BOCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 36, Number 3, 2006

ON CR-STRUCTURES AND F-STRUCTURE **SATISFYING** $F^K + (-)^{K+1}F = 0$

LOVEJOY S. DAS

ABSTRACT. CR-submanifolds of a Kahlerian manifold have been defined by Bejancu [1], and are now being studied by various authors, see [2, 3, 9]. The theory of *f*-structure was developed by Yano [7], Yano and Ishihara [8]. Goldberg [5] and others. The purpose of this paper is to show a relationship between CR-structures and F-structure satisfying $F^{K} + (-)^{K+1}F = 0$, $F^{W} + (-)^{W+1}F \neq 0$, for 1 < W < K, where K is a fixed positive integer greater than 2. The case when k is odd (≥ 3) has been considered in this paper.

1. Introduction. Let F be a nonzero tensor field of the type (1, 1)and of class c^{∞} on an *n*-dimensional manifold M such that [6].

(1.1)
$$F^{K} + (-)^{K+1}F = 0$$
 and $F^{W} + (-)^{W+1}F \neq 0$
for $1 < W < K$

where K is a fixed positive integer greater than 2. Such a structure on M is called an F-structure of rank r and of degree K. If the rank of Fis constant and r = r(F), then M is called an F-structure manifold of degree $K(\geq 3)$.

Let the operators on M be defined as follows [6]

(1.2)
$$l = (-)^{K} F^{K-1}, \quad m = I + (-)^{K-1} F^{K-1},$$

where I denotes the identity operator on M.

We will state the following two theorems [6].

Theorem (1.1). Let M be an F-structure manifold. Then

(1.3)
$$l + m = I, \quad l^2 = l \quad \text{and} \quad m^2 = m.$$

²⁰⁰⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C40, 53D10.

Key words and phrases. CK-submanifolds, CR-structure, F-structure, integrability conditions, Nijenhuis tensor. Received by the editors on September 26, 2003.

Copyright ©2006 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

For $F \neq 0$ satisfying (1.1), there exist complementary distributions D_l and D_m corresponding to the projection operators l and m respectively.

If the rank (F) = constant and r = r(F) on M, then $\dim D_l = r$ and $\dim D_m = (n - r)$.

Theorem (1.2). We have

(1.4a)
$$Fl = lF = F, \quad Fm = mF = 0$$

(1.4b)
$$F^{K-1} = (-)^{K}l, \quad F^{K-1}l = -l, \quad F^{K-1}m = 0.$$

Thus $F^{(K-1)/2}$ acts on D_l as an almost complex structure and on D_m as a null operator.

2. Nijenhuis tensor. The Nijenhuis tensor N(X, Y) of F satisfying (1.1) in M is expressed as follows for every vector field X, Y, on M.

(2.1) $N(X,Y) = [FX,FY] - F[FX,Y] - F[X,FY] + F^2[X,Y].$

We state the following theorem without proof [4].

Theorem (2.1). A necessary and sufficient condition for the f-structure 'f' to be integrable is that N(X,Y) = 0 for any two vector fields X and Y on M.

Definition 2.1. If X, Y are two vector fields in M, then their Lie bracket [X, Y] is defined by

$$(2.2) \qquad \qquad [X,Y] = XY - YX.$$

3. CR-structure. Let M be a differentiable manifold and T_cM its complexified tangent bundle. A CR-structure on M is a complex subbundle H of T_cM such that $H_p \cap \overline{H}_p = 0$ and H is involutive, i.e., for complex vector fields X and Y in H, [X, Y] is in H. In this case we say M is a CR-manifold. Let F be an integrable F-structure satisfying

(1.1) of rank r = 2m on M. We define complex subbundle H of $T_c M$ by $H_p = \{X - \sqrt{-1} FX, X \in \mathcal{X}(D_l)\}$, where $\mathcal{X}(D_l)$ is the $\mathcal{F}(D_m)$ module of all differentiable sections of D_l . Then Re $(H) = D_l$ and $H \cap \overline{H}_p = 0$, where \overline{H}_p denotes the complex conjugate of H.

