

## TENSOR PRODUCTS AND ENDOMORPHISM RINGS OF FINITE VALUATED GROUPS

ULRICH ALBRECHT

**ABSTRACT.** This paper discusses homological properties of a finite valuated  $p$ -group  $A$ . A category equivalence between full subcategories of the category of valuated  $p$ -groups and the category of right modules over the endomorphism ring of  $A$  is developed to study  $A$ -presented and  $A$ -valuated valuated  $p$ -groups. In particular, we show that these classes do not coincide if  $|A/pA| > p$ . Examples are given throughout the paper.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $G$  a  $p$ -local Abelian group. A *valuation*  $v$  on  $G$  assigns a value  $v(g)$  to each  $g \in G$ , which is either an ordinal or  $\infty$  subject to the rules

- (i)  $v(px) > v(x)$  for all  $x \in G$  where  $\infty > \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in G$ ;
- (iii)  $v(nx) = v(x)$  for all  $x$  and all integers  $n$  not divisible by  $p$  [10].

Condition (iii) is redundant if  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, which will be our standard assumption throughout this paper. The finite valuated  $p$ -groups are the objects of the category  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . A  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -morphism  $(G, v) \rightarrow (H, w)$  is a group homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$  such that  $w(\alpha(g)) \geq v(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . The family of  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -morphisms from  $(G, v)$  to  $(H, w)$  is denoted by  $\text{Mor}(G, H)$ .

Hunter, Richman and Walker studied valuated groups in a series of papers in the 1970s and 1980s (e.g., [7, 9, 10]). They showed that  $\mathcal{V}_p$  is a *pre-Abelian* category, i.e., all maps have kernels and cokernels, but it is not Abelian. In particular, the kernel and the cokernel of a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -morphism are its kernel and cokernel in the category  $\mathcal{A}b$  of Abelian groups; however, they carry an additional valuation. Arnold discovered

---

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 20K40, Secondary 18G50, 20K30.

*Keywords and phrases*. Valuated groups, endomorphism rings, preabelian categories.

Received by the editors on June 24, 2016, and in revised form on October 25, 2016.

a surprising connection between finite valued  $p$ -groups and torsion-free Abelian groups of finite rank in [3]. He used representation theory to investigate finite rank Butler groups, and then described valued  $p$ -groups similarly in terms of representations of the underlying valued  $p$ -trees. In particular, there are infinitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable finite valued  $p$ -groups  $G$  such that  $p^4G = 0$  and  $v(g) \leq 9$  for all  $0 \neq g \in G$  [3, Example 8.2.5]. Moreover, the category of indecomposable finite valued  $p$ -groups  $G$  such that  $p^5G = 0$  and  $v(g) \leq 11$  for all  $0 \neq g \in G$  has wild representation type [3, Example 8.2.6].

This paper follows Arnold's approach by investigating valued  $p$ -groups using tools which have traditionally been used in the discussion of torsion-free groups of finite rank. In particular, homological properties of a torsion-free group  $A$  have been successfully studied by viewing  $A$  as a left module over its endomorphism ring. Valued groups can similarly be investigated in terms of their endomorphism ring  $R = \text{Mor}(A, A)$ . Some of the major difficulties we encounter are that neither the 5-lemma nor the snake lemma hold in  $\mathcal{V}_p$  [9]. Moreover, compositions of kernels (cokernels) may not be kernels (cokernels) [9]. Therefore, the usual homological constructions may not carry over from Abelian categories. Nevertheless, it is still possible to develop a homological algebra for pre-Abelian categories as Yakovlev showed in [14].

Since  $A$  is a left  $R$ -module,  $H_A = \text{Mor}(A, -)$  can be viewed as a functor from  $\mathcal{V}_p$  to  $\mathcal{M}_R$ , the category of finitely generated right  $R$ -modules. In particular,  $H_A(G)$  is a free right  $R$ -module if  $G$  is  $A$ -free, i.e. of the form  $A^n$  for some  $n < \omega$ . Similarly,  $H_A(G)$  is projective if  $G$  is  $A$ -projective, i.e., a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -direct summand of an  $A$ -free group. The functor  $H_A$  induces a category equivalence between the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{V}_p$  consisting of the  $A$ -free groups and the category of finitely generated free right  $R$ -modules [1]. Its converse  $T_A$  was obtained by considering a finitely generated free right  $R$ -module  $F$  with basis  $\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ . Writing  $y \in F \otimes_R A$  as  $y = \sum_{i \in I} (x_i \otimes a_i)$ , the valuation  $v$  on  $F \otimes_R A$  was defined as  $v(y) = \min_{i \in I} v(a_i)$ . Clearly,  $T_A(F) = (F \otimes_R A, v)$  is  $A$ -free. Moreover, if  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are finitely generated free right  $R$ -modules, then every map  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_1, F_2)$  induces a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -morphism  $T_A(\phi) : T_A(F_1) \rightarrow T_A(F_2)$  [1]. Unfortunately, this construction relies on the freeness of the right  $R$ -module and does not carry over even to projective modules.

As a first step towards developing a homological algebra for finite valuated  $p$ -groups and their endomorphism rings, Section 2 extends the definition of  $T_A$  to the whole category  $\mathcal{M}_R$  (Theorem 2.3). Although the underlying group structure of  $T_A$  is induced by the tensor product  $- \otimes_R A$ , as in [1], we show that its exactness properties may be different from those of the classical tensor product due to the failure of the 5-lemma in  $\mathcal{V}_p$  (Proposition 2.2). Section 3 describes the classes of  $A$ -solvable and  $A$ -presented valuated  $p$ -groups, i.e., finite valuated  $p$ -groups which arise as cokernels of maps in  $\text{Mor}(A^n, A^m)$  for some  $n, m < \omega$ , and investigates the splitting of monomorphisms  $A^n \rightarrow A^m$  (Theorem 3.6). Section 4 concerns the case where  $A$  is a simply presented group and shows that, for such a group  $A$ , these two classes coincide if and only if  $A$  is cyclic (Theorem 4.3).

**2. Tensor products and valuations.** We begin our discussion with a few technical results. If  $\alpha$  is a kernel in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ , then  $\alpha = \ker(\text{coker}(\alpha))$  [13], and a similar result holds for cokernels. If  $U$  is a valuated subgroup of a finite valuated group  $G$ , then the cokernel of the inclusion  $U \subseteq G$  is the group  $G/U$  with a valuation  $v$  defined by

$$v(g + U) = \max\{v(g + u) \mid u \in U\}$$

[10]. A sequence  $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$  of valuated  $p$ -groups is *left-exact* if  $\alpha$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -kernel for  $\beta$ , and *right exact* if  $\beta$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -cokernel for  $\alpha$ . It is *exact* if  $\alpha$  is a kernel for  $\beta$  and  $\beta$  is a cokernel for  $\alpha$  [10]. The functor  $H_A$  is left-exact since

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_A(U) \xrightarrow{H_A(\alpha)} H_A(B) \xrightarrow{H_A(\beta)} H_A(C)$$

is an exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules whenever  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$  is a left-exact sequence of valuated  $p$ -groups. Finally, the *forgetful functor*  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{V}_p \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  strips a valuated group  $(G, v)$  of its valuation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be finite valuated  $p$ -groups.*

(a) *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Mor}(A, B)$  be an epimorphism and  $\beta \in \text{Mor}(B, C)$ . If  $\beta\alpha$  is a cokernel of  $\delta \in \text{Mor}(G, A)$  for some  $G \in \mathcal{V}_p$ , then  $\beta$  is a cokernel for  $\alpha\delta$ .*

(b) *Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow 0$  be a sequence of valuated  $p$ -groups which is exact in  $\text{Ab}$ .*

- (i) If there is a  $\delta \in \text{Mor}(C, B)$  such that  $\beta\delta = 1_C$ , then  $\beta = \text{coker } \alpha$ .
- (ii) If there is a  $\gamma \in \text{Mor}(B, A)$  such that  $\gamma\alpha = 1_A$ , then  $\alpha = \text{ker } \beta$ .

