# AN IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREM 

I.V. ZHURAVLEV, A.YU. IGUMNOV AND V.M. MIKLYUKOV


#### Abstract

A nonsmooth variant of the implicit function theorem is proved.


Let $m, n \geq 1$ be integers. Denote by $M_{n}$ the linear space of the $n \times n$ matrices with real elements, by $I_{n}$ the unit matrix of $M_{n}$. Let $B^{n}(x, r)$ be the ball in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with center at the point $x$ and radius $r>0$.

If $F(x, y)$ is any locally Lipschitz vector-function of variables $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$ and $(x, y)$ is a point of differentiability of $F$, then let $F^{\prime}(x, y)$ be its Jacobi matrix, $F_{x}^{\prime}(x, y)$ be the Jacobi matrix with respect to $x$ for any fixed $y$ and $F_{y}^{\prime}(x, y)$ be the Jacobi matrix with respect to $y$ for any fixed $x$.

For an arbitrary matrix $C \in M_{n}$ we put

$$
|C|=\max _{|h|=1}|C h| .
$$

If $C(x): D \subset \mathbf{R}^{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$ is a matrix function, then set

$$
\|C\|_{D}=\operatorname{ess} \sup _{x \in D}|C(x)|
$$

For $P \subset \mathbf{R}^{m}$ let $K: P \subset \mathbf{R}^{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$ be an arbitrary matrix function. We set

$$
\operatorname{osc}(K, P)=\operatorname{ess} \sup _{x, y \in P}|K(x)-K(y)|
$$

We shall prove the following nonsmooth variant of the well-known implicit function theorem.

Theorem. Let $x_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, y_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$. Let $D=B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r^{\prime}\right) \times B^{m}\left(y_{0}, r^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be a domain and $F: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}$ be a locally Lipschitz mapping. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \equiv\left\|F_{y}^{\prime}-I_{m}\right\|_{D}+\operatorname{osc}\left(F_{x}^{\prime}, D\right)\left(1+\left\|F^{\prime}\right\|_{D}\right)<1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Then there exist $\rho=\rho\left(\mu, r^{\prime}, r^{\prime \prime}\right)>0$ and a (unique) Lipschitz mapping

$$
G(x): B^{n}\left(x_{0}, \rho\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}, \quad G\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}
$$

such that

$$
F(x, G(x))=F\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in B^{n}\left(x_{0}, \rho\right)
$$

Moreover, we can put

$$
\rho=\frac{r^{*}}{L}, \quad r^{*}=\min \left\{r^{\prime}, r^{\prime \prime}\right\}, \quad L=\left(1+\left\|F_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{D}\right) /(1-\mu)
$$

and $G$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition with a constant

$$
\operatorname{Lip}\left(G, B^{n}\left(x_{0}, \rho\right)\right) \leq \sqrt{L^{2}-1}
$$

For other nonsmooth variants of the implicit function theorem (without bounds of $\rho$ and $\operatorname{Lip}\left(G, B^{n}\left(x_{0}, \rho\right)\right)$ ), see Pourciau [3], Warga [4], Cristea [1], Zhuravlev and Igumnov [5].

For the proof we need a simple condition for locally Lipschitz mappings to be one-to-one on convex regions.

Lemma. Let $D \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be a convex domain, and let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be a locally Lipschitz mapping. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}-I_{n}\right\|_{D} \equiv \Omega<1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is a homeomorphism in $D$. Moreover, for arbitrary points $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in D$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\Omega)\left|x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|f\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq(1+\Omega)\left|x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime}\right| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $E$ be the set of the points $x \in D$ in which $f$ is differentiable. Since $f$ is locally Lipschitz then by the Stepanoff theorem we have $\mathcal{H}^{n}(D \backslash E)=0$.

