# EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF HIGHER ORDER NONLINEAR NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 
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ABSTRACT. The neutral differential equation
(1.1) $\quad \frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}}[x(t)+h(t) x(t-\tau)]+\sigma f(t, x(g(t)))=0$
is considered under the following conditions: $n \geq 2 ; \sigma= \pm 1$; $\tau>0 ; h \in C\left[t_{0}-\tau, \infty\right) ; g \in C\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty ;$ $f \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \times(0, \infty)\right), f(t, u) \geq 0$ for $(t, u) \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \times(0, \infty)$, and $f(t, u)$ is nondecreasing in $u \in(0, \infty)$ for each fixed $t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. It is shown that, for the case where $h(t)>-1$ and $h(t)=h(t-\tau)$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, equation (1.1) has a positive solution $x(t)$ satisfying

$$
x(t)=\left[\frac{c}{1+h(t)}+o(1)\right] t^{k} \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

for some $c>0$ if and only if

$$
\int^{\infty} t^{n-k-1} f\left(t, a[g(t)]^{k}\right) d t<\infty \quad \text { for some } a>0
$$

Here $k$ is an integer with $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the higher order neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}}[x(t)+h(t) x(t-\tau)]+\sigma f(t, x(g(t)))=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geq 2, \sigma= \pm 1$ and $\tau>0$, and the following conditions (i)-(iii) are assumed:
(i) $h:\left[t_{0}-\tau, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous;

[^0](ii) $g:\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty$;
(iii) $f:\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \times(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous, $f(t, u) \geq 0$ for $(t, u) \in$ $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \times(0, \infty)$, and $f(t, u)$ is nondecreasing in $u \in(0, \infty)$ for each fixed $t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$.
By a solution of (1.1), we mean a function $x(t)$ which is continuous and satisfies (1.1) on $\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{x} \geq t_{0}$.
There has been much current interest in studying the problem of the existence of positive solutions of higher order neutral differential equations. We refer the reader to $[\mathbf{1}],[\mathbf{3}],[6],[8-15],[\mathbf{1 7}],[\mathbf{1 9 - 2 1}]$ for the existence of positive solutions and to $[\mathbf{2 - 6}],[8],[\mathbf{1 2}],[\mathbf{1 8}],[19]$ for the nonexistence of positive solutions. We note that neutral differential equations find numerous applications in natural science and technology. For instance, they are frequently used for the study of distributed networks containing lossless transmission lines. See, for example, Hale [7].
Consider neutral differential equations of the form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}}[x(t)+\lambda x(t-\tau)]+\sigma f(t, x(g(t)))=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $-1<\lambda<\infty$. It is known ([12] for the case $-1<\lambda<1$, [11] for the case $\lambda=1$ and $[\mathbf{1 7}]$ for the case $1<\lambda<\infty$ ) that (1.2) has a positive solution $x(t)$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{t^{k}}
$$

exists and is a positive finite value, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty} t^{n-k-1} f\left(t, a[g(t)]^{k}\right) d t<\infty \quad \text { for some } a>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above, $k$ is a nonnegative integer with $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. The purpose of this paper is to extend this result to equation (1.1) for the case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)>-1 \quad \text { and } \quad h(t)=h(t-\tau), \quad t \geq t_{0} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, (1.4) means that $h(t)$ is a $\tau$-periodic function satisfying $h(t)>-1, t \geq t_{0}$, and hence there are constants $\mu$ and $\lambda$ such that $-1<\mu \leq h(t) \leq \lambda<\infty$ for $t \geq t_{0}$.

We inductively define the functions $\omega_{k}(t), k=0,1,2, \ldots$, by

$$
\omega_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1+h(t)}, & k=0 \\ \frac{t^{k}}{1+h(t)}-\frac{h(t)}{1+h(t)} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{i}(-\tau)^{k-i} \omega_{i}(t), & k=1,2, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

Then we easily see that $\omega_{k}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\omega_{k}(t)+h(t) \omega_{k}(t-\tau)=t^{k}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{k}(t)=\left[\frac{1}{1+h(t)}+o(1)\right] t^{k} \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k=0,1,2, \ldots$. If $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$, a positive constant multiple of $\omega_{k}(t)$ is a positive solution of the unperturbed equation

$$
\frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}}[x(t)+h(t) x(t-\tau)]=0
$$

and so it is natural to expect that, if $f$ is small enough in some sense, (1.1) possesses a positive solution $x(t)$ which behaves like the function $c \omega_{k}(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, c>0$. Indeed, we have the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Suppose that (1.4) holds. Then (1.1) has a positive solution $x(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\left[\frac{c}{1+h(t)}+o(1)\right] t^{k} \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c>0$ if and only if (1.3) holds.
2. Proof of the Theorem. In this section we give the proof of the Theorem. First we prove the "only if" part of the theorem.

