

## FOURTH ORDER OPERATORS WITH GENERAL WENTZELL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

ANGELO FAVINI, GISÈLE RUIZ GOLDSTEIN,  
JEROME A. GOLDSTEIN AND SILVIA ROMANELLI

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded subset of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$  in  $C^4$ ,  $a \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$  with  $a > 0$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , and let  $A$  be the fourth order operator defined by  $Au := \Delta(a\Delta u)$ , respectively  $Au := B^2u$ , where  $Bu := \nabla \cdot (a\nabla u)$ , with general Wentzell boundary condition of the type

$$Au + \beta \frac{\partial(a\Delta u)}{\partial n} + \gamma u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$
$$\left( \text{respectively } Au + \beta \frac{\partial(Bu)}{\partial n} + \gamma u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \right).$$

We prove that, under additional boundary conditions, if  $\beta, \gamma \in C^{3+\varepsilon}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , then the realization of the operator  $A$  on a suitable Hilbert space of  $L^2$  type, with a suitable weight on  $\partial\Omega$ , is essentially self-adjoint and bounded below.

**0. Introduction.** Consider problems involving the Laplacian  $\Delta$  on a smooth bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . The usual boundary conditions are of Robin type, i.e.,

$$\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \gamma u = 0,$$

where  $(\beta(x), \gamma(x))$  is a nonzero vector for each  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , the boundary of  $\Omega$ , and  $n$  is the unit outer normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . But by working in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  rather than in  $L^p(\Omega)$  one can use Wentzell boundary conditions of the form

$$\alpha \Delta u + \beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \gamma u = 0,$$

where  $(\alpha(x), \beta(x), \gamma(x))$  is a nonzero vector in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  for each  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . The resolvent equation  $\Delta u - \lambda u = h$  on the boundary cannot distinguish between  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\Delta u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  when  $h = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ; such functions  $h$  are dense in  $L^2(\Omega)$  but not in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$ . In the previous work

---

Received by the editors on April 28, 2005, and in revised form on December 7, 2005.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2008-38-2-445 Copyright ©2008 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

[6] we showed how to remedy this, replacing the ambient base space  $L^2(\Omega)$  by  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega) \oplus L^2(\partial\Omega, w \, dS)$  with a suitable weight function  $w$  depending naturally on the boundary conditions.

In [6] we showed how to solve linear parabolic equations of the form  $du/dt = Au$  (with  $A$  a second order elliptic operator) with boundary conditions of the form  $\alpha Au + \beta(\partial u/\partial n) + \gamma u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Here  $\beta$  is positive and  $w = 1/\beta$ . In this paper we find the corresponding results for a fourth order operator  $A$  of the type  $Au := \Delta(a\Delta u)$ , respectively  $Au := B^2u$ , where  $Bu := \nabla \cdot (a\nabla u)$  with general Wentzell boundary condition

$$Au + \beta \frac{\partial(a\Delta u)}{\partial n} + \gamma u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

$$\left( \text{respectively } Au + \beta \frac{\partial(Bu)}{\partial n} + \gamma u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \right).$$

Indeed, we obtain essential self-adjointness and semi-boundedness of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , when a suitable additional boundary condition is included in the domain of  $A$ .

A classification of general boundary conditions for symmetry, semi-boundedness and quasiaccretivity of the operator  $Au = u''''$  will be studied in the paper [7].

**1. The operator  $\Delta(a\Delta)$ .** Here we deal with the operator  $Au := \Delta(a\Delta u)$ , where we assume that  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  with  $C^4$  boundary and such that the following assumptions hold:

(A1)  $a \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $a(x) > 0$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$ ,

(A2)  $\beta \in C^{3+\varepsilon}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $\beta(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\gamma \in C^{3+\varepsilon}(\partial\Omega)$  (here  $C^{k+\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$  denotes, as usual, the space of functions in  $C^k(\Lambda)$  whose  $k$ th derivatives are Hölder continuous on  $\Lambda$  with exponent  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ),

(A3)  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\Omega, dx) \oplus L^2(\partial\Omega, (dS/\beta)) = X_2$ , the completion of  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{X_2}$  associated to the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{X_2} := \int_{\Omega} u(x)\overline{v(x)} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u(x)\overline{v(x)} \frac{dS}{\beta(x)}.$$

Note that if  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ , then  $u$  has a trace  $v \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ , and  $u$  can be identified with  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{H}$ .