*Proof.*

(a) Suppose that  $\phi$  satisfies  $\phi\alpha\delta = 0$ . Since  $\beta\alpha$  is a cokernel for  $\delta$ , there is a map  $\psi$  such that  $\psi\beta\alpha = \phi\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an epimorphism,  $\phi = \psi\beta$ . In addition, since  $\beta$  is an epimorphism,  $\psi$  is unique with this property.

(b) We only show (i). Let  $X$  be a valued group, and  $\phi \in \text{Mor}(B, X)$  with  $\phi\alpha = 0$ . Since  $\beta(1_B - \delta\beta) = 0$ , every  $b \in B$  can be written as  $b = \delta\beta(b) + \alpha(a)$  for some  $a \in A$  since the given sequence is exact in  $\mathcal{A}b$ . Thus,  $\phi(b) = (\phi\delta)\beta(b)$ . Moreover,  $\phi$  is unique since  $\beta$  is an epimorphism. □

Lemma 2.1 (b) raises the question of whether the splitting of  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{V}_p$  guarantees the splitting of  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ , and vice-versa. However, this need not be the case unless the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\alpha} H \xrightarrow{\beta} K \longrightarrow 0$$

is  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -exact. In order to see this, let  $A_1 = \langle x \rangle$  be a cyclic group of order  $p$  with a valuation such that  $v(x) > 0$  and  $A_2 = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with the height valuation. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} A_1 \oplus A_2 \xrightarrow{\beta} A_2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

in which  $\alpha$  is the embedding into the first coordinate and  $\beta$  is the projection onto the second coordinate, is  $\mathcal{A}b$ -exact. Although  $\beta$  splits in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ , this is not true for  $\alpha$ .

This example highlights a significant difference between the category  $\mathcal{V}_p$  and the quasi-category  $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{A}b$  of torsion-free groups of finite rank. In the latter, there is a natural monomorphism from  $\text{Hom}(G, H)$  to  $\text{Mor}_{\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{A}b}(G, H)$  for all  $G, H \in \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{A}b$ , while such a map does usually not exist in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}b$ -decompositions of a group induce  $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{A}b$ -decomposition, while there exist indecomposable finite valued  $p$ -groups which are acyclic.

Consider the functor  $t_A : \mathcal{M}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$  defined by  $t_A = - \otimes_R A$  for all  $M \in \mathcal{M}_R$ . In order to define a valuation on  $t_A(M)$ , choose a free resolution  $F_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} M \rightarrow 0$  of  $M$ . Applying  $t_A$  induces an exact

sequence

$$T_A(F_1) \xrightarrow{t_A(\alpha)} T_A(F_0) \xrightarrow{t_A(\beta)} t_A(M) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $t_A(\alpha) = T_A(\alpha)$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map by [1]. Since  $\mathcal{V}_p$  is pre-Abelian, there is a unique valuation  $v$  on  $t_A(M)$  such that  $t_A(\beta)$  is the  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -cokernel of  $T_A(\alpha)$  [10]. Let  $T_A(M) = (t_A(M), v)$ . Although  $t_A = \mathcal{F}T_A$ , exactness properties of  $t_A$  do not necessarily carry over to  $T_A$ , as the following example shows:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $A = \langle a \rangle$  be a valuated cyclic group of order  $p^n$  for some  $1 < n < \omega$  with a valuation  $v$  such that  $v(a) \neq \infty$ . Then,  $A$  is free as a left  $R$ -module, and there exists a monomorphism  $\alpha : R/J \rightarrow R$  such that the induced map  $T_A(\alpha) : T_A(R/J) \rightarrow T_A(R)$  is not a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -kernel.*

*Proof.* Observe that  $R = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $J = p\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . The left  $R$ -module  $R/J$  fits into the exact sequence  $R \xrightarrow{\phi} R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/J \rightarrow 0$  where  $\phi(1_R) = p1_R$  and  $\pi(1_R) = 1_R + J$ . Setting  $v((1_R + J) \otimes a) = v(a)$  defines the cokernel valuation on  $T_A(R/J)$ .

The map  $\gamma : R/J \rightarrow E$ , defined by  $\gamma(1_R + J) = p^{n-1} + p^n\mathbb{Z}$ , induces a monomorphism  $T_A(\gamma) : T_A(R/J) \rightarrow T_A(E)$  such that  $\text{im}(T_A(\gamma)) = \langle p^{n-1}a \rangle$ . Since

$$v((1_R + J) \otimes a) = v(a) < v(p^{n-1}a)$$

and  $v(a) \neq \infty$ , the map  $T_A(\gamma)$  does not preserve valuations. Therefore, it is not a kernel, and the induced sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_A(R/J) \xrightarrow{\gamma} T_A(R) \longrightarrow T_A(R/\text{im } \gamma) \longrightarrow 0$$

of Abelian groups is not  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -exact. □

Although the classical adjoint functor theorem and its associated exactness properties apply to the pair  $(H_A, t_A)$ , they may not be applicable to  $(H_A, T_A)$  since homological arguments that work in an Abelian category may not carry over to a pre-Abelian category like  $\mathcal{V}_p$  [14].

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a finite valuated  $p$ -group.*

- (a)  $T_A$  is a right exact functor from  $\mathcal{V}_p$  to  $\mathcal{M}_R$ .

(b) The evaluation map  $\theta_G : T_A H_A(G) \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\theta_G(\alpha \otimes a) = \alpha(a)$  is a natural  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map for all valuated  $p$ -groups  $G$  such that

- (i)  $\theta_F$  is an isomorphism for all  $A$ -free groups  $F$ .
- (ii)  $\theta_{T_A(M)}$  is an epimorphism for all right  $R$ -modules  $M$ .

(c) The natural map  $\Phi_M : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, T_A(M))$ , defined by  $[\Phi_M(x)](a) = x \otimes a$ , is a natural transformation, and  $\Phi_F$  is an isomorphism for all free right  $R$ -modules  $F$ .

*Proof.*

(a) Let  $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_R$ , and choose exact sequences  $F_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} M \rightarrow 0$  and  $P_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma} P_0 \xrightarrow{\delta} N \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules in which the  $P_i$ 's and the  $F_i$ 's are free. For every  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ , applying the functor  $t_A$  induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T_A(F_1) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\alpha)} & T_A(F_0) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\beta)} & T_A(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow T_A(\phi_1) & & \downarrow T_A(\phi_0) & & \downarrow t_A(\phi) & & \\
 T_A(P_1) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\gamma)} & T_A(P_0) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\delta)} & T_A(N) & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

of Abelian groups in which the maps  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  are induced by  $\phi$  using standard homological arguments. Here, the usage of the symbol  $T_A$  indicates that the corresponding map has already been shown to be a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map. Since  $t_A$  is right-exact, the rows of the diagram are exact as sequences of Abelian groups, and

$$[T_A(\delta)T_A(\phi_0)]T_A(\alpha) = t_A(\phi)T_A(\beta)T_A(\alpha) = 0.$$

Since  $T_A(\delta)T_A(\phi_0)$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map and  $T_A(\beta) : T_A(F_0) \rightarrow T_A(M)$  is a cokernel for  $T_A(\alpha)$ , there is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map  $\lambda : T_A(M) \rightarrow T_A(N)$  with  $\lambda T_A(\beta) = T_A(\delta)T_A(\phi_0)$ . On the other hand,  $T_A(\delta)T_A(\phi_0) = t_A(\phi)T_A(\beta)$  in  $\mathcal{A}b$ . Since  $T_A(\beta)$  is an epimorphism of Abelian groups,  $t_A(\phi) = \lambda$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map. In particular, if  $M = N$  and  $\phi = 1_M$ , then we denote the valuation on  $T_A(M)$  induced by the  $F_i$ 's by  $v$  and the one induced by the  $P_i$ 's by  $w$ . Since  $t_A(1_M)$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map,  $w(x) = w(T_A(1_M))(x) \geq v(x)$  for all  $x \in T_A(M)$ .