By (2) almost everywhere in $D$ the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(x)-I_{n}\right| \leq \Omega<1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Fix arbitrary points $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in D$ and denote by $l\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ the line segment joining $x^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime \prime}$. Since the region $D$ is convex then $l\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ lies inside $D$. Let $l\left(\tilde{x}^{\prime}, \tilde{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be a line segment with endpoints $\tilde{x}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{x}^{\prime \prime}$ formed by a parallel translation of $l\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$. For almost all such segments sufficiently close to $l\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l\left(\tilde{x}^{\prime}, \tilde{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \backslash E\right)=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l\left(\tilde{x}_{k}^{\prime}, \tilde{x}_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be a sequence of segments having (5) and such that

$$
\tilde{x}_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}, \quad \tilde{x}_{k}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime \prime}
$$

Because $f$ is locally Lipschitz then $f$ is absolutely continuous on $l\left(\tilde{x}_{k}^{\prime}, \tilde{x}_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and almost everywhere along $l\left(\tilde{x}_{k}^{\prime}, \tilde{x}_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ the derivative $f^{\prime}$ exists. Integrating we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid\left(f\left(x_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)-x_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right) & -\left(f\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)-x_{k}^{\prime}\right) \mid \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{1}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}^{\prime}+t\left(x_{k}^{\prime \prime}-x_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right)-I\right)\left(x_{k}^{\prime \prime}-x_{k}^{\prime}\right) d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}^{\prime}+t\left(x_{k}^{\prime \prime}-x_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right)-I\right|\left|x_{k}^{\prime \prime}-x_{k}^{\prime}\right| d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (4) we obtain

$$
\left|\left(f\left(x_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)-x_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(f\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)-x_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \Omega\left|x_{k}^{\prime \prime}-x_{k}^{\prime}\right|
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ we arrive at the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(f\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-x^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \Omega\left|x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime}\right|, \quad x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in D \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi(x)=f(x)-x$. For arbitrary points $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in D$ we have

$$
f\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)+\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|f\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left|\phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime}\right|
$$

Using (6) we can write

$$
\left|f\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq(1+\Omega)\left|x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime}\right|
$$

Analogously,

$$
\left|f\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq\left|x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime}\right|-\left|\phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

and next,

$$
\left|f\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq(1-\Omega)\left|x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime}\right|
$$

Thus (3) holds and the lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem. Consider the mapping $\Phi: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{m}$ defined by

$$
(x, y) \xrightarrow{\Phi}(X, Y)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, F_{1}(x, y), \ldots, F_{m}(x, y)\right)
$$

We need to prove that $\Phi(x, y)$ satisfies the assumptions of the lemma. The Jacobi matrix of $\Phi$ has the form

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n} & Z_{m}^{n} \\
F_{x}^{\prime}(x, y) & F_{y}^{\prime}(x, y)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $Z_{m}^{n}$ is the zero $n \times m$ matrix.
Consider the $(n+m) \times(n+m)$ matrix

$$
Q(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n} & Z_{m}^{n} \\
-F_{x}^{\prime}(x, y) & I_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For almost every $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in D$ we have

$$
\left|Q\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-Q\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq \operatorname{osc}\left(F_{x}^{\prime}, D\right)
$$

We observe now that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(x, y) \Phi^{\prime}(x, y)-I_{m+n} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n} & Z_{m}^{n} \\
Z_{n}^{m} & F_{y}^{\prime}(x, y)
\end{array}\right)-I_{n+m} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{n}^{n} & Z_{m}^{n} \\
Z_{n}^{m} & F_{y}^{\prime}(x, y)-I_{m}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q \Phi^{\prime}-I_{n+m}\right\|_{D}=\left\|F_{y}^{\prime}-I_{m}\right\|_{D} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an arbitrary fixed point $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in D$ we define the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x, y)=Q\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \Phi(x, y): D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{m} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (7) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Psi^{\prime}-I_{n+m}\right\|_{D} & =\left\|Q\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \Phi^{\prime}-I_{n+m}\right\|_{D} \\
& =\left\|Q \Phi^{\prime}-I_{n+m}+\left(Q\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)-Q\right) \Phi^{\prime}\right\|_{D} \\
& \leq\left\|Q \Phi^{\prime}-I_{n+m}\right\|_{D}+\left\|Q\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)-Q\right\|_{D}\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\right\|_{D} \\
& \leq\left\|F_{y}^{\prime}-I_{m}\right\|_{D}+\operatorname{osc}\left(F_{x}^{\prime}, D\right)\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\right\|_{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into consideration that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\right\|_{D} & =\operatorname{esssup}_{(x, y) \in D}\left\{\max _{|h|=1}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(x, y) \cdot h\right|\right\} \\
& \leq \operatorname{esssup}_{(x, y) \in D}\left\{\max _{|h|=1}\left(|h|+\left|\left(F_{x}^{\prime}(x, y)+F_{y}^{\prime}(x, y)\right) \cdot h\right|\right)\right\} \\
& \leq 1+\left\|F^{\prime}\right\|_{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\left\|\Psi^{\prime}-I_{n+m}\right\|_{D} \leq\left\|F_{y}^{\prime}-I_{m}\right\|_{D}+\operatorname{osc}\left(F_{x}^{\prime}, D\right)\left(1+\left\|F^{\prime}\right\|_{D}\right)
$$

