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#### Abstract

We will determine all universal integral lattices on binary positive definite Hermitian spaces over arbitrary imaginary quadratic fields, where a positive definite lattice is said to be 'universal' if it represents all positive rational integers. A.G. Earnest and A. Khosravani determined universal binary Hermitian lattices when the imaginary quadratic fields have class number 1 . In this paper we will extend the result to the case of fields with arbitrary class numbers and obtain nine new universal binary Hermitian lattices up to equivalence, including nonfree lattices.


1. Introduction. Lagrange [5] proved that any positive rational integer is a sum of four squares. In other words, the quaternary quadratic form $f(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+w^{2}$ represents all positive rational integers. We call such a positive definite form a universal form. Ramanujan [7] showed that there are 55 universal diagonal quaternary quadratic forms in all. More generally, we know that there are only a finite number of universal integral forms with cross products but have not yet determined them completely, when they have an odd cross product. Recently, Earnest and Khosravani [2] investigated the similar problem for binary classic integral Hermitian forms over the imaginary quadratic fields of class number one and determined the classes of universal forms in the case. We say a lattice or a form is classic integral if its scale ideal is integral. As for the representation of numbers, binary Hermitian forms over quadratic fields can be regarded as quaternary quadratic forms over the rational number field. Accordingly, universal binary Hermitian forms provide universal quaternary quadratic forms, which may not be classic integral whereas Hermitian forms are classic. In this paper we will determine all universal binary Hermitian lattices for arbitrary imaginary quadratic fields.

In Section 2 we will give a correspondence of Hermitian lattices to quadratic lattices. In Section 3 we will obtain universal and potentially

[^0]universal lattices by checking the representability of a few certain integers and state the main theorem which lists all universal Hermitian lattices for imaginary quadratic fields with class number greater than or equal to 2 . Then we will show a proposition which is needed to prove the theorem. In the last section we will show the universality of some nonclassic quadratic forms to complete the proof of the theorem.
2. Hermitian lattices and quadratic lattices. We establish the correspondence of a quadratic form over the rational number field $\mathbf{Q}$ to a Hermitian form over an imaginary quadratic field. Notation for lattices and spaces is due to O'Meara's book [6]. Let $F$ denote an imaginary quadratic field over $\mathbf{Q}$ and $m<0$ be a square-free integer for which $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. We write a mapping: $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ for the nontrivial involutive $\mathbf{Q}$-automorphism of $F$. The ring $\mathfrak{o}$ of integers in $F$ has a basis $[1, \omega]$ as a Z-module of rank 2 , where $\omega:=\omega_{-m}=(1+\sqrt{m}) / 2$ if $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ or $\omega_{-m}=\sqrt{m}$ if $m \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$. Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional Hermitian space over $F$ with nondegenerate Hermitian form $H$ and $L$ an $\mathfrak{o}$-lattice on $V$. Consider the corresponding $2 n$ dimensional quadratic space $\tilde{V}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ to $V$ as defined in Jacobson [4]. Taking an $F$-basis $\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right]$ of $V$, we can regard $V$ as a $2 n$ dimensional vector space $\tilde{V}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ with a basis $\left[u_{1}, \omega u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \omega u_{n}\right]$. We associate a symmetric bilinear form $B(x, y)=\{H(x, y)+H(y, x)\} / 2$ to $\tilde{V}$ as to be a quadratic space over $\mathbf{Q}$. The associated quadratic form $Q$ is also defined by $Q(u):=B(u, u)$ for $u \in \tilde{V}$. A Hermitian lattice $L$ on $V$ is a finitely generated $\mathfrak{o}$-module in $V$, which is represented as $L=\mathfrak{p}_{1} u_{1}+\mathfrak{p}_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+\mathfrak{p}_{n} u_{n}$ for fractional ideals $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ of $F$ and an $F$-basis $\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right]$. Each $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ has a $\mathbf{Z}$-basis $\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]$. Using the above correspondence of $\tilde{V}$ to $V$, we obtain a quadratic $\mathbf{Z}$-lattice $\tilde{L}$ on $\tilde{V}$ :
$$
\tilde{L}=\mathbf{Z} \alpha_{1} u_{1}+\mathbf{Z} \beta_{1} u_{1}+\mathbf{Z} \alpha_{2} u_{2}+\mathbf{Z} \beta_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+\mathbf{Z} \alpha_{n} u_{n}+\mathbf{Z} \beta_{n} u_{n}
$$