Now, consider an exact sequence  $L \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} N \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{M}_R$ . Standard homological arguments based on the Horseshoe lemma [11,

Lemma 6.20] and an application of  $T_A$  yield the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & T_A(F_1) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\beta_1)} & T_A(P_1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow T_A(\sigma_2) & & \downarrow T_A(\sigma_3) & & \\
 T_A(Q_0) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\alpha_0)} & T_A(F_0) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\beta_0)} & T_A(P_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow T_A(\tau) & & \downarrow T_A(\tau_2) & & \downarrow T_A(\tau_3) & & \\
 T_A(L) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\alpha)} & T_A(M) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\beta)} & T_A(N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

of  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -maps whose rows and columns are exact in  $\mathcal{A}b$ . Here, the  $F_i$ 's,  $P_i$ 's and  $Q_i$ 's are free modules obtained by the horseshoe lemma, and the  $R$ -module homomorphisms  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  split. The definition of  $T_A$  ensures that  $T_A(\tau_i)$  is a cokernel of  $T_A(\sigma_i)$  for  $i = 2, 3$ . Since  $T_A(\beta_0)$  and  $T_A(\beta_1)$  split, they are cokernels for  $T_A(\alpha_0)$  and  $T_A(\alpha_1)$ , respectively, by Lemma 2.1 (b).

Clearly,  $T_A(\beta)T_A(\alpha) = 0$ . in order to see that  $T_A(\beta)$  is a cokernel for  $T_A(\alpha)$ , assume that  $\phi T_A(\alpha) = 0$  for some valuated  $p$ -group  $G$  and a map  $\phi \in \text{Mor}(T_A(M), G)$ . Then,  $[\phi T_A(\tau_2)]T_A(\alpha_0) = \phi T_A(\alpha)T_A(\tau) = 0$ . Since  $T_A(\beta_0)$  is a cokernel for  $T_A(\alpha_0)$ , we can find  $\lambda_1 \in \text{Mor}(T_A(P_0), G)$  such that  $\phi T_A(\tau_2) = \lambda_1 T_A(\beta_0)$ . Now,

$$\lambda_1 T_A(\sigma_3)T_A(\beta_1) = \lambda_1 T_A(\beta_0)T_A(\sigma_2) = \phi T_A(\tau_2)T_A(\sigma_2) = 0.$$

Since  $T_A(\beta_1)$  is an epimorphism,  $\lambda_1 T_A(\sigma_3) = 0$ . However,  $T_A(\tau_3)$  is a cokernel for  $T_A(\sigma_3)$ . Hence, there is a  $\lambda \in \text{Mor}(T_A(N), G)$  with  $\lambda T_A(\tau_3) = \lambda_1$ . Then,

$$\lambda T_A(\beta)T_A(\tau_2) = \lambda T_A(\tau_3)T_A(\beta_0) = \lambda_1 T_A(\beta_0) = \phi T_A(\tau_2).$$

Since  $T_A(\tau_2)$  is an epimorphism,  $\phi = \lambda T_A(\beta)$ .

Finally, if  $\phi = \lambda_1 T_A(\beta) = \lambda_2 T_A(\beta)$ , then  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  since  $T_A(\beta)$  is an epimorphism. Therefore,  $T_A(\beta)$  is a cokernel of  $T_A(\alpha)$ .

(b) The map  $\theta_G$  is well defined since  $\text{Mor}(G, H) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, H)$ . Arguing as in the case of Abelian groups yields that this map is natural. From [1],  $\theta_F$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -isomorphism if  $F$  is an  $A$ -free group.

It remains to show that  $\theta_G$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -morphism for all  $G \in \mathcal{V}_p$ . For this, let  $I$  indicate the finite set  $H_A(G)$ . For each  $\phi \in I$ , choose  $A_\phi = A$  and define  $F = \bigoplus_I A$  and  $\pi : F \rightarrow G$  by  $\pi(\sum_I a_\phi) = \sum_I \phi(a_\phi)$ . Then, there is a  $\phi_0 \in I$  such that

$$v(\sum_I \phi(a_\phi)) \geq \min\{v(\phi(a_\phi))\} = v(\phi_0(a_{\phi_0})) \geq v(a_{\phi_0}) \geq v(\sum_I a_\phi),$$

and  $\pi$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map.

Since  $A$  is finite,  $H_A(F)$  is a free  $R$ -module [1]. Applying  $H_A$  induces an  $R$ -module epimorphism  $H_A(\pi) : H_A(F) \rightarrow H_A(G)$  as in the case of Abelian groups. Select a free  $R$ -module  $P$  and an epimorphism  $\tau : P \rightarrow \ker(H_A(\pi))$  to obtain the exact sequence

$$P \xrightarrow{\tau} F \xrightarrow{H_A(\pi)} H_A(G) \longrightarrow 0$$

of right  $R$ -modules. Applying the functor  $T_A$  yields the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_A(P) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\tau)} & T_A H_A(F) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\pi)} & T_A H_A(G) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \theta_F & & \downarrow \theta_G & & \\ & & F & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G & & \end{array}$$

of Abelian  $p$ -groups in which  $\theta_F$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -isomorphism by [1]. Then

$$[\pi\theta_F]T_A(\tau) = \theta_G T_A H_A(\pi) T_A(\tau) = 0.$$

Since  $\pi\theta_F$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map, there is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map  $\lambda : T_A H_A(G) \rightarrow G$  with  $\lambda T_A H_A(\pi) = \pi\theta_F$  since  $T_A H_A(\pi) : T_A(F) \rightarrow T_A H_A(G)$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -cokernel of  $T_A(\tau)$ . On the other hand,  $\pi\theta_F = \theta_G T_A(\pi)$  holds in  $\mathcal{A}b$ . Arguing as before,  $\theta_G = \lambda$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -morphism.

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated right  $R$ -module. Since  $T_A(M)$  is an epimorphic image of an  $A$ -free group, we can argue as in the case of Abelian groups that there exist an  $A$ -free valuated group  $P_1$  and an epimorphism  $\alpha : P_1 \rightarrow T_A(M)$  such that  $H_A(\alpha) : H_A(P_1) \rightarrow H_A T_A(M)$  is onto. We therefore obtain the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_A H_A(P_1) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A T_A(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \theta_{P_1} & & \downarrow \theta_{T_A(M)} & & \\ P_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & T_A(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

of Abelian groups whose rows are exact. Thus,  $\theta_{T_A(M)}$  is an epimorphism.

(c) Consider a finitely generated right  $R$ -module  $M$ . We must show that  $\Phi_M(x)$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map for all  $x \in M$ . In order to see this, select a free resolution  $F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0$  where  $F_0 = \bigoplus_M R_x$  with  $R_x = R$  and  $\pi(\delta_x(1)) = x$ , where the  $\delta_x$ 's are the coordinate embeddings. Since the valuation on  $T_A(M)$  is the cokernel valuation,

$$v(x \otimes a) = v(\pi\delta_x(1) \otimes a) \geq v(\delta_x(1) \otimes a) = v(a)$$

for all  $x \in M$  and all  $a \in A$ . Finally,  $\Phi_F$  is an isomorphism by [1] whenever  $F$  is a free right  $R$ -module. □

For  $A$ -free groups  $F$  and  $P$ , consider  $\alpha \in \text{Mor}(P, F)$ . The last result induces a valuation on  $T_A(M)$  where  $M = H_A(F)/\text{im}(H_A(\alpha))$ , making the latter the cokernel of  $T_A H_A(\alpha)$ . On the other hand,  $\alpha$  also has a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -cokernel  $\beta : F \rightarrow G$  for some valuated  $p$ -group  $(G, w)$ . We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T_A H_A(P) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(F) & \longrightarrow & T_A(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \wr \downarrow \theta_P & & \wr \downarrow \theta_F & & & & \\ P & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & F & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in which all maps are  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -maps. Since the rows are  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -exact and  $T_A(\pi)$  is a cokernel by the last theorem, there is an isomorphism  $\delta : (T_A(M), w) \rightarrow (G, v)$ . Thus, the valuation given by the last result coincides with the cokernel valuation defined in [10].

