ROCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 31, Number 4, Winter 2001

## FAMILIES OF MAXIMAL SUBBUNDLES OF STABLE VECTOR BUNDLES ON CURVES

EDOARDO BALLICO AND BARBARA RUSSO

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus  $g \geq 2$ , and let E be a vector bundle on X. Let  $M_k(E)$  be the scheme of all rank k subbundles of E with maximal degree. For every integer r, k and x with 0 < k < r and either  $2k \leq r$  and  $0 \leq x \leq (k-1)(r-2k+1)$  or 2k > r and  $0 \leq x \leq (r-k-1)(2k-r+1)$ , we construct a rank r stable vector bundle E such that  $M_k(E)$  has an irreducible component of dimension x. Furthermore, if there exists a stable vector bundle F with small Lange's invariant  $s_k(F)$  and with  $M_k(F)$  'spread enough,' then X is a multiple covering of a curve of genus bigger than 2.

1. Introduction. Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus  $g \geq 2$  defined over an algebraically closed field **K**. In this paper we study the rank r stable vector bundles, E, on X such that for some integer k with 0 < k < r, E has a 'large' family of subbundles with rank k and maximal degree. For positive integers r, d let M(X; r, d) be the moduli space of stable vector bundles on X of rank r and degree d. It is well known that M(X; r, d) is smooth and irreducible. For a positive integer k with 0 < k < r, let  $M_k(E)$  be the set of all rank k subbundles of E with maximal degree. Being a Quot-scheme,  $M_k(E)$  has a natural scheme-structure. For the intent of this paper we will only need to consider its reduced structure. Indeed, we are interested in finding a stable vector bundle E such that  $M_k(E)$  has an irreducible component with prescribed dimension. Since every element in  $M_k(E)$  has maximal degree, the scheme  $M_k(E)$  is complete. Hence, by [7, pp. 254–255], we have dim  $(M_k(E)) \leq k(r-k)$  for every rank r vector bundle E. Fixing x with  $x \leq k(r-k)$ , it is very easy to find a decomposable rank r vector bundle E such that  $M_k(E)$  has an irreducible component of dimension x. But we are interested in stable vector bundles which are indecomposable. Hence, using extensions of

Copyright ©2001 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 14H60, 14D20.

Key words and phrases. Smooth projective curves on algebraically closed field, stable vector bundles, subbundles of maximum degree, Quot-schemes.

Received by the editors on May 19, 2000.

a line bundle by a decomposable rank r - 1 bundle, we will prove in Section 2 the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.** Fix integers g, r, k with  $2 \le g \le r+1$ , 0 < k < r; if  $2k \le r$ , then assume  $x \le (k-1)(r-2k+1)$ ; if 2k > r, then assume  $x \le (r-k-1)(2k-r+1)$ . Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus g. Then there exists a stable vector bundle E on X such that  $M_k(E)$  has an irreducible component of dimension x.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is quite simple but even if we tried we were not able to produce larger families of maximal degree subbundles. The bound on the dimension  $x := \dim(M_k(E))$  seems to be quite good, see Proposition 3.11. The dimension of  $M_k(E)$  is known when E is a general element of M(X; r, d) (see Remark 2.2 and Proposition 2.3). Classically the picture was clear for a rank 2 stable vector bundle E: either dim  $(M_1(E)) = 0$  or dim  $(M_1(E)) = 1$  (see the introduction of [6] and references therein). In fact the situation is described by one invariant, called degree of stability, s(E). It is known that 0 < s(E) < qand  $s(E) \simeq \deg(E)(2)$  ([8]). Furthermore, for E general in its moduli space we have s(E) = g if g - d is even and s(E) = g - 1 if g - d is odd. Maruyama proved two main facts: if s(E) = q, then  $\dim (M_1(E)) = 1$  and if s(E) < g, then  $\dim (M_1(E)) = 0$ . Large and Narasimhan produced examples of stable rank 2 vector bundles with dim  $(M_1(E)) = 0$  and s(E) < g (see [6, Proposition 3.3 and Sections 5, 6 and 7]). Indeed, taking  $f: X \to Y$  a multiple covering of curve Y of genus  $q' \geq 2$ , they were able to produce examples of curves X of genus g big enough to obtain a stable rank 2 vector bundle, E, on Xwith s(E) < g and dim  $(M_1(E)) = 1$  by pulling back a stable vector bundle, F, on Y with s(F) = g' (see [6, Proposition 7.3]). In [3] Butler proved some kind of reverse question: if E is a stable vector bundle of rank 2 with dim  $(M_1(E)) = 1$  and s(E)(2s(E) - 1) < g, then there is a covering  $f: X \to Y$  and a stable vector bundle on Y, F with  $R \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$  with  $A \otimes R \simeq f^*(B)$  and dim  $(M_1(F)) = 1$ . In higher rank the situation is more complicated (see Remark 2.2). In particular, the stability condition for a rank r vector bundle, E, is controlled by r-1invariants called degrees of stability (or Lange's invariants):