Theorem (3.1). If P and Q are two elements of H, then the following relations hold

(3.1)
$$[P,Q] = [X,Y] - [FX,FY] - \sqrt{-1} ([X,FY] + [FX,Y]).$$

Proof. Let us define $P = X - \sqrt{-1} FX$ and $Q = Y - \sqrt{-1} FX$. Then by direct calculation and on simplifying, we obtain

$$\begin{split} [P,Q] &= [X - \sqrt{-1} \; FX, Y - \sqrt{-1} \; FY] \\ &= [X,Y] - [FX,FY] - \sqrt{-1} \; ([X,FY] + [FX,Y]). \end{split} \ \Box$$

Theorem (3.2). If the F-structure satisfying (1.1) is integrable, then we have

(3.2)
$$(-)^{K}F^{K-2}([FX, FY] + F^{2}[X, Y]) = l([FX, Y] + [X, FY]).$$

Proof. From equation (2.1) we have

$$N(X,Y) = [FX,FY] - F[FX,Y] - F[X,FY] + F^{2}[X,Y].$$

Since N(X, Y) = 0 we obtain

(3.2a)
$$[FX, FY] + F^{2}[X, Y] = F([FX, Y] + [X, FY])$$

operating (3.2a) by $(-)^{K} F^{K-2}$ we get (3.2b)

$$(-)^{K} F^{K-2}([FX, FY] + F^{2}[X, Y]) = (-)^{K} F^{K-2} F([FX, Y] + [X, FY])$$

= $(-)^{K} F^{K-1}([FX, Y] + [X, FY]).$

On making use of equation (1.2) we obtain (3.2), which proves Theorem (3.2). \Box

Theorem (3.3). The following identities hold

$$mN(X,Y) = m[FX,FY]$$

(3.4) $mN(F^{K-2}X,Y) = m[F^{K-1}X,FY].$

Proof. The proof of (3.3) and (3.4) follows by virtue of Theorems (1.1), (1.2) and equations (1.2) and (2.1).

Theorem (3.4). For any two vector fields X and Y the following conditions are equivalent.

a.
$$mN(X,Y) = 0$$

b. $m[FX, FY] = 0$
c. $mN(F^{K-2}X,Y) = 0$
d. $m[F^{K-1}X, FY] = 0$
e. $m[F^{K-1}lX, FY] = 0$

Proof. In consequence of equations (1.1), (1,2), (2.1) and Theorems (1.2) and (3.3), the above conditions can be proved to be equivalent. \Box

Theorem (3.5). If $F^{(K-1)/2}$ acts on L as an almost complex structure, then

(3.5)
$$m[F^{K-1}lX, FY] = M[-X, FY] = 0.$$

Proof. In view of equation (1.4), we see that $F^{(K-1)/2}$ acts on L as an almost complex structure then (3.5) follows in an obvious manner. To show that $m[F^{K-1}lX, FY] = 0$ we use Definition (2.1), i.e., [X,Y] = XY - YX where X, Y are c^{∞} vector fields and in view of equation (1.4a), the result follows directly.

Theorem (3.6). For $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(D_l)$ we have

$$l([X, FY] + [FX, Y]) = [X, FY] + [FX, Y].$$

Proof. Since [X, FY] and $[FX, Y] \in \mathcal{X} - (D_l)$. On making use of (1.4a) and Definition (2.1), we obtain the result. \Box

Theorem (3.7). The integrable *F*-structure satisfying (1.1) on *M* defines a *CR*-structure *H* on it such that $\operatorname{Re} H \equiv D_l$.

Proof. In view of the fact that [X, FY] and $[FX, Y] \in \mathcal{X}(D_l)$ and on using equations (3.1), (3.2) and Theorem (3.6) we have $[P, Q] \in \mathcal{X}(D_l)$. Thus every F structure satisfying (1.1) on M defines a CR-structure.

Definition (3.1). Let \widetilde{K} be the complementary distribution of $\operatorname{Re}(H)$ to TM. We define a morphism of vector bundles $F: TM \to TM$ given by F(X) = 0 for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(\widetilde{K})$, such that

(3.6)
$$F(X) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1} (P - \overline{P})$$

where $P = X + \sqrt{-1} Y \in \mathcal{X}(Hp)$ and \overline{P} is a complex conjugate of P.