**Example 2.4.** The application of the functor  $T_A H_A$  to a valuated  $p$ -group  $G$  does not preserve the original valuation on  $G$ . For instance, if  $A = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with the height valuation, and  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with the valuation which assigns the value  $\infty$  to all elements of  $G$ , then  $T_A H_A(G) \cong A$  in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ , but  $G \not\cong A$  in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . In particular,  $\theta_G$  need not be a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -cokernel.

Although the focus of this paper is on finite valuated  $p$ -groups, we want to mention that the results of this section carry over to the more general case of a self-small  $p$ -local group.

**3.  $A$ -presented groups.** An epimorphism  $G \rightarrow H$  of valued  $p$ -groups is  $A$ -balanced if the induced map  $H_A(\alpha) : H_A(G) \rightarrow H_A(H)$  is surjective. A valued  $p$ -group is  $A$ -generated if we can find an  $A$ -balanced  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -exact sequence  $\bigoplus_I A \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$ . It is weakly  $A$ -generated if there is an epimorphism  $\bigoplus_I A \rightarrow G$  for some index-set  $I$ . As in the case of Abelian groups, we can choose this epimorphism to be  $A$ -balanced. When working in  $\mathcal{A}b$ , it is not necessary to distinguish between  $A$ -generated and weakly  $A$ -generated groups. However, the next result shows that weakly  $A$ -generated groups which are not  $A$ -generated arise regularly in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ :

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a finite valued group such that  $v(a) \neq \infty$  for all  $0 \neq a \in A$ . Then, there exists a weakly  $A$ -generated valued group  $G$  which is not  $A$ -generated.*

*Proof.* Select an ordinal  $\lambda$  such that  $v(a) < \lambda$  for all non-zero  $a \in A$ , and let  $G$  be the group  $A$  equipped with a valuation  $w$  such that  $w(a) > \lambda$  for all  $a \in A$ . Then,  $1_A : A \rightarrow G$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -epimorphism which is not a cokernel, for, otherwise, it would be an isomorphism.

If  $G$  were  $A$ -generated, then we could find an  $A$ -balanced cokernel  $\pi : A^n \rightarrow G$  for some  $n < \omega$ . Since  $A^n$  carries the co-product valuation,  $v(x) < \lambda$  for all non-zero  $x \in A^n$ . If  $U = \ker \pi$ , then  $v(x + U) = v(x + u_0) < \lambda$  for some  $u_0 \in U$  since  $U$  is finite. Thus,  $v(g) < \lambda$  for all non-zero  $g \in G$ , which contradicts the construction of  $G$ .  $\square$

A valued  $p$ -group  $G$  is  $A$ -presented if there is an exact sequence

$$(E) \quad 0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$$

of valued  $p$ -groups such that  $F$  is  $A$ -free and  $U$  is weakly  $A$ -generated. An  $A$ -presented group  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable if (E) can be chosen to be  $A$ -balanced. Since  $A$  is projective with respect to split-exact sequences, every  $A$ -projective group is  $A$ -solvable. We begin our discussion with a technical result which we use frequently throughout this paper as a substitute for the 5-lemma:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $G$  be finite valued  $p$ -groups.*

(a) For every  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\theta_H$  is an isomorphism, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} T_A H_A(U) @>T_A H_A(\alpha)>> T_A H_A(H) @>T_A H_A(\beta)>> T_A(M) @>>> 0 \\ @V\theta_U VV @V\wr V\theta_H VV @V\theta VV \\ U @>\alpha>> H @>\beta>> G @>>> 0 \end{CD}$$

of valuated groups and  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -maps in which  $M = \text{im } H_A(\beta)$  is a submodule of  $H_A(G)$  and  $\theta : T_A(M) \rightarrow G$  is the evaluation map. Moreover,  $\theta$  is a cokernel, and  $\theta = \theta_G T_A(\iota)$ , where  $\iota : M \rightarrow H_A(G)$  is the embedding.

(b) If  $G$  is  $A$ -generated, then an  $A$ -balanced sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \oplus_I A \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$  induces a diagram as in (a) with  $M = H_A(G)$  and  $\theta = \theta_G$ . In particular,  $\theta_G$  is a cokernel.

*Proof.*

(a) Since  $H_A$  is left-exact, every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} H \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$  of valuated groups induces an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_A(U) \xrightarrow{H_A(\alpha)} H_A(H) \xrightarrow{H_A(\beta)} M \longrightarrow 0$$

of right  $R$ -modules where  $M = \text{im}(H_A(\beta))$  is a submodule of  $H_A(G)$ . From Theorem 2.3, the induced sequence

$$T_A H_A(U) \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} T_A H_A(H) \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\beta)} T_A(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Theorem 2.3 also yields the commutativity of the diagram in  $\mathcal{A}b$  and the fact that  $\theta_U$  and  $\theta_G$  are  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -maps. Since  $\theta_H$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -isomorphism such that  $\theta = \theta_H T_A(\iota)$ , we obtain that  $\theta$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map since this holds for  $T_A(\iota)$  by Theorem 2.3. The diagram shows  $\theta[T_A H_A(\beta)\theta_H^{-1}] = \beta$ . Since  $T_A H_A(\beta)$  is a cokernel by Theorem 2.3, Lemma 2.1 yields that  $\theta$  is a cokernel too.

(b) follows directly from (a) since  $M = H_A(G)$  in this case. □

Using the last result, we obtain the following description of  $A$ -presented and  $A$ -solvable valuated  $p$ -groups:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a finite valuated  $p$ -group.*

(a) *A finite valuated  $p$ -group  $G$  is  $A$ -presented if and only if  $G \cong T_A(M)$  for some finitely generated right  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

(b) A finite valuated  $p$ -group  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable if and only if the map  $\theta_G$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -isomorphism.

*Proof.*

(a) Since  $G$  is  $A$ -presented, there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} A^n \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$  such that  $U$  is weakly  $A$ -generated. Proposition 3.2 yields the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T_A H_A(U) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(A^n) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\beta)} & T_A(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \theta_U & & \wr \downarrow \theta_{A^n} & & \downarrow \theta & & \\ U & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A^n & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

of  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -maps in which  $\theta_U$  is an epimorphism and  $M = \text{im } H_A(\beta)$ . The snake lemma in  $\mathcal{A}b$  shows that  $\theta$  is an isomorphism of Abelian groups. Therefore,  $\theta T_A H_A(\beta) \theta_A^{-1} = \beta$  yields  $T_A H_A(\beta) \theta_A^{-1} \alpha = 0$ . Since  $\beta$  is a cokernel of  $\alpha$ , there is a  $\lambda \in \text{Mor}(G, T_A(M))$  such that  $\lambda \beta = T_A H_A(\beta) \theta_A^{-1}$ . Hence,

$$\theta \lambda \beta = \theta T_A H_A(\beta) \theta_A^{-1} = \beta$$

so that  $\theta \lambda = 1_G$  because  $\beta$  is onto. Moreover,  $\lambda$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -isomorphism since it is an isomorphism of Abelian groups such that  $v(g) = v(\theta \lambda(g)) \geq v(\lambda(g)) \geq v(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Hence,  $\theta$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -isomorphism. The converse is obvious.

(b) Since  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable, there is an  $A$ -balanced sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} A^n \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$  such that  $U$  is weakly  $A$ -generated group. Proposition 3.2 (b) yields the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T_A H_A(U) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(\oplus_I A) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\beta)} & T_A H_A(G) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \theta_U & & \wr \downarrow \theta_{\oplus_I A} & & \downarrow \theta_G & & \\ U & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \oplus_I A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Observe that  $\theta_U$  is an epimorphism since there is an exact sequence  $\oplus_I A \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$ . Looking at the diagram in  $\mathcal{A}b$ , we get  $\ker \theta_G = 0$ . Since  $\theta_G$  is a cokernel, the valuation on  $G$  is the smallest valuation  $v$  such that  $v(x) \geq w(y)$  for all elements  $y$  of  $T_A H_A(G)$  with  $\theta_G(y) = x$ , where  $w$  is the valuation on  $T_A H_A(G)$  given by Theorem 2.3. On the other hand, setting  $v_1(x) = w(\theta_G^{-1}(x))$  defines a valuation on  $G$  with

$v_1(x) \geq w(y)$ . Thus,  $w(\theta_G^{-1}(x)) \geq v(x)$  and  $\theta_G^{-1}$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map. Hence,  $\theta_G$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -isomorphism.

Conversely, if  $\theta_G$  is an isomorphism, then  $H_A(\theta_G)$  is an isomorphism such that

$$[H_A(\theta_G)\Phi_{H_A(G)}(\sigma)](a) = [\theta_G\Phi_{H_A(G)}(\sigma)](a) = \theta_G(\sigma \otimes a) = \sigma(a)$$

for all  $\sigma \in H_A(G)$  and all  $a \in A$ . Consequently,  $\Phi_{H_A(G)}$  is an isomorphism.

We consider an exact sequence  $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} F \xrightarrow{\beta} H_A(G) \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules in which  $P$  and  $F$  are projective. It induces the exact sequence

$$T_A(P) \xrightarrow{T_A(\alpha)} T_A(F) \xrightarrow{T_A(\beta)} T_A H_A(G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -kernel  $K \subseteq T_A(F)$  of  $T_A(\beta)$  is weakly  $A$ -generated since it is an image of  $T_A(P)$  which carries the valuation induced by  $T_A(F)$ . Also, since  $T_A(\beta)$  is a cokernel, we have  $T_A(\beta) = \text{Coker}(\ker(T_A(\beta)))$ . Therefore, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{\iota} T_A(F) \xrightarrow{T_A(\beta)} T_A H_A(G) \longrightarrow 0$$

is  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -exact where  $\iota : K \rightarrow T(F)$  is the inclusion map.

In order to see that the last sequence is  $A$ -balanced, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_A T_A(F) & \xrightarrow{H_A T_A(\beta)} & H_A T_A H_A(G) \\ \wr \uparrow \Phi_F & & \wr \uparrow \Phi_{H_A(G)} \\ F & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H_A(G) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in which the vertical maps are isomorphisms by what has already been shown. Therefore,  $H_A T_A(\beta)$  is onto, and  $T_A H_A(G)$  is an  $A$ -solvable group. The same holds for  $G \cong T_A H_A(G)$ . □

Our next result summarizes the most important homological properties of  $A$ -solvable groups. The reader is reminded that a left module  $A$  is faithful if  $A \neq IA$  for all proper right ideals  $I$  of  $R$ . Since the Jacobson radical  $J = J(S)$  is nilpotent for all Artinian rings  $S$ , every indecomposable finite valuated  $p$ -groups is faithful as an  $R$ -module.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a finite valued  $p$ -group.*

(a) *If  $G$  is an  $A$ -solvable group and  $\alpha : A^n \rightarrow G$  is an  $A$ -balanced epimorphism, then  $\alpha$  is a cokernel.*

(b) *If  $U \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\beta} H \rightarrow 0$  is an  $A$ -balanced exact sequence of valued  $p$ -groups such that  $U$  is weakly  $A$ -generated and  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable, then  $H$  is  $A$ -solvable.*

(c) *Let  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} C \xrightarrow{\beta} H$  be a left exact sequence of valued  $p$ -groups such that  $U$  is weakly  $A$ -generated,  $C$  is  $A$ -solvable and  $\beta : C \rightarrow H$  is an  $A$ -balanced epimorphism. If  $\pi : C \rightarrow G$  is a cokernel for  $\alpha$ , then there is a monomorphism  $G \rightarrow H$ , and the sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} C \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 0$  is  $A$ -balanced.*

(d) *Suppose that  $A$  is faithful as a left  $R$ -module.*

(i) *If  $G$  is weakly  $A$ -generated and  $H$  is  $A$ -solvable, then every epimorphism  $G \rightarrow H$  is  $A$ -balanced.*

(ii) *An  $A$ -generated group  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable if it fits into an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} G \xrightarrow{\beta} H \rightarrow 0$  of valued groups in which  $U$  and  $H$  are  $A$ -solvable.*

*Proof.* In order to see (a), let  $U = \ker \alpha$ , and consider the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T_A H_A(U) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\iota)} & T_A H_A(A^n) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(G) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \theta_U & & \downarrow \theta_{A^n} & & \downarrow \theta_G & & \\
 U & \xrightarrow{\iota} & A^n & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G & \longrightarrow & 0,
 \end{array}$$

in which  $T_A H_A(\alpha)$  is a cokernel by Theorem 2.3. Since the last two vertical maps are  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -isomorphisms,  $\alpha$  is a cokernel as well.

(b) Successively applying the functors  $H_A$  and  $T_A$  induces the top-row of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T_A H_A(U) & \longrightarrow & T_A H_A(G) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\beta)} & T_A H_A(H) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \theta_U & & \downarrow \theta_G & & \downarrow \theta_H & & \\
 U & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Although the 5-lemma fails in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ , we can apply it in  $\mathcal{A}b$  to obtain that  $\theta_H$  is an isomorphism of Abelian groups. However, it is also a cokernel by Lemma 2.1. This is only possible if it is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -isomorphism.

(c) Since  $\pi : C \rightarrow G$  is a cokernel of  $\alpha$ , we obtain a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map  $\gamma : G \rightarrow H$  such that  $\beta = \gamma\pi$ . Obviously,  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism of Abelian groups, and hence a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -monomorphism. By (b), it suffices to show that the sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} C \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 0$  is  $A$ -balanced. For this, consider  $\phi \in H_A(G)$ , and select  $\psi \in H_A(C)$  such that  $\gamma\phi = \beta\psi = \gamma\pi\psi$ . Since  $\gamma$  is one-to-one,  $\phi = \pi\psi$ .

(d) Suppose that  $A$  is faithful as a left  $R$ -module, and consider the submodule  $M = \text{im } H_A(\beta)$  of  $H_A(H)$  together with the induced commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_A H_A(G) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\beta)} & T_A(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \theta_G & & \downarrow \theta & & \\ G & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

of Abelian groups. Since  $\beta$  and  $\theta_G$  are epimorphisms, the same holds for  $\theta$ . However,  $\theta$  also fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_A(M) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\iota)} & T_A H_A(H) & \longrightarrow & T_A(H_A(H)/M) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \theta & & \wr \downarrow \theta_H & & \\ H & \xrightarrow{1_H} & H & & \end{array}$$

of Abelian groups where  $\iota : M \rightarrow H_A(H)$  is the inclusion map. Since  $\theta_H$  is an isomorphism,  $T_A(\iota)$  is onto and  $T_A(H_A(H)/M) = 0$ . Since  $A$  is a faithful  $R$ -module,  $M = H_A(H)$ , and (i) holds.