Proof of the "only if" part of the Theorem. Let $x(t)$ be a positive solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.6). Put $y(t)=x(t)+h(t) x(t-\tau)$.

From (1.1) it follows that $\sigma y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ for all large $t$. We conclude that $y^{(i)}(t), i=0,1,2, \ldots, n-1$, are eventually monotonic, and hence $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(i)}(t), i=0,1,2, \ldots, n-1$, exist in $\mathbf{R} \cup\{-\infty, \infty\}$. In view of (1.6), we easily see that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) / t^{k}=c$, so that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(k)}(t)=c k!$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(i)}(t)=0$ for $i=k+1, \ldots, n-1$. Repeated integration of (1.1) yields

$$
y^{(k)}(t)=c k!+(-1)^{n-k-1} \sigma \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{(s-t)^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!} f(s, x(g(s))) d s
$$

for all large $t$. Consequently we obtain

$$
\int^{\infty} s^{n-k-1} f(s, x(g(s))) d s<\infty
$$

By virtue of (1.6) and the monotonicity of $f$, we conclude that (1.3) holds.

Now let us show the "if" part of the theorem.

Let $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Suppose that (1.3) and (1.4) hold. We can take a sufficiently large number $T \geq t_{0}+k \tau$ and positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
h(T)=\max \left\{h(t): t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)\right\} \\
T_{*} \equiv \min \{T-\tau, \inf \{g(t): t \geq T-k \tau\}\}>\max \left\{t_{0}, 0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<c_{1} \leq \frac{\omega_{k}(t)}{t^{k}} \leq c_{2}, \quad t \geq T_{*} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of (1.5) it is possible to take $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ satisfying (2.1). Let $C\left[T_{*}, \infty\right)$ denote the Fréchet space of all continuous functions on $\left[T_{*}, \infty\right)$ with the topology of uniform convergence on every compact subinterval of $\left[T_{*}, \infty\right)$. Put

$$
\eta(t)=\tau^{k} \int_{t-k \tau}^{\infty} s^{n-k-1} f\left(s, a[g(s)]^{k}\right) d s, \quad t \geq T
$$

Then $\eta \in C[T, \infty), \eta(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq T$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta(t)=0$. We consider the set $Y_{0}$ of all functions $y \in C\left[T_{*}, \infty\right)$, which is nonincreasing on $[T, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$
y(t)=y(T) \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{*}, T\right], \quad 0 \leq y(t) \leq \eta(t) \quad \text { for } t \geq T .
$$

It is easy to check that $Y_{0}$ is a closed convex subset of $C\left[T_{*}, \infty\right)$. From the proposition in $[\mathbf{1 6}]$, a mapping $\Phi_{0}: Y_{0} \rightarrow C\left[T_{*}, \infty\right)$ exists which has the following properties:
(i) For each $y \in Y_{0}, \Phi_{0}[y]$ satisfies $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{0}[y](t)=0$ and

$$
\Phi_{0}[y](t)+h(t) \Phi_{0}[y](t-\tau)=y(t), \quad t \geq T
$$

(ii) $\Phi_{0}$ is continuous on $Y_{0}$ in the $C\left[T_{*}, \infty\right)$-topology, i.e., if $\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $Y_{0}$ converging to $y \in Y_{0}$ uniformly on every compact subinterval of $\left[T_{*}, \infty\right)$, then $\Phi_{0}\left[y_{j}\right]$ converges to $\Phi_{0}[y]$ uniformly on every compact subinterval of $\left[T_{*}, \infty\right)$.

We use the following notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta[u](t)=u(t)-u(t-\tau) ; \\
& \Delta^{0}[u]=u ; \quad \Delta^{j}[u]=\Delta^{j-1}[\Delta[u]], \quad j=1,2, \ldots, .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the sets $Y_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$, inductively as follows:

$$
Y_{i}=\left\{y \in C\left[T_{*}-i \tau, \infty\right): \Delta[y] \in Y_{i-1}\right\}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots
$$

We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}=\left\{y \in C\left[T_{*}-i \tau, \infty\right): \Delta^{i}[y] \in Y_{0}\right\}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $Y_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$, are closed convex subsets of $C\left[T_{*}-i \tau, \infty\right)$. For each $y \in Y_{i}$, we define the functions $\Phi_{i}[y], i=1,2, \ldots$, on $\left[T_{*}, \infty\right)$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi_{i}[y](t)= \begin{cases}\frac{y(t)}{1+h(t)}+\frac{h(t)}{1+h(t)} \Phi_{i-1}[\Delta[y]](t) & t \geq T-\tau \\
\Phi_{i}[y](T-\tau) & t \in\left[T_{*}, T-\tau\right] \\
i=1,2, \ldots\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

The method of induction shows that, for every $i \in\{1,2, \ldots\}, \Phi_{i}$ is well defined on $Y_{i}$ and is continuous on $Y_{i}$ in the $C\left[T_{*}-i \tau, \infty\right)$-topology and satisfies

$$
\Phi_{i}[y](t)+h(t) \Phi_{i}[y](t-\tau)=y(t), \quad t \geq T, \quad y \in Y_{i}
$$

We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $i \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. Assume that $y \in Y_{i}$ and $y(t) / t^{i} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\Phi_{i}[y](t) / t^{i} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Note that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{0}[y](t) / t^{0}=0$ for each $y \in Y_{0}$. The conclusion follows from induction on $i$.

Lemma 2. Let $i \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$. Suppose that $u \in C^{i}\left[t_{1}-i \tau, \infty\right)$. Then, for every $t \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$, there is a number $\alpha \in(t-i \tau, t)$ such that $\Delta^{i}[u](t)=\tau^{i} u^{(i)}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Let $t \geq t_{1}$ be arbitrary. Note that $\left(\Delta^{l}[u]\right)^{\prime}(t)=\left(\Delta^{l}\left[u^{\prime}\right]\right)(t)$ for $l=0,1,2, \ldots$. By the mean value theorem, there is a number $\alpha_{1} \in(t-\tau, t)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{i}[u](t) & =\Delta^{i-1}[u](t)-\Delta^{i-1}[u](t-\tau) \\
& =\tau\left(\Delta^{i-1}[u]\right)^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \\
& =\tau \Delta^{i-1}\left[u^{\prime}\right]\left(\alpha_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In exactly the same way, we obtain

$$
\Delta^{i-1}\left[u^{\prime}\right]\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\tau \Delta^{i-2}\left[u^{\prime \prime}\right]\left(\alpha_{2}\right)
$$

for some $\alpha_{2} \in\left(\alpha_{1}-\tau, \alpha_{1}\right)$ and there are numbers $\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}$ such that $\alpha_{l} \in\left(\alpha_{l-1}-\tau, \alpha_{l-1}\right)$ and

$$
\Delta^{i-(l-1)}\left[u^{(l-1)}\right]\left(\alpha_{l-1}\right)=\tau \Delta^{i-l}\left[u^{(l)}\right]\left(\alpha_{l}\right), \quad l=3,4, \ldots, i
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{i}[u](t) & =\tau \Delta^{i-1}\left[u^{\prime}\right]\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\tau^{2} \Delta^{i-2}\left[u^{\prime \prime}\right]\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\cdots \\
& =\tau^{i} \Delta^{i-i}\left[u^{(i)}\right]\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\tau^{i} u^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\alpha_{i-1}-\tau, \alpha_{i-1}\right) & \subset\left(\alpha_{i-2}-2 \tau, \alpha_{i-2}\right) \subset \cdots \\
& \subset\left(\alpha_{1}-(i-1) \tau, \alpha_{1}\right) \subset(t-i \tau, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that $\alpha_{i} \in(t-i \tau, t)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3. Let $i \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$ and $\bar{T} \geq \bar{T}_{*}$. Suppose that $u \in$ $C^{i}\left[\bar{T}_{*}-i \tau, \infty\right)$.
(i) If $u^{(i)}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq \bar{T}-i \tau$, then $\Delta^{i}[u](t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq \bar{T}$.
(ii) Assume that $v \in C[\bar{T}, \infty)$. If $u^{(i)}(t) \leq \tau^{-i} v(t+i \tau)$ for $t \geq \bar{T}-i \tau$ and $v(t)$ is nonincreasing on $[\bar{T}, \infty)$, then $\Delta^{i}[u](t) \leq v(t)$ for $t \geq \bar{T}$.
(iii) If $u^{(i)}(t)$ is nonincreasing on $[\bar{T}-i \tau, \infty)$, then $\Delta^{i}[u](t)$ is nonincreasing on $[\bar{T}, \infty)$.
(iv) If $u^{(i)}(t)=u^{(i)}(\bar{T})$ for $t \in\left[\bar{T}_{*}-i \tau, \bar{T}\right]$, then $\Delta^{i}[u](t)=\Delta^{i}[u](\bar{T})$ for $t \in\left[\bar{T}_{*}, \bar{T}\right]$.

Proof. (i) The conclusion follows from Lemma 2.
(ii) Put

$$
V(t)=\tau^{-i} \int_{\bar{T}}^{t+i \tau} \frac{(t+i \tau-s)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} v(s) d s
$$

for $t \geq \bar{T}-i \tau$ and $w(t)=V(t)-u(t)$ for $t \geq \bar{T}-i \tau$. Then $w^{(i)}(t)=\tau^{-i} v(t+i \tau)-u^{(i)}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq \bar{T}-i \tau$. In view of (i), we have $\Delta^{i}[w](t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq \bar{T}$. We note that $\Delta^{i}[w](t)=\Delta^{i}[V](t)-\Delta^{i}[u](t)$. Lemma 2 implies that

$$
\Delta^{i}[u](t) \leq \Delta^{i}[V](t)=\tau^{i} V^{(i)}(\alpha)=v(\alpha+i \tau), \quad t \geq \bar{T}
$$

for some $\alpha \in(t-i \tau, t)$. Since $v$ is nonincreasing on $[\bar{T}, \infty)$, we get

$$
\Delta^{i}[u](t) \leq v(t), \quad t \geq \bar{T}
$$

(iii) Let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrary. Set $z(t)=u(t)-u(t+\varepsilon)$. Since $u^{(i)}(t)$ is nonincreasing on $[\bar{T}-i \tau, \infty)$, we have $z^{(i)}(t)=u^{(i)}(t)-u^{(i)}(t+\varepsilon) \geq 0$ for $t \geq \bar{T}-i \tau$. From (i), we obtain

$$
\Delta^{i}[u](t)-\Delta^{i}[u](t+\varepsilon)=\Delta^{i}[z](t) \geq 0, \quad t \geq \bar{T}
$$

Consequently, $\Delta^{i}[u](t)$ is nonincreasing on $[\bar{T}, \infty)$.
(iv) Let $t \in\left[\bar{T}_{*}, \bar{T}\right]$. By virtue of Lemma 2 there is a number $\alpha \in(t-i \tau, t)$ such that $\Delta^{i}[u](t)=\tau^{i} u^{(i)}(\alpha)$. Since $u^{(i)}(s)=u^{(i)}(\bar{T})$ for $s \in\left[\bar{T}_{*}-i \tau, \bar{T}\right]$ and $\alpha \in\left[\bar{T}_{*}-i \tau, \bar{T}\right]$, we have $\Delta^{i}[u](t)=\tau^{i} u^{(i)}(\bar{T})$. In particular, $\Delta^{i}[u](\bar{T})=\tau^{i} u^{(i)}(\bar{T})$. Hence $\Delta^{i}[u](t)=\Delta^{i}[u](\bar{T})$ for $t \in\left[\bar{T}_{*}, \bar{T}\right]$.

Lemma 4. Let $i \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$. Assume that $u \in C^{i}\left[T_{*}-i \tau, \infty\right)$ and $u^{(i)}(t)$ is nonincreasing on $[T-i \tau, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$
0 \leq u^{(i)}(t) \leq \tau^{-i} \eta(t+i \tau), \quad t \geq T-i \tau
$$

and

$$
u^{(i)}(t)=u^{(i)}(T), \quad t \in\left[T_{*}-i \tau, T\right]
$$

Then $u \in Y_{i}$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 3, we easily see that $\Delta^{i}[u] \in Y_{0}$. From (2.2) it follows that $u \in Y_{i}$.

Proof of the "if" part of the Theorem. From (2.1) there are constants $c>0, \delta>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<(\delta+\varepsilon) t^{k} \leq c \omega_{k}(t) \leq(a-\varepsilon) t^{k}, \quad t \geq T_{*} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $a$ is a number in the integral condition (1.3). For each $y \in Y_{k}$ we denote the function $\Psi[y](t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi[y](t)=c \omega_{k}(t)+(-1)^{n-k-1} \sigma \Phi_{k}[y](t), \quad t \geq T_{*} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\Psi$ is continuous on $Y_{k}$ in the $C\left[T_{*}-k \tau, \infty\right)$-topology and that, for each $y \in Y_{k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi[y](t)+h(t) \Psi[y](t-\tau)=c t^{k}+(-1)^{n-k-1} \sigma y(t), \quad t \geq T \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the mapping $I: Y_{k} \longrightarrow C\left[T_{*}-k \tau, \infty\right)$ as follows:

$$
I[y](t)= \begin{cases}\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \varphi(s, \Psi[y](g(s))) d s, & t \geq T \\ I[y](T), & t \in\left[T_{*}-k \tau, T\right]\end{cases}
$$

for the case $k=0$ and

$$
I[y](t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{T}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{(r-s)^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!} \varphi(r, \Psi[y](g(r))) d r d s \\
t \geq T \\
\frac{(t-T)^{k}}{k!} \int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{(r-T)^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!} \varphi(r, \Psi[y](g(r))) d r \\
t \in\left[T_{*}-k \tau, T\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

for the case $k \neq 0$, where

$$
\varphi(t, u)= \begin{cases}f\left(t, a[g(t)]^{k}\right), & u \geq a[g(t)]^{k} \\ f(t, u), & \delta[g(t)]^{k} \leq u \leq a[g(t)]^{k} \\ f\left(t, \delta[g(t)]^{k}\right), & u \leq \delta[g(t)]^{k}\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to check that $I$ is well defined and, if $k=0$, then $I$ maps $Y_{0}$ into itself. We observe that, for each $y \in Y_{k}$, if $k \neq 0$,
$(I[y])^{(k)}(t)= \begin{cases}\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{(r-t)^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!} \Phi(r, \Psi[y](g(r))) d r, & t \geq T, \\ \int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{(r-T)^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!} \varphi(r, \Psi[y](g(r))) d r, & t \in\left[T_{*}-k \tau, T\right],\end{cases}$
so that $(I[y])^{(k)}(t)$ is nonincreasing on $[T-k \tau, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq(I[y])^{(k)}(t) \leq \tau^{-k} \eta(t+k \tau), \quad t \geq T-k \tau \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
(I[y])^{(k)}(t)=(I[y])^{(k)}(T), \quad t \in\left[T_{*}-k \tau, T\right]
$$

From Lemma 4 it follows that if $k \neq 0$, then $I[y] \in Y_{k}$ for every $y \in Y_{k}$, and hence $I$ maps $Y_{k}$ into itself.
The Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem shows that $I$ is continuous on $Y_{k}$.
Since $\left\{(I[y])^{\prime}(t): y \in Y_{k}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded on every compact subinterval of $\left[T_{*}-k \tau, \infty\right)$, the mean value theorem implies that $\left\{(I[y])(t): y \in Y_{k}\right\}$ is equicontinuous on every compact subinterval of $\left[T_{*}-k \tau, \infty\right)$. Obviously, $\left\{(I[y])(t): y \in Y_{k}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded on
every compact subinterval of $\left[T_{*}-k \tau, \infty\right)$. Hence, by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, $\left\{(I[y])(t): y \in Y_{k}\right\}$ is relatively compact.

Consequently we are able to apply the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem to the operator $I$ and so an element $\tilde{y} \in Y_{k}$ exists such that $\tilde{y}=I[\tilde{y}]$. Set $x(t)=\Psi[\tilde{y}](t)$. The inequality (2.6) and the fact that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta(t)=0$ lead us to $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y}(t) / t^{k}=0$. In view of Lemma 1 together with (1.5), (2.4) and (2.3), we find that $x(t)$ satisfies (1.6) and $\delta[g(t)]^{k} \leq x(g(t)) \leq a[g(t)]^{k}$ for all large $t$, say $t \geq \widetilde{T}$, so that $\varphi(t, x(g(t)))=f(t, x(g(t)))$ for $t \geq \widetilde{T}$. From (2.5) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(t)+h(t) x(t-\tau) \\
& \quad=c t^{k}+(-1)^{n-k-1} \sigma I[\tilde{y}](t) \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{(r-s)^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!} \varphi(r, x(g(r))) d r d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \geq \widetilde{T}$. Differentiation of the above equality yields

$$
\frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}}[x(t)+h(t) x(t-\tau)]=-\sigma \varphi(t, x(g(t)))=-\sigma f(t, x(g(t)))
$$

for $t \geq \widetilde{T}$. This means that $x(t)$ is a solution of (1.1). The proof of the "if" part is complete.
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