$$s_k(E) = k \deg(E) - r \min_{\substack{H \hookrightarrow E \\ \mathrm{rk} \ H = k}} \deg(H).$$

In Section 3 we give a partial generalization to higher rank of a theorem of Butler (see Theorem 3.9) which gives how restrictive it is to have 'many and very spread' maximal degree subbundles. This is the key motivation of our paper: Theorem 3.9 and Proposition 3.11 show the existence of a rank r stable vector bundle, E, with a low value of  $s_k(E)$  and large dimension of  $M_k(E)$ .

**2.** Proof of Theorem 1.1. Before proving Theorem 1.1, we need the following remark.

Remark 2.2. Assume char  $\mathbf{K} = 0$ . Fix some integers g, r, k, a, b with  $g \geq 3, r \geq 2, 0 < k < r$  and kb - a(r - k) > 0. Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus q. Let A be a general member of M(X; k, a), B a general member of M(X; r - k, b) and E a general extension of B by A. If kb - a(r - k) < k(r - k)(g - 1) by [9, Theorem 0.1], E is stable (see also [2] for several special cases). Furthermore, by a result of Hirschovitz [4] a general member of M(X; r, a + b) is an extension of a general  $B \in M(X; r-k, b)$  by a general  $A \in M(X; k, a)$ if and only if kb - a(r-k) > k(r-k)(q-1). As remarked in the introductions of [9] and [2, Equation D], the stability of such an E implies dim  $(M_k(E)) = \max\{s - k(r - k)(g - 1), 0\}$ . In fact,  $M_k(E)$ turns out to be the fiber of a morphism,  $\phi$ , between the parameter space of stable extensions of stable vector bundles and the moduli space M(X; r, d); this allows us to estimate the dimension of  $M_k(E)$ . In particular, if s = k(r-k)g, then dim  $(M_k(E)) = k(r-k)$  which by [7, pp. 254–255], it is the maximum admissible dimension of  $M_k(E)$ .

If char  $\mathbf{K} = 0$ , there exists a first weak version of Theorem 1.1:

**Proposition 2.3.** Assume char  $\mathbf{K} = 0$ . Fix integers r, k, x with  $0 < k < r, 0 \le x \le k(r-k)$  and x divisible by the highest common divisor, u, of k and r. Let X be a smooth curve of genus  $g \ge 3$ . Then there exists an integer d such that for a general  $E \in M(X; d, r)$ , the algebraic set  $M_k(E)$  has an irreducible component of dimension x and every irreducible component of  $M_k(E)$  has dimension at most x.

*Proof.* Since u divides x, there exists an integer d with  $0 \le d < r$ .