We let $\mathfrak{v} L$ and $d \tilde{L}$ denote the volume ideal of $L$ and the discriminant of the corresponding $\tilde{L}$, respectively. Then we have

$$
\mathfrak{v} L=\operatorname{det}\left(H\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)\right) \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{1} \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{1} \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{2} \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{2} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{n} \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{n}
$$

and

$$
d \tilde{L}=\{\operatorname{disc}(F / \mathbf{Q}) / 4\}^{2} N(\mathfrak{v} L)
$$

where $\operatorname{disc}(F / \mathbf{Q})$ denotes the discriminant of $F$ over $\mathbf{Q}$, and $N(\mathfrak{a})$ the absolute norm of a fractional ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. Note that $\tilde{L}$ satisfies $Q(\tilde{L})=$
$H(L)$, and $L$ is a universal binary Hermitian lattice if and only if $\tilde{L}$ is a universal quaternary quadratic lattice. In [3], Earnest and Khosravani showed that the discriminant of a universal positive definite integral quaternary quadratic form over $\mathbf{Z}$ cannot exceed 1073/4, which implies that $|\operatorname{disc}(F / \mathbf{Q})|$ is less than 65 . We look for imaginary quadratic fields with a class number greater than or equal to 2 and a discriminant greater than -65 . There are 14 such fields: $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ for $m=-5,-6$, $-10,-13,-14,-15,-23,-31,-35,-39,-47,-51,-55,-59$.
3. Universal Hermitian lattices. We suppose that $L$ is a universal classic integral Hermitian lattice on a positive definite binary Hermitian space $V$, where an $\mathfrak{o}$-lattice $L$ is said to be classic integral if $H(u, v) \in \mathfrak{o}$ for any $u, v$ in $L$. From the universality of $L$, we see that a vector $u$ exists in $L$ such that $H(u)=1$ and $\mathfrak{o u} \subset L$ is an orthogonal component of $L$. We can choose an appropriate vector $v$ in $V$ such that $[u, v]$ is a basis of $V$ and $L=\mathfrak{o} u \perp \mathfrak{p} v$ where $\mathfrak{p}$ is an element of fixed representatives of the ideal class group of $F$. Then elements $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ exist such that $H(\alpha u+\beta v)=|\alpha|^{2}+|\beta|^{2} H(v)=2$, because $L$ represents 2. Put $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}):=\left\{|\alpha|^{2}: \alpha \neq 0\right.$ and $\left.\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}\right\}$ and $m(\mathfrak{a})=\min \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a})$ for a fractional ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. In any field listed at the end of the last section, the set $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{o})$ does not contain 2 , so we have an inequality $H(v) \leqq 2 / m(\mathfrak{p})$. Because $L$ is classic integral, we see that $H\left(\beta_{1} v, \beta_{2} v\right)=\beta_{1} \bar{\beta}_{2} H(v) \in \mathfrak{o}$ for any $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$, which provides that $H(v)$ is in $N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}$. It follows that there are only a few lattices which we have to check on the universality. We will treat a quaternary quadratic form $f(x, y, z, w)$ associated with a quadratic lattice $\tilde{L}$, and check whether it represents integers $2,3,5,13$ or not.
First we consider the case where $L$ is a free $\mathfrak{o}$-lattice. We can assume that $L=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{o} v, H(u)=1, H(u, v)=0, H(v)=1$ or 2 . If disc $(F / \mathbf{Q})$ is not equal to -20 then the set $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{o})$ contains neither 3 nor 5 . If $H(v)=1$ then $L$ does not represent 3, and if $H(v)=2$ then $L$ does not represent 5 . Thus, no universal lattice exists except for the case of $\operatorname{disc}(F / \mathbf{Q})=-20(m=-5)$. In this case, if $H(v)=1$ then $L$ does not represent 3, and if $H(v)=2$, then the associated quadratic form with $\tilde{L}$ equals $f(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+5 z^{2}+10 w^{2}$, which is listed in $[\mathbf{7}]$.
Next we consider the nonfree case, in which $L=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v$. An ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is nonprincipal, and we write it $[\alpha, \beta]$ which is a basis of $\mathfrak{p}$ as a $\mathbf{Z}$-module. We can assume that $\mathfrak{p}$ is integral and that $u \in L$ and $v \in V$ satisfy
$H(u)=1, H(u, v)=0, H(v) \in N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}$ in what follows.
In the case of $m=-5$, the class number of $F$ is equal to 2 , so we set $\mathfrak{p}=[2,1+\omega], m(\mathfrak{p})=4$. Since $H(v) \in(1 / 2) \mathbf{Z}$ and $H(v) \leqq 1 / 2$, $H(v)$ is equal to $1 / 2$. In this case, the quadratic form associated with $\tilde{L}$ is $f(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+2 y z+3 z^{2}+5 w^{2}$. It is in one class genus, therefore its universality is proven from local conditions.