Thus by (1) for the fixed point $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Psi^{\prime}-I_{m+n}\right\|_{D} \leq \mu<1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The domain $D=B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r^{\prime}\right) \times B^{m}\left(y_{0}, r^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is convex and we may use the lemma. By the inequality (9) we conclude that the map

$$
\Psi(x, y)=Q\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \Phi(x, y)
$$

is a homeomorphism. By Horn, Johnson [2, Corollary 5.6.16] from (9) it follows also that the matrix $\Psi^{\prime}(x, y)$ is nonsingular. Therefore
from this relation it follows that matrices $\Phi^{\prime}(x, y)$ and $Q^{*} \equiv Q\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ are nonsingular. Thus the map $\Phi=Q^{*-1} \Psi: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+m}$ is also a homeomorphism.

For the evaluation of $\rho=\rho\left(\mu, r^{\prime}, r^{\prime \prime}\right)$ we shall need some special information about $\Psi$. Using (9) and (3) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\mu)\left|\left(x-x_{0}, y-y_{0}\right)\right| & \leq\left|\Psi(x, y)-\Psi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right| \\
& \leq(1+\mu)\left|\left(x-x_{0}, y-y_{0}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by $\Psi=Q^{*} \Phi$ we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1-\mu}{\left|Q^{*}\right|}\left|\left(x-x_{0}, y-y_{0}\right)\right| & \leq\left|\Phi(x, y)-\Phi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right|  \tag{10}\\
& \leq(1+\mu)\left|Q^{*-1}\right|\left|\left(x-x_{0}, y-y_{0}\right)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

However,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n} & Z_{m}^{n} \\
-F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{*}, y_{0}^{*}\right) & I_{m}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n} & Z_{m}^{n} \\
Z_{n}^{m} & I_{m}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{n}^{n} & Z_{m}^{n} \\
-F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{*}, y_{0}^{*}\right) & Z_{m}^{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and hence $\left|Q^{*}\right| \leq 1+\left\|F_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{D}$. Let $a=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. Since the ball $B^{n+m}\left(a, r^{*}\right)$ is contained in $D$ from (10) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime} \equiv B^{n+m}\left(\Phi(a), r^{*}(1-\mu) /\left|Q^{*}\right|\right) \subset \Phi\left(B^{n+m}\left(a, r^{*}\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime \prime} \equiv B^{n+m}\left(\Phi(a), r^{*}(1-\mu) /\left(1+\left\|F_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{D}\right)\right) \subset B^{\prime} \subset \Phi(D) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3) the mapping $\Psi^{-1}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition in $\Psi(D)$ with a constant

$$
\operatorname{Lip}\left(\Psi^{-1}, \Psi(D)\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-\mu}
$$

The mapping $\Phi(x, y)$ had been defined such that its inverse map has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=X, \quad y=\Theta(X, Y) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\Phi^{-1}=\Psi^{-1} Q^{*}$ where $Q^{*}$ is the invertible linear transformation and $\Psi^{-1}$ is the Lipschitz map. Hence, $\Phi^{-1}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition in $\Phi(D)$ with a constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lip}\left(\Phi^{-1}, \Phi(D)\right) \leq\left|Q^{*}\right| \operatorname{Lip}\left(\Psi^{-1}, \Psi(D)\right) \leq L \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we observe that

$$
(X, Y)=\Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}(X, Y)\right)=(X, F(X, \Theta(X, Y)))
$$

From this relation it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(X, \Theta(X, Y))=Y \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (11) it follows that $B^{\prime}$ lies in $\Phi(D)$. The intersection $\Pi$ of the ball $B^{\prime}$ and the plane $Y_{1}=F_{1}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), \ldots, Y_{m}=F_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is a connected set with the codimension $m$ containing the point $\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)=$ $\left(x_{0}, F(a)\right)$. Denote by $j$ the orthogonal projection from $\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{m}$ onto $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. For any set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}^{m}$ we have

$$
j(A)=\cup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^{m}}\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:(x, y) \in A\right\}
$$

By the definition of $\Phi$ we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
j\left(\Phi\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\Phi\left(j\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \forall A^{\prime} \subset D \\
j\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) & =\Phi^{-1}\left(j\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \quad \forall A^{\prime} \subset \Phi(D) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The equation of the connected piece of the surface $\Phi^{-1}(\Pi)$ containing $a=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ can be rewritten in the nonparametric form. Namely, let

$$
(X, Y)=\left(x, \Theta\left(x, Y_{0}\right)\right), \quad x \in \Phi^{-1}\left(j\left(B^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

We put $G(x)=\Theta\left(x, Y_{0}\right)$.
By (15) we now find

$$
F(x, G(x))=Y_{0}=F\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)
$$

where

$$
G\left(x_{0}\right)=\Theta\left(x_{0}, Y_{0}\right)=\Theta\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)=y_{0}
$$

Uniqueness of the map $G$ follows from the bijectivity of $\Phi(x, y)$. In fact, if $\left(x, y_{1}\right),\left(x, y_{2}\right) \in D$ and $F\left(x, y_{1}\right)=F\left(x, y_{2}\right)$ then $\Phi\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\Phi\left(x, y_{2}\right)$. Thus $y_{1}=y_{2}$.

The relation (12) states that the ball $B^{\prime \prime}$ is contained in $B^{\prime}$ and guarantees, together with (16), that the ball $B^{n}\left(x_{0}, \rho\right)$ lies in $\Phi^{-1}\left(j\left(B^{\prime}\right)\right)$. This implies the necessary bound for $\rho$.

Now we estimate the Lipschitz constant of the function $\Theta$. For arbitrary

$$
\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right),\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}\right) \in B^{n+m}(a, \rho)
$$

from (14) it follows

$$
\left|\Phi^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)-\Phi^{-1}\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leq L\left|\left(X^{\prime \prime}-X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

By the relations (13), which describe $\Phi^{-1}$, we may rewrite this inequality in the following form

$$
\left|\left(X^{\prime \prime}-X^{\prime}, \Theta\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)-\Theta\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \leq L\left|\left(X^{\prime \prime}-X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|X^{\prime \prime}-X^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\mid \Theta\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)-\Theta & \left.\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq L^{2}\left|X^{\prime \prime}-X^{\prime}\right|^{2}+L^{2}\left|Y^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|\Theta\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)-\Theta\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \leq\left(L^{2}-1\right) \cdot\left|X^{\prime \prime}-X^{\prime}\right|^{2}+L^{2}\left|Y^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime}\right|^{2}
$$

Using the definition of $G$, we may choose $Y^{\prime \prime}=Y^{\prime}=Y_{0}$ and put $X=x$. Then we obtain

$$
\left|G\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-G\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \leq\left(L^{2}-1\right)\left|x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime}\right|^{2}
$$

That is,

$$
\operatorname{Lip}\left(G, B^{n}\left(x_{0}, \rho\right)\right) \leq \sqrt{L^{2}-1}
$$

The theorem is proved completely.
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