Moreover, there exists a unique integer a satisfying  $(d-a)/(r-k) - g \leq (a/k) \leq (d-a)/(r-k) - g + 1$ . Hence, as pointed out in 2.2, we have dim  $(M_k(E)) = x = \max\{s - k(r-k)(g-1), 0\}$  with s = (d-a)k - a(r-k).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the cases k = 1 and k = r - 1 are covered by Proposition 2.3, when char  $\mathbf{K} = 0$  and  $g \geq 3$ , we may assume  $k \geq 2$  and  $r - k \geq 2$ . Furthermore,  $M_k(E) \simeq M_{r-k}(E^*)$ for every rank r vector bundle E. Therefore taking, if necessary, the dual bundle, we may assume  $2k \leq r$ . If char  $\mathbf{K} > 0$  or g = 2and k = 1 or k = r - 1 proceed as in the last part of case 2) below. Hence from now on we may assume  $4 \leq 2k \leq r$ . Since  $x \leq (k-1)((r-k) - (k-1))$  we can find two integers y and t with  $0 < 2t \leq y \leq r - k, t \leq k - 1$  and  $t(y - 1 - t) \leq x \leq t(y - t)$ . Set e := x - t(y - 1 - t). Then  $0 \leq e < t$  and if y = r - k, then e = 0. Therefore,  $y + e + 1 \leq r - 1$ . Take a general (r - e - y - 1)-ple  $(M, R_1, \ldots, R_{r-e-y-1}) \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(X) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$  and  $L \in \operatorname{Pic}^1(X)$ with  $h^0(X, L) = 0$ . Set  $F := \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus y} \oplus M^{\oplus (e+1)} \oplus (\oplus_{1 \leq i \leq r-e-y-1}R_i)$ (notice that  $y + e + 1 \leq r - 1$ ). By construction F is a semi-stable vector bundle with rk F = r - 1 and deg F = 0. Let E be a general extension of L by F.

**Claim.** E has no proper subsheaf with positive degree and every degree 0 subsheaf of E is a subsheaf of F.

Here we assume the claim. Hence E is stable. Choose some integers u, v with  $0 \le u \le y, 0 \le v \le e+1$  and  $0 \le k-u-v \le r-e-y-2$ . Let I be any subset of  $\{1, \ldots, r-e-y-2\}$  with card (I) = k-u-v. Call T(u, v, I) the following family of rank k subbundles of F with degree 0:  $A \in T(u, v, I)$  if and only if  $A \simeq A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus A_3$  where  $A_1$  subsheaf of  $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus y}$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus u}A_2$  is a subsheaf of  $M^{\oplus(e+1)}$  isomorphic to  $M^v$  and  $A_3 \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} R_i$ . Since F is polystable and no two among the degree 0 line bundles  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , M and  $R_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le r-y-e-2$ , are isomorphic, then T(u, v, I) is an irreducible component of  $M_k(E)$  with dim (T(u, v, I)) = u(y-u) + (e+1-v)v. Varying u, v and I we obtain in this way all the irreducible components of  $M_k(F)$ . By the second part of the claim, these are the irreducible components of  $M_k(E)$ . When u = t and v = 1, by the definition of e we get dim (T(t, 1, I)) = x.

Hence, to prove 1.1, it is sufficient to prove the claim.

Proof of the claim. We move the line bundles M and  $R_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le r-e-y-2$  in  $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$ . By the semi-continuity of the Lange's invariants  $s_k$  [5, Lemma 1.3], it is sufficient to prove the claim for the following general extension

$$(2.1) 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(r-1)} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $h^0(X,L) = 0$ , we have  $h^0(X,G) = r - 1$ . In particular, the subsheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus (r-1)}$  is the subsheaf spanned by  $H^0(X, G)$ . Hence it is uniquely determined by G and sent into itself by any endomorphism of G. Therefore, G fits in a unique way into 2.1, up to an element of Aut (G). Since  $\chi(L^*) = -g$  and by our assumptions on g and r, G contains no factor isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . In order to obtain a contradiction, we assume the existence of a proper subsheaf B of G with  $\deg(B) \ge 0$ and if deg B = 0 we suppose that B is not a direct factor of  $\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus (r-1)}$ . Taking  $h := \operatorname{rk} B$  minimal among all the ranks of such subbundles, we may assume B stable. Taking deg(B) maximum among all the degrees of all such rank h subbundles we may assume B saturated in G. Since B is not contained in  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(r-1)}$ , the map  $\pi: B \to L$  induced by the surjection  $j: G \to L$  in 2.1 is not zero. Set  $B': \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)$ ,  $L' = \operatorname{Im}(\pi)$  and  $w := h^0(X, B')$ . Since B' is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(r-1)}$ , we have  $B' \simeq B'' \oplus \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(w)}$  with  $h^0(X, B'') = 0$ . Since  $B'^*$  is spanned, det  $(A'^*)$  is spanned. Thus, if deg  $(B'^*) = \deg(\det(B'^*) \neq 0, X)$  has a degree deg  $(B'^*)$  pencil. By our assumption on the degree of B we have  $\deg(B'^*) \leq \deg(L') \leq \deg(L) = 1$ . Since g > 0 there is no degree  $\deg(B^{\prime*})$  pencil on X. Hence a contradiction. Thus  $\deg(B^{\prime*}) = 0$ , that is, w = h - 1 and  $B' \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus (h-1)}$ . At this point we distinguish two cases:

Case 1). Here we assume  $L \not\simeq L'$ , that is, the existence of a positive divisor D with L' = L(-D). Since deg  $(L') \leq \deg(L) - 1 = 0$ ,  $\mu(B) \geq 0$  and B is stable, we obtain a contradiction, unless h = 1,  $B \simeq L'$  and w = 0. In this case we have  $L' \simeq L(-P)$  for some  $P \in W$  and F a positive elementary transformation of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus L(-P)$  supported in P. Hence the set of all such bundles G depends at most on r

parameters. Since dim  $(\text{Ext}^1(L, \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(r-1)}))) = (r-1)g$  by the Riemann-Roch theorem and any such G fits, up to a multiplicative constant, in a unique exact sequence 2.1, we get a contradiction concluding the proof in Case 1).

*Case* 2). Here we assume  $L \simeq L'$ . Then since  $B' \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(w)}$  as a direct factor of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(r-1)}$ , we get  $G/B \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(r-1-w)} = \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(r-h)}$ . Hence, G/B is isomorphic to a direct factor of G. But G cannot have any trivial factor which is a contradiction and the theorem is proved.

Remark 2.4. The proof of 1.1 shows the existence of a vector bundle  $E \in M(X; r, 1)$  such that  $M_k(E)$  has an irreducible component t of dimension x and such that every  $B \in T$  is a direct sum of line bundles of degree 0.

Remark 2.5. Let  $T \subset M_k(E)$  be an irreducible subvariety such that there is a subbundle F of E containing every  $B \in T$ . By [7, pp. 254–255], it follows that dim  $(T) \leq k(r-k)$ . In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we have constructed a vector bundle E which has a subbundle Fwith exactly this property.

We repeat here the description of the irreducible components of  $M_k(E)$  for the stable bundle, E, obtained in the proof of Theorem 1.1. First choose integers u, v with  $0 \le u \le y, 0 \le v \le e+1, 0 \le k-u-v \le r-e-y-2$ . Then choose any subset, I, of  $\{1, \ldots, r-e-y-2\}$  with card (I) = k - u - v. For any such data (u, v, I), there is an irreducible component, T(u, v, I) of  $M_k(E)$  and every irreducible component of  $M_k(E)$  arises in this way. Furthermore, we have dim (T(u, v, I)) = u(y-u) + (e+1-v)v.

**3.** Maximally spread families and multiple covering curves. In this section we will give a partial generalization of a result of Butler [3]. As in [3] we will use a result of Accola [1] which is valid in characteristic zero. Therefore, we assume that char  $\mathbf{K} = 0$ . Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus  $g \ge 2$ . Fix two integers k, r with 0 < k < r and set m := GCD(k, r - k), v := (r - k)/m and w := (k/m). Let E be a rank r vector bundle on X and  $\mathcal{H} := \{H_t\}_{t \in T}$ 

a flat family of saturated rank k subbundles of E parameterized by an irreducible complete variety T. For every  $t \in T$ , set  $G_t := E/H_t$ . For all pairs  $(x, y) \in T^2$ , the composition of the inclusion  $i_x : H_x \to E$  with the surjection  $j_x : E \to G_y$  gives a map  $\phi(x, y) : H_x \to G_y$  such that  $\phi(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $H_x$  and  $H_y$  are isomorphic subsheaf of E. More generally, for all  $(x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)) \in T^{v+2}$ , we have a map  $\Phi((x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w))) : H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)} \to G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{y(w)}$ . Notice that  $H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)}$  and  $G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{y(w)}$  have the same rank [k(r-k)/m].