In the case of $m=-6$, there is only one universal lattice: $L=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v$ $(\mathfrak{p}=[2, \omega], H(v)=1 / 2)$. The quadratic form associated with $\tilde{L}$ is $f(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+3 z^{2}+6 w^{2}$, which is listed in $[7]$.

In the case of $m=-10$, there is only one universal lattice: $L=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v$ $(\mathfrak{p}=[2, \omega], H(v)=1 / 2)$. The associated form $f(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+$ $5 z^{2}+10 w^{2}$ is universal as is listed in [7].

In the case of $m=-13$ or -14 , no lattices represent all of 2,3 , and 5.

In the case of $m=-15, L=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v(\mathfrak{p}=[2, \omega], H(v)=1 / 2)$ is potentially universal. The associated form is $f(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+$ $y^{2}+y z+2 z^{2}+x w+4 w^{2}$, which has not been shown to be universal yet. The other lattices are not universal.

In the case of $m=-23$, both $L=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v(\mathfrak{p}=[2, \omega], H(v)=1 / 2)$ and $L^{\prime}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v(\mathfrak{p}=[2, \bar{\omega}], H(v)=1 / 2)$ are potentially universal. They are not equivalent, but their corresponding quadratic lattices are equivalent. The associated quadratic form is $f(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+$ $y z+3 z^{2}+x w+6 w^{2}$. The other lattices are not universal.

In the case of $m=-31$, both $L=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v(\mathfrak{p}=[2,1+\omega]$, $H(v)=1 / 2)$ and $L^{\prime}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v(\mathfrak{p}=[2,-2+\omega], H(v)=1 / 2)$ are potentially universal. Their associated forms coincide. It is $f(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+4 z^{2}+x w+8 w^{2}$. The other lattices are not universal.

In the case of $m<-35$, any lattice does not represent at least one of four integers, $2,3,5,13$. Thus any more universal lattice does not exist.

Consequently, we obtained four universal and five potentially universal lattices. Here we state our theorem.

Theorem. Let L be a classic integral Hermitian lattice on a binary positive definite Hermitian space over an arbitrary imaginary quadratic field with class number greater than or equal to 2. If $L$ represents all positive integers, $L$ is equivalent to one of the following lattices:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
L_{1}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{o} v & (H(v)=2) & \text { in } \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-5}), \\
L_{2}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v & \left(H(v)=1 / 2, \mathfrak{p}=\left[2,1+\omega_{5}\right]\right) & \text { in } \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-5}), \\
L_{3}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v & \left(H(v)=1 / 2, \mathfrak{p}=\left[2, \omega_{6}\right]\right) & \text { in } \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-6}), \\
L_{4}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v & \left(H(v)=1 / 2, \mathfrak{p}=\left[2, \omega_{10}\right]\right) & \text { in } \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-10}), \\
L_{5}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v & \left(H(v)=1 / 2, \mathfrak{p}=\left[2, \omega_{15}\right]\right) & \text { in } \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-15}), \\
L_{6}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v & \left(H(v)=1 / 2, \mathfrak{p}=\left[2, \omega_{23}\right]\right) & \text { in } \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-23}), \\
L_{7}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v & \left(H(v)=1 / 2, \mathfrak{p}=\left[2, \bar{\omega}_{23}\right]\right) & \text { in } \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-23}), \\
L_{8}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v & \left(H(v)=1 / 2, \mathfrak{p}=\left[2,1+\omega_{31}\right]\right) & \text { in } \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-31}), \\
L_{9}=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v & \left(H(v)=1 / 2, \mathfrak{p}=\left[2,-2+\omega_{31}\right]\right) & \text { in } \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-31}),
\end{array}
$$

where $H(u)=1, H(u, v)=0$ in any case.
Conversely, these nine lattices represent all positive integers.

