(I)+L_{\infty}(I) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

is symmetric. We say that $G$ has the Fatou property if, whenever $f \in G$, $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of nonnegative elements of $G$, and $f_{n}(\omega) \nearrow f(\omega)$, then $\left\|f_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|f\|$.

Suppose $N$ is a von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful semifinite trace $\tau$, and $G$ is as in the previous paragraph, with $I=(0, \tau(1))$.

Following [6], we define the space $G(N)=\{x \in \tilde{N} \mid \mu(\cdot, x) \in G\}$, equipped with the norm $\|x\|_{G(N)}=\|\mu(\cdot, x)\|_{G}$. If $G$ satisfies $(*)$, then $N \cap N_{*} \subset G(N) \subset N+N_{*}$. We identify $L_{\infty}(N)$ with $N$ itself, and $L_{1}(N)$ with $N_{*}$, the predual of $N$. If, in addition, $G$ has Fatou property, then $G(N)$ is norm closed (see Proposition 1.7 and Corollary 2.4 of [6]).

If $G \subset N+N_{*}$ is a r.i.o.s., we denote by $G_{+}$the set of positive elements in $G$, i.e. $G \cap \tilde{N}_{+}$. Then every self-adjoint element in $G$ can be represented as a difference of two positive ones (see [5] and [6]). Moreover, every element $x \in G$ can be written as $x=x_{1}-x_{2}+i\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right)$, with $x_{j} \in G_{+}$. Finally, the trace $\tau$ extends naturally to $\left(N+N_{*}\right)_{+}$by setting $\tau(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mu(t, x) d t$ for $x \geq 0$.

Theorem 16. Suppose $N$ is a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal semifinite trace $\tau, G$ is a norm closed symmetric rearrangement invariant subspace of $\tilde{N}$ satisfying $N \cap N_{*} \subset G \subset N+N_{*}$, and $T: G \rightarrow G$ is a positive contraction such that $T e>e$ for some positive $e \in G$.

Then $T$ has an invariant nontrivial face $E$ of the positive cone of $G$ Moreover, $\overline{E-E}$ is a nontrivial closed subspace of $G$, invariant under $T$.

To prove the theorem, we need to collect some facts related to conditional expectations on von Neumann algebras. Suppose $N$ is a von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal faithful semifinite trace $\tau$, and $M$ is a Neumann subalgebra of $N$ such that the restriction of $\tau$ to $M$ is semifinite. Then (see Proposition 5.2.36 of $[\mathbf{1 3}]$ ), there exists a positive contractive projection $\Phi$ from $N$ onto $M$ such that $\Phi(a b c)=a \Phi(b) c$ and $\tau(\Phi(a b))=\tau(a \Phi(b))$ whenever $a, c \in N_{*}$ and $b \in N$. Moreover, it follows from the proof that, for any $x \in N \cap N_{*}$, $\Phi(x) \in M \cap M_{*}$ and $\|\Phi(x)\|_{M_{*}} \leq\|x\|_{N_{*}}$. Since $N \cap N_{*}\left(\right.$ or $\left.M \cap M_{*}\right)$ is dense in $N_{*}$, respectively, $M_{*}, \Phi$ can be extended to a contraction from $N_{*}$ to $M_{*}$. Thus, $\Phi$ can be thought of as an operator from $N+N_{*}$ to $M+M_{*}$ respectively, which maps $N$ to $M$ and $N_{*}$ to $M_{*}$ contractively.

Lemma 17. Suppose $N, M$ and $\tau$ are as above, and $G$ is a symmetric r.i.o.s. with $N \cap N_{*} \subset G \subset N+N_{*}$. Then $\Phi$ maps $G$ into $G \cap \tilde{M}$, and $\|\Phi(x)\|_{G} \leq\|x\|_{G}$ for any $x \in G$.

Proof. As noted above, $\Phi$ acts contractively from $N$ to $M$ and from $N_{*}$ to $M_{*}$. For $x \in G$ we have, by Theorem 4.7 of $[\mathbf{6}], \int_{0}^{a} \mu(t, \Phi(x)) d t$ $\leq \int_{0}^{a} \mu(t, x) d t$ for any $a>0$. Thus, $\Phi(x) \in G$, and $\|\Phi(x)\| \leq\|x\|$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 16. Suppose $e \in G_{+},\|e\|=1$, and $T: G \rightarrow G$ is a positive operator such that $T e>e$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left\{x \geq 0: \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}}(\|e+\alpha x\|-1) / \alpha=0\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 15, we can show that $E$ is a closed nontrivial face of $G_{+}(E$ is nonempty, and $e \notin E)$. Moreover, $E$ is invariant under $T$. Therefore the closed linear span of $E$ is invariant under $T$. It remains to show that $e$ does not belong to the closed linear span of $E$. It suffices to show that, whenever $x_{1}, x_{2} \in E$, we have $\left\|e+x_{1}-x_{2}\right\| \geq 1 / 6$.