**Definition 3.6.** The family  $\mathcal{H}$  is called *maximal spread* if for general  $(x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)) \in T^{v+w}$  the map  $\Phi((x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)))$  is invertible at a general point of X.

Remark 3.7. If r = 2k maximally spread means that for general  $(x(1), y(1)) \in T^2$  the map  $H_{x(1)} \to G_{y(1)}$  is an injective map of sheaves, which is a condition that may be satisfied.

By definition a maximal spread family  $\mathcal{H}$  induces an inclusion of sheaves of  $H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)}$  in  $G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{y(w)}$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is maximal spread, then the map

$$\det \left( \Phi((x(1), \dots, x(v), y(1), \dots, y(w))) \right) :$$
$$\det \left( H_{x(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus H_{x(v)} \right) \longrightarrow \det \left( G_{y(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus G_{y(w)} \right)$$

is an inclusion. Therefore there is an effective divisor,  $Z((x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)))$ , associated to a line bundle isomorphic to det  $(H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)})^* \otimes \det (G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{(y(w))})$ . Hence,

$$\deg \left( Z((x(1), \dots, x(v), y(1), \dots, y(w))) \right)$$

$$= w(\deg \left( G_t \right)) - v(\deg \left( H_t \right))$$

$$= w(\deg \left( E \right) - \deg \left( H_t \right)) - v(\deg \left( H_t \right))$$

$$= \frac{(k(\deg \left( E \right)) - r(\deg \left( H_t \right)))}{m}.$$

Hence, if  $H_t$  is maximal (that is, has maximum degree among rank k subbundles of E), then deg $(Z((x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)))) = (s_k(E)/m)$ . The divisor  $Z((x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)))$  depends symmetrically on the variables  $x(i) \in T$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq v$  and  $y(j) \in T$ ,

 $1 \leq j \leq w$ . Notice that we have defined the divisors  $Z((x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)))$  in a general open set of  $T^{v+w}$ . Since T is complete the set of effective divisors  $Z((x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)))$  has limits for all  $(x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)) \in T^{v+w}$ . These limits are not unique, but this does not affect our computation. In particular, for every  $x \in T$ , we may find  $Z(x, \ldots, x, x, \ldots, x)$  an effective divisor such that  $\mathcal{O}(Z(x, \ldots, x, x, \ldots, x)) \simeq \det (H_x)^{\otimes v} \otimes \det (G_x)^{\otimes w}$ .

Remark 3.8. Notice that, for every  $(x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)) \in T^{v+w}$  the divisor

$$(v+w)Z((x(1),\ldots,x(v),y(1),\ldots,y(w)))$$

and the divisor

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le v} Z((x(i), \dots, x(i), x(i), \dots, x(i))) + \sum_{0 \le j \le w} Z((y(j), \dots, y(j), y(j), \dots, y(j)))$$

are associated to the same line bundle

$$\det (H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)})^* \otimes \det (G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{y(w)})^{(v+w)}$$

and therefore they are linearly equivalent. Call  $L((x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)))$  the subsheaf of det  $(H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)})^* \otimes \det (G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{y(w)})^{(v+w)}$  spanned by  $H^0(X, \det (H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)})^* \otimes \det (G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{y(w)})^{(v+w)})$ . We believe that the two families of line bundles  $\{\det (H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)})^* \otimes \det (G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{y(w)})\}$  and  $\{L((x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w))) \mid (x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)) \in T^{v+w}\}$  give more information on the geometry of E then  $s_k(E)$  (even in the case in which  $M_k(E)$  is finite).

**Theorem 3.9.** Assume char  $\mathbf{K} = 0$ . Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus  $g \ge 2$  and  $E \in M(X; r, d)$ ,  $r \ge 2$ , such that  $M_k(E)$  has a maximal spread family, T, and such that  $s_k(E)(s_k(E) - m) < m^2 g$ where m := GCD(k, r). Then there exist a smooth curve C and a morphism  $\pi : X \to C$  with  $deg(\pi) > 1$ .