The former part of the Theorem has already been verified. To prove the latter part, we have to show that the last five lattices are universal. These are $L=\mathfrak{o} u+\mathfrak{p} v\left(H(u)=1, H(u, v)=0, H(v)=N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}\right)$ with which associated Hermitian forms are $|\alpha|^{2}+N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}|\beta|^{2}(\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}, \beta \in \mathfrak{p})$. The numbers represented by such a form are multiplicatively closed, as to be shown in the following proposition. It plays an important role in the proof of the theorem.

Proposition 1. If $H(\alpha, \beta)=|\alpha|^{2}+N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}|\beta|^{2}(\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}, \beta \in \mathfrak{p})$ then

$$
n_{1} n_{2} \in H(L) \quad \text { for any } n_{1}, n_{2} \in H(L)
$$

Proof. Put $p=N(\mathfrak{p})$ for brevity. We call a pair $(\alpha, \beta),(\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}, \beta \in \mathfrak{p})$ a representation of a number $k$ if $H(\alpha, \beta)=k$. We let $\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)$ be a
representation of any elements $n_{i}$ in $H(L), i=1,2$. We obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{1} n_{2} & =\left(\left|\alpha_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(\left|\alpha_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\left|\beta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\left|\alpha_{1}\right|^{2}\left|\alpha_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p^{2}}\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}\left|\beta_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\left\{\left|\alpha_{1}\right|^{2}\left|\beta_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|\alpha_{2}\right|^{2}\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}\right\} \\
& =\left|\alpha_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{2}+\frac{1}{p} \beta_{1} \bar{\beta}_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\left|\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\alpha_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{2}+p^{-1} \beta_{1} \bar{\beta}_{2} \in \mathfrak{o}, \alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \in \mathfrak{p}$ because of $\alpha_{i} \in \mathfrak{o}, \beta_{i} \in \mathfrak{p}$. Hence, $\left(\alpha_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{2}+p^{-1} \beta_{1} \bar{\beta}_{2}, \alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}\right)$ is a representation of $n_{1} n_{2}$.

Then we only have to show that each form represents all prime integers. This will be done in the following section.
4. Universality of quadratic forms. In the previous section, we obtained potentially universal Hermitian lattices, whose associated quadratic forms are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{15}(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+2 z^{2}+x w+4 w^{2}, \\
& f_{23}(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+3 z^{2}+x w+6 w^{2} \\
& f_{31}(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+4 z^{2}+x w+8 w^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can show the universality of the form $f_{15}$ immediately using some of the included ternary forms in $f_{15}$. We consider the following two ternary forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(x, y, z):=f_{15}(x, y, z, 0)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+2 z^{2} \\
& g_{2}(x, y, z):=f_{15}(x, z, z, y)=x^{2}+x y+4 y^{2}+5 z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the results of Watson [9] and Schulze-Pillot [8], the form $g_{1}$ can represent all positive integers but ones in the form $5^{2 \mu+1} \nu(\mu \geqq 0$, $\operatorname{gcd}(5, \nu)=1,(\nu / 5)=-1)$ and $g_{2}$ can represent all but in the form $5^{2 \mu} \nu(\mu \geqq 0, \operatorname{gcd}(5, \nu)=1,(\nu / 5)=-1)$ where $(q / p)$ denotes the Legendre symbol. There are no integers which cannot be represented by $g_{1}$ nor $g_{2}$. Hence $f_{15}$ represents all positive integers.

