

ON THE SCHARLAU TRANSFER

BRUNO KAHN

Let F be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$, F_s a separable closure of F and $G_F = \text{Gal}(F_s/F)$. The ring $A(F)$ of *monomial representations* of G_F is defined as follows: it is the Grothendieck ring of the category of pairs (E, E') , where E and E' are étale F -algebras and E' is a free E -algebra of rank 2 [1, III 2.2]. Such pairs are classified by homomorphisms of G_F in a wreath product $\mathbf{S}_n \wr \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Z}/2) \simeq O(n, \mathbf{Z})$ (*loc. cit.*), so $A(F)$ really depends only on G_F .

On the other hand, write (here) $W(F)$ for the Witt-Grothendieck ring of F (the Grothendieck ring of the category of non-degenerate quadratic forms over F). In [1, III. 2.6] a ring homomorphism $h : A(F) \rightarrow W(F)$ was defined; it may be described in (at least) two different ways:

(a) Let (E, E') be a generator of $A(F)$. Since $\text{char } F \neq 2$, there is an $a \in E^*$ such that $E' = E[\sqrt{a}]$. Then $h(E, E')$ is the class in $W(F)$ of the quadratic form $q(x) = \text{Tr}_{E/F} ax^2$.

(b) Let $\rho : G_F \rightarrow O(n, \mathbf{Z})$ be a homomorphism classifying (E, E') . Then $O(n, \mathbf{Z})$ maps naturally to a Galois-invariant subgroup of $O(n, F_s)$, hence to ρ is associated an element in the nonabelian cohomology set $H^1(G_F, O(n, F_s))$; via [2, p.162, Corollary 1], this element corresponds to a quadratic form $h(\rho)$.

Observe that $W(F)$ also depends only on G_F ; in [1, III.2.7] the question was raised whether or not h depends only on G_F . The aim of this article is to answer this question positively:

THEOREM. *The homomorphism h depends only on G_F , and not on the particular field F .*

Here is a sketch of the proof. One reduces to proving that, for any finite separable extension E of F , the 'Scharlau transfer' $T : W(E) \rightarrow W(F)$ given by $T(q)(x) = \text{Tr}_{E/F} q(x)$ depends only on G_F and G_E . To

Received by the editors on October, 1986.

Copyright ©1989 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

do this, one reduces by dévissage to the case of a quadratic extension; at this stage the proof is reduced to a cohomological lemma which will be proved first. Behind this lemma is a construction imagined by J-P. Serre; I am grateful to him for having let me know about it and allowing me to use it here.

1. A lemma on boundary homomorphisms. Let G be a group and A be a G -module which, as an abelian group, is cyclic of order p^n (p : a prime number). In applications, G will be G_F , a profinite group, so one should think of G -modules as topological G -modules and cohomology of G as cohomology of a profinite group; however the situation is identical in practice so it will be implicit everywhere here.

The action τ of G on A is given by a homomorphism of G in $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}/p^n) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^n)^*$. Let $0 \subsetneq A' \subsetneq A$ be a subgroup (hence a submodule) of A and $A'' = A/A'$. To the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ are associated boundary homomorphisms:

$$\partial_A : H^i(G, A'') \rightarrow H^{i+1}(G, A'), \quad i \geq 0.$$

Another action $\tilde{\tau}$ of G on A will coincide with τ on A' and A'' if and only if, for all $g \in G, \tilde{\tau}(g)\tau(g)^{-1} \in (1 + p^r\mathbf{Z})/p^n\mathbf{Z}$, where $p^r = \max(|A'|, |A''|)$. Then $g \mapsto (\tilde{\tau}(g)\tau(g)^{-1} - 1)/p^r$ defines an element $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{Z}/p^{n-r}\mathbf{Z}) = H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}/p^{n-r}\mathbf{Z})$. Write \tilde{A} for the G -module corresponding to this new action $\tilde{\tau}$.

LEMMA 1. *Assume that the G -module A' is trivial. If $x \in H^i(G, A'')$, one has*

$$\partial_{\tilde{A}}x = \partial_Ax + \chi \cdot x,$$

where the cup-product is induced by the pairing $\mathbf{Z}/p^{n-r} \times A'' \rightarrow A'$ such that $(n, a'') \mapsto p^r na''$ (with an obvious abuse of notation).

PROOF. For any G -module M , let $C^\cdot(M)$ be the complex of "non-homogeneous cochains" defining the cohomology of M , as in [2, p. 121]. If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of G -modules, the boundary homomorphism ∂ associated to it is computed as follows on an element $x \in H^i(G, M'')$: choose a representative c of x

in $C^i(M'')$ and lift it to a cochain $\tilde{c} \in C^i(M)$. Then the differential $d\tilde{c}$ defines a cocycle in $C^{i+1}(M')$, whose class in $H^{i+1}(G, M')$ is precisely ∂x . But let d (respectively \tilde{d}) be the differential of $C(A)$ (respectively of $C(\tilde{A})$): the formula which gives the differential of a cochain c (e.g., *loc. cit.*) shows that

$$\tilde{d}c(g_1, \dots, g_{i+1}) - dc(g_1, \dots, g_{i+1}) = p^r \chi(g_1)c(g_2, \dots, g_{i+1}),$$

hence the lemma. \square

2. The symbol (2, d). From now on, we shall simply write $H^i(G)$ for $H^i(G, \mathbf{Z}/2)$, and $H^i(F, -)$ for $H^i(G_F, -)$.

If $a \in F^*$, write (a) or $(a)_F$ for the image of a in $H^1(F)$ via Kummer theory; if $a, b \in F^*$, the cup-product $(a) \cdot (b)$ will often be written (a, b) . When $G_F \simeq G_{F'}$, the classes $(-1)_F$ and $(-1)_{F'}$ in $H^1(G_F)$ need not coincide (e.g., take finite fields for F and F'); however, for any $x \in H^1(F)$, one has the formula $(-1)_F \cdot x = x^2$, hence $(-1)_F x = (-1)_{F'} x$. The aim of this paragraph is to show that similarly, the map $x \mapsto (2)_F x$ depends only on G_F (and not on F itself). To prove this we use a construction imagined by Serre (personal communication).

Again let G be any group. If $\alpha \in H^1(G)$, let $\mathbf{Z}(\alpha)$ be the G -module with support \mathbf{Z} , with action given by $g \cdot a = (-1)^{\alpha(g)} a$. For $n \geq 2$, set $\mathbf{Z}/n(\alpha) = \mathbf{Z}(\alpha)/n$. Let $\partial_\alpha : H^1(G) \rightarrow H^2(G)$ be the boundary associated to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/4(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$.

LEMMA 2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\partial_\alpha = 0$;
- (ii) $H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}/4(\alpha)) \rightarrow H^1(G)$ is onto;
- (iii) For any $x \in H^1(G)$, $\alpha \cdot x = x^2$.

PROOF. (i) \iff (ii) is obvious. To see (i) \iff (iii), observe that $\partial_\alpha x = Sq^1 x = x^2$ and use Lemma 1.

Let $\varepsilon \in H^1(G)$ satisfy the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2: e.g., if $G = G_F$, $\varepsilon = (-1)_F$ will do. Following Serre, we associate to ε a "secondary boundary homomorphism" $\delta_\varepsilon : H^1(G) \rightarrow H^2(G)$ as follows.

We have a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/4(\varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/8(\varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/4(\varepsilon) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & \mathbf{Z}/2
 \end{array}$$

in which the unique column is a short exact sequence, whence a commutative diagram in cohomology (solid arrows):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}/4(\varepsilon)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G) & \xrightarrow{0} & H^2(G) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}/8(\varepsilon)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}/4(\varepsilon)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^2(G) \\
 & & \downarrow & \nearrow \delta_\varepsilon & \\
 & & H^1(G) & &
 \end{array}$$

Let $x \in H^1(G)$ and \tilde{x} be a lift of x in $H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}/4(\varepsilon))$. Since the column in the above diagram is exact, $\delta\tilde{x}$ only depends on x : this defines $\delta_\varepsilon x$.

Let $\omega \in H^1(G)$ be another element; write $\mathbf{Z}/8(\varepsilon, \omega)$ for the G -module supported by $\mathbf{Z}/8$, with action given by $g \cdot x = (-1)^{\varepsilon(g)} 5^{\omega(g)} x$.

LEMMA 3. *The following are equivalent:*

- (iv) $H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}/8(\varepsilon, \omega)) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}/4(\varepsilon))$ is onto;
- (v) for all $x \in H^1(G)$, $\delta_\varepsilon x = \omega \cdot x$.

Once again this is a simple application of Lemma 1. For example, if $G = G_F$, then $\omega = (2)_F$ satisfies (iv) and (v).

Let $\chi \in H^1(G) = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{Z}/2)$; to χ corresponds its kernel H_χ . If $\chi \cdot x = 0$ for all $x \in H^1(G)$, we shall call H_χ a *ghost subgroup* of G . The main lemma in this article is

MAIN LEMMA. *Assume that there is $\varepsilon_o \in H^1(G)$ such that, for any ghost subgroup $H \subseteq G$, $\text{Res}_H^G \varepsilon_o$ satisfies conditions (i)-(ii) of Lemma 2 for H . Then the boundary δ_ε constructed above does not depend on the choice of ε satisfying (i)-(iii) (for G).*

PROOF. It is enough to show $\delta_\varepsilon = \delta_{\varepsilon_o}$. Condition (iii) shows that $\chi = \varepsilon - \varepsilon_o$ has kernel a ghost subgroup H of G . We may assume $\chi \neq 0$, hence $(G : H) = 2$; then there is a long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow H^{i-1}(G) \xrightarrow{\chi} H^i(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^i(H) \xrightarrow{\text{Cor}} H^i(G) \rightarrow \dots$$

For $i = 2$, this shows that $\text{Res} : H^2(G) \rightarrow H^2(H)$ is injective. By construction, $\text{Res } \varepsilon = \text{Res } \varepsilon_o$, hence $\mathbf{Z}(\varepsilon)$ and $\mathbf{Z}(\varepsilon_o)$ are H -isomorphic. Therefore, for all $x \in H^1(G)$, $\text{Res } \delta_\varepsilon x = \delta_{\text{Res } \varepsilon_o}(\text{Res } x) = \text{Res } \delta_{\varepsilon_o} x$, and $\delta_\varepsilon x = \delta_{\varepsilon_o} x$.

COROLLARY. *For a field F , the map $x \rightarrow (2)_F \cdot x$ only depends on G_F .*

Indeed, $\varepsilon_o = (-1)_F$ satisfies the hypothesis of the Main Lemma.

3. The Scharlau transfer in a separable extension. Recall that $W(F)$ may be defined by generators and relations:

generators: $\langle a \rangle, a \in H^1(F)$;

relations: $\langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle = \langle c \rangle + \langle d \rangle$ if $a + b = c + d$ and $a \cdot b = c \cdot d$.

Hence $W(F)$ only depends on G_F . Let E/F be a finite, separable extension. To a quadratic form q over E , associate the quadratic form over F defined by $T(q)(x) = \text{Tr}_{E/F} q(x)$: this defines a homomorphism $T_{E/F} : W(E) \rightarrow W(F)$. In this section, we shall prove

PROPOSITION 1. *The map $T_{E/F}$ only depends on G_E and G_F .*

PROOF. *Step 1.* Proposition 1 is true for quadratic extensions.

Indeed, suppose E/F quadratic, hence $E = F(\sqrt{d})$; the class $(d) \in H^1(F)$ is the character of G_F with kernel G_E . Let $a \in H^1(E)$: we have

to prove that $T\langle a \rangle$ only depends on G_F . But there are $x, y \in H^1(F)$ such that $T\langle a \rangle = \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle$; by [1, II.2.1] one has

$$\begin{aligned}x + y &= \text{Cor}_{E/F} a + (d); \\x \cdot y &= \mathbf{N}(a) + (2, d),\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{N} is the multiplicative transfer.

Obviously $x + y$ depends only on G_E and G_F ; by the Main Lemma this is the same for $x \cdot y$. Therefore $T_{E/F}\langle a \rangle$ only depends on G_E and G_F .

Step 2. The general case. We will proceed with a standard dévissage argument, as in [1, II.] The following lemma is well-known [3].

LEMMA 4. *Let K/F be a finite extension of odd degree. Then $\text{Res} : W(F) \rightarrow W(E)$ is injective.*

Let F' be another field such that $G_F \simeq G_{F'}$, $\phi : G_{F'} \rightarrow G_F$ an isomorphism and E' the extension of F' corresponding to $\phi^{-1}(G_E)$. If $x \in W(E)$, we wish to show that $T_{E'/F'}\phi^*x = \phi^*T_{E/F}x$, where ϕ^* is the isomorphism induced by ϕ^* on Witt groups. Let \tilde{E} be the Galois closure of E over F , $K \subseteq E$ the fixed field of some Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ and K' the extension of F' which corresponds to K via $\phi : K/F$ and K'/F' have the same odd degree. By Lemma 4, it will be enough to show that

$$(*) \quad \text{Res}_{K'/F'} T_{E'/F'} \phi^* x = \phi^* \text{Res}_{K/F} T_{E/F} x.$$

The étale K -algebra $K \otimes_F E$ is a direct product of extensions K_i/K which are filtered by successive quadratic extensions. By repeatedly applying Proposition 1 for a quadratic extension, we find that $T_{K'_i/K'} \text{Res}_{K'_i/E'} \phi^* x = \phi^* T_{K_i/K} \text{Res}_{K_i/E} x$ for all i , and therefore that $(*)$ holds. \square

4. Proof of the theorem. Keep the notations as just above. We have to prove that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(F) & \xrightarrow{h} & W(F) \\ \phi^* \downarrow & & \phi^* \downarrow \\ A(F') & \xrightarrow{h'} & W(F') \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $x = (E, E[\sqrt{a}]) \in A(F)$ be a generator. The image $h(x)$ is the class in $W(F)$ of $\text{Tr}_{E/F} ax^2$. Up to splitting E into its minimal ideals, we may assume that E is a field; then Proposition 1 shows that $\phi^* T_{E/F}(a) = T_{E'/F'}(\phi^*, a)$, hence $\phi^* h(x) = h'(\phi^* x)$. \square

REFERENCES

1. B. Kahn, *Classes de Stiefel-Whitney de formes quadratiques et de représentations galoisiennes réelles*, Invent. Math. **78** (1984), 223-256.
2. J.-P. Serre, *Corps Locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
3. T.A. Springer, *Sur les formes quadratiques d'indice zéro*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **234** (1952), 1517-1519.

UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS 7, UFR DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 5E ÉTAGE, TOUR 45-55, 75251
PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE

