## NON-VANISHING OF CARLITZ-FERMAT QUOTIENTS MODULO PRIMES

## NGUYEN NGOC DONG QUAN

1. Introduction. Let  $q = p^s$ , where p is a prime and s is a positive integer. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field of q elements, and set  $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$  and  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ . Let  $\tau$  be the mapping defined by  $\tau(x) = x^q$ , and let  $k\langle \tau \rangle$  denote the twisted polynomial ring. Let  $C: A \to k\langle \tau \rangle$   $(a \mapsto C_a)$  be the Carlitz module, namely, let C be an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra homomorphism such that  $C_T = T + \tau$ . Let R be any commutative k-algebra. The definition of the Carlitz module C implies that  $C_T(a) = Ta + a^q$  for every  $a \in R$ .

Let  $\wp$  be a monic prime in A. The Carlitz-Fermat quotient  $\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}$ :  $A \to A$  is the mapping defined by

$$Q_{\wp}(a) := \frac{C_{\wp-1}(a)}{\wp}$$
 for each  $a \in A$ .

The notion of Carlitz-Fermat quotients first appeared in the work of Mauduit [6]. In this note, we prove several non-vanishing results of Carlitz-Fermat quotients modulo primes in A, which are Carlitz module analogues of the results in [5]. As a by-product, we give an alternative proof of the result in [2] that a Mersenne prime in A is a non-Wieferich prime in the Carlitz module context. We briefly recall the notions of Mersenne primes and Wieferich primes in the Carlitz module setting.

**Definition 1.1.** A Mersenne prime M in A is a prime of the form  $\alpha C_{\wp}(1)$ , where  $\wp$  is a monic prime in A and  $\alpha$  is an element in  $\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let W be a prime element in A. Write  $W = \alpha \wp$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$  is the leading coefficient of W and  $\wp$  is a monic prime in A. We say that W is a Wieferich prime if  $\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\wp}$ ; otherwise, W is called a non-Wieferich prime.

<sup>2010</sup> AMS Mathematics subject classification. Primary 11G09, 11R58, 11T55. Keywords and phrases. Carlitz module, Carlitz-Fermat quotients, Mersenne prime, Wieferich prime.

Received by the editors on May 9, 2014.

The notion of Mersenne primes in A was introduced by the author in [2], and the notion of Wieferich primes in A was first introduced by Dinesh Thakur in [9]. See also Thakur's recent preprint [11] for more beautiful results on several types of primes in A and their connections with zeta values.

2. Carlitz-Fermat quotients. In this section, we prove several properties of Carlitz-Fermat quotients. The main result of this section is the following.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $\wp$  be a monic prime in A of degree d > 0. Then

- (i)  $\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -module homomorphism; (ii)  $\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(a+m\wp) \equiv \mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(a)-m \pmod{\wp}$  for all  $a,m\in A$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(C_m(a)) \equiv m\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(a) \pmod{\wp}$  for all  $a, m \in A$ .

*Proof.* Since the Carlitz module C is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra homomorphism, we see that (i) follows immediately.

We now prove (ii). By [8, Proposition 12.11], one can write  $C_{\wp}(x) \in A[x]$  in the form

(2.1) 
$$C_{\wp}(x) = \wp x + [\wp, 1]x^{q} + \dots + [\wp, d-1]x^{q^{d-1}} + x^{q^{d}},$$

where  $[\wp, i]$  is a polynomial of degree  $q^i(d-i)$  for each  $1 \le i \le d-1$ . Furthermore, we know that  $[\wp, i]$  is divisible by  $\wp$  for each  $1 \le i \le d-1$ . Hence, we see that

$$C_{\wp-1}(m\wp) = \wp(m\wp) + [\wp, 1](m\wp)^q + \dots + (m\wp)^{q^d} - m\wp$$
$$= \wp\left(m\wp + [\wp, 1]m^q\wp^{q-1} + \dots + m^{q^d}\wp^{q^d-1} - m\right),$$

and thus  $\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(m\wp) \equiv -m \pmod{\wp}$ . It thus follows from part (i) that

$$Q_{\wp}(a+m\wp) = Q_{\wp}(a) + Q_{\wp}(m\wp) \equiv Q_{\wp}(a) - m \pmod{\wp}.$$

We now prove that (iii) holds. Let m be an arbitrary element in Aof degree h, and let  $a \in A$ . We can write  $C_m(x) \in A[x]$  in the form

$$C_m(x) = mx + [m, 1]x^q + [m, 2]x^{q^2} + \dots + [m, h-1]x^{q^{h-1}} + [m, h]x^{q^h},$$

where [m, i] is a polynomial of degree  $q^i(h-i)$  for each  $1 \le i \le h$ . We see that

$$\wp \mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(C_m(a)) = C_{\wp-1}(C_m(a)) = C_{m(\wp-1)}(a)$$

$$= C_m(C_{\wp-1}(a)) = C_m(\wp \mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(a))$$

$$= m(\wp \mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(a)) + [m, 1](\wp \mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(a))^q + \dots + [m, h](\wp \mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(a))^{q^h},$$

and thus

$$Q_{\wp}(C_m(a)) = mQ_{\wp}(a) + [m, 1]\wp^{q-1}(Q_{\wp}(a))^q + \dots + [m, h]\wp^{q^h-1}(Q_{\wp}(a))^{q^h}.$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$Q_{\wp}(C_m(a)) \equiv mQ_{\wp}(a) \pmod{\wp},$$

which proves that (iii) is true.

**Remark 2.2.** Let p be an odd prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Recall that the *Fermat quotient*  $q_p : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is defined by  $q_p(a) = (a^{p-1} - 1)/p$  for each integer a with gcd(a, p) = 1. According to [1], Eisenstein noted that the Fermat quotient  $q_p$  satisfies the following properties.

- (1)  $q_p(ab) \equiv q_p(a) + q_p(b) \pmod{p}$ ;
- (2)  $q_p(a+mp) \equiv q_p(a) m/a \pmod{p}$ ;

and

(3) 
$$q_p(a^m) \equiv mq_p(a) \pmod{p}$$
.

There are well-known analogies [3, 8, 10] between the Carlitz module  $a \mapsto C_m(a)$ ,  $m \in A$ , and the power map  $a \mapsto a^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, (i), (ii) and (iii) in Proposition 2.1 are Carlitz module analogues of (1), (2) and (3) mentioned above.

3. Non-vanishing of Carlitz-Fermat quotients modulo primes. In this section, using Proposition 2.1, we prove several non-vanishing results of Carlitz-Fermat quotients modulo primes.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\wp$  be a monic prime in A of degree d > 0, and let  $\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}$  be the Carlitz-Fermat quotient of  $\wp$ . Let a, m be nonzero elements in A such that  $\wp$  does not divide m. Assume that  $C_m(a) = b\wp$  for some

 $b \in A$ . Then

$$Q_{\wp}(a) \equiv -\frac{b}{m} \pmod{\wp}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from part Proposition 2.1 (iii) that

$$m\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(a) \equiv \mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(C_m(a)) = \mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(b\wp) \pmod{\wp}.$$

By parts (i) and (ii) in Proposition 2.1, we deduce that  $\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(b\wp) \equiv -b \pmod{\wp}$ , and thus  $\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(a) \equiv -b/m \pmod{\wp}$ .

In [2], the author proves that a Mersenne prime is a non-Wieferich prime in the Carlitz module context. We present here an alternative proof of this result using Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. Let  $M_P = \alpha C_P(1)$  be a Mersenne prime, where  $\alpha$  is an element in  $\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$  and P is a monic prime in A of degree d > 0. Then  $M_P$  is a non-Wieferich prime.

*Proof.* Write  $M_P = \beta \wp$ , where  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$  is the leading coefficient of  $M_P$  and  $\wp$  is a monic prime in A. We see that  $C_P(1) = \alpha^{-1} M_P = \alpha^{-1} \beta \wp$ . We can write  $C_P(x) \in A[x]$  in the form

$$C_P(x) = Px + [P, 1]x^q + \dots + [P, d-1]x^{q^{d-1}} + x^{q^d},$$

where [P, i] is a polynomial in A of degree  $q^i(d-i)$  for each  $1 \le i \le d-1$ . Furthermore, it is known [4, Proposition 2.4] that [P, i] is divisible by P for each  $1 \le i \le d-1$ . Hence, we deduce that

$$\beta \wp = M_P = \alpha C_P(1)$$
  
=  $\alpha (P + [P, 1] + \dots [P, d-1] + 1) \equiv \alpha \pmod{P},$ 

and thus  $\wp \equiv \alpha \beta^{-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}$ .

Since  $P, \wp$  are relatively prime, applying Theorem 3.1 with  $P, \wp, 1$  and  $\alpha^{-1}\beta$  in the roles of  $m, \wp, a$  and b, respectively, we deduce that

$$Q_{\wp}(1) \equiv -\frac{\alpha^{-1}\beta}{P} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\wp},$$

and thus  $M_P = \beta \wp$  is a non-Wieferich prime.

Corollary 3.3. Let a be an element in A, and let m, n be nonzero elements in A. Let H be the unique element in A such that  $C_{mn}(a) =$ 

 $C_n(a)H$ . Assume that there exists a monic prime  $\wp$  dividing H such that  $\wp$  does not divide mn. Write  $H = b\wp$  for some  $b \in A$ . Then

$$Q_{\wp}(a) \equiv -\frac{bC_n(a)}{mn} \pmod{\wp}.$$

*Proof.* We see that  $C_{mn}(a) = HC_n(a) = bC_n(a)\wp$ . Since  $\wp$  does not divide mn, applying Theorem 3.1 with a,  $bC_n(a)$ , mn and  $\wp$  in the roles of a, b, m and  $\wp$ , respectively, we deduce that

$$Q_{\wp}(a) \equiv -\frac{bC_n(a)}{mn} \pmod{\wp}.$$

**Corollary 3.4.** We maintain the same notation and assumptions as in Corollary 3.3. Assume that  $v_{\wp}(H) = 1$ , where  $v_{\wp}$  denotes the  $\wp$ -adic valuation. Assume further that m and  $C_n(a)$  are relatively prime. Then  $\mathcal{Q}_{\wp}(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\wp}$ .

Proof. By Corollary 3.3, we know that

$$Q_{\wp}(a) \equiv -\frac{bC_n(a)}{mn} \pmod{\wp}.$$

We prove that  $bC_n(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\wp}$ . Indeed, we know that  $1 = v_{\wp}(H) = v_{\wp}(b\wp) = 1 + v_{\wp}(b)$ , and thus  $v_{\wp}(b) = 0$ . Hence,  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\wp}$ .

We can write  $C_m(x) \in A[x]$  in the form

$$C_m(x) = mx + [m, 1]x^q + \dots + [m, \deg(m)]x^{q^{\deg(m)}},$$

where [m, i] is a polynomial of degree  $q^i(\deg(m) - i)$  for each  $1 \le i \le \deg(m) - 1$  and  $[m, \deg(m)]$  is the leading coefficient of m. Then we see that

$$C_{mn}(a) = C_m(C_n(a))$$

$$= C_n(a)(m + [m, 1](C_n(a))^{q-1} + \dots + [m, \deg(m)](C_n(a))^{q^{\deg(m)} - 1}).$$

Since  $C_{mn}(a) = C_n(a)H$ , we deduce that

$$H = m + [m, 1](C_n(a))^{q-1} + \dots + [m, \deg(m)](C_n(a))^{q^{\deg(m)} - 1}.$$

Since  $gcd(m, C_n(a)) = 1$ , it follows from the equation of H that  $H \equiv m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$  for each prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  dividing  $C_n(a)$ . Hence, H and

 $C_n(a)$  are relatively prime, and therefore  $C_n(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\wp}$ . This implies that  $bC_n(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\wp}$ , and hence

$$Q_{\wp}(a) \equiv -\frac{bC_n(a)}{mn} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\wp}.$$

**Remark 3.5.** Corollary 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 are Carlitz analogues of Corollary 2 and Corollary 3 in [5], respectively.

## REFERENCES

- 1. L.E. Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers*, Volume I: *Divisibility and primality*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1966.
- 2. N.N. Dong Quan, Carlitz module analogues of Mersenne primes, Wieferich primes, and certain prime elements in cyclotomic function fields, J. Num. Theor. 145 (2014), 181–193.
- 3. D. Goss, Basic structures of function field arithmetic, Ergeb. Math. Grenzg. 35, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- 4. D.R. Hayes, Explicit class field theory for rational function fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 189 (1974), 77–91.
- 5. W. Johnson, On the nonvanishing of Fermat quotients  $\pmod{p}$ , J. reine angew. Math. **292** (1977), 196–200.
- V. Mauduit, Quotients de Fermat-Carlitz, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 321 (1995), 1139–1141.
- 7. \_\_\_\_\_, Carmichael-Carlitz polynomials and Fermat-Carlitz quotients, in Finite fields and applications, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note 233, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- 8. M. Rosen, Number theory in function fields, Grad. Texts Math. 210, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- 9. D.S. Thakur, Iwasawa theory and cyclotomic function fields, in Arithmetic geometry Contemp. Math. 174, American Mathematical Society, Philadelphia, 1994.
- 10. \_\_\_\_\_, Function field arithmetic, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2004.
- 11. \_\_\_\_\_, Fermat versus Wilson congruences, arithmetic derivatives and zeta values, preprint, 2014.

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712

Email address: dongquan.ngoc.nguyen@gmail.com