In addition, we consider the following boundary conditions

$$(\mathcal{BC})_1 \Delta(a\Delta u)(x) + \beta(x)(\partial(a\Delta u))/\partial n(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

$(\mathcal{BC})_2 \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  are open subsets of  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\partial\Omega = \overline{\Gamma_1} \cup \overline{\Gamma_2}$ ,  $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ , each  $\overline{\Gamma_i} \setminus \Gamma_i, i = 1, 2$ , is an  $S$ -null subset of  $\partial\Omega$ , and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \\ (\partial u / \partial n) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_2. \end{cases}$$

Then the following result holds.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Under the assumptions (A1)–(A3), the operator  $A$  with domain*

$D(A) := \{u \in H^4(\Omega) \cap C^4(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) : (\mathcal{BC})_1 \text{ and } (\mathcal{BC})_2 \text{ hold}\}$   
*is essentially self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in D(A), v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap C^2(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2)$  and evaluate, using the divergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, v \rangle_{X_2} &= \int_{\Omega} \Delta(a\Delta u)\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \Delta(a\Delta u)\bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \nabla(a\Delta u) \cdot \nabla\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial(a\Delta u)}{\partial n} \bar{v} \, dS \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} \Delta(a\Delta u)\bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta} \\ (1.1) \quad &\text{(by } (\mathcal{BC})_1) = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla(a\Delta u) \cdot \nabla\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma u \bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} a\Delta u \Delta\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} (a\Delta u) \frac{\partial\bar{v}}{\partial n} \, dS - \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma u \bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta} \\ &\text{(by } (\mathcal{BC})_2) = \int_{\Omega} a\Delta u \Delta\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_2} (a\Delta u) \frac{\partial\bar{v}}{\partial n} \, dS - \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma u \bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

If also  $v \in D(A)$ , this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, v \rangle_{X_2} &= \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(a\Delta\bar{v}) \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma u \bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta} \\ &= \langle u, Av \rangle_{X_2} \end{aligned}$$

by  $(\mathcal{BC})_2$ . Hence,  $(A, D(A))$  is symmetric. To prove that  $(A, D(A))$  is essentially self-adjoint, it suffices to show that the range of  $\lambda I + A$  is dense for sufficiently large (real)  $\lambda$ . To that end, let  $h$  be in the dense set  $C^{4+\varepsilon}(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , and consider

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda u + Au = h \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}.$$

We seek a solution  $u \in D(A)$  which satisfies (1.2). From  $(\mathcal{BC})_1$  and (1.2), it follows that

$$(1.3) \quad -\beta \frac{\partial(a\Delta u)}{\partial n} + (\lambda - \gamma)u = h \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

We begin by finding a weak solution  $u$  of (1.2). Let  $v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap C^2(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2)$ ; multiply (1.2) by  $\bar{v}$  and integrate to get

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta(a\Delta u)\bar{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} h\bar{v} \, dx.$$

Using the divergence theorem gives

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla(a\Delta u) \cdot \nabla\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \beta \frac{\partial(a\Delta u)}{\partial n} \bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta} \\ = \int_{\Omega} h\bar{v} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By using (1.3), (1.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla(a\Delta u) \cdot \nabla\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\lambda - \gamma)u\bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta} \\ = \int_{\Omega} h\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} h\bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by the divergence theorem together with  $(\mathcal{BC})_2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} a\Delta u \Delta\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_2} a\Delta u \frac{\partial\bar{v}}{\partial n} \, dS + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\lambda - \gamma)u\bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta} \\ = \langle h, v \rangle_{X_2}. \end{aligned}$$

This reduces to

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta \bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\lambda - \gamma) u\bar{v} \frac{dS}{\beta} = \langle h, v \rangle_{X_2}$$

if  $\partial v / \partial n = 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$ . Now suppose  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda > \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} \gamma(x)$  and  $v \in D_{\Gamma_2}$ , where

$$(1.6) \quad D_{\Gamma_2} := \left\{ w \in C^2(\Omega \cup \Gamma_2) \cap H^2(\Omega) : \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \right\}.$$

To get the desired weak solution, we need to apply the Lax-Milgram lemma. This is slightly complicated, so we recall how it is done in an easier case.

As before, let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  and let  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  be as in  $(\mathcal{BC})_2$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_*(\Delta) \\ := \left\{ u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap C^2(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) : u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{D} := \{ v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \}.$$

For  $u \in \mathcal{D}_*(\Delta)$ ,  $v \in \tilde{D}$ , and  $\lambda u - \Delta u = h \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ , we have

$$\langle \lambda u - \Delta u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle h, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \bar{v} \, dS \\ = \int_{\Omega} h\bar{v} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \bar{v} \, dS = \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \bar{v} \, dS + \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \bar{v} \, dS = 0$$

since  $\partial u / \partial n = 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$  and  $v = 0$  on  $\Gamma_1$ . Then

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \bar{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} h\bar{v} \, dx$$

holds for all  $u \in \mathcal{D}_*(\Delta)$  and for all  $v$  in the closure  $V$  of  $\tilde{D}$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ ; in the above set, the trace of  $v$  on  $\partial\Omega$  is a well-defined function. Under the  $H^1(\Omega)$  norm,  $V$  is a Hilbert space, indeed, a closed subspace of  $H^1(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$H_0^1(\Omega) \subset V \subset H^1(\Omega).$$

$V = H^1(\Omega)$  when  $\Delta$  has the Neumann boundary condition ( $\Gamma_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $\Gamma_2 = \partial\Omega$ ), and  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$  when  $\Delta$  has the Dirichlet boundary condition ( $\Gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ ). Rewrite (1.7) as

$$L(u, v) = F(v), \quad u, v \in V.$$

For  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $L$  satisfies the hypotheses of the Lax-Milgram lemma; thus, there is a unique  $u \in V$  satisfying (1.7) for all  $v \in V$ . If  $h \in C^\varepsilon(\bar{\Omega})$ , then by elliptic regularity, see [1, 9, 11, 12],  $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap C^2(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2)$ . Thus, the weak solution  $u$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_*(\Delta)$ , so  $(\Delta, \mathcal{D}_*(\Delta))$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

We now return to (1.5). Let  $L(u, v)$ , respectively  $F(v)$ , be the left, respectively right, hand side of (1.5). Suppose that  $h \in X_2$ . Let

$$(1.8) \quad V_0 := \left\{ u \in H^4(\Omega) \cap C^4(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \right\},$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the completion of  $V_0$  in the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{K}} := [\|u\|_{X_2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega, a \, dx)}^2]^{1/2}.$$

Note that  $L$  is a bounded sesquilinear form on  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $F$  is a bounded conjugate linear functional on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Indeed, if  $u, v \in \mathcal{K}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |L(u, v)| &\leq \lambda \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega, a \, dx)} \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega, a \, dx)} \\ &\quad + \left( \lambda - \min_{x \in \partial\Omega} \gamma(x) \right) \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega, (dS/\beta))} \|v\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega, (dS/\beta))} \\ &\leq C(\lambda, \gamma, a, \beta) \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}}, \\ |F(v)| &\leq \|h\|_{X_2} \|v\|_{X_2} \leq \|h\|_{X_2} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Re} L(u, u) \geq c_0 \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2,$$

where  $c_0 := \min\{\lambda, \lambda - \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} \gamma(x), 1\} > 0$ . Thus, the Lax-Milgram lemma gives a unique weak solution  $u$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfying  $L(u, v) = F(v)$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{K}$ , provided that  $\lambda$  is real and large enough.

For  $h$  in a dense set, we want to show that our weak solution  $u \in \mathcal{K}$  is in  $D(A)$ . We know

$$(1.9) \quad \lambda u + \Delta(a\Delta u) = h \in C^{4+\varepsilon}(\overline{\Omega}),$$

$$(1.10) \quad -\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial n}(a\Delta u) + (\lambda - \gamma)u = h \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega.$$

$$(1.11) \quad \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_1, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_2,$$

with (1.10) holding in a weak sense and  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover  $u$  satisfies the uniformly elliptic problem

$$(1.12) \quad \lambda v + \Delta(a\Delta v) = h \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,$$

$$(1.13) \quad v = k_1 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = k_2 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega,$$

where  $k_1 = u|_{\partial\Omega}$ ,  $k_2 = (\partial u / \partial n)|_{\partial\Omega}$ . This implies that  $v = u \in H^2(\Omega)$ . Next  $z := a\Delta u$  satisfies

$$(1.14) \quad \Delta z = h - \lambda v \in C^{4+\varepsilon}(\overline{\Omega}) + H^2(\Omega) = H^2(\Omega)$$

$$(1.15) \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial n} = e_1 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega,$$

where  $e_1 = (1/\beta)(\lambda v - \gamma v - h) \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ . Therefore  $z = a\Delta u \in H^{3/2}(\Omega)$ .

It follows that  $v \in H^4(\Omega)$ , and so  $v \in C^{3+\delta}(\overline{\Omega})$  if  $N = 1$ ,  $v \in C^{2+\delta}(\overline{\Omega})$  if  $N = 2$ , and  $v \in W^{3, 2N/(N-2)}(\Omega)$  if  $N \geq 3$ ; here and below,  $\delta$  is a positive constant that may change from line to line. The Sobolev embeddings that we need, cf., e.g., [1, Theorem 5.4, page 17], are

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) \subset C^{(k-1)+\delta}(\overline{\Omega}), \quad \text{if} \quad p > N$$

in which case  $\delta = 1 - (N/p) > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} W^{k,N}(\Omega) &\subset W^{k-1,q}(\Omega) \quad \text{for any } N \leq q < \infty, \\ W^{k,p}(\Omega) &\subset W^{k-1,Np/(N-p)}(\Omega) \quad \text{if } p < N, \end{aligned}$$

and  $u \mapsto u|_{\partial\Omega}$  continuously maps  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  into  $L^p(\partial\Omega)$ .

For  $N \geq 3$ ,  $v \in C^{2+\delta}(\overline{\Omega})$  if  $N = 3$ ,  $v \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  if  $N \geq 4$  where  $p < \infty$  is arbitrary if  $N = 4$  and  $p = 2N/(N - 4)$  if  $N \geq 5$ . Thus, see (1.14), (1.15),  $z \in W^{2,2N/(N-4)}(\Omega)$  if  $N \geq 5$ . For  $N \leq 5$ ,  $z \in C^\delta(\overline{\Omega})$  whence  $v \in C^{4+\delta}(\overline{\Omega})$  by (1.12). For  $N \geq 7$ ,  $z \in W^{1,2N/(N-6)}(\Omega)$  (or  $z \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for any finite  $p$  if  $N = 6$ ), whence  $e_1 \in L^{2N/(N-6)}(\partial\Omega)$ , see (1.15). Thus,  $z \in W^{2,2N/(N-6)}(\Omega)$ , whence  $z \in C^\delta(\overline{\Omega})$  if  $N \leq 7$ , and thus  $v \in C^{4+\delta}(\overline{\Omega})$  in this case. For  $N = 8$ ,  $z \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for all  $p < \infty$  and  $z \in W^{1,2N/(N-8)}(\Omega)$  for  $N \geq 9$ . Then  $e_1 \in L^{2N/(N-8)}(\partial\Omega)$  for  $N \geq 9$ . Continuing in this way, we conclude  $v \in C^{4+\delta}(\overline{\Omega})$  in all dimensions. It follows that  $u \in C^4(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2)$  and the assertion holds.  $\square$

*Remark 1.2.* Notice that in the one-dimensional case for  $\Omega := (0, 1)$ , the condition  $(\mathcal{BC})_2$  reduces to either  $\partial^2 u / \partial x^2 = 0$  or  $\partial u / \partial x = 0$  at each endpoint in  $\partial\Omega = \{0, 1\}$ . Let us consider the operator  $A_1 u := (au'')$  on  $C^4[0, 1]$ , where

$$a \in C^4[0, 1], \quad a(x) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1].$$

We equip  $A_1$  with general Wentzell boundary conditions  $(\mathcal{BC})_{1,j}$ , given by

$$(\mathcal{BC})_{1,j} \quad A_1 u(j) + \beta_j (au'')(j) + \gamma_j u(j) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1$$

where  $\gamma_j \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\beta_0 < 0 < \beta_1$ , and with boundary conditions  $(\mathcal{BC})_2$ , i.e.,

$$(1.16) \quad u''(0) = 0 = u'(1) \quad (\Gamma_1 = \{0\}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{1\}),$$

or

$$(1.17) \quad u'(0) = 0 = u''(1) \quad (\Gamma_1 = \{1\}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{0\}),$$

or

$$(1.18) \quad u''(0) = 0 = u''(1) \quad (\Gamma_1 = \{0, 1\}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \emptyset),$$



or

$$(1.19) \quad u'(0) = 0 = u'(1) \quad (\Gamma_1 = \emptyset, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{0, 1\}).$$

Then  $A_1$  is essentially self-adjoint and  $A_1 \geq \varepsilon I$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , for a suitable  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$ . In addition,  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  if  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \leq 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  if  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 < 0$ . Here  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0, 1) \oplus \mathbf{C}^2$ , with inner product  $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_0^1 u(x)\overline{v(x)} dx + \sum_{j=0}^1 w_j u(j)\overline{v(j)}$ , for  $u, v$  in the dense subset  $C[0, 1]$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $w_j = (-1)^{j+1}/\beta_j, j = 0, 1$ .

Also, for  $a \equiv 1$ , let us consider the operator  $B := d^2/dx^2$  on  $C^2[0, 1]$ . It is essentially self-adjoint in  $\mathcal{H}$  if the boundary conditions are

$$Bu(j) + \beta_j u'(j) + \gamma_j u(j) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Then  $A_2 := B^2$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  has its boundary conditions

$$(1.20) \quad u''''(j) + \beta_j u'''(j) + \gamma_j u''(j) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1$$

$$(1.21) \quad u''(j) + \beta_j u'(j) + \gamma_j u(j) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

All of these operators, i.e.,  $A_1$  for  $a \equiv 1$  with  $(\mathcal{BC})_{1,j}, j = 0, 1$  and  $(\mathcal{BC})_2$ , and  $A_2 = B^2$  with (1.20) and (1.21), agree on the same domain

$$\widetilde{C}_0^4(0, 1) := \{u \in C^4[0, 1] : u^{(k)}(j) = 0, 0 \leq k \leq 4, j = 0, 1\}.$$

Moreover, for any of these  $A$ 's we have

$$\dim D(A)/\widetilde{C}_0^4(0, 1) < \infty,$$

see the Appendix. Thus, if  $\lambda \in \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2)$ , then

$$(\lambda - A_1)^{-1} - (\lambda - A_2)^{-1}$$

is a finite rank operator. Since  $A_2 = B^2$  has a compact resolvent (since  $B$  does by [2]), so do all of our  $A_k$ .

*Remark 1.3.* When  $\Gamma_1 = \overline{\Gamma_1}$  and  $\Gamma_2 = \overline{\Gamma_2}$  in  $(\mathcal{BC})_2$  are disjoint and  $\partial\Omega = \Gamma$ , then we may use as domain

$$D(A) := \{u \in C^4(\overline{\Omega}) : (\mathcal{BC})_1 \text{ and } (\mathcal{BC})_2 \text{ hold}\}.$$

This is the case when  $\Omega$  is an interval as in Remark 1.2 and when  $\Omega$  is an annulus, with, say,  $\Gamma_1$  being the inner boundary and  $\Gamma_2$  the outer boundary. That is

$$\Omega := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : 0 < a < |x| < b < \infty\},$$

with

$$\Gamma_1 := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : |x| = a\}, \quad \Gamma_2 := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : |x| = b\}.$$

When the boundary of  $\Omega$  is connected, we normally take one of  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  to be empty. To see why, take

$$\Omega := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : |x| < 1\}$$

and identify  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with  $x_1 + ix_2$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Using obvious notation and identifications, let

$$\Gamma_1 := \{e^{i\theta} : 0 < \theta < \pi\}, \quad \Gamma_2 := \{e^{i\theta} : \pi < \theta < 2\pi\}$$

be the top and bottom half unit circle, respectively. Then  $\partial\Omega = \overline{\Gamma_1} \cup \overline{\Gamma_2}$ ,  $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ , and  $\overline{\Gamma_i} \setminus \Gamma_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , consists of two points, the right point  $R = 1 + i0 = (1, 0)$  and the left point  $L = -1 + i0 = (-1, 0) = e^{i\pi}$ . If we wish to impose a boundary condition (as in  $(\mathcal{BC})_2$ ) at  $L$  and/or  $R$ , it is not clear which one to use, or neither, or both. Since  $\{L, R\}$  forms a null set in  $\partial\Omega$ , it doesn't matter for the  $X_2$  theory. In this case, it would be hard to prove that  $u \in D(A)$  is  $C^4$  at  $L$  or  $R$ ; that is why we used the complicated (but effective and usable) definition of  $D(A)$ .

*Remark 1.4.* Concerning semi-boundedness, let us observe that

$$\langle Au, u \rangle_{X_2} = \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega, a dx)}^2 - \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma |u|^2 \frac{dS}{\beta}$$

and hence

$$\langle Au, u \rangle_{X_2} \geq \min \left\{ - \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} \gamma(x), 0 \right\} \|u\|_{X_2}^2.$$

The question of the  $N$ -dimensional Sobolev inequality without boundary conditions is relevant. We have  $A \geq \varepsilon I$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  if  $\gamma < 0$  on

$\partial\Omega$ . Hence, in all cases the closure of the operator  $A$  is self-adjoint and bounded below. By spectral theorem  $(-A, D(A))$  generates a cosine function, and the cosine function  $\{C(t) : t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $\mathbf{R}$  if  $\gamma \leq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . This implies that the initial value problem for the beam equation  $u_{tt} = (au_{xx})_{xx}$  with boundary conditions  $(\mathcal{BC})_1$  and  $(\mathcal{BC})_2$  is well posed, even if  $\gamma$  is not nonnegative on  $\partial\Omega$ .

For the meaning of cosine functions and their relations with the well-posedness of second order Cauchy problems, we refer to [10, Chapter 2 Section 8].

**2. The operator  $\nabla \cdot (a\nabla Bu)$  with  $Bu := \nabla \cdot (a\nabla u)$ .** Let us set

$$Au := \nabla \cdot (a\nabla Bu), \quad \text{where} \quad Bu := \nabla \cdot (a\nabla u)$$

and assume that

$$(\alpha_1) \quad a \in C^4(\overline{\Omega}), \quad a(x) > 0 \text{ in } \overline{\Omega};$$

$$(\alpha_2) \quad \beta \in C^{3+\varepsilon}(\partial\Omega), \quad \beta(x) > 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega, \quad \gamma \in C^{3+\varepsilon}(\partial\Omega).$$

$(\alpha_3) \quad \mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega, dx) \oplus L^2(\partial\Omega, (adS/\beta)) := X_2$ , the completion of  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  with respect to the norm associated to the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{X_2} := \int_{\Omega} u(x)\overline{v(x)} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u(x)\overline{v(x)} \frac{a(x) \, dS}{\beta(x)}.$$

In addition, we consider the following boundary conditions

$$(bc)_1 \quad Au(x) + \beta(x)(\partial(Bu)/\partial n)(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

$(bc)_2 \quad \partial\Omega = \overline{\Gamma_1} \cup \overline{\Gamma_2}$ ,  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  are open subsets of  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $\overline{\Gamma_i} \setminus \Gamma_i$  is an  $S$ -null set for each  $i = 1, 2$ , and

$$\begin{cases} Bu = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \\ \partial u/\partial n = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_2. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

**Theorem 2.1** *Under the assumptions  $(\alpha_1)$ – $(\alpha_3)$ , the operator  $A$  with domain*

$$D(A) := \{u \in C^4(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) \cap H^4(\Omega) : (bc)_1 \text{ and } (bc)_2 \text{ hold}\}$$

is essentially self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows similar lines as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us consider  $u \in D(A)$ ,  $v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap C^2(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2)$ , and evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, v \rangle_{X_2} &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (a \nabla Bu) \bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} Au \bar{v} \frac{adS}{\beta} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} (a \nabla Bu) \cdot \nabla \bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (Bu) \bar{v} \frac{adS}{\beta} \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} Au \bar{v} \frac{adS}{\beta} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla Bu) \cdot (a \nabla \bar{v}) \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma u \bar{v} \frac{adS}{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

by  $(bc)_1$  and the divergence theorem. Again applying the divergence theorem and  $(bc)_2$  in the above equality, we have that

$$\langle Au, v \rangle_{X_2} = \int_{\Omega} (Bu) (\nabla \cdot (a \nabla \bar{v})) \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_2} Bu \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} adS - \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma u \bar{v} \frac{adS}{\beta}.$$

If also  $v \in D(A)$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, v \rangle_{X_2} &= \int_{\Omega} (Bu)(B\bar{v}) \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma u \bar{v} \frac{adS}{\beta} \\ &= \langle u, Av \rangle_{X_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(A, D(A))$  is symmetric. Concerning the range condition, let us assume  $h \in C^{4+\varepsilon}(\bar{\Omega})$  for some positive  $\varepsilon$  and for  $\lambda > 0$  consider

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda u + Au = h \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

If  $u \in D(A)$ , then, on  $\partial\Omega$  we have

$$(2.2) \quad -\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (Bu) + (\lambda - \gamma)u = h \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

by  $(bc)_1$ . Multiply (2.1) by  $\bar{v}$ , for  $v \in H^2(\Omega)$ , and integrate to get

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (a\nabla Bu)\bar{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} h\bar{v} \, dx.$$

Using the divergence theorem gives

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} a\nabla(Bu) \cdot \nabla\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \beta \frac{\partial Bu}{\partial n} \bar{v} \frac{adS}{\beta} \\ = \int_{\Omega} h\bar{v} \, dx.$$

By (2.2), (2.3) becomes

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} a\nabla(Bu) \cdot \nabla\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} (\gamma - \lambda)u\bar{v} \frac{adS}{\beta} \\ = \int_{\Omega} h\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} h\bar{v} \frac{adS}{\beta}.$$

Again using the divergence theorem and  $(bc)_2$ , we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda \int_{\Omega} u\bar{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (Bu)B\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_2} Bu \frac{\partial\bar{v}}{\partial n} a \, dS \\ - \int_{\partial\Omega} (\gamma - \lambda)u\bar{v} \frac{adS}{\beta} = \langle h, v \rangle_{X_2}.$$

Now suppose  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda > \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} \gamma(x)$  and  $v \in D_{\Gamma_2}$ , see (1.6). Let  $L(u, v)$  be the lefthand side of (2.5), and let  $F(v) = \langle h, v \rangle_{X_2}$ . Let  $V_0$  be as in (1.8) and define  $\mathcal{K}$  to be the completion of  $V_0$ , in the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{K}} := [\|u\|_{X_2}^2 + \|Bu\|_{L^2(\Omega, dx)}^2]^{1/2}.$$

We show that  $L$  is a bounded sesquilinear form on  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $F$  is a bounded conjugate linear functional on  $\mathcal{K}$  for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda > \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} \gamma(x)$ . Indeed, if  $u, v \in \mathcal{K}$ , then

$$|L(u, v)| \leq \max\{|\lambda|, 1\} \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}} + \left( \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} |\gamma(x)| + |\lambda| \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ \leq C(\lambda, \gamma, a, \beta) \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Also

$$|F(v)| \leq \|h\|_{X_2} \|v\|_{X_2} \leq \|h\|_{X_2} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Finally, for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda > \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} \gamma(x)$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re} L(u, u) \geq \min \left\{ \lambda, \min_{x \in \partial\Omega} \lambda - \gamma(x), 1 \right\} \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2.$$

Thus, by the Lax-Milgram lemma, there is a unique  $u \in \mathcal{K}$  such that

$$L(u, v) = F(v) \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{K}.$$

This  $u$  is our weak solution of (2.1) satisfying  $(bc)_1$  and  $(bc)_2$ . By using similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, provided that we replace (1.10) by (2.2), (1.12) by

$$\lambda v + \nabla \cdot (a \nabla Bv) = h,$$

and  $z := Bv$ , we conclude that  $u \in D(A)$ , so that  $u \in D(A)$  and  $(A, D(A))$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $X_2$ .

*Remark 2.2.* Concerning semi-boundedness, let us observe that

$$\langle Au, u \rangle_{X_2} = \|Bu\|_{L^2(\Omega, dx)}^2 - \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma |u|^2 \frac{adS}{\beta},$$

and hence

$$\langle Au, u \rangle_{X_2} \geq \min \left\{ - \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} \gamma(x), 0 \right\} \|u\|_{X_2}^2.$$

Thus, by the spectral theorem, the closure of the operator  $(-A, D(A))$  generates a cosine function, and the cosine function  $\{C(t) : t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $\mathbf{R}$  if  $\gamma \leq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

## APPENDIX

Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and define

$$X := \left\{ u \in C^{n+1}[0, 1] : u^{(j)}(0) = u^{(j)}(1) = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

We claim that  $C^{n+1}[0, 1]/X$  is finite dimensional.

To show this, let

$$(\mathcal{A}) \quad u(x) := \varphi(x) \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \frac{x^j}{j!} + (1 - \varphi(x)) \sum_{j=0}^n b_j \frac{(x-1)^j}{j!},$$

where  $\varphi$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function on  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\varphi \equiv 1$  on  $[0, 1/3]$  and  $\varphi \equiv 0$  on  $[2/3, 1]$ . Then  $u^{(k)}(0) = a_k$  and  $u^{(k)}(1) = b_k$ . Given  $f \in C^{n+1}[0, 1]$ , let

$$a_j := f^{(j)}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad b_j := f^{(j)}(1), \quad j = 0, \dots, n.$$

Then for  $u$  as in  $(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $f - u$  is in  $X$ . Thus  $C^{n+1}[0, 1]/X$  is  $2n + 2$ -dimensional.

**Acknowledgments.** Part of this work was completed during the visit of the fourth author at the Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis. She expresses her heartfelt thanks to Jerry and Gisèle Goldstein for their great hospitality and the fruitful discussions on all subjects, and to all the staff of the Department for their warm kindness.

We thank the anonymous referee for helpful comments. In particular, the referee suggested the construction of  $u$  as in  $(\mathcal{A})$ ; this greatly simplified our original construction.

## REFERENCES

1. R.A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
2. P.A. Binding, P.J. Browne and B.A. Watson, *Spectral problems for non-linear Sturm-Liouville equations with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions*, *Canad. J. Math.* **52** (2000), 248–264.
3. K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel, *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, *Grad. Texts Math.* **94**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
4. A. Favini, G. Ruiz Goldstein, J.A. Goldstein and S. Romanelli,  *$C_0$  semigroups generated by second order differential operators with generalized Wentzell boundary conditions*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1981–1989.
5. ———, *Degenerate second order differential operators generating analytic semigroups in  $L^p$  and  $W^{1,p}$* , *Math. Nachr.* **238** (2002), 78–102.
6. ———, *The heat equation with generalized Wentzell boundary condition*, *J. Evol. Equations* **2** (2002), 1–19.
7. ———, *Classification of general Wentzell boundary conditions for fourth order operators in one space dimension*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **333** (2007), 219–235.

8. A. Favini, G. Ruiz Goldstein, J.A. Goldstein and S. Romanelli, *The heat equation with nonlinear general Wentzell boundary condition*, Adv. Differential Equations **11** (2006), 481–510.

9. D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

10. J.A. Goldstein, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1985.

11. O.A. Ladyzhenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, and N.N. Uralseva, *Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*, Trans. Math. Mono. **33**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1967.

12. O.A. Ladyzhenskaja and N.N. Uralseva, *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.

13. H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, 1978.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BOLOGNA, PIAZZA DI PORTA S. DONATO 5, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY

**Email address:** favini@dm.unibo.it

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MEMPHIS, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38152

**Email address:** ggoldste@memphis.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MEMPHIS, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38152

**Email address:** jgoldste@memphis.edu

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BARI, VIA E. ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY

**Email address:** romans@dm.uniba.it