Corollary 3.1. If $P = X + \sqrt{-1}Y$ and $\overline{P} = X - \sqrt{-1}Y$ belong to Hp and $F(X) = (1/2)\sqrt{-1}(P - \overline{P})$, $F(Y) = (1/2)(P + \overline{P})$ and $F(-Y) = -(1/2)(P + \overline{P})$, then F(X) = -Y, $F^2(X) = -X$ and F(-Y) = -X.

Proof. On using Definition (3.1) we have

$$F(X) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1} (X + \sqrt{-1} Y - X - \sqrt{-1} Y)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1} (2\sqrt{-1} Y) = -Y.$$

Thus, F(X) = -Y, which on operating by F yields

(3.7)
$$F(F(X)) = F(-Y).$$

But

$$F(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(X + \sqrt{-1} \ Y + X - \sqrt{-1} \ Y \right),$$

which on simplifying gives

$$F(Y) = X.$$

Also,

(3.8)
$$F(-Y) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(X + \sqrt{-1} Y + X - \sqrt{-1} Y \right)$$
$$= -X.$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$F^2(X) = -X.$$

Theorem (3.8). If M has a CR-structure H, then we have $F^{K} + (-)^{K+1}F = 0$ and consequently an F-structure is defined on M such that the distributions D_{l} and D_{m} coincide with $\operatorname{Re}(H)$ and K respectively.

Proof. Suppose M has a CR-structure on M. Then in view of Definition (3.1) and Corollary (3.1) we can write

operating (3.9) by $(-)^{K+1}F^{K-1}$ we get

$$(3.10) \qquad (-)^{K+1}F^{K-1}(F(X)) = (-)^{K+1}F^{K-1}(-Y).$$

We can write the right-hand side $r \cdot h \cdot s$ of (3.10) as follows:

(3.11)
$$(-)^{K+1}F^K(X) = (-)^{K+1}F^{K-2}(F(-Y)).$$

On making use of Corollary (3.1), the $(r \cdot h \cdot s)$ of the above equation (3.11) becomes

$$(-)^{K+1}F^{K}(X) = (-)^{K+1}F^{K-2}(-X)$$

= (-)(-)^{K+1}F^{K-2}(X), which can be written as
= (-)(-)^{K+1}F^{K-3}(F(X)),
which in view of Corollary (3.1) becomes
= (-)(-)^{K+1}F^{K-3}(-Y)
= (-)^{K+1}F^{K-3}(Y)
- - - - -
= (-)^{K+4}F^{K-5}(F(Y)).

We continue on simplifying in this manner K times. We get

$$(-)^{K+1}F^{K}(X) = (-)^{K+K}F^{K-(K+1)}(F(Y))$$

= Y
 $(-)^{K+1}F^{K}(X) = -F(X).$

On simplifying the above equation we get

$$F^{K}(X) + (-)^{K+1}F(X) = 0.$$

REFERENCES

1. A. Bejancu, *CR submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold*, 1, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1978), 135–142.

2. D.E. Blair and B.Y. Chen, On CR-submanifolds of Hermitian manifolds, Israel J. Math. 34 (1979), 353–363.

3. B.Y. Chen, Geometry of submanifolds, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.

4. Lovejoy S. Das, Submanifolds of F-structure satisfying $F^{K} + (-)^{K+1}F = 0$, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **26** (2001), 167–172.

5. S.I. Goldberg, On the existence of manifolds with an *f*-structure, Tensor, N.S. **26** (1972), 323–329.

6. J.B. Kim, Notes on f-manifolds, Tensor, N.S. 3 (1975), 299–302.

7. K. Yano, On a structure defined by a tensor field of type (1,1) satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Tensor, N.S. **14** (1963), 99–109.

8. K. Yano and S. Ishihara, On integrability of a structure F satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Quart. J. Math., Oxford, **25** (1964), 217–222.

9. K. Yano and Masahiro Kon, *Differential geometry of CR-submanifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **10** (1981), 369–391.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KENT STATE UNIVERSITY, TUSCARAWAS CAM-PUS, NEW PHILADELPHIA, OHIO 44663 *E-mail address:* ldas@tusc.kent.edu