First suppose that either $\tau(1)<\infty$, or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t, e)=0$. Then there exists a commutative von Neumann algebra $M$ such that $e \in \tilde{M}$ and the restriction of $\tau$ to $M$ is semifinite. Indeed, if $\tau(1)<\infty$, we can consider the von Neumann algebra generated by projections $\chi_{(a, \infty)}(e)$, where $a>0$. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t, e)=0$, observe that $\tau\left(\chi_{(a, \infty)}(e)\right)<\infty$ for any $a>0$, and let $p=\sup _{a>0} \chi_{(a, \infty)}(e)$. Use Zorn's lemma to find mutually orthogonal projections $\left(p_{i}\right) \in N$ such that $\tau\left(p_{i}\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{i} p_{i}=1-p$. Then let $M$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by projections $\chi_{(a, \infty)}(e)$ and $p_{i}$. Clearly $M$ satisfies our conditions.

Let $\Phi$ be the conditional expectation from $N$ onto $M$. By Lemma 17, $\Phi$ acts as a contraction from $G$ to $G_{1}=G \cap \tilde{M}$. Then $G_{1}$ can be regarded as a Banach lattice.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=\left\{x \in G_{1}: x \geq 0, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}}(\|e+\alpha x\|-1) / \alpha=0\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem $15,\|e+x-y\| \geq 1 / 3$ whenever $x, y \in E_{1}$.
However, $\Phi(E) \subset E_{1}$, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|e+x-y\| \geq\|e+\Phi(x)-\Phi(y)\| \geq \frac{1}{3} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $x, y \in E$.
The case of $a=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t, e)>0$ is more complicated. Note that $\|a 1\|_{G} \leq\|e\|=1$, hence $\|x\|_{G} \leq\|x\|_{N}\|1\|_{G} \leq\|x\|_{N} / a$ for any $x \in N$. Let $k=\lceil 6 / a\rceil, p_{i}=\chi_{[i a / k,(i+1) a / k)}(e)$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, $p_{k}=\chi_{[(k-1) a / k, a]}(e)$, and $e_{1}=\chi_{(a, \infty)}(e) e+\sum_{i=1}^{k}(i / k) a p_{i}$. Then $e \geq e_{1}, e-e_{1} \in N$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e-e_{1}\right\|_{G} \leq\left\|e-e_{1}\right\|_{N} / a \leq 1 / 6 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, $\mu(t, e)=\mu\left(t, e_{1}\right)$ for any $t$. Moreover, a projection $p_{i}$ can be represented as $p_{i}=\sum_{j} q_{i j}$, where projections $q_{i j}$ are mutually orthogonal and $\tau\left(q_{i j}\right)<\infty$. Note also that $\tau\left(\chi_{(b, \infty)}(e)\right)<\infty$ whenever $b>a$, and $\chi_{(a, \infty)}(e)=\sup _{b>a} \chi_{(b, \infty)}(e)$.

Consider the (commutative) von Neumann algebra $M$, generated by projections $q_{i j}$ and $\chi_{(b, \infty)}(e), b>a$. Then $e_{1} \in G_{1}=G \cap \tilde{M}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=\left\{x \in G_{1}: x \geq 0, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\left\|e_{1}+\alpha x\right\|-1\right) / \alpha=0\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As above, we show that $\left\|e_{1}+x-y\right\| \geq 1 / 3$ if $x, y \in E_{1}$. However, $\Phi(e) \geq e_{1}$ (since $\Phi$ is positive), and therefore, $\left\|e_{1}+\Phi(x)\right\| \leq\|e+x\|$ for any $x \in G$. Thus, $\Phi(E) \in E_{1}$ and, for any $x, y \in E$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|e+x-y\| \geq\|\Phi(e)+\Phi(x)-\Phi(y)\| & \geq\left\|e_{1}+\Phi(x)-\Phi(y)\right\|-\left\|e-e_{1}\right\|  \tag{24}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is complete.
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