Our problem for the two remainder forms is more complicated. By means of Proposition 1 it is enough to show that they represent every
prime integer. First we note the well-known fact: The binary quadratic form $x^{2}+4 y^{2}$ represents any prime integer $p$ such that $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Because both of the two forms represent this binary form as

$$
f_{23}(x, y,-y, 0)=f_{31}(x, 0, y, 0)=x^{2}+4 y^{2},
$$

they can represent all prime integers congruent to 1 modulo 4 . To show the representations of prime integers congruent to 3 modulo 4, we define two ternary forms represented by $f_{23}$ and $f_{31}$ respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{23}(x, y, z):=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+3 z^{2}, \\
& h_{31}(x, y, z):=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+4 z^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following lemma about these two ternary forms.
Lemma. If a positive integer $k$ is coprime to 23 , then the form $h_{23}$ represents $4 k$. If $k$ is coprime to 31 , then $h_{31}$ represents $4 k$.

Proof. The genus of $h_{23}$ consists of three classes and their representatives are $h_{23}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x, y, z):=x^{2}+y^{2}+x z+6 z^{2}, \\
& \phi_{2}(x, y, z):=x^{2}+x y+y^{2}+x z+8 z^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which are given in Brandt and Intrau [1]. In view of localization at each prime, if $k$ is coprime to 23 , then the genus represents $k$, that is, at least one of these three forms represents $k$. If $h_{23}$ represents $k$, then $h_{23}$ represents $4 k$, too. If $\phi_{1}$ represents $k$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =x^{2}+y^{2}+x z+6 z^{2}, \\
4 k & =4 x^{2}+4 y^{2}+4 x z+24 z^{2}, \\
& =(2 y)^{2}+2(-x+2 z)^{2}+(-x+2 z)(x+2 z)+3(x+2 z)^{2} \\
& =h_{23}(2 y,-x+2 z, x+2 z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\phi_{2}$ represents $k$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =x^{2}+x y+y^{2}+x z+8 z^{2}, \\
4 k & =4 x^{2}+4 x y+4 y^{2}+4 x z+32 z^{2} \\
& =(x+2 y)^{2}+2(4 z)^{2}+(4 z) x+3 x^{2} \\
& =h_{23}(x+2 y, 4 z, x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $h_{23}$ represents $4 k$ for any integer $k$ coprime to 23 . As for $h_{31}$, we can prove in a similar way. The genus of $h_{31}$ also consists of three classes, whose representatives are $h_{31}, x^{2}+y^{2}+x z+8 z^{2}$ and $x^{2}+x y+2 y^{2}+2 y z+5 z^{2}$. If $k$ is coprime to 31 , then $h_{31}$ represents $k$ or

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =x^{2}+y^{2}+x z+8 z^{2} \\
4 k & =4 x^{2}+4 y^{2}+4 x z+32 z^{2} \\
& =(2 y)^{2}+2(4 z)^{2}+(4 z) x+4 x^{2} \\
& =h_{31}(2 y, 4 z, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =x^{2}+x y+2 y^{2}+2 y z+5 z^{2} \\
4 k & =4 x^{2}+4 x y+8 y^{2}+8 y z+20 z^{2} \\
& =(2 x+y)^{2}+2(y-2 z)^{2}+(y-2 z)(y+2 z)+4(y+2 z)^{2}, \\
& =h_{31}(2 x+y, y-2 z, y+2 z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If a prime integer $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $p>23$, then $p=4 k+23$ for a positive integer $k$ coprime to 23 . As a result of the Lemma the form $h_{23}$ represents $4 k$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{23}(x-1, y, z, 2) & =(x-1)^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+3 z^{2}+2(x-1)+6 \cdot 2^{2} \\
& =x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+3 z^{2}+23 \\
& =h_{23}(x, y, z)+23
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f_{23}$ represents $p$. Similarly, if $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $p>31$, then $p=4 k+31$ for a positive integer $k$ coprime to 31 . The form $h_{31}$ represents $4 k$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{31}(x-1, y, z, 2) & =(x-1)^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+4 z^{2}+2(x-1)+8 \cdot 2^{2} \\
& =x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+4 z^{2}+31 \\
& =h_{31}(x, y, z)+31
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f_{31}$ represents $p$. As we can verify that $f_{23}$ and $f_{31}$ represent all integers less than 31 , we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2. The quaternary quadratic forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{15}(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+2 z^{2}+x w+4 w^{2} \\
& f_{23}(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+3 z^{2}+x w+6 w^{2} \\
& f_{31}(x, y, z, w)=x^{2}+2 y^{2}+y z+4 z^{2}+x w+8 w^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

are all universal.

This completes the proof of the Theorem.
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