Remark 3.10. As one can easily see we are going to prove more than what is stated in Theorem 3.9. In fact, we are going to prove that there exists a family of line bundles  $R(x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)) \in$  $\operatorname{Pic}(C)$  such that  $\pi^*(R(x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w))) \simeq \det(H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)})^* \otimes \det(G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{y(w)})$ . If the rank of E is 2, the existence of this family (with w = v = 1), allows us to construct a rank 2 stable vector bundle F on C whose pull-back is E and whose family of maximal degree linebundles is the pull-back of the one of E, up to a twist by a line bundle, A, on C (see [3]).

*Proof.* Set v := (r - k)/m and w := (k/m) and take general  $(x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)) \in T^{v+w}$ . By Remark 3.8, we have

$$h^{0}(\det (H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)})^{*} \otimes \det (G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{y(w)})^{(v+w)}) \geq 2.$$

As in Remark 3.8, consider the line bundles  $L((x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)))$ ; they form an infinite family of spanned nontrivial line bundles with degree at most  $s_k(E)/m$ . Since  $(s_k(E)/m)[(s_k(E)/m) - 1] < g$ , we can apply a result of Accola (see [1, Theorem 4.3] or [3, Lemma 1.2]), finding a nontrivial covering  $\pi : X \to C$  and  $R(x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(w)) \in \operatorname{Pic}(C)$  with  $\pi^*(R(x(1), \ldots, x(v), y(1), \ldots, y(v), y(1)) \approx \det(H_{x(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{x(v)})^* \otimes \det(G_{y(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{y(w)})$ .

To explain the notion of maximally spread family, we prove the following easy result

**Proposition 3.11.** (any char **K**). Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus  $g \ge 2$ . Fix integers r, k with 0 < k < r and a rank r vector bundle E on X. Let  $T \subset M_k(E)$  be an irreducible projective family with  $\dim(T) > k(r-1-k)$ . Then T is maximally spread. Furthermore, for every  $P \in X$  the union of the subspaces  $H_{t|_{\{P\}}} \subset E_{|_{\{P\}}}$  is not contained in a lower dimensional vector subspace of  $E_{|_{\{P\}}}$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $P \in X$ . By the proof of the proposition of [7, page 254], the map

$$\pi: T \longrightarrow \operatorname{Grass}\left(r-k, E_{|\{P\}}\right)$$

sending  $H_t, t \in T$ , into the (r-k)-dimensional vector space  $E_{|\{P\}}/H_{t_{|\{P\}}}$ is finite. Since dim  $(T) > k(r-k) = \text{Grass}(r-k, E_{|\{P\}})$ , the union of

all subspaces  $H_{t|_{\{P\}}}$  for  $t \in T$  cannot be contained in a hyperplane of  $E_{|_{\{P\}}}$ .

Acknowledgments. This research was partially supported by MURST. Both authors are members of the VBAC Research group of Europroj.

## REFERENCES

1. R.D.A. Accola, On Castelnuovo's inequality for algebraic curves, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 251 (1979), 357–373.

2. L. Brambila-Paz and H. Lange, A stratification of the moduli space of vector bundles on curves, J. Reine Angew. Math. 494 (1998), 173–187.

**3.** D.C. Butler, Families of maximal subbundles of rank two bundles on a curve, Math. Ann. **307** (1997), 29–39.

**4.** A. Hirschowitz, *Problème de Brill-Noether en rang supérieur*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **307** (1988), 153–156.

**5.** H. Lange, Zur Klassification von Regelmannigfaltigkeiten, Math. Ann. **262** (1983), 447–459.

6. H. Lange and M.S. Narasimhan, Maximal subbundles of rank two vector bundles on curves, Math. Ann. 266 (1983), 55–72.

**7.** S. Mukai and F. Sakai, Maximal subbundles of vector bundles on a curve, Manuscripta Math. **52** (1985), 251–256.

8. M. Nagata, On self intersection number of vector bundles of rank 2 on a Riemann surface, Nagoya Math. J. 37 (1970), 191–196.

**9.** B. Russo and M. Texidor i Bigas, On a conjecture of Lange, J. Algebraic Geom. **8** (1999), 483–496.

DIP. DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÁ DEGLI STUDI DI TRENTO, 38050 POVO (TN) ITALY

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{ballico@alpha.science.unitn.it}$ 

Dip. di Matematica, Universitá degli studi di Trento, 38050 Povo (TN) Italy

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{russo@degiorgi.science.unitn.it}$