Moreover, the given sequence is  $A$ -balanced by (b) and induces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_A(U) \xrightarrow{H_A(\alpha)} H_A(G) \xrightarrow{H_A(\beta)} H_A(H) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As in Proposition 3.2, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T_A H_A(U) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(G) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\beta)} & T_A H_A(H) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \wr \downarrow \theta_U & & \downarrow \theta_G & & \wr \downarrow \theta_H & & \\
 U & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Since the 5-lemma is valid in  $\mathcal{A}b$ , the map  $\theta_G$  is an isomorphism of Abelian groups. However,  $\theta_G$  is a cokernel by Proposition 3.2 (b). Arguing as before, we obtain that  $\theta_G$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -isomorphism. By Theorem 3.3,  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable.  $\square$

We continue consideration of groups of the form  $T_A(M)$  by examining the case where  $M$  is a module of projective dimension 1. A ring  $R$  has *right finistic dimension 0* if every finitely generated right  $R$ -module is either projective or has infinite projective dimension [4]. In particular, every local Artinian ring has right and left finistic dimension 0.

A valued group  $A$  has the *Szele property* if every monomorphism  $\alpha : P \rightarrow F$  such that  $P$  and  $F$  are  $A$ -projective groups splits. If  $A$  and  $G$  are valued  $p$ -groups, then the  *$A$ -radical of  $G$*  is the valued subgroup

$$R_A(G) = \cap \{ \ker \phi \mid \phi \in \text{Mor}(G, A) \}$$

of  $G$ . As in the case of Abelian groups,  $R_A(G)$  is the kernel of the  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -morphism  $\Psi_G : G \rightarrow A^I$  defined by  $\Psi(g) = (\alpha(g))_{\alpha \in I}$ , where  $I = \text{Mor}(G, A)$ . In particular,  $\Psi_G$  induces a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -monomorphism  $G/R_A(G) \rightarrow A^I$  whenever  $G$  is a valued  $p$ -group.

Before giving a description of the structure of finite valued groups with the Szele-property, we remind the reader of the following technical result from [1]:

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $G, H \in \mathcal{V}_p$  be such that  $H = U \oplus V$  in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . If  $\alpha \in \text{Mor}(G, H)$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -monomorphism such that the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $U$  splits in  $\mathcal{V}_p$  as  $G = \alpha(U) \oplus C$  with projection  $\pi : G \rightarrow C$  and the map  $\pi\alpha : V \rightarrow C$  splits in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ , then the map  $\alpha$  splits in  $\mathcal{V}_p$  as well.*

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be a finite valued  $p$ -group.*

- (a)  *$R$  has right finistic dimension 0 if and only if*
- (i)  *$A$  has the Szele property, and*

(ii)  $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, A) = 0$  whenever  $M$  is a finitely generated right  $R$ -module with  $p.d.(M_R) \leq 1$ .

(b)  $A$  has the Szele-property if and only if

(i)  $R_{A_i}(A_j) \neq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

(ii)  $\cap_{j=1}^n U_j \neq 0$  for all non-zero valuated subgroups  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  of  $A_i$  such that  $R_{A_j}(A_i/U_j) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.*

(a) Suppose that  $R$  has right finistic dimension 0. If  $0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\alpha} F$  is exact with  $P$  and  $F$   $A$ -projective, then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_A(P) \xrightarrow{H_A(\alpha)} H_A(F) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

of right  $R$ -modules splits since  $p.d.(M) \leq 1$ . Thus, the top-row of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_A H_A(P) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(F) \\ & & \wr \downarrow \theta_P & & \wr \downarrow \theta_F \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & F \end{array}$$

splits, and the same holds for the bottom. Clearly, (ii) holds.

Conversely, consider an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  in which  $P$  and  $F$  are finitely generated projective right  $R$ -modules. An application of  $T_A$  yields the sequence  $0 = \text{Tor}_1^R(M, A) \rightarrow T_A(P) \rightarrow T_A(F)$ , which splits since  $A$  has the Szele property. Using an argument similar to that used in (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), we obtain that  $M$  is projective.

(b) Suppose that  $A$  has the Szele property. If we can find  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $R_{A_i}(A_j) = 0$ , then there exists a monomorphism  $\alpha : A_j \rightarrow A_i^k$  for some  $k < \omega$ . This sequence splits since  $A$  has the Szele property. Since direct decompositions of finite valuated  $p$ -groups into indecomposables are unique,  $A_j \cong A_i$ .

If there are non-zero valuated subgroups  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  of  $A_i$  with  $R_{A_j}(A_i/U_j) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\cap_{j=1}^n U_j = 0$ , then the map  $\pi : A_i \rightarrow \oplus_j A_i/U_j$ , defined by  $\pi(a_i) = (a_i + U_1, \dots, a_i + U_n)$ , is a monomorphism. Moreover, we can select a monomorphism  $\alpha_j : A_i/U_j \rightarrow A_j^{k_j}$  for each  $j$ . The  $\alpha_j$  coordinatewise induce a monomorphism  $\alpha : \oplus_j A_i/U_j \rightarrow \oplus_{j=1}^n A_j^{k_j}$ . Clearly,  $\alpha\pi : A_i \rightarrow \oplus_{j=1}^n A_j^{k_j}$  is a

$\mathcal{V}_p$ -monomorphism which splits by (a), say,  $\beta\alpha\pi = 1_{A_i}$ . Thus,  $A_i$  is isomorphic to an indecomposable direct summand of  $\bigoplus_j [A_i/U_j]$ , and we can find  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $A_i$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $A_i/U_j$  contradicting  $|A_i/U_j| < |A_i|$ .

Conversely, let  $F$  and  $P$  be  $A$ -projective groups, and let  $\alpha : P \rightarrow F$  be a monomorphism. We have  $P = A_1^{r_1} \oplus \dots \oplus A_n^{r_n}$  and  $F = A_1^{s_1} \oplus \dots \oplus A_n^{s_n}$  for some  $r_1, \dots, r_n, s_1, \dots, s_n < \omega$ . We establish the splitting of the map  $\alpha$  by induction on  $r = r_1 + \dots + r_n$ . If  $r = 1$ , then we may assume that  $r_1 = 1$ . Let  $\pi_i : F \rightarrow A_i^{s_i}$  be the projection onto the  $i$ th-coordinate, and set  $U_i = \ker \pi_i \alpha$ . If  $U_i = 0$  for some  $i \geq 2$ , then  $R_{A_i}(A_1) = 0$ , which contradicts (i). Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i = 0$  due to the fact that  $\alpha$  is one-to-one,  $U_1 = 0$  by (ii). By (a),  $A_1$  has the Szele property since it has a local endomorphism ring, and  $\pi_1 \alpha$  splits, say  $\beta \pi_1 \alpha = 1_{A_1}$ . Thus,  $\alpha$  splits.

Now, suppose that  $r > 1$ . As before, we may assume  $r_1 > 0$ . For the decomposition  $D = A_1^{r_1-1} \oplus \dots \oplus A_n^{r_n}$ , let  $\delta_1 : D \rightarrow P$  and  $\delta_2 : A_1 \rightarrow P$  be the embeddings associated with the decomposition  $P = A_1 \oplus D$ . By the induction hypothesis, there is a map  $\beta_1 : F \rightarrow D$  such that  $\beta_1 \alpha \delta_1 = 1_D$ . Thus,  $F = \alpha(D) \oplus C$  for some valuated subgroup of  $F$ . Let  $\pi : F \rightarrow C$  denote the projection with kernel  $\alpha(D)$ . If  $\pi \alpha(a_1) = 0$  for some  $a_1 \in A_1$ , then  $\alpha(a_1) \in \alpha(D)$ , which is a contradiction unless  $a_1 = 0$ . Hence,  $\pi \alpha \delta_2 : A_1 \rightarrow C$  is a monomorphism, which splits by the last paragraph, say,  $\beta_2 \pi \alpha \delta_2 = 1_{A_1}$  for a map  $\beta_2 : C \rightarrow A_1$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $\alpha$  splits. □

**4. Simply presented groups.** A ( $p$ -)valuated tree  $(X, v)$  is a set  $X$  with a (partial) multiplication by  $p$  and a map  $v$  defined on  $X$  subject to the following rules

- (i)  $v(x)$  is an ordinal or  $\infty$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (ii) If  $p^n x = x$  for some  $0 < n < \omega$ , then  $px = x$ , and there is exactly one element in  $X$  with this property, called the root of  $X$ .
- (iii)  $v(px) > v(x)$  whenever  $px$  is defined.

We can associate a *simply presented* valuated  $p$ -group  $S(X)$  with a rooted tree  $X$  by setting  $S(X) = F_X/R_X$ , where  $F_X$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module with basis  $\{[x] \mid x \in X\}$  and  $R_X$  is generated by the elements  $p[x] - [px]$ . Every non-zero element  $g \in S(X)$  can be uniquely written

as  $g = \sum_{x \in X} n_x([x] + R_X)$ . We now define the valuation on  $S(X)$  by  $v(g) = \min\{v(x) \mid n_x \neq 0\}$ .

A map  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are valuated trees, is a *tree map* if

- (i)  $\psi(px) = p\psi(x)$  whenever  $px$  exists and
- (ii)  $v(\psi(x)) \geq v(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Every tree map  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  induces a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -map  $\bar{\psi} : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ . A tree map  $r : X \rightarrow X$  is a retraction if  $r^2 = r$ . In particular, there is an order preserving retraction from  $S(X)$  onto  $X$  for all valuated trees [7].

If  $A = S(T)$  and  $G = S(X)$  are simply presented groups, then any monomorphism  $\alpha : S(T) \rightarrow S(X)$  induces a one-to-one tree map  $r\alpha \mid T : T \rightarrow X$ , where  $r : S(X) \rightarrow X$  is the retraction introduced in [7]. We now show that a similar result need not hold for epimorphisms  $\pi : A^n \rightarrow G$ :

**Example 4.1.** Let  $A$  be a cyclic group of order  $p^3$  with the height valuation. We consider  $F = A \oplus A$  and its valuated subgroup  $U$  generated by  $(p^2x, -p^2x)$ . There exists a simply presented valuated  $p$ -group  $G$  generated by  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  such that  $v(x_1) = 1$ ,  $v(px_1) = 4$ ,  $v(x_2) = 2$ ,  $v(px_2) = 3$ ,  $p_1^3 = 0$  and  $p^2x_1 = p^2x_2$  has value 5. The group  $G$  is indecomposable, and it is generated by  $x_1$  and  $x_1 - x_2$ . Sending  $(1, 0)$  to  $x_1$  and  $(0, 1)$  to  $x_1 - x_2$  yields an epimorphism of  $F = A \oplus A$  onto  $G = S(X)$  where  $X$  is the valuated tree given by  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . If  $r : S(X) \rightarrow X$  is the order-preserving retraction from [7], then  $r(x_1) = x_2$  and  $r(x_1 - x_2)$  has order  $p^2$ . Therefore,  $x_2 \notin r\phi(T \cup T)$ .

The next result illustrates Corollary 3.4 (c) further:

**Example 4.2.** Let  $A$  be a cyclic valuated group of order  $p^3$ , and choose generator  $x$  of  $A$  such that  $v(x) = 1$ ,  $v(px) = 3$  and  $v(p^2x) = 5$ . We consider  $F = A \oplus A$  and its valuated subgroup  $U$  generated by  $(p^2x, -p^2x)$ .

The cokernel  $\pi : F \rightarrow G$  of the embedding  $U \subseteq F$  has order  $p^5$ . If we consider the induced sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_A(U) \longrightarrow H_A(F) \xrightarrow{H_A(\pi)} H_A(G),$$

then  $|H_A(U)| = p$  and  $|H_A(F)| = p^6$ . Clearly,  $U$  is weakly  $A$ -generated. As an Abelian group, we have  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$  since it is generated by two elements and has order  $p^5$ . Therefore,

$$p^5 \leq |H_A(G)| \leq |\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})| = p^5,$$

and  $H_A(\pi)$  is onto. By Proposition 3.4 (a),  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable and a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -direct sum of cyclics.

On the other hand, there exists a simply presented valued  $p$ -group  $H$  generated by  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  such that  $v(x_1) = 1$ ,  $v(px_1) = 4$ ,  $v(x_2) = 2$ ,  $v(px_2) = 3$ ,  $p_1^3 = 0$  and  $p^2x_1 = p^2x_2$  has value 5. The group  $H$  is indecomposable, and there is an epimorphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow H$  with kernel  $U$ . Arguing as before yields that

$$0 \rightarrow H_A(U) \rightarrow H_A(F) \xrightarrow{H_A(\phi)} H_A(H) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since  $G$  is the cokernel of  $\ker \phi$ , there is a map  $\lambda : G \rightarrow H$  with  $\phi = \lambda\pi$ . Another order argument establishes that  $\lambda$  is an isomorphism of groups, and hence, a  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -monomorphism. However,  $H$  is not  $A$ -solvable by Corollary 3.4 (b).

We now show that usually there exist  $A$ -presented groups which are not  $A$ -solvable unless  $A$  is cyclic.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be an indecomposable finite valued  $p$ -group:*

- (a) *If  $|A/pA| > p$ , then  $A/pA$  is not  $A$ -solvable.*
- (b) *If  $|A/JA| > p$ , then  $A/JA$  is not  $A$ -solvable.*
- (c) *The following are equivalent if  $A$  is simply presented:*
  - (i)  *$A$  is cyclic.*
  - (ii) *Every  $A$ -presented group is  $A$ -solvable.*

*Proof.*

- (a) Let  $J$  denote the Jacobson-radical of  $R$ , and

$$S = \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{V}_p}(A/pA, A/pA)$$

the  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -endomorphism ring of  $A/pA$ , where  $A/pA$  has the cokernel valuation. Define a map  $\lambda : R \rightarrow S$  by  $\lambda(\alpha)(a + pA) = \alpha(a) + pA$  for all  $\alpha \in R$ . As in the case of Abelian groups,  $\lambda(\alpha)$  is well defined. If

$a \in A$ , then we can find  $a_0 \in A$  such that  $v(a + pA) = v(a + pa_0)$  [10]. Select  $a_1 \in A$  with  $v(\alpha(a) + pA) = v(\alpha(a) + pa_1)$ . Then,  $v(a + pA) = v(a + pa_0) \leq v(\alpha(a) + p\alpha(a_0)) \leq v(\alpha(a) + pa_1) = v(\lambda(\alpha)(a + pA))$ . Hence,  $\lambda(\alpha) \in S$ . As in the case of Abelian groups,  $\lambda$  is a ring-morphism with  $\ker \lambda = \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{V}_p}(A, pA) = H_A(pA)$ . Since  $H_A(pA)$  is a proper ideal of  $R$ , we obtain  $H_A(pA) \subseteq J$ , and  $R/H_A(pA)$  is a local ring since  $A$  is indecomposable. On the other hand,  $A/pA$  is a valuated direct sum of cyclic groups of order  $p$ . Since  $|A/pA| > p$ , its endomorphism ring contains at least one non-trivial idempotent and cannot be local. Therefore,  $\lambda$  cannot be onto.

On the other hand, consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow pA \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/pA \rightarrow 0$  of valuated groups. By Proposition 3.2, it induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T_A H_A(pA) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(A) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\beta)} & T_A(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \theta_{pA} & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \theta & & \\
 pA & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & A/pA & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

for some submodule  $M$  of  $H_A(A/pA)$ . The evaluation map  $\theta$  is a cokernel and satisfies  $\theta = \theta_{A/pA} T_A(\iota)$  where  $\iota : M \rightarrow H_A(A/pA)$  is the inclusion map. If  $A/pA$  were  $A$ -solvable, then  $\theta_{A/pA}$  would be an isomorphism, and  $T_A(\iota)$  would be onto. As before, this yields that  $T_A(H_A(A/pA)/M) = 0$ , from which we obtain  $M = H_A(A/pA)$  since  $A$  is faithful. Therefore, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_A(pA) \longrightarrow H_A(A) \longrightarrow H_A(A/pA) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. We also have the induced sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{V}_p}(A/pA, A/pA) \longrightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{V}_p}(A, A/pA).$$

Clearly, this map is onto, too, so that

$$|\lambda(R)| = |H_A(A)/H_A(pA)| = |H_A(A/pA)| = |S| < \infty.$$

Hence,  $\lambda$  is onto, which is not possible by the last paragraph. Consequently,  $A/pA$  is not  $A$ -solvable.

(b) In order to simplify our notation, we write  $G = T_A(R/J)$ . Assume that we can find an  $A$ -balanced exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$  with  $F$   $A$ -free and  $U$  weakly  $A$ -generated. This induces

the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T_A H_A(U) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(\oplus_I A) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\beta)} & T_A H_A(G) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \theta_U & & \downarrow \theta_{\oplus_I A} & & \downarrow \theta_G & & \\
 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \oplus_I A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G & \longrightarrow & 0,
 \end{array}$$

in which  $\theta_U$  is an epimorphism since  $U$  is weakly  $A$ -generated. Looking at this diagram as a diagram in  $\mathcal{A}b$ , we obtain that  $\theta_G$  is an isomorphism of Abelian groups.

Since  $A$  is indecomposable and finite,  $R/J$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ . Thus,  $pR \subseteq J$ , and  $G = T_A(R/J)$  is a valued direct sum of cyclic groups of order  $p$  [8], say,  $G = G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_n$ . We obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T_A H_A(G_i) & \longrightarrow & T_A H_A(G) & \longrightarrow & T_A H_A(\oplus_{j \neq i} G_j) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \theta_{G_i} & & \downarrow \theta_G & & \downarrow \theta_{\oplus_{j \neq i} G_j} & & \\
 G_i & \longrightarrow & \oplus_I A & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{j \neq i} G_j & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

of valued  $p$ -groups in which the rows split in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . Viewing it as a diagram in  $\mathcal{A}b$ , we obtain that  $\theta_{G_i}$  is an isomorphism of Abelian groups since the same holds for  $\theta_G$ .

In order to see that this is not possible, consider a cyclic valued group  $H$  of order  $p$  such that  $\theta_H$  is an isomorphism of Abelian groups. Since  $H_A(H) \neq 0$ , we can find  $0 \neq \alpha \in H_A(H)$ . Clearly,  $\alpha$  is onto. If  $V$  denotes the kernel of  $\alpha$ , then the sequence  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} H$  is left exact, and the same is true for the induced sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_A(V) \rightarrow H_A(A) \xrightarrow{H_A(\alpha)} H_A(H).$$

Let  $M = \text{im}(H_A(\alpha)) \subseteq H_A(H)$  and  $\iota : M \rightarrow H_A(H)$  be the corresponding embedding. Observe that  $H_A(V)$  is a proper right ideal of  $R$  since, otherwise,  $U = A$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $H_A(V) \subseteq J$ . We obtain an exact sequence

$$M \cong H_A(A)/H_A(V) \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow 0$$

of right  $R$ -modules. Applying  $T_A$  yields

$$|T_A(M)| \geq |T_A(R/J)| = |A/JA| > p.$$

Arguing as before, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T_A H_A(V) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(A) & \xrightarrow{T_A(\alpha)} & T_A(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \theta_V & & \downarrow \theta_A & & \downarrow \theta & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

of Abelian groups. Since the snake lemma is valid in  $\mathcal{A}b$ , the evaluation map  $\theta$  is an epimorphism of Abelian groups. Arguing as before, we obtain  $M \cong H_A(H)$ . Therefore,  $|T_A(M)| = |T_A H_A(H)| = |H| = p$ , a contradiction.

(c) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $G \cong T_A(M)$  for some right  $R$ -module  $M$ . Since  $A$  is a cyclic group,  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $n < \omega$ . Hence, every finitely generated  $R$ -module is a direct sum of cyclic submodules. By Theorem 3.3 (a),  $G \cong T_A(M)$  for some finite right  $R$ -module  $M$ . Since  $M$  is a direct sum of cyclic modules,  $G$  is a direct sum of valuated subgroups of the form  $T_A(U_i)$ , where each  $U_i$  is a cyclic right  $R$ -module, and it suffices to show that  $T_A(M)$  admits an  $A$ -balanced exact sequence of the desired form whenever  $M$  is cyclic.

In order to see this, consider an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow p^k R \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0$  for some  $k < n$ . To establish that the induced sequence  $0 \rightarrow p^k A \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{T_A(\pi)} T_A(M) \rightarrow 0$  is  $A$ -balanced, observe that  $M$  is isomorphic to a right ideal of  $R$ . We obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_A T_A(M) & \longrightarrow & H_A T_A(R) \\
 \uparrow \Phi_M & & \uparrow \Phi_R \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow R
 \end{array}$$

which yields that  $\Phi_M$  is a monomorphism. On the other hand,  $T_A(M)$  is a cyclic group of order at most  $p^{n-k}$  since it is an epimorphic image of  $M^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-k}\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,  $p^{n-k} = |M| \leq |H_A T_A(M)| \leq p^{n-k}$ , and  $\Phi_M$  is an isomorphism. On the other hand, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_A T_A(R) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\pi)} & H_A T_A(M) \\
 \uparrow \Phi_R & & \uparrow \Phi_M \\
 R & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

yields that  $T_A H_A(\pi)$  is onto. Clearly,  $p^k A$  is weakly  $A$ -generated.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that  $A$  is not cyclic, and choose a finite valuated  $p$ -tree  $X$  such that  $A = S(X)$ . Suppose that  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are the elements of highest order in  $X$ . Computing as in the case of Abelian groups, we can show that  $\{x_1 + pA, \dots, x_n + pA\}$  is a  $p$ -basis for  $A/pA$ . Since  $A$  is acyclic,  $n > 1$  and  $|A/pA| > p$ . From (a),  $A/pA$  is an  $A$ -presented group which is not  $A$ -solvable.  $\square$

We want to contrast the last result with [12, Lemma 9], which shows that  $|R/J| = p$  if  $A$  is an indecomposable simply presented group. Moreover,  $T_A(M)$  may be  $A$ -solvable for some finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , although  $T_A(X)$  is not  $A$ -solvable for all composition factors  $X$  of  $M$ . For instance, if  $A$  is indecomposable, then the only possible composition factors of a finitely generated  $R$ -module are isomorphic to  $R/J$ . Thus,  $T_A(M)$  can be  $A$ -solvable although  $T_A(R/J)$  may not be by part (b) of the last theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. U. Albrecht, *Bewertete  $p$ -Gruppen und ein Satz von Szele*, J. Algebra **97** (1985), 201–220.
2. ———, *Faithful abelian groups of infinite rank*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 21–26.
3. D.M. Arnold, *Abelian groups and representations of finite partially ordered sets*, CMS Books Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
4. H. Bass, *Finite dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 466–488.
5. L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian groups*, Volume I, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
6. ———, *Infinite Abelian groups*, Volume II, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
7. R. Hunter, F. Richman and E.A. Walker, *Simply presented valuated  $p$ -groups*, J. Algebra **49** (1977), 125–133.
8. ———, *Finite direct sums of cyclic valuated  $p$ -groups*, Pacific J. Math. **69** (1977), 97–133.
9. F. Richman and E.A. Walker, *Ext in pre-abelian categories*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 521–535.
10. ———, *Valuated groups*, J. Algebra **56** (1979), 145–167.
11. J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
12. P. Schultz, *The endomorphism ring of a valuated group*, Contemp. Math. **87** (1989), 75–84.
13. B. Stenstrom, *Rings of quotients*, Grundlehr. Math. Wissen. **217**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1975.

14. A.V. Yakolev, *Homological algebra in pre-abelian categories*, J. Soviet Math. **19** (1982), 1060–1067.

AUBURN UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN, AL 36849  
**Email address:** [albreuf@mail.auburn.edu](mailto:albreuf@mail